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Abstract: Remote sensing is a key strategy used to obtain information related to the Earth’s resources and its usage patterns. Semantic segmentation of a remotely sensed image in the spectral, spatial and temporal domain is an important preprocessing step where different classes of objects like crops, water bodies, roads, buildings are localized by a boundary. The paper proposes to use the Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) called U-HardNet with a new and novel activation function called the Hard-Swish for segmenting remotely sensed images. Along with the CNN, for a precise localization, the paper proposes to use IHS transformed images with binary cross entropy loss minimization. Experiments are done with publicly available images provided by DSTL (Defence Science and Technology Laboratory) for object recognition and a comparison is drawn with some recent relevant techniques.

1 INTRODUCTION

The noteworthy increment of satellite imagery has given an enhanced comprehension ability of the planet. Object recognition in the aerial imagery is gaining interest due to the recent advancements in computer vision, especially with convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and deep learning. Recognition of various objects present in a satellite image, like building structures, streets, vegetation, water-bodies (Pascal Kaiser, 2017), generally require semantic segmentation of the image as a preprocessing step. This has many applications which includes, updating of maps, environment monitoring, agricultural output estimation, disaster estimation in case of calamities like earthquakes, estimating the amount of change or change patterns in water-bodies like lakes, rivers, and so on.

Image Segmentation can be defined as partitioning images to multiple segments for identifying relevant information. Semantic segmentation, a subset of image segmentation is the process of dividing and classifying the image pixels into one of the predefined classes. There may exist several schemes for partitioning the same image based on the application at hand (Chen L.C., 2015; Long J., 2015). The recent advancement of deep learning techniques in Computer Vision uses CNN which promises higher performance in supervised and unsupervised tasks as mentioned in (Jia.Y, 2014). It has the ability to learn feature representation based on the end task.

1.1 Related Work

There exist several schemes for semantic segmentation like patch-based CNN (P Sermanet, 2013), random forest classifier based that uses hand-crafted features and in order to increase the classification accuracy, a conditional random field (CRF) was used to smooth the final pixel labels (S. Paisitkriangkrai, 2015). Other related approaches applied a pre-trained CNNs and a sliding window approach to perform a pixel classification in a remotely sensed image (Ross Girshick, 2014; Michael Kampffmeyer, 2016).

1.2 Preface to Proposed Approach

In this paper, the work is similar to the method proposed in (Le Q V, 2012; Russakovsky O, 2014) and the main contribution of the proposal is to utilize a CNN as a feature extractor with a new and novel function. The fully connected layers are replaced with convolution ones in the suggested architecture to output spatial maps instead of classification scores. This idea is implemented in the CNN model called U-HardNet with a new activation function called Hard-Swish. As the number of parameters are reduced due to the replacement of fully connected layers with convolution...
layers, a faster training is achieved. The method allows training the CNN in an end-to-end manner for the segmentation of input images of arbitrary sizes.

The U-Net architecture as proposed in (Olaf Ronneberger, 2015) was previously used in biomedical image segmentation. The newly modified U-net i.e. U-HardNet architecture as presented in the Section 4 allows combining low-level feature maps of a satellite image with a higher-level, leading to precise localization. A large number of feature channels in upsampling part of the U-HardNet, allows the usage of context information in higher resolution layers. The method is inexpensive for semantic segmentation due to less number of parameters, since there are no fully connected layers and demonstrates the applicability of deep learning techniques for segmentation.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, details regarding Multispectral images are explained and section 3, highlights details about Data set provided by DSTL. Section 4 discusses, in detail, about the proposed method for semantic segmentation involving image fusion and the Hard-Swish activation function. It also discusses the modified U-HardNet for segmentation and its training process. Experimental studies are discussed in section 5. Section 6 concludes the paper where some future directions of the research is also given.

2 MULTISPECTRAL BANDS

In satellite imagery there are two sorts of images:

- **Multispectral Images**: A multispectral image is a collection of several monochrome images of the same physical area with a defined scale but in alternate spectral bands which is procured with a different sensors.

- **Panchromatic Images**: A panchromatic image is rendered in black and white which is obtained in a wide visual wavelength.

Multispectral Band of the images enables to extract important features which is used for recognition of specific classes of object that is beyond human vision. For instance, the near infrared wavelength is typically used to isolate vegetation assortments and conditions due to strong reflection in this range of electromagnetic spectrum that vegetation provides.

