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Abstract: The Internet of Things (IoT) emerged as a consequence of the advanced development of increasingly interconnected intelligent devices. These devices integrate within our environment to achieve specific goals that can relate to the areas of object tracking, health care, security, transport, and recreation. However, the amount of devices connected to the Internet and their variety is a problem that needs attention. The purpose of this paper is to present analysis based on real data retrieved from devices inside an IoT universe. The paper proposes a strategy for data extraction as well as a method for handling the information by filtering it and applying an analysis in order to identify different types of measuring devices and techniques to validate the measurements retrieved from the objects. Two techniques from the data mining were used, linear regression and clustering, and another one was developed. The results give different alternatives for the distribution of data in hypothetical devices that were inferred.

1 INTRODUCTION

Even though there is no universal definition for IoT, works such as (Whitmore et al., 2015) and (Misra et al., 2016) describe it as composed of the combination of networks of several objects that is capable of identifying, detecting, connecting, data processing, besides being capable of exchanging data with each other and with other services on the Internet. This set of things can be very heterogeneous and have several purposes. For example, it could be a health care sensor network, monitoring inpatients health and sending feedback containing patient health data to an application in which the patient’s family could follow closely by smartphone, computer, smartwatch, or tablet. Another example could be a smart home system, composed of several devices able to change temperature and luminosity of the environment, as well as choosing the movie the user wants to watch based on his/her preferences.

To achieve this, these devices must be arranged harmoniously in the network. There are different ways to accomplish such connection in IoT, as Radio-Frequency Identification (RFID) technology, used widely to track objects, and Wireless Sensors Network (WSN). Furthermore, biometric identification could also be used to ensure security and customization (Cooper and James, 2009). Nonetheless, it is necessary to use an appliance able to achieve unity between the device (hardware, physical layer) and the developer (application layer). This appliance is called middleware.

The IoT environment contains a large number of heterogeneous devices that are constantly creating a massive quantity of data, varied both in type and size. Basically, middleware helps with the process of integrating these objects and data, hiding from the developer’s side the technological details of the physical devices (Huacarpuma et al., 2016). Thereby, this piece of software creates abstractions and resources that allow the developer to create IoT services without needing to write different lines of code for each type of device or format of data.

The current work aims to perform data analysis on a real IoT environment focusing on generating knowledge through data previously collected. Naturally, the IoT atmosphere is capable of generating a high volume of information. However, as the volume in-
creases, the level of comprehension of the raw data starts to decrease. With regard to this, this paper is focused in means to assist the extraction of useful information through datasets created from devices in a real IoT environment as well as verifying the quality and utility of this data and, if possible, estimating the accuracy of previsions of new data. New solutions to these issues are indeed important to dynamically define by software the resource allocation in IoT network instances, thus addressing ever evolving communications, processing and storage requirements with software defined networks (SDN) and data centers (SDDC).

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we present related works and in Section 3, a description of the main characteristics and features of a middleware. In Section 4, a few methods to accomplish an analysis of a large set of data. In Section 5, the methodology used to perform the extraction and analysis of data. In Section 6, we present the results with our considerations. Finally, in Section 7, we present the central conclusions obtained from this paper and suggestions for future work are highlighted.

2 RELATED WORK

In this section, we present related works that address such issues and we discuss the difference between those works and ours.

In (Alam et al., 2016) is proposed a study of the applicability of eight well-known data mining algorithms to real IoT data, such as: SVM, KNN, NB, C4.5, C5.0, LDA, ANN and DLANN. The paper provides a preliminary examination of whether these algorithms are capable of working with IoT datasets, or if new families of data mining algorithms are required to do so.

In (Hromic et al., 2015) a proof-of-concept solution is provided for the process of transforming raw data into an usable piece of information by using an analytic interface to enable real time interpretation of IoT data. The use case for evaluating the proposed solution is a mobile crowd-sensing application for air quality monitoring in a smart city environment, where users provide data streams with wearable sensors. The real data acquired during a system trial is analyzed and visualized.

The authors in (Luong et al., 2016) present a survey of the economic models for solving data collection and communication in IoT. The issues are organized in four main sections, i.e., data exchange and topology formation, resource and power allocation, sensing coverage, and security. Finally, they address some related problems in IoT networks, such as: faulty sensor detection, pervasive monitoring, service utility maximization, and deployment evaluation, as well as the Machine-to-Machine (M2M) resource allocation.

