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Abstract: Strokes are a cause of serious long-term disability and create an immense burden on healthcare. Among the sea of mobile applications for health, some target stroke patients, and most require active user cooperation. Our proposed application, collects data, without user intervention. We apply data mining methods to create personal feedback to the patient or doctor. We provide a survey of applications for mobile or wearables, specifically for stroke. We also survey papers that apply data mining to stroke. In addition to the survey, we present a feasibility study on using speech for classification of stroke patients. We created a new data set of unstructured speech recordings, increasing applicability. We present experimental results on classification of stroke patients. Our study provides promising insight to detecting stroke patients using a mobile application without requiring active user participation.

1 INTRODUCTION

Strokes produce immense health and economic burdens and are a leading cause of serious long-term disability. Projections show that by 2030, there will be a 20.5% increase in prevalence from 2012 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, USA, 2009; Writing et al., 2016). Between 2012 and 2030, total direct medical stroke-related costs are projected to triple, from $71.6 billion to $184.1 billion, with the majority of cost increase arising from those 65 to 79 years of age (Ovbiagele et al., 2013).

Health related applications for mobile phones and smart-watches are capable of improving health monitoring and detection for general health and specifically for stroke. The number of health related apps available is astounding, approximating 40,000 apps in 2013 (Boulos et al., 2014) and 165,000 in 2015 (Terry, 2015). Applications that target stroke patients specifically, and are aimed at managing risk factors (Seo et al., 2015), rehabilitation (Zhang et al., 2015; Mcallef et al., 2016) and telemedicine (Nam et al., 2014; Demaerschalk et al., 2012; Mitchell et al., 2011). Other conditions are covered in several survey studies (Ozdalga et al., 2012; Boulos et al., 2014; Dobkin and Dorsch, 2011; Patel et al., 2012; Pantelopoulos and Bourbakis, 2010; King and Sarraziadeh, 2017).

Everyday adoption of health apps is sometimes compounded by factors such as confusion regarding which app to use, slow adaptation of the traditional healthcare community, the lack of integration with electronic health records etc. (Crockett and Eliason, 2016; Terry, 2015). Despite these challenges, establishing patient self-monitoring with tools such as mobile apps is important for improving patient health (Dobkin and Dorsch, 2011; Writing et al., 2016). The diversity of conditions that are covered ranges from everyday diet apps (Recio-Rodriguez et al., 2016) to critical oncology apps (de Bruin et al., 2015) and touches on psychiatric symptoms (Place et al., 2017).

Among the surplus of medical apps, including those that target stroke, many require the users to actively interact with the application in order to achieve medical feedback, for example (Seo et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015; Nam et al., 2014). In this study, we demonstrate how an app will be able to provide meaningful information, without requiring user actions for data collection and input.

During regular phone usage, applications can collect data that will be periodically analyzed and used for monitoring patient health. Data can be collected from a variety of sources, for example, sensors such as the accelerometer or gyroscope, alongside keyboard usage. This data can be collected while the
device is used for regular unrelated tasks. This fact enables us to collect data in a way that is transparent to the user and does not impose on his daily phone usage. The application may run in the background and then provide a service such as creating periodical reports for self monitoring or for sharing with a health provider. The data may also be used for applications that detect and alert the user in case of an emergency or deterioration (BGSEGEV, 2018).

We present a study that provides a proof of concept for an application that performs stroke detection without the user having to actively provide input. Our study, demonstrates stroke detection using data mining performed on samples obtained with a smartphone/watch during regular use.

One of the main issues that affect stroke patients is speech impairment. As speech impairments play an important role in stroke detection and rehabilitation we decided to use data mining to determine whether voice recordings can be classified as belonging to stroke patients as opposed to healthy subjects. We also study whether we can differentiate between different types of speech impairments that may result from having a stroke.

We study two types of speech impairments that are caused by strokes: aphasia and dysarthria. Aphasia, is the loss or impairment of language (Berthier, 2005), whereas dysarthria is a speech neuro-motor control problem (Sellars et al., 2005) (see Section 3.1 for more details). The data mining module that we studied is proposed for use in an app for monitoring stroke patients (patent request submitted (BGSEGEV, 2018)).

For classification of speech impairments we use voice recordings. Voice recordings are of interest as they are obviously available for collection on mobile phones. By using voice data generated throughout normal phone usage (i.e. during phone calls) we are able to develop an app that can monitor stroke patients without intervention in daily activity. For example an application could periodically record the users voice during phone calls. To the best of our knowledge there is no such application for stroke.

