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Abstract: In this paper, we provide a real-time calculation and visualization framework for network security situation monitoring based on big data technology, and it mainly realizes the real-time massive multi-dimensional network attack dynamic display with Data-Driven Documents (D3). Firstly, we propose an integration and storage management mechanism of massive heterogeneous multi-source data for the network security data fusion. Then, we provide a general real time data computation and visualization framework for massive network security data. Based on the framework, we use the real security data of the network security cloud service platform of Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) to realize the visualization monitoring of network security dynamic attacks nationwide and worldwide, respectively. Experiment results are given to analyze the performance of our proposed framework on the efficiency of the data integration and computation stages.

1 INTRODUCTION

With the increase of the amount of network security devices, the collected network traffic is also growing, and the requirement of the network security situation monitoring is becoming much higher. Although the extensive security systems, devices and platforms deployed in the network are able to provide a large number of data which can be used to analyze the network security situation, these data are usually widely distributed, heterogeneous, and in large volume (Álvarez et al., 2018). This brings great challenges to monitor the network security situation in real time, accurately and steadily.

How to calculate and analyze the massive network security data from the tremendous amount of real-time heterogeneous data sources efficiently, so as to monitor the real-time network security situation, becomes a critical technical problem. Network security situation monitoring aims to intuitively reflect the network security situation, help the administrators forecast the trend of the network security situation, send warnings and take corresponding measures (Huffer et al., 2017).

To monitor the network security situation, it requires effective integration of heterogeneous multi-source security data and efficient calculation capability of big data technology. There are three key issues that need to be addressed:

Firstly, how to converge and store mass heterogeneous network security data in real time from multiple sources. As the real-time network security information can be obtained from widely distributed security equipment, e.g. the intrusion prevention system (IPS), firewalls, mail protection system, and so on. The security information is a typical “Big Data” which is in high-volume and in great variety. So, it is important to use distributed real-time data collection tools to realize the access of heterogeneous multi-source data, and the unified format pre-processing on different kinds of the integrated data. Besides, the real-time data cache and the distributed storage system are also needed to manage the historical data classification storage.

Secondly, how to realize the real time computation effectively for the real-time network security data. As the security information is in high-volume and in great variety, it is necessary to design a real-time data distributed computer system to execute the real time extraction and the classified storage for the unified formatting pre-processed data from different sources.

Thirdly, how to realize the real time data driven visualization for the real-time network security data. In the field of network security monitoring, it will...
produce a large number of network security data every day, such as the system logs of multiple network security equipment and the alarm information. To efficiently visualize the dynamic network attack, can not only help reflect the network security situation vividly, but also help the administrators forecast the trend of the network security situation, find the implicit patterns and rules send warnings and take corresponding measures.

Thus, in this paper, we investigate the integration and storage management mechanism of massive multi-source heterogeneous data, and propose a general framework of the real time data computation and visualization. Based on the framework, we realize the visualization monitoring of network security dynamic attacks nationwide and worldwide, respectively. It supports the display of the TOP N attackers, targets, attack types and so on. The contributions can be summarized as follows.

- We propose an integration and storage management mechanism of the massive heterogeneous multi-source data for the security data fusion. We use the distributed real-time data collection tool Flume for the massive multi-source heterogeneous data aggregation, and realize the access of heterogeneous multi-source network security data. Then, do the unified format pre-processing on all kinds of data integration, and a data stream is performed based on the extraction time.

- We provide a general real time data computation and visualization framework to realize the real-time computing. For different sources of security data, such as the IPS, or the firewall data, we design different data access method to make the real time extraction and classification of storage for unified formatting pre-processed data. The data stream is calculated and buffered by the cache mechanism, which is convenient for the subsequent visualization application.

- With the proposed real time data computation and visualization framework, we use the real security data of the network security cloud service platform of Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), and realize the real-time massive multi-dimensional network attack display with Data-Driven Documents (D3).

- Experiment results are given to analyze the performance of our proposed framework on the efficiency of data integration stage and computation stage. We also analyze the overall efficiency of the real-time calculation and visualization framework.

2 RELATED WORK

This paper mainly involves the real-time data processing (which is based on the stream processing) and the network security information processing and visualization. In the following, we would separate the related work into these two parts.

The real time stream data processing can be applied in various applications, such as social networks (Kulkarni et al., 2015), Web Observatory (Tinati et al., 2015), business decision (Pareek et al., 2017), etc. The performances of the data capture, data storage, and data computation in real time can all affect the performance of the stream data processing. In recent years, the study of the streaming data processing has attracted much attention. In (Toshniwal et al., 2014), it described the architecture of Storm, and introduced its application in Twitter, where Apache Storm is an open source, fault-tolerant and distributed real-time stream data processing system. In (Kulkarni et al., 2015), a real-time stream data processing system for large data scale named Heron was proposed, which was also the stream data processing engine used inside Twitter due to its better debug-ability and scalability. In (Yang et al., 2018), a robust, scalable and real-time event time series aggregation framework called TimeSeries AggregatoR (TSAR) was presented to realize the engagement monitoring. In (Pareek et al., 2017), a data management platform named Striim was described for the end-to-end stream processing, which could enable business users to easily develop and deploy analytical applications over real-time streaming data by using a SQL-like declarative language.