The aim of (Plageras et al., 2018) is to address WSN, a subset of IoT, that consists of small sensing devices, with few resources which are wireless connected to each other. Furthermore, the WSN technology can be converged in entire systems to support and implement efficient solutions for smart cities. The paper tries to investigate new systems for collecting and managing sensors’ data in a smart building which operates in IoT environment.

Still, on (Mahdavinejad et al., 2018) the main focus is on targeting the various machine learning methods that use the concept of smart cities as their leading case study. Additionally, they provide a taxonomy of the main algorithms in machine learning and how different techniques are applied to better increase knowledge from raw data provided by the IoT environment.

Our paper differs from these works in that we implement a more generic way of analyzing the measures from any given device in the lab using linear regression, clustering and a newly developed method that will be explained later in this study. Moreover, we do not restrict our observation to any model, such as economics or communication, or a specific technology, like WSN, since we objectively address the data retrieved from the object.

3 MIDDLEWARE

In IoT, middleware is conceptually understood as the software layer between the application layer and physical layer (Fersi, 2015; Huacarpuma et al., 2017), as shown in Figure 1. The application layer, can provide service and device automatic discovery, as well as the services the devices offer. On the other hand, the physical layer comprises the devices and their hardware singularities. Hence the purpose of the middleware is to make the communication between devices and applications possible, as well as to provide tools and abstractions to facilitate the integration between such heterogeneous technologies and devices.

The dialogue between these different layers is usually achieved with messages which can be in JSON or XML languages. Moreover, the communication between those layers is made by request-response pattern (Huacarpuma, 2017). When the application layer receives a request, it is forwarded to the middleware which analyzes and delivers it to the physical layer in an understandable pattern. The physical layer then
processes the request and sends its response message, which will be forwarded to the middleware and delivered to the application layer (Huacarpuma, 2017).

When performing these tasks, the middleware hides the technological details in order to allow the application developer to solely focus on the task regarding the software layer, without having to deal with the integrity of different objects on a hardware level. Moreover, the main role and services that are provided by the middleware may be related to the data management - how they are collected, stored, filtered and organized - , to the access control and to the discovery of new services - automated detection of devices and services on the network. In this context, it is necessary to create middleware that is capable of involving a large variety of modern objects as well as new intelligent devices that may be created in the future (Chaqfeh and Mohamed, 2012).

Since the IoT environment has an increasing amount of connected devices - in 2020 there will be around 50 billion objects connected to the Internet (Fersi, 2015) - it is fundamental that the middleware can administrate efficiently the problems of scalability as well as being able to handle this increase in the number of “things” in a way that respects the functionalities of the service on every level. That is, it must have space to expand without having to compromise on efficiency and also be capable of following the increase on data flow on a uniform manner.

4 DATA ANALYSIS

IoT environment has a high variety of fields generating data and the congestion of this flow of information occurs quite often. Therefore, the development of techniques and tools to assist in extracting useful insights from this constantly growing volume of data is required.

There are already research fields that focus on the production of knowledge through data. In other words, they focus on the mapping of raw data, which are typically bigger and hard to understand, to more compact, abstract and familiar formats for the final user (Fayyad et al., 1996) (like a report or a graphic illustration). The data mining field largely uses this process, since it consists of the application of data analysis and discovery algorithms, which are subject to acceptable computational efficiency limitations, capable of producing a particular enumeration of patterns (models) in the data (Fayyad et al., 1996).

From this process it is possible to, in many cases, estimate the accuracy of predictions on data as well as its utility. Next, two methods that can be used to perform a forecast analysis and data description are presented.

Fundamentally, the regression method consists of performing a search for linear functions capable of mapping records of a data set to real values, being restricted only to continuous attributes (Goldschmidt and Passos, 2015). Furthermore, it can be applied to the forecast of future values and probability estimation.

Clustering method seeks to identify a finite set of cluster categories to describe data. The categories can be mutually exclusive or possess a richer representation like the hierarchy and the overlapping of these groups (Goldschmidt and Passos, 2015). In other words, it seeks the partitioning of data in different sets in such a way that the objects belonging to the same cluster are more similar to each other than to objects belonging to other clusters (Huang, 1997).

5 METHODOLOGY

In order to prepare this paper, two steps of development were created. As shown in Figure 2, the first step consists of extracting data from Couchbase Server’s buckets, Client, Data and Service. Couchbase Server is a NoSQL database, distributed and document oriented. The second step involves the data analysis to determine the existing devices in the laboratory as well as to perform measurement previsions over collected data.