Deciding on the source and type of data to analyze is complex. Many studies used controlled experiments where patients are asked to repeat the same passage or some predetermined sentences or words (Frid et al., 2014; Sakar et al., 2013) or only use vowels (Hazan et al., 2012; Sakar et al., 2013). Our study uses a different, more general approach. We studied voice recordings without limiting the nature of the recording. In our recordings there is a mix of free speech and predefined speech tasks.

As part of this study, in order to study free speech, for stroke detection we created a new data set. Our data is obtained from a variety of online sources. The criteria for video collection was that they are labeled as belonging to stroke patients. We extracted the voice signal from the videos we selected, and use it to build our data set.

The data is recorded under a variety of conditions and there is no known structure to what the subjects say. Although this might sound like a strange strategy, the motivation to using this type of data is that in a real application subjects are expected to speak freely, and classification should be possible without the bias of a predefined set of words. Although our use of free speech makes the classification problem harder, it has the advantage of higher applicability to real world data collected from a phone.

We performed classification of the speech data using several algorithms as detailed in Section 3.2. Our successful comparative study provides promising insight to detecting stroke patients from normal phone usage. The results of this study demonstrate that we can differentiate between stroke patients to healthy subjects. The voice samples are of short recordings enabling the detection of stroke in real-time. Furthermore, we successfully differentiate between the two stroke conditions of aphasia and dysarthria. The ability to perform a finer classification could be useful in monitoring stroke rehabilitation.

The contributions of this study are:

• We present a broad survey on stroke related apps and on data mining for stroke.
• We build a data set of unrestricted speech of stroke patients. This data set can be used in further studies, and also demonstrates the strengths of using unstructured data.
• We compare several models for classification of speech and show that we successfully classify stroke patients vs. healthy subjects, along with the ability to differentiate between different stroke speech conditions.

The structure of the paper is as follows: We first present a broad survey on mobile apps and data mining for stroke. Next we present our study on classification of stroke speech impairments. We describe the data set we built alongside an experimental evaluation of classification methods and a discussion of results. Finally, we conclude our paper.

2 RELATED WORK SURVEY

This section presents a survey on applications and data mining for stroke. We begin by discussing mo-
bile applications and other technologies and proceed to cover studies on data mining for stroke.

2.1 Applications

We take a look at applications targeting stroke patients specifically. Mobile apps can be used for monitoring and rehabilitation of patients after stroke. (Seo et al., 2015) tested the feasibility of a mobile app for patients who had suffered a stroke. The app was aimed at managing risk factors for stroke such as blood pressure and diabetes management. The study was aimed at testing adherence to app usage and concluded that more work must be done in order to encourage adherence. Another application, (Zhang et al., 2015), accompanies the patient by encouraging exercises, following up on taking pills, and logging mood reports. (Micalle et al., 2016) introduced another exercise oriented application for post stroke patients. This application is aimed to help patients remember to exercise more frequently. The application was evaluated on a smartphone, tablet and smart-watch. The authors found that stroke survivors seem to prefer smartphones compared to other mobile devices due to their ease of use, usability, familiarity and being easier to handle with one arm. An interesting study, (Beeson et al., 2013) and views the cell phone as a device for writing on, this case study demonstrates how writing can be used as treatment for aphasia in a post stroke patient.

Some of the apps are aimed at bridging the distance between the patients to medical assistance (Nam et al., 2014; Damaerschalk et al., 2012; Mitchell et al., 2011). (Nam et al., 2014) provide a stroke screening application. The application shows a set of cartoons representing stroke symptoms. Potential patients can follow the cartoons and try to determine whether they may be suffering from a stroke. Suggestions of nearby hospitals providing appropriate treatment are provided by the app. (Mitchell et al., 2011) bridge the gap by providing a tele-radiology system that enables a doctor to interpret a CT scan. This enables diagnosis when the hospital does not have a expert on call. (Damaerschalk et al., 2012) introduce a similar app that provides high-quality video teleconferencing. Diagnosis is the aim of the app presented in (Shin et al., 2012). Their app uses a smartphone to perform the pronator drift test that is used to diagnose stroke. Mobile phones are tied on to the patients wrists. The app uses the accelerometer in order to measure changes in drift and test arm weakness.