Besides, the color depth of images is 11-bit and 14-bit instead of commonly used 8-bit. Viewing from perspective of a neural network, increase in number of bits is better because each pixel carries more information, which creates additional steps for proper visualization.

Details of multispectral bands which are used for recognition of specific classes of object in DSTL dataset is discussed below.

- **Coastal (400-452 nm)**: This band detects profound blues and violets. It’s primary use is for imaging shallow water, and tracking fine particles like dust and smoke.

- **Blue (448-510 nm)**: This band detects ordinary blues and it provides details regarding increased penetration of water bodies by identifying depths of nearly 150 feet and is equipped for separating soil and rock surfaces from vegetation.

- **Green (518-586 nm)**: This band detects greens and was used for isolating the vegetation from soil by detecting the green reflectance crest of leaf surfaces. In this band, streets and highways of urban regions have showed up as brighter tone compared to forest and vegetation’s dull tone (Mnih V., 2010).

- **NIR (772-954 nm)**: This band measures the near infrared. Data from this band is imperative for real reflectance records, for example, Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) (Jia Y., 2014), which allows to measure specific characteristics like of vegetation more precisely.

- **SWIR (1195-2365 nm)**: This band covers diverse cuts of the shortwave infrared. They are especially helpful for differentiating wet earth from dry earth.

3 DATA SET DESCRIPTION

Organization named Defence Science and Technology Laboratory (DSTL) provides the data in both 3-band and 16-band of 1km x 1km satellite imagery. The traditional RGB natural color images are obtained as 3-band images. The 16-band images contain spectral information by catching more extensive wavelength channels. MultiSpectral (400-1040nm) range and Short-Wave infrared (SWIR) (1195 - 2365nm) range are used to obtain the multi-band imagery.
(i) Imagery Details

Insights to the image dataset utilized as a part of training and testing stage.

- **Sensor**: WorldView 3
- **Wavebands**:
  1. Panchromatic: 450-800 nm
  2. Multispectral: (red, red edge, coastal, blue, green, yellow, near-IR (Infrared) 1 and near-IR2) 400 nm - 1040 nm
  3. SWIR: 1195 nm - 2365 nm
- **Dynamic Range**
  1. Multispectral and Panchromatic: 11-bits per pixel
  2. Short-Wave infrared (SWIR): 14-bits per pixel

(ii) Object Types Details

Different objects occur in satellite images like roads, farms, buildings, vehicles, trees, waterways and so forth. DSTL has labeled 10 distinct classes and its description is shown in Table 1.

### Table 1: Object Class Description defined by DSTL for the provided dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Additional Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>large buildings, residential, non-residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structures</td>
<td>man-made structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road</td>
<td>Simple Roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track</td>
<td>dirt/poor/cart tracks, trails/footpaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees</td>
<td>stand-alone trees, groups of trees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crops</td>
<td>cropland/contour ploughing, grain crops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterway</td>
<td>Simple Waterways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing water</td>
<td>Simple Accumulated water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Large</td>
<td>large vehicle (e.g. lorry, bus, truck)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Small</td>
<td>small vehicle (e.g. van, car), motorbike</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fused image serves as input tensor to the network and details regarding it is discussed in subsequent sections. Requisite for IHS transfer in image enhancement is that IHS framework mimics the human eye framework. It assists in conceiving color and gives more control over the color enhancement (Renuka M. Kulat, 2016). Transformation from RGB scheme to IHS plot gives the adaptability to change every part of the IHS framework independently without affecting the other. Using this approach, data of various sensors having distinctive spatial and spectral resolution can be merged to enhance the information.

4.2 Hard-Swish as Activation Function

The selection of activation functions plays a major role in the training and testing dynamics of a Neural Network. In this paper, Hard-Swish, a novel and novel activation which is closely related to activation function Swish is introduced. It is defined as

\[ \text{Hard-Swish} = 2 \cdot x \cdot \text{HardSigmoid}(\beta x) \]  
\[ \text{HardSigmoid} = \max(0, \min(1, (x \cdot 0.2 + 0.5))) \]  
\[ \text{Hard-Swish} = 2 \cdot x \cdot \max(0, \min(1, (\beta x \cdot 0.2 + 0.5))) \]

where \( \beta \) is either a trainable parameter or a constant. As \( \beta \to \infty \), the hard-sigmoid component approaches 0-1, and Hard-Swish will act like the ReLU activation function. This indicates that Hard-Swish interpolates non-linearly between the Relu function and linear function smoothly. Setting \( \beta \), as a trainable parameter can be used to control the degree of interpolation in the model (Prajit Ramachandran, 2018). The properties of Hard-Swish are similar to Swish because both are unbounded above and bounded below. It is non-monotonic and the property of non-monotonicity is exclusive to Swish and Hard-Swish. The property of non-monotonicity favors its performance in different datasets and the results are highlighted in experiments section of the page. It is faster in computation compared to swish because it doesn’t
involve any exponential calculation. It can be difficult to determine why it performs better than other activation functions given the presence of a lot of compounding factors. However, it is believed that particular shape of the curve in negative part improves performance as they can output small negative numbers.