To extract data, an algorithm was designed that connects with the database Couchbase Server and collects from the main buckets all the data that was inserted by UIoT middleware defined in (Silva et al., 2016). Couchbase Python SDK library allowed the Python application to access a Couchbase cluster - Couchbase Python SDK version 2.3.5.
Information inside the buckets are originated from multiple devices, called clients (Arduinos and Raspberry Pi), which can provide different services such as humidity level, pressure, temperature, luminosity, soil humidity and even carbon dioxide levels. In addition, the bucket data stores the actual measurements of each client’s services.

In the laboratory’s current architecture, each time a device is connected, it goes through a registration process, without validating whether it was already a registered device that for some reason became temporarily unavailable. This results in a large number of undetectable redundancies in bucket client. Furthermore, also inherent to the architecture, no piece of data keeps a consistent identification of its generating device.

Thus the identification of different devices from the complete dataset of values is a challenge to this study. From specific parameters’ data, it is possible to use statistic methods in order to determine groups of devices. To this end, the data have to be submitted to a cleaning process and since it is in JSON format, some of its tags are removed. The field “parameters” contained, in some cases, the MAC address of the device responsible for the measurement followed immediately by the tag of what it represents. These tags were initially parsed, but since the identification of the device was not representing valid information, they were not used, keeping only the identification of similar dimensions of data and grouping these. In this work, the primary fields of the JSON structure are “parameters”, “serverTime” and “values”.

Once the data processing of collected data had finished, two data analysis methods were used, since they are widely exploited in data mining processes: linear regression and clustering. The first one was applied with the aim of validating the collected measurements from objects, besides executing a temporal forecast of values relating to moments that a device was unable to gather data. On the other hand, the second method was used not only to verify the measurements, but also to identify a finite set of categories to describe data and detect the object that collected this set of measurements. In order to perform the data set grouping, the Elbow method was applied, which consist of finding the ideal number of clusters in one dataset. Basically, this method works by testing the data variance relative to the number of clusters. A category number is considered to be ideal when the increase in the cluster number does not represent a significant gain. Figure 3 illustrates the method’s operation to a dataset originating from devices that collect air humidity measurements.
Thus it is possible to set a limit beneath a data set standard deviation in order to identify data originated from the same devices. Whenever data is too discrepant, considering the limit defined, it is considered as originating from another device, and then each device is defined according to data groups. The data distribution over the devices over time is more homogeneous than the one using clustering. However, the parameter definition for this method still, further improvement, such as specifying the device number and generating a tolerance automatically.

6 RESULTS

As stated in this work, a huge amount and variety of data is generated by devices in an IoT environment. The IoT data extracted from the clients’ buckets for use in this work are categorized as ‘services’, for example, air humidity, temperature, luminosity, soil humidity, electric current, and carbon dioxide level of an environment. One million data records were acquired, which correspond to values of measurements made by devices and their respective category. This dataset is represented in a 500 MB JSON file.

Firstly a temporal data analysis was implemented, verifying the amount of services that was inserted and registered by month in the database which can be seen through in Figure 4. Over time, the number of services published on the platform increased significantly. The graph reinforces the premise that the amount of information provided by the devices in an IoT environment is enormous.

Next, an analysis of the amount of data retrieved took place, as did a study of the range of values obtained through these measurements. For example, the air humidity service was considered which uses the specification set called ZigBee, since it possesses the greatest amount of data collected over time. This analysis can be observed in Figure 5.

The Y axis represents the values of humidity obtained from the devices. On the other hand, the X axis presents the moment at which this value was observed. However, on some dates the data was not collected, which may indicate inconsistency of device operation. Furthermore, it is possible to see a few discrepant values, which can indicate a malfunction of the objects in question. So, the devices require constant attention in order to verify their activity. Otherwise collection errors can occur and a misinterpretation of the results obtained from the measures is more likely to happen as well.

The next review aims to visualize more clearly the amount of data retrieved from the humidity sensors, as seen in Figure 6. The image on the left show a data density distribution, where the Y axis illustrates the frequency with which the point on the X axis occurs. On the other hand, the image on the right shows the gross amount on the Y axis of each measurement on the X axis.

In total, 92,018 (corresponding to 9% of all collected data) were obtained from air humidity records. Once this quantitative analysis to showcase the volume of data generated from the humidity devices was done, the next step took place. The Linear regression method was implemented in order to estimate the expected value (conditional) on moments that the device did not perform a collection, as well as to validate the measures retrieved from the objects. Figure 7 demonstrates the application of this technique the air humidity devices. In order to accomplish this task a Python program was written using the Pandas library. Pandas is a Python licensed open source library that provides high performance as well as a wide range of...
data structures and functions to perform data analysis and graphic creation (McKinney, 2012).