Aside from discussing mobile phone apps we must also consider other related technologies for stroke. We refer the interested reader to this survey: (Nam et al., 2013). The survey covers existing technologies applied to stroke patients (some appear in studies described above), these include:

- Remote diagnosis by doctors watching video and audio recorded from stroke patients (Roine et al., 2001; Damaerschalk et al., 2012).
- Teleradiology - doctors can view and interpret CT scans from afar (Mitchell et al., 2011; Park and Nam, 2009).
- Pre-hospital notification arrival, enabling the hospital to prepare for the patient and be ready for treatment on arrival. Or a mobile stroke unit connected to hospital to administer treatment before arrival (Gonzalez et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2009).
- Communication between stroke team members within the healthcare facility (Nam et al., 2007).
- A decision support system for stroke classification (Nam et al., 2012).
- Tele-rehabilitation for rehabilitation of stroke patients (Kripic et al., 2013).

These studies demonstrate various types of apps that have been developed for stroke patients. They all require patient input and active participation. This is in contrast to our proposed approach where the monitoring is performed without user intervention.

2.2 Data Mining

Data mining in healthcare is a topic of substantial interest and has even been described as “increasingly popular, if not increasingly essential” (Koh et al., 2011). However, despite the advances in healthcare, data mining incorporation into everyday healthcare practice is slow (Crockett and Eliason, 2016). Examples to domains within healthcare where Data mining is used are Parkinson’s (Little et al., 2009; Tsanas et al., 2010; Hazan et al., 2012; Sakar et al., 2013), occupational therapy (Richardson et al., 2008) and Medical Imaging (Guo et al., 2016).

The previous section reviewed various applications for stroke. Many of these applications collect and analyze data. This section will discuss various studies that apply data mining methods to stroke data. Some of the studies we cover acquired data using mobile applications, some use data from other sources. It is important to note, that the data mining studies we found on stroke were very different to our study.

Brain CT scans are of major importance in stroke detection, and as shown above, received attention in mobile applications that enable viewing the scans from afar (Mitchell et al., 2011; Damaerschalk et al., 2012). Bently et al. (Bentley et al., 2014) take the
next step and explore whether machine learning can be applied to brain scans in order to automatically detect thrombolysis. They use SVMs to differentiate between patients who developed symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage to those who did not. The image voxels were used as feature vectors. Results using 10-Fold cross validation are reported reaching an AUC of up to 0.744. This study provides a first step in assisting doctors by automatic analysis of CT scans.

Khosla et al. (Khosla et al., 2010) use data from the cardiovascular Health Study data set. Their study pays special attention to the issue of missing values in health records. They compare Cox regression to SVM and Margin Based Sensor Regression (MCR) for predicting stroke. 10-fold cross validation is used on 5 random trials, average AUC is reported as high as 0.774 for SVM, and 0.777 for MCR, while Cox regression only reaches 0.747. A broad discussion of variations on features selected and appropriate results is described in the paper.

A slightly different type of study is presented by (Mans et al., 2008). They use two sets of data belonging to stroke patients. The first refers to the clinical course of stroke patients during hospital stay. The second, refers to pre-hospital behavior. Both data sets are used to perform Process Mining in order to construct process models. Interesting results included detecting differences treatment strategies between hospitals, and causes of delay in arriving at hospital for treatment - critical to stroke outcome.

3 STROKE SPEECH CLASSIFICATION STUDY

In this section we present the study we performed on classification of stroke speech samples. The study shows how unstructured speech can be used for the detection of stroke patients, and for the differentiation between speech impairments that are common in stroke. The results provide a step towards integrating data mining into an application for stroke detection or management in an unobtrusive manner. We describe the data set that we built, the experimental setup used and finally, results are presented and discussed.

3.1 Data Collection

In order to increase the generalization of our study, we build a new data base. We use data found freely on public sources. The variability in an uncontrolled setting raises the applicability of our results. Our data set was obtained from videos of stroke patients with aphasia or dysarthria. Aphasia is the loss or impairment of language caused by brain damage. It is one of the most devastating cognitive impairments of stroke (Berthier, 2005). Aphasia is present in 21 - 38% of acute stroke patients and is associated with high morbidity, mortality and expenditure. Dysarthria is a speech problem which can be caused by a number of brain disorders including conditions such as stroke and head injury (Sellars et al., 2005). Typical features of dysarthria include slurring of speech and quiet voice volume. Psychological distress is often experienced by people with dysarthria.