As for the network security information processing and visualization, some works can be found. In (Fischer et al., 2014), a visual analytics system, called NSStreamAware was proposed to gain situational awareness and enhance the network security, where distributed processing technologies were used to analyze streams with stream slices, and NSStreamAware was also presented to analysts in a web-based visual analytics application named NVisAware. In (Mckenna et al., 2015), three design methods, the qualitative coding, personas, and data sketches were described to inform the real-world cyber security visualization projects from a user-centered perspective. In (Mckenna et al., 2016), a dashboard BubbleNet was provided to visualize patterns in cyber security data by incorporating user feedback throughout the design.
Although the area of stream processing systems is active and evolves fast, in this work, we choose Storm as the tool to process our network security data in real time because it is mature, extensible, fault-tolerant, and suitable for our data scale.

3 THE REAL TIME DATA COMPUTATION AND VISUALIZATION FRAMEWORK

3.1 The Overall Framework Description

The overall framework of the proposed real time data computation and visualization is showed in Figure 1.

In the module of data fusion, according to the characteristics of real-time heterogeneous data, we build and deploy a distributed data collection platform. By collecting the multi-source real-time data and import it to the middleware, it realizes the aggregation and access of massive heterogeneous real-time data. Besides, the unified format processing is implemented for different kinds of collected data, and a time queue of data streams is formed according to the extraction time.

In the module of real time data computation for massive data, we design a real-time big data distributed computing mechanism. For different sources of data, such as Syslog, customized data flow, it can extract and realize the classified storage for unified formatting pre-processed data by different data access methods. The data stream is calculated and cached by the cache mechanism, which is convenient for the subsequent visualization.

In the module of the real-time data driven visualization, it dynamically displays the mass multi-dimension data with D3. The real-time transmission data is received through the data service interface DataService. As for the dynamic display of data, other tools such as Web GIS, Echarts, and ArcGIS can also be used.

In the module of data storage for multi-source heterogeneous data, we build the Redis memory database for real time data cache, and construct the distributed platform HDFS (Hadoop distributed file system) or storing historical data. Redis is an open source (BSD licensed), in-memory data structure store, used as a database, cache and message broker. It supports data structures such as strings, hashes, lists, sets, sorted sets with range queries, bitmaps, hyperloglogs and geospatial indexes with radius queries. In addition, the middleware Kafka is built and configured for the data collection and data calculation. The real-time data cache and the distributed storage system are establishment to meet the classification, storage and management of all kinds of historical data.

Figure 1: The overall framework of the real time data computation and visualization.

Figure 2: The architecture of the network security situation monitoring.
3.2 The Realization of Network Security Attack Display for Real-time Multi-source Heterogeneous Data

We use the Flume-Kafka-Storm framework to realize the acquisition, buffer and process for the real-time data in the network security situation monitoring. Specifically, Flume is responsible for the real-time data acquisition of each node, and Kafka is used as the message middleware to buffer the data that Flume push. Then, the collected data is imported to Storm to be processed. Finally, the processed data which is needed to be exhibited will be imported to Redis for front-end display. The architecture is shown in Figure 2.

- The real time multi-source heterogeneous data aggregation module: Flume is used to collect the logs from massive probes (e.g., the IPS and the firewalls). We compile the configuration file of Flume to configure the sources, sinks and channels. System logs of IPS are used to simulate the real-time network attack data, and the collected data is sent to the corresponding TOPICs of Kafka.

- The real time heterogeneous data computation module: Storm receives the data that sent to the TOPICs of Kafkaspout, and transports the raw data to the Bolt. The raw data is processed and archived in ReadBolt. It extracts the IP address of the attacker, the IP address of the target, the type of attack, and sends them to the ConversionBolt and CountBolt. In ConversionBolt, the IP addresses of the attackers and targets will be converted into the longitudes and latitudes of them, then, all the information of IP addresses, the longitudes and latitudes will be passed to the Redis for display. Finally, the top \( N \) of the attackers, targets and the type of attack are calculated in CountBolt, RankBolt and MergeBolt, and the results are also imported to Redis.

- The real time heterogeneous data storage module: the real-time data which is needed to be displayed is pushed to Redis for cache, and the historical data is put into HDFS for storage.

- The real time data driven visualization module: D3 is used to realize the visualization. We use DataService to extract the data transferred to Redis, and use Websocket to display it.