Figure 7: Linear regression on humidity data.

The Y axis corresponds to the measures obtained in percentage. Nonetheless, it can be seen on the X axis that the collection dates do not have a constant cadence, thus the method used helps in predicting moments when the device did not retrieve information. Moreover, the points that are located far away from the line need attention, since they can be interpreted as a device malfunction or a local anomaly around the location on which the object is installed. For exemplification purposes, Figure 8 illustrates the same method using the dataset of devices working with services that capture ambient carbon dioxide levels.

Figure 8: Linear regression of data on carbon dioxide levels.

It is easy to see that with a smaller amount of data, a more regulated data collection and without large discrepancies, the points in the graph stay closer to the regression curve.

Alongside the linear regression method, the clustering technique was used on the datasets in order to categorize and form clusters on top of the values obtained. To accomplish this goal a Python program was written using the Pandas library. In addition, the method was also applied to the air humidity devices as shown in Figure 9.

Figure 9: Clustering on air humidity data.

Before using the clustering method, a data preprocessing took place in order to standardize the values leaving them on the same scale and with low standard deviation. The measures shown in the axes also changed the scale to assure a better performance for the method. The data showcased on the graph are grouped in four clusters. A reasonable prediction would be that there are at least four devices collecting air humidity measures in the UIoT laboratory.

For illustrative purposes, Figure 10 below demonstrates the clustering method for the dataset of objects collecting temperature measurements.

Figure 10: Clustering on temperature data.

Like the air humidity devices, the Clustering chart of temperature measurement objects has at least four clusters.

Of the 92,018 records, the method programmed in Python to separate the devices based on statistical characteristics of the series returned exactly eleven devices to a tolerance of 50%. The main goal was to obtain a result between 2 and 4 devices, as indicated by the Elbow method explained in the previous section of this paper. However, when analyzing the number of records in each set of data exhibited in Table 1, it is clear that the largest four would represent the expected objects since they account for more than 99% of the total dataset. The data from the fifth to the eleventh group are interpreted as intrinsic discrepancies from the sensors or as cases where there was a peak in measurement, and it is difficult to associate...
them with any specific device.

Table 1: Distribution of the data by group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>49,738</td>
<td>54.0525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>29,917</td>
<td>32.5121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4,065</td>
<td>4.4176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8,174</td>
<td>8.8830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.0652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.0283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.0163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The visualization is divided since the dots on the chart often overlap. It is possible to see in Figure 11 that the first object identified takes much of the view of all the others. That is because it accounts for more than 50% of the total dataset. On the other hand, Figure 12 showcases the data distribution without device number one.

![Figure 11: Devices separated by the method presented.](image1)

![Figure 12: Devices 2 to 11 defined by the method presented.](image2)

7 CONCLUSIONS

From a complex IoT architecture managed by quite restrictive middleware, it was possible to sustain a strategy for data extraction as well as handling the information by filtering it and applying the analysis in order to identify different types of measuring devices and techniques to validate the data retrieved from the objects. Two techniques from the data mining universe were used, Linear Regression and Clustering, and another one was developed. These three tools have a highly statistical nature. The results give different alternatives for the distribution of data in hypothetical devices.

Along with the study, possible improvements on the lab architecture were observed, such as the need for the implementation of an algorithm that identifies and records the objects correctly. Furthermore, the need to define a cadence in order to better control the collection time of the devices is noted. If the previous task is achieved in a more harmonious manner, it will be easier to extract knowledge from the dataset.

Additionally, in the present work, it was possible to note the importance of techniques to analyze data with the purpose of extracting useful knowledge from raw data generated by heterogeneous devices. Moreover, it is clear that the IoT universe has a great capacity for creating a wide range of information that needs to be absorbed by humans.

Finally, as future work we plan on increasing the reach of this research to other types of data mining technologies. Moreover, an inventory of the existing devices can be done in order to verify if the results are, indeed, consistent to the reality not only of the data displayed here, but for other groups of objects. Additionally, with the inventory it would be possible to validate the Elbow method and the other techniques used here. On another note, a better configuration for the method developed here is required in order to allow as main parameter the amount of devices on the environment. Furthermore, the dynamic definition of an optimal tolerance for the base data may also be applicable in this case.
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