The videos we collected include speech from both stroke patients and healthy subjects. We extracted the audio from the videos, as segments of uninterrupted speech. Each segment is labeled stroke-aphasia, stroke-dysarthria or healthy. Although we have labeling of the data from the notations provided with the videos, the patients may suffer from different intensities of the labeled condition. The advantage of using the data from the same videos for healthy and stroke subjects is that they all come from the same distribution of background noise and quality. We were careful to note the patient ID for each audio sample, this is important in the experimental setup. The exact ages of our subjects is unknown, but the videos show that they range from approximately 15 to 70. Our data set consists of 16 stroke patients, of whom 8 are labeled with aphasia, and 8 are labeled with dysarthria and 12 healthy subjects. 17 subjects are female and 11 male. For every patient there are many samples, as we cut the videos into segments of uninterrupted speech. In total there are There are 269 segments from healthy subjects, 1902 segments for stroke subjects, split as 987 aphasia and 915 dysarthria. The lengths of audio vary from several seconds to several minutes. Some of the samples were too small to generate features from as they contained almost silent parts of the speech. These samples were discarded.

3.2 Experimental Setup

We ran two sets of experiments, results are described below in Section 3.3. The first experiment is aimed at classifying stroke patients in the general population. The data is split into two sets, and labeled stroke or healthy. The second experiment uses data belonging to stroke patients (no healthy samples) and is split into two sets, labeled aphasia or dysarthria and differentiates between the two speech impairments.

For all sets of experiments we used 4-fold cross validation. Meaning, that we divided our data into 4 even sets, and ran 4 sets of tests. In each test we used 3 sets of the data for training and 1 set for testing. We
did this 4 times, each time leaving out a different set for testing. The results presented are the average of 4 runs. Although it is usually standard practice to use 10 folds, we chose 4 as this provided a good split for the numbers of subjects we had in the various classes. It is very important to note that when we split the data we were careful that all the data belonging to a single subject was kept in the same group. For example if the data from subject A is in the testing data, then all the data from this subject is in the test, none of it in the training data. This is a point often unnoticed when using cross validation, experiments are often run with random cross validation, thus contaminating the process. The results must reflect our ability to diagnose a new patient, meaning a patient that has not been sampled, and is not used for the model creation. This is an important restriction to adhere to.

Each audio segment is used for a single vector in the data set. We use signal processing methods in MATLAB as suggested in (Bunkheila, 2018). We extract standard audio features from the audio signal to create the input vector for our classification algorithm. Some of the features are: mean, median, standard deviation, skewness, kurtosis, Shannon’s entropy, spectral entropy, dominant frequency (value, magnitude and ratio), wavelet features and Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients.

We report on the the following algorithms: (implemented in Mathworks Classification Learner App.) Decision Trees (C4.5) (Quinlan, 1993), K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) (Altman, 1992), Logistic regression (Walker and Duncan, 1967), Support Vector Machines (SVM) (Platt, 1999) and AdaBoost (Freund et al., 1999) with decision tree learners. Evaluation is presented using several measures: Accuracy is the first measure, it simply presents the percentage of correctly classified samples, from both classes of the data. Although this is an informative measure, it does not always provide a complete evaluation of the model. Precision and Recall provide the next layer of evaluation. Precision defines the number of correctly classified positive samples from among the samples classified as being positive. In terms of our work, how many samples of patients that are classified as having stroke, actually had a stroke. Recall is the the number of correctly classified positive samples from among the samples labeled positive. In our case, from among the samples belonging to patients that had a stroke how many did we find. As there often exists a trade-off between precision to Recall two other measures are commonly used. The F1-measure (F1 Score) is the weighted average of Precision and Recall. The last measure we use is the Area under the curve (AUC) that considers the trade-off between the Recall to the False positive rate (the proportion of negative samples (healthy) that are mistakenly considered as positive (stroke)). Measures run between 0 to 1 (1 is better).

3.3 Experimental Results and Discussion

The first set of experiments uses data from stroke patients and from healthy patients and builds a classification model for detection of stroke patients. Results are shown in Table 1. Each row describes a classification model, and results for the various measures (described above) are displayed across columns.