The non-monotonic bump is the most striking difference between Hard-Swish and other activation function when $x$ is less than 0 as shown in Figure 1. Inside the domain of the bump ($2.5 \leq x \leq 0$), a large percentage of preactivations fall leading to a better convergence and improvement on benchmarks.

### 4.3 Using U-HardNet Architecture for Object Recognition

The tensor obtained from IHS Transform serves as input to U-HardNet architecture which consists of contracting and expansive paths as shown in Figure 2. In the contractive path, it is followed by the typical convolution neural network architecture (Olaf Ronneberger, 2015). Hard-Swish is used as primary activation function, which is beneficial for training and it helps to learn representations that are more robust to noise. Batch normalization is used for convergence acceleration during training.

At each down-sampling step, the number of feature channels are doubled. Expansive path consists of up-sampling operation of the feature map followed by convolution with half number of feature channels and concatenation with the corresponding feature map from contracting path (Olaf Ronneberger, 2015). Therefore, architecture is having both down-sampling and up-sampling paths for extracting features along with preserving key features from feature map by concatenating in expansive path.

### 4.4 Evaluation Metric and Optimization

The Jaccard index, known as intersection over union, can be depicted as likeness measure between a limited number of sets (Maxim Berman, 2018). Intersection point over union for likeness measure between two sets $A$ and $B$ can be depicted as following:

$$J(A, B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A| + |B| - |A \cap B|}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Its value ranges from 0 to 1 only and they are sensitive to misplacement of the segmentation label. The loss function used for classification tasks in our model is

$$H = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [y \log(\hat{y}) + (1-y) \log(1-\hat{y})]$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

and according (Maxim Berman, 2018), training objective and evaluation metric should be as close as possible to get better results. The issue is that Jaccard Index isn’t differentiable. Therefore, it can be generalized for probability prediction, which on the one hand, results in confident predictions as normal Jaccard does and on the other hand it is made differentiable by constructing a joint loss function of jaccard index and binary cross entropy. It can also be used in algorithms that are optimized with gradient descent.

### 4.5 Model Training

As a primary input, fusion of multispectral bands, reflectance indices and RGB channels were stacked into single tensor because U-HardNet requires inputs as tensor.

- Network was trained for 40 epochs with a learning rate of 1e-6.
- Each epoch was trained on 400 batches and each batch contained 128 image patches.
- Randomly cropping 112x112 patches from original images was used to create each batch.
- Nadam Optimizer was used and instead of larger receptive field, larger batches proved to be more significant for model training.
5 EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

The proposed approach inculcates Hard-Swish activation function. Function has a particular shape of the curve in negative part which includes majority of pre-activations and improves performance as they can output small negative numbers leading to better results. Hard-Swish is set as point of reference for comparison with other activation functions in different challenging datasets using variety of models.

5.1 Experimental Setup for Semantic Segmentation using Hard-Swish on DSTL Dataset

The proposed activation function along with U-HardNet architecture was tested on DSTL dataset. Initial input tensor obtained from RGB to IHS transform gives the adaptability to change every part of the IHS framework independently without affecting the other. Adaptation of fully convolutional network to multispectral satellite images with joint training objective and analysis of boundary effects, boosted the training process. Jaccard scores for different object classes are shown in Figure 4, in the wake of running the same U-HardNet model for all classes independently.

The final results are summarized in Figure 4 first graph, between traditional activation functions and the proposed activation function i.e. Hard-Swish. Best evaluation accuracy went up to 97.75% with minimum loss as 0.08%. Sample Image representation after segmentation is shown in Figure 5 and graphs of Accuracy and Loss vs Batches is shown in Figure 3. Average Score achieved via Hard-Swish beats other traditional functions by a good margin making the score of individual object classes as highest in current scenario.