3.3 The Realization of the Storm Topology for the Network Security Situation Monitoring

In this paper, we use the real log data obtained from the network security equipment belonged to the cloud service platform of the research institutes of CAS and other national scientific research institutions. According to the collection time in the log, the actual traffic data can be simulated. The topology of function modules for the network security situation monitoring is shown in Figure 3.

- The data collected by Flume is sent to Kafka to buffer and form the data flow with time sequence. So Spout in Storm can read the real time data by connecting Kafka, and transport the raw data to the Bolt for further process.

- The original data stream is sent to ReadBolt to be processed and archived. The string is divided into many fields, including the IP address of the attacker, the IP address of the target, the type of attack, the affected port numbers, attack time and so on, which are stored in HDFS as historical data. Three fields are extracted and generate the outputs, i.e., “src” (which is the IP address of the attacker), dst (which is the IP address of the target), and type (which denotes the type of attack).

- In ConversionBolt, the IP addresses of the attackers and targets are converted into the latitudes and longitudes by querying the conversion table in the database. The results of latitudes and longitudes are output in the form of JSON, and imported to Redis to be displayed in the front-end.

- In CountBolt, the IP addresses of the attackers and targets are converted into the latitudes and longitudes by querying the conversion table in the database. The results of latitudes and longitudes are output in the form of JSON, and imported to Redis to be displayed in the front-end.

- In CountBolt, the data flow output from ReadBolt is received to count the numbers of the IP address of the attacker, the IP address of the target and the type of attack. We use fieldsGrouping to process the fields of “dst”, “src” and “type” to ensure that the same attacker (or target, or type) will be sent to the same Bolt. The counts will be generated and output as the data flow “srccount”, “dstcount”, and “typecount”.

- In RankBolt, according to the incoming flow “srccount”, “dstcount”, and “typecount”, it
computes and stores the Top $N$ attack types, IP addresses of the attackers and the targets in the lists. When an attack type or IP address from the incoming flow enters Top $N$, it will delete the last items in the list, and insert the new coming item in the appropriate position. A new ordered data stream is output in fields of “srclist”, “dstlist”, and “typelist”.

- In MergeBolt, the outputs “srclist”, “dstlist”, and “typelist” from RankBolt are received, which will be processed by globleGrouping. The results from all the RankBolts are converged into the same Bolt, and the final Top $N$ will be obtained.

### 3.4 Visualization Implementation of the Network Security Situation

Real-time network attack display is an important reflection of the network security situation. We use D3 to realize the visualization. DataService is used to extract real-time data transferred to Redis, and Websocket is used to display the real-time data. The display effect is shown in Figure 4 and 5. Here, we set the number of TOP $N$ as 10. As is shown, each network attack can be monitored in real time, by showing the IP information of the attacker and the attack target, as well as their specific country and location. And the information of the attackers and the targets can be updated in real time.
4 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

4.1 Data Sources

CAS has deployed a network security cloud service platform to improve the network security infrastructure of the China Scientific and Technological Network (CSTNet). The platform centralizes and unifies the monitoring and management of related network security systems, and collects, aggregates and processes the decentralized security information. The security data used in this paper come from the cloud service platform of the research institutes of CAS and other national scientific research institutions, which provides a good data base for us.

According to the statistics of the information quantity of the existing network security cloud service platform, the original log volume of ten sets of equipment systems in one research institute is about 177,778 in 24 hours per day. After processing and merging, it becomes about 116,667, and the number of security incidents is about 20,000. The original 24-hour log volume of 200 research institutes is about 3.553 million, after processing and merging, it becomes about 2.333 million, and the number of security incidents is about 400,000, which provides a good data foundation for this paper.

5 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

5.1 The Performance of Multi-Source Heterogeneous Data Collection

Logs of the security equipment are used to simulate the real-time network attack data. Each piece of data in a log has eight fields, including ID, the attacker, the IP address of the attacker, the target, the IP address of the target, the type of attack, the time of the attack occurs, and the port number. We measure the amount of data collected by Flume clusters in one second. We choose the collected data in 60s~120s after the experiment starts, which is in a minute, and 10 experiments are carried out to take the average. The results of the experiment are shown in Table 2. Another advantage of Flume is that it can directly collect data through simple configuration, and the data acquisition and transmission can be realized by compiling the configuration file.
5.2 The Performance of Real-Time Processing for Network Security Big Data

5.2.1 Comparison of Computing Efficiency between Storm Cluster and Single Machine

We will calculate the amount of the network security data which is processed during a certain period of time, and compare the data processing efficiency between the single machine and the Storm clusters. We guarantee that the data in the collection phase is larger than the data in the real-time processing phase. As is shown in Figure 7, in the first 80 seconds, the single machine can process more pieces of network security data than the Storm cluster. But 80 seconds later, the cluster can compute more pieces of data than the single machine, and the gap between them is constantly growing as the time increases.