As shown in Table 1 the best results were obtained using AdaBoost. These results show clearly that stroke patients can be classified from voice recordings. A closer look at the results shows variations in classification ability between models. For example KNN (k=10) has a recall of 1 meaning all stroke samples were discovered. However this comes at a price, the precision in this case is only 0.88, meaning that some healthy samples are mistakenly diagnosed as stroke. These differences are important when transferring the academic study to technology, as is done when using this technology in a proof-of-concept (POC) (BGSEGEV, 2018). For each specific application it is important to decide whether one prefers missing a few stroke samples over diagnosing healthy samples as stroke, and selecting the appropriate algorithm accordingly.

Our second experiment uses data only from stroke but labels them as suffering from aphasia or dysarthria (see Section 3.1 for an explanation) and builds a classification model for classification of these two impairments. Results are shown in Table 2. Aphasia is labeled as positive, dysarthria as negative. Results show the highest accuracy for the Decision Tree. The other algorithms have lower accuracy rates. Taking a look at the result table provides insight as to why. For most algorithms presented the precision and the AUC are high but the recall is low. The decision tree does not suffer from this problem and as can be seen precision, recall and AUC are all high. In this example the F1 measure is perhaps the best measure to use as it captures the trade-off between recall and precision and clearly singles out the decision tree as building the best model for this data.

What the results mean is that when the models detect aphasia it is actually with a high probability aphasia (tagged as positive). However, a large number of the aphasia samples are classified as dysarthria. This may explained by the fact that the conditions often overlap, and some practitioners consider aphasia more severe. The milder cases of aphasia may be sim-
ilar to dysarthria causing the model to confuse them. These experiments demonstrate that data collected from an unrestricted environment, where speech is not limited to the use of vowels, or predefined passages can be used for the classification of stroke or even specific speech impairments. This step is one step needed towards providing a mobile application for the detection or monitoring of stroke in an unobtrusive manner. During usual phone use, given advance permission by the user, any speech collected on the phone such as phone calls can be used for detection of stroke. This could for example be very useful for high risk patients, and automatically emergency contacts if deterioration in speech is detected. Aside from the emergency usage a mobile application could be used to monitor progression during rehabilitation. These results motivate future expansion of the data set to other impairments, other diseases etc.

The experimental study we built a database of voice samples. The data base is special as it uses free speech collected in unrestricted environments and provides a good source for studying real data.

Our experiments were run in two settings. One used to classify stroke patients from a group of healthy subjects. And the other to differentiate between two types of stroke speech impairments aphasia and dysarthria. Both experiments show high success rates and indicate that using free speech samples in unrestricted environments for detection is feasible.

Future work will look at expanding the data base and enriching it with other types of speech impairments, and forwarding the classification study on the new data. We will also study other types of sensor data such as typing and walking. As we expand our work we will also look at other cardiovascular diseases such as Peripheral vascular diseases.

4 CONCLUSION

We presented a study on the use of mobile applications for stroke. We performed a broad survey on studies related to the use of mobile applications for stroke. We also surveyed work on the use of data mining for stroke.

Aside from the survey study, we present an experimental study on the use of speech samples for the classification of stroke. This study provides a step towards building a mobile application for stroke detection and monitoring such as those developed by (BGSEGEV, 2018). Our study provides a proof of concept for an app that uses non intrusive data collection for medical detection (in this case stroke). As part

Table 1: Results of classification for stroke vs. healthy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decision Tree</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN(k=1)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN(k=10)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistic Regression</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdaBoost (tree)</td>
<td><strong>0.94</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.96</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.96</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.96</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.96</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Results of classification for aphasia vs. dysarthria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decision Tree</td>
<td><strong>0.95</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.96</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.95</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.95</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.97</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN(k=1)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN(k=10)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td><strong>0.99</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistic Regression</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdaBoost (tree)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td><strong>0.96</strong></td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost we thank BGSegev Ltd. (SegevLabs.org) for leading the technological aspects of this study. Thanks to Shani Hikiya, Odaya Krombein, Sara Tayer, Chen Danino, Yehudit Matzliach and Ruchama Amsalem, students from the Lev Academic Center, for their assistance. We also thank Gabriele Bunkheila, Ronen Cohen and Roy Fahn from Systematics MathWorks for their support and guidance in adapting tools for this study Website: http://www.sunrise-setting.co.uk
REFERENCES


Nam, H., Cha, M.-J., Kim, Y., Kim, E., Park, E., Lee, H., Nam, C., and Heo, J. (2012). Use of a handheld, computerized device as a decision support tool for


