Using Data Mining Techniques to Forecast the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) in Table Grape
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Abstract: The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) is a simple indicator that quantifies aerial biomass in fruit crops, which is correlated with the fruit yield and quality produced by an orchard. Therefore, knowing the NDVI values would allow predicting productive parameters above mentioned, which in turn would help planning operational activities such as harvesting. In this study, we estimated the NDVI of a Chilean table grape orchard based on past data using data mining techniques. For this purpose, we developed a three-step method, obtaining NDVI predictions with high accuracy.

1 INTRODUCTION

Natural vegetation cover and agricultural crops are frequently the subjects of remote sensing studies (Cunha et al., 2010; Pôças et al., 2015; Font et al., 2015; Yu and Shang, 2018). The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), one of the most common zoning tools (Pettorelli, 2013), since it is a simple indicator that quantifies vegetation by measuring the difference between near-infrared (which vegetation strongly reflects) and red light (which vegetation absorbs). It can be used to analyze remote sensing from different platforms, including satellite, aerial and terrestrial, and assess the amount of biomass (Fortes Gallego et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2017; Berger et al., 2018). In turn, the NDVI is correlated with the quantity and quality of fruit that an orchard would have. Therefore, knowing the NDVI values can predict the parameters mentioned above, which helps plan activities such as harvesting.

Spatial variability of Chilean vineyards in terms of yield and quality is high, which fully justifies site-specific management, particularly differential harvesting (Ortega-Blu and Molina-Roco, 2016). In this study, we estimate the NDVI of a Chilean table grape orchard based on past data using data mining techniques. The NDVI is useful for obtaining an approximation of the amount and ripening time of the grapes. In this regard, for plants with a high NDVI (large above ground biomass), the fruit will mature more slowly, while with a lower NDVI, it will mature faster. This happens because in plants with low NDVI the fruit will receive more solar radiation. On the other hand, very high or very low NDVI values usually involve low fruit production. In this manner, all this information will support the harvest plan of the different blocks of an orchard, making it possible to establish the harvest days and the amount of fruit to collect.

This study has the objective to develop and evaluate a three-step method based on data mining techniques to forecast NDVI based on previous NDVI data.

This article is divided as follows: Section 2 shows the material and methods used in this work; Section 3 shows the results, while Section 4 presents conclusions regarding this study.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

The case study used in this work corresponds to a 9 ha table grape orchard located in the Region of Valparaíso, Chile. The NDVI data was collected on...
five different dates during the 2014-2015 growing season. Dates were October 9th, October 30th, November 11th, December 3rd of 2014, and January 12th of 2015. For every date, the NDVI of 3532 coordinates (points) were collected, which correspond to every vine in the orchard. Figures 1 and 2 show the NDVI observed in two of these dates as an example of data representation.

Figure 1: NDVI observed on October 9th, 2014.

Figure 2: NDVI observed on December 3rd, 2014.

It can be observed that when the red colour is more intense, a greater value of the NDVI is observed. On the other hand, when the green colour is more intense, a lower value of the NDVI is registered.

### 2.1 Proposed Method to Forecast the NDVI

The method proposed for estimating the NDVI of the orchard is summarized as follows:

- **Step 1:** The NDVI data of the first four dates (each date is a variable of the algorithm) is used in order to perform a clustering procedure. For this clustering, the Fuzzy c-Means Clustering Algorithm (FCM) proposed by Bezdek et al. (1984) was applied. This algorithm requires the number of clusters to be formed as a parameter. For the case study, the algorithm was run 39 times, aiming to establish the clusters, which varied in number from 2 to 40.

- **Step 2:** Once the clustering with different numbers of clusters was obtained, the silhouette representation of each cluster was used to evaluate them. This function was developed by Rousseeuw (1987). The number of clusters that achieves the best value of the silhouette function was selected and used for calculating the NDVI estimation. This estimation is carried out in the following step.

- **Step 3:** The NDVI estimation for the last date (January 12th, 2015) was obtained by applying neural networks. In this way, data from the first four days was used to train the neural network algorithm, while the last date was used to validate the NDVI prediction.

In the following sub-sections, we explain in more detail each step in our methodology.

It is important to notice that every step of the methodology was executed using R software, version 3.4.3, in a Dell 321 PowerEdge R/730 server with Intel Xeon E5 2623-v3 and 3 Ghz.