The reason is that, the Storm cluster takes longer time to start up than the single machine. We found that, when it uses the single machine to process the data, the results will be output in 10 seconds after the system starts, whereas when it uses the Storm cluster, it needs 40 seconds to output the processed results. That is to say, the start-up time of the Storm cluster has an effect on the calculation efficiency. So, it is not a wise decision to use clusters all the time, but to choose the single machine or the clusters according to the data size, requirements of the computational efficiency and the project cost.

Table 2: Collection efficiency of Flume (pieces of data collected per second).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Machine</th>
<th>1 Machine</th>
<th>2 Machines</th>
<th>3 Machines</th>
<th>4 Machines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3001</td>
<td>5679</td>
<td>8571</td>
<td>11435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2656</td>
<td>5345</td>
<td>8799</td>
<td>11567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2755</td>
<td>5576</td>
<td>8321</td>
<td>11234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2832</td>
<td>5879</td>
<td>8543</td>
<td>11992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2933</td>
<td>5788</td>
<td>8875</td>
<td>11076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3098</td>
<td>5699</td>
<td>8700</td>
<td>11334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2865</td>
<td>5488</td>
<td>8602</td>
<td>11748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2588</td>
<td>5788</td>
<td>8761</td>
<td>12409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2754</td>
<td>5572</td>
<td>8430</td>
<td>10990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2872</td>
<td>5720</td>
<td>8616</td>
<td>10823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>2835.4</td>
<td>5653.4</td>
<td>8621.8</td>
<td>11460.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Computational efficiency (pieces of data computed per second).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Machine</th>
<th>1 Machines</th>
<th>2 Machines</th>
<th>3 Machines</th>
<th>4 Machines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4462</td>
<td>6642</td>
<td>9442</td>
<td>10497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5098</td>
<td>7008</td>
<td>10080</td>
<td>11920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3889</td>
<td>6854</td>
<td>8790</td>
<td>11234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4078</td>
<td>6293</td>
<td>9234</td>
<td>10989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5123</td>
<td>6473</td>
<td>11220</td>
<td>11234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4788</td>
<td>6778</td>
<td>9188</td>
<td>10567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4323</td>
<td>6522</td>
<td>9509</td>
<td>12190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4611</td>
<td>6688</td>
<td>10098</td>
<td>11908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4512</td>
<td>6712</td>
<td>9637</td>
<td>10501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4416</td>
<td>6570</td>
<td>10334</td>
<td>10708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>4530.4</td>
<td>6654.3</td>
<td>9753.2</td>
<td>11174.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Data acquisition and computation efficiency (pieces of data per second).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection Efficiency in Data Collection</th>
<th>Computational Efficiency in Data Processing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Machine</td>
<td>2835.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Machines</td>
<td>5653.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Machines</td>
<td>8621.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Machines</td>
<td>11460.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2835.4</td>
<td>4530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5653.4</td>
<td>6654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8621.8</td>
<td>9753.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11460.8</td>
<td>11174.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.2 Computational Efficiency of Clusters of Different Machine Numbers

We can also observe in Figure 8 that, although the start-up time increases as the machine numbers grow, the amount of the processed data also increases. In order to further study the influence of machine number on data processing efficiency, we calculate the amount of data that cluster can be processed in one second. We choose the collected data in 60s after the experiment starts to eliminate the influence of the start-up time, and we calculate the amount of data that can be calculated per second of different number of machines.

5.2.3 The Performance of Real-time Calculation and Visualization Framework

In Table 3, we can observe the amount of processed data in one second. Specifically, we choose the processed data in 60s–120s after the experiment starts, which is a minute, and 10 experiments are carried out to take the average. It can be seen that, the amount of the calculated data in a second increases significantly as the number of machines grows.

In Table 4, we compare the data acquisition efficiency and the computing efficiency of the two phases, i.e., the data collection stage and real time data processing stage by exhibiting the number of pieces of data that are collected and processed respectively in one second. It can be observed that, when the machine number of the Storm cluster is less than 4, the data computing efficiency is higher than the data collection efficiency, and there is no problem. But when the number of machines increases to 4, the cluster collect more data than that it can process, which means that, the data processing speed cannot keep up with the speed of the data collection. In order to avoid the data congestion and make the real-time framework operate normally, it is necessary to configure more machines to calculate the collected data.

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we realized the visualization monitoring for the network security situation monitoring based on the proposed real-time calculation and visualization framework. Experiment results showed that, the calculation efficiency of the proposed real-time calculation framework has been greatly improved compared with traditional single machine. The proposed real-time calculation and visualization framework can also be applied to other areas which require information visualization of massive multi-source heterogeneous data.
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