5.2 Experimental Setup for Hard-Swish on other Standard Datasets

Activation function Hard-Swish was compared against other traditional activation functions which are commonly used. Standard datasets like CIFAR 10, MNIST were used for evaluating activation functions along with evaluation on DSTL dataset. It should be noted that due to differences in training setup, the results may vary and can not be directly compared to the results in corresponding works.

5.2.1 CIFAR10

The CIFAR10 database consists of 32x32 colored small images. There are total 60,000 samples and is divided into 50,000 images for training and 10,000 for testing. The CIFAR10 dataset contains images of 10 different classes such as dog, cat, boat and plane.

For CIFAR10, the performance of Hard-swish relative to other traditional activations was tested on SimpleNet model (Mohsen Fayyaz Seyyed Hossein Hasanpour Mohammad Rouhani, 2016), which is a deeper CNN composed of 13 convolutional layers. The CNN was designed to achieve a good trade-off between the number of parameters and accuracy. It achieved 95% accuracy while having parameters less than 6M. Model was trained for 150 epochs with 128 as batch size. Initial learning rate was set to as 0.1 and multiplied it by 0.2 every at 60 epochs. SGD optimizer was used.
Figure 4: Graph 1 summarizes average Jaccard score achieved for all activation functions in DSTL dataset and Graph 2 shows obtained jaccardian Score for various classes with respect to Last Epoch after training with U-HardNet.

Table 2: Row 1 represents error percentage in MNIST dataset and Row 2 shows accuracies achieved in CIFAR10 dataset over different activation functions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sigmoid</th>
<th>Tanh</th>
<th>Relu</th>
<th>LeakyRelu</th>
<th>Swish</th>
<th>Hard-Swish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNIST</td>
<td>1.31%</td>
<td>1.08%</td>
<td>0.53%</td>
<td>0.59%</td>
<td>0.32%</td>
<td>0.265%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIFAR10</td>
<td>94.21%</td>
<td>94.15%</td>
<td>95.76%</td>
<td>95.81%</td>
<td>95.78%</td>
<td>96.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for optimizing and the results obtained after training is shown Table 2.

5.2.2 MNIST

The MNIST database consists of 28x28 handwritten digits and is downloaded from Kaggle website. Dataset has total 70,000 images, in which training set has 60,000 examples, and test set comprises of 10,000 examples. The larger set available is known as NIST and MNIST is a subset of this dataset. The digits are centered in a fixed-size image and have been size-normalized.

Data augmentation was used to avoid overfitting problem. Hard-swish was compared against traditional activation functions like Relu and Swish on a fully connected network with 512 neurons in each layer. Adam as optimizer and loss as categorical cross-entropy was used. Initially, learning rate was set to 0.001 and trained for 30 epochs with batch size of 86. The results obtained after training is shown Table 2 in terms of error percentage.

State of the art and the results obtained, for the above mentioned datasets is summarized below.

- Dataset: MNIST
  1. State of the Art Model: Regularization of Neural Networks using DropConnect.
  2. Result (Error Percentage) of the above model: 0.23%
  3. Achieved Result (Error Percentage) using Hard-Swish in our model: 0.265%

- Dataset: CIFAR 10
  1. State of the Art Model: Fractional MaxPooling
  2. Result (Accuracy) of the above model: 96.33%
  3. Achieved Result (Accuracy) using Hard-Swish using SimpleNet: 96.1%

Results of different activation functions along with proposed activation function on different architectures for CIFAR10 dataset is also highlighted in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activation Function</th>
<th>ResNet</th>
<th>WRN</th>
<th>DenseNet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swish</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>94.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relu</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>94.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard-Swish</td>
<td>94.65</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>94.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

A new approach with Convolutional Neural Network and proposed activation function, Hard-Swish is presented for analyzing satellite imagery. Which will leverage recent deep learning techniques for accurate semantic segmentation (Ross Girshick, 2014) as Hard-swish outperformed traditional functions on a variety of problems. The application of proposed activation function can easily be generalized to tasks like segmentation across different fields with better and accurate results. Therefore, the updated CNN model without explicit supervision, learns to identify complex features such as roads, urban areas and various terrains (M. Pesaresi, 2001). Future work can integrate this new and novel function in more complex models and produce new State-of-the-Art results for different datasets. Discussed methodology has great potential to solve many deep learning challenges especially in semantic segmentation. At a later date, few other technologies can be incorporated for more precise estimations. This paper can be very helpful to conduct experiments and further tests on semantic segmentation, either on satellite imagery or biomedical image datasets.
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