#### 2.1.1 Step 1: Fuzzy c-Means Clustering Algorithm (FCM)

The FCM was proposed by Bezdek et al., (1984) for generating fuzzy partitions and prototypes for any set of numerical data. The clustering criterion used to aggregate subsets is a generalized least-squares objective function. The FCM requires the choice of one of three norms (Euclidean, Diagonal, or Mahalonobis), an adjustable weighting factor that controls sensitivity to noise and the number of clusters needs to be defined. For the case study, we used the Euclidean distance, a weighting factor value of 1.1 and we varied the number of clusters from 2 to 40.

It is important to mention that the value of the weighting factor requires a calibration in order to improve the clustering results. As mentioned previously, we used a weighting factor equal to 1.1.

The mathematical model developed for the FCM algorithm is:

\[
\text{Min} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} d(x_i, c_j)u_{ij} \tag{1}
\]

Subject to

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k} u_{ij} = 1, \quad i = 1, ..., n \tag{2}
\]

\[
u_{ij} \geq 0, \quad i = 1, ..., n, \quad j = 1, ..., k \tag{3}
\]
Where:
- \( n \) is the number of points,
- \( k \) is the number of clusters,
- \( u_{ij} \) is the degree of membership of a point \( i \) to a cluster \( j \).
- \( d(x_i, c_j) \) corresponds to the distance from a point \( i \) to the centroid of a cluster \( j \).

The centroid \( c_j \) is calculated iteratively during the algorithm execution, considering the values of \( u_{ij} \). In this way, when \( u_{ij} \) converges, that is, achieves less variation than an epsilon, the FCM algorithm stops.

The result of this algorithm is the degree of membership of each point \( i \) to each cluster \( j \), represented by \( u_{ij} \).

For this case study, the distance \( d(x_i, c_j) \) was calculated considering the Euclidean distance between six coordinates. These six coordinates were abscissa, ordinate, and the NDVI values of the first four dates. In addition, for calculating the Euclidean distance, it was necessary to normalize the abscissa and ordinate. This normalization assigns to the highest value a 1 and to the lowest value a 0.

### 2.1.2 Step 2: Application of Silhouettes

The silhouette function was proposed by Rousseeuw (1987) and is based on the comparison of clusters’ tightness and separation. This silhouette shows which objects lie well within their cluster and which ones are merely somewhere in between clusters.

The evaluation of the 39 clusters obtained in Step 1 was carried out using the silhouette function in the following way:
- Calculate the Euclidean distance from a given point \( i \) of a cluster \( j \) to every point of the same cluster \( A \). Once all these distances are obtained, calculate the average of these distances, which is called average dissimilarity of \( i \) to all other objects of \( A \), \( a(i) \).
- Calculate the Euclidean distance from a given point \( i \) of cluster \( A \) to each point of a given cluster \( C \) (being \( C \) a different cluster from \( A \)). Then, calculate the average of these distances. This average is the average dissimilarity of \( i \) to all objects of \( C \), \( d(i, C) \).
- Once the averages \( d(i, C) \) for all \( C \neq A \) have been computed, select the smallest of them and denote it by \( b(i) \).
- With the values of \( a(i) \) and \( b(i) \), the function of silhouettes \( s(i) \) must be calculated according to the following equation:

\[
s(i) = \frac{b(i) - a(i)}{\max\{a(i), b(i)\}} \tag{4}
\]

The silhouette function \( s(i) \) varies from -1 to 1. When \( s(i) \) is closer to 1 it means that \( a(i) < b(i) \) and we can say that \( i \) is “well-clustered”. This is explained because if \( s(i) \) is 1, it means that \( a(i) = 0 \), that is, all points within the cluster \( A \) are very close, and also, the maximum value between \( a(i) \) and \( b(i) \) will be \( b(i) \). Therefore, the formula (4) will remain \( b(i)/b(i) = 1 \).

For more details of the silhouette interpretation, see Rousseeuw (1987).

Using silhouettes, the clusters obtained in Step 1 were evaluated in order to select the one that had the best \( s(i) \) value (closer to 1).

### 2.1.3 Step 3: Neural Network for NDVI Forecast

A neural network algorithm was developed to estimate the NDVI of each point \( i \) for January 12\textsuperscript{th}, 2015, using the NDVI of the previous four dates (October 9\textsuperscript{th}, October 30\textsuperscript{th}, November 11\textsuperscript{th} and December 3\textsuperscript{rd} of 2014). These four dates were divided into a training sample (70% of data) and a validation sample (30% of data); both samples were generated randomly. Therefore, the observed NDVI on January 12\textsuperscript{th}, 2015 was used to validate the obtained NDVI forecast.

In the neural network algorithm, we used the normalized data (values from 0 to 1) of the following predictor variables: distance from a point \( i \) to the centroid of each cluster \( j \) \( d(x_i, c_j) \); the degree of membership from point \( i \) to each cluster \( j \) \( u_{ij} \) multiplied by its NDVI observed in the last date where it was collected. For the case study, it corresponds to the NDVI observed on December 3\textsuperscript{rd}. In addition, the degree of membership \( u_{ij} \) and the distance \( d(x_i, c_j) \) were computed in Step 1 and we had 3532 coordinates (points) for each date.

For training the neuronal network, 5, 7 and 10 neurons in only one hidden layer were tested. In this way, the number of neurons that obtains the smallest error, that is, the smallest mean absolute percentage error (MAPE), is selected. For our case study, 7 neurons into one hidden layer obtained the smallest MAPE, and then this number of neurons was selected.

The computational experimentation of the neural network algorithm was done using the nnet package of R. This package uses the logic or sigmoid function as the activation function for the algorithm.

### 3 RESULTS

The main results obtained by the proposed method are described in this section.
As mentioned previously, the FCM algorithm was run 39 times for defining the clustering with different numbers of clusters (from 2 to 40). After performing this procedure, the silhouette function was applied for evaluating every clustering. Figure 3 shows the behaviour of the silhouette values calculated for each clustering, which varies in number from 2 to 40. In this figure, it is possible to observe that the silhouette value converges to 0.6 from 27 clusters. Moreover, this is the highest value of \( s(i) \). For this reason, the selected number of clusters for executing the neural network algorithm was 27. In Table A.1 of the Appendix, the obtained silhouette values are presented.

Figure 3: Silhouette values according to the number of clusters.

An example of three clusters obtained by the FCM algorithm are depicted in Figures 4, 5 and 6. The red colour represents each cluster. These clusters belong to the selected set of 27 clusters.

Once the best clustering according to the silhouette function was selected, the neural network algorithm was applied for estimating the NDVI of each point in the orchard at time “\( t + 1 \)”, that is, on January 12th, 2015. In this algorithm, 54 predictor variables were used, which were: 27 \( d(x_i, c_j) \) and 27 \( u_{ij} \) multiplied by its NDVI observed in the last date. Figure 7 shows the observed NDVI on January 12th, 2015, while Figure 8 presents the estimated NDVI for the same date by the neural network algorithm. In these figures, similarly to Figures 1 and 2, when the red colour is more intense, a greater value of the NDVI is observed. On the other hand, when the green colour is more intense, a lower value of the NDVI is registered.

Figure 4: Cluster 1.

Figure 5: Cluster 2.

Figure 6: Cluster 3.

Figure 7: NDVI observed on January 12th, 2015.
The NDVI forecast obtained by the neural network algorithm presented a mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) equal to 0.34% in the validation sample and 1.83% in the test sample. It is important to mention that a MAPE less or equal than 10% indicates that the accuracy (quality) of the forecast is very good, according to the classification proposed by Ghiani et al., (2004). In addition, we obtained a very good NDVI prediction 40 days in advance, being useful information for planning agricultural activities such as harvesting.

4 CONCLUSIONS

The proposed method allowed predicting future NDVI based on previous measurements with high accuracy (MAPE of 1.83%).

In future researches, the following issues should be explored:

- To forecast the quality and quantity of table grapes in a given orchard according to the predicted or measured NDVI. In this way, it would be possible to plan harvesting.
- To model a harvesting plan according to the grape’s quality and quantity forecast.
- To study the time frequency with which data must be collected in order to analyse its impact on the NDVI forecast.
- To analyse the possibility to reduce the number of points to be sampled in a same cluster, since they are homogeneous. In this way, it could be useful to determine which points to sample. For example, to study if the centroid of each cluster could serve as a representative point.
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APPENDIX

Table A.1: Silhouette values according to the number of clusters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Clusters</th>
<th>s(i)</th>
<th># Clusters</th>
<th>s(i)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5829</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.4958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5363</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.5123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4902</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.5435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5027</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.4817</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.5529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.4812</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.6140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.4672</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.6140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.5104</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.6140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5120</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.6140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5138</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.6140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5062</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.6140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.4965</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.6140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.4836</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.6140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.4935</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.6140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.5225</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.6140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.5213</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.6140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.5088</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.6140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.4947</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.6140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.5210</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.6140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.5023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>