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Abstract: This paper presents an original approach to analyze the motion of a moving pattern with a Spiking Neural Network, using visual data encoded in the Address-Event Representation. Our objective is to identify a minimal network structure able to recognize the motion direction of a simple binary pattern. For this purpose, we generated synthetic data of 3 different patterns moving in 4 directions, and we designed several variants of a one-layer fully-connected feed-forward spiking neural network with varying number of neurons in the output layer. The networks are trained in an unsupervised manner by presenting the synthetic temporal data to the network for a few seconds. The experimental results show that such networks quickly converged to a state where input classes can be successfully distinguished for 2 of the considered patterns, no network configuration did converge for the third pattern. In the convergence cases, the network proved a remarkable stability for several output layer sizes. We also show that the sequential order of presentation of classes impacts the ability of the network to learn the input.

1 INTRODUCTION

Video analysis is a widespread task in the computer vision community that traditionally requires either the extraction and processing of key-frames, or the definition of motion descriptors such as optical flow. Determining the optical flow is useful to estimate the elementary displacements of key-points in a video stream.

In this paper, we investigate temporal data analysis with Spiking Neural Networks (SNN) for video. The visual input needs to be converted into spikes. We consider the Address-Event Representation (AER) of a video, namely used by Dynamic Vision Sensors (Lichtsteiner et al., 2008). In this representation, each pixel individually encodes positive and negative intensity variations – every change triggers an event that is transmitted asynchronously. There are two types of event: ON events for positive variations, and OFF events for negative variations. Such a representation is well adapted to SNN, because the events resemble spikes, and therefore they can be used to feed the network in a straightforward manner.

This increases the processing efficiency while reducing potential sensor/compression noise. The big-term objective of this work is the development of an end-to-end bio-inspired vision approach. Our objective is to exhibit a minimal network structure able to identify a basic stimulus coming from a reduced window. We successfully trained simple one-layer fully-connected feed-forward spiking neural networks to recognize the motion orientation of a binary pattern in a $5 \times 5$ window, in an unsupervised manner.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 discusses the use of SNN in vision, namely for image and video classification, Section 3 describes the core of our work, by giving details regarding the dataset, the network structure, and the experimental protocol, Section 4 shows and discusses the findings of our study, and Section 5 concludes the paper and discusses future work.

2 RELATED WORK

Spiking Neural Networks represent a special class of artificial neural networks (Maass, 1997), where neurons communicate by sequences of spikes (Ponulak and Kasinski, 2011). Contrary to widely-used deep convolutional neural networks, spiking neurons do not fire at each propagation cycle, but rather fire only when their activation level (or membrane potential, an intrinsic quality of the neuron related to its membrane electrical charge) reaches a specific threshold value. Therefore, the network is asynchronous and alle-
they integrate, among other things, synaptic delays in order to be sensitive to spatio-temporal patterns. All parameters are set at the beginning, so there is no learning phase.

Zhao et al. (Zhao et al., 2015) combine an HMAX and SNN feed-forward architecture to recognize the following 3 human actions: bending, walking and standing/sitting, where the type of movement can be simplified by a diagonal, horizontal and vertical motion. The data is encoded into AER format. Amir et al. (Amir et al., 2017) have designed a tool that is able to recognize more than 10 hand gestures in real time with a low-energy consumption, by exploiting a neuromorphic processor with a capacity of one million neurons, on which authors use an SNN coupled to a pre-trained CNN. Such a system reached a 96.5% success rate.

All previously mentioned work use both ON and OFF event types. With this rich information, if we assume a constant intensity for objects and backgrounds, the object motion can be inferred in short temporal windows. Let us take the example of a white ball moving towards the right before a darker background. The right edge of the ball will generate a set of ON events and the opposite edge (left) will simultaneously generate a set of OFF events. In this setting, the motion recognition problem boils down to a static pattern recognition problem, because the very motion is encoded in a static way. Moreover, if the object is either large or close to the sensor, then ON events and OFF events will be much separated in space, or even separated in time (i.e. they will not occur simultaneously) if the object is larger than the sensor’s field of view, making it almost impossible for such static approaches to infer a motion.

Our objective is to study the use of feed-forward SNN for learning the dynamic object motions. Therefore, we deliberately ignore the event types, and we use a single event type, indicating both types of intensity variation. The purpose is to train detectors that are sensitive to certain directions of motion. To achieve this, we aim to identify the structure of a minimal network whose output layer is capable of learning the direction of a given binary texture in motion at the input.

3 METHODOLOGY

We wish to investigate the ability of a simple feed-forward network to learn the motion direction of a binary texture pattern. This section relates how the data was generated, gives details of the network structure and parameters, and describes the experimental pro-
3.1 Synthetic AER-like Data

Standard AER encodes positive and negative pixel-wise luminosity variation in the form of ON- and OFF-events. In our model, we used a simplified representation considering only a single type of event.

We generated synthetic simplified-AER data mimicking the displacement of simple texture patterns in four directions (NORTH, SOUTH, WEST, EAST) inside a $5 \times 5$ window. We selected 3 types of patterns corresponding to a vertical line, a diagonal line, and a square pattern. Figure 1 shows an example of our synthetic data. Note that in the first 2 patterns (vertical and diagonal lines), only 2 directions can be distinguished because of the aperture problem. For instance, NORTH and SOUTH directions are not visible for the vertical line (yet the corresponding events are kept in the input data), and therefore only WEST and EAST can be distinguished. Also, for the diagonal line, NORTH and WEST as well as SOUTH and EAST directions are not distinguishable. Also note that because of the symmetry of both the network and the data, horizontal and vertical lines are equivalent and so are both diagonals. For each direction, the pattern is sequentially shifted as illustrated in Figure 2.

Therefore, we have four classes for each pattern. The speed is set to 480 pixels/s as in the experiments described in (Bichler et al., 2012), and one sample input corresponds to a 200-ms duration of stimulation.

3.2 Network Details

Our network is a simple one-layer fully-connected feed-forward SNN, that takes the AER data as a $5 \times 5$ continuous input (as illustrated in the Figure 3), and whose output layer is a vector encoding the motion class.

Among several neuron models, we use the Leaky-Integrate-and-Fire (LIF) model (Ponulak and Kasinski, 2011), and a simplified STDP learning rule – see (Bichler et al., 2012) for details:

$$\Delta t = t_{post} - t_{pre}$$

$$stdp(\Delta t) = \begin{cases} 
\Delta w_+ & \text{if } 0 \leq \Delta t \leq T_{LTP} \\
\Delta w_- & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

where $t_{pre}$ (resp. $t_{post}$) represents the presynaptic (resp. postsynaptic) spike time-stamp, and $T_{LTP}$ the width of the potentiation window. If the postsynaptic spike occurs within $T_{LTP}$ ms after the presynaptic spike, the synapse is potentiated by $\Delta w_+ \text{ mV}$ (Long Term Potentiation). Otherwise the synapse is depressed by $\Delta w_- \text{ mV}$ (Long Term Depression). In our experiments, parameters are set individually for each synapse in the following way: a minimum and a maximum bound are set randomly, and the initial value of the synapse is chosen randomly inside the bounds. Moreover, $\Delta w_-$ and $\Delta w_+$ are also set randomly for each synapse, with the only constraint that $\Delta w_- < \Delta w_+$. Moreover, our model includes 3 bio-inspired mechanisms:

- a competition constraint that prevents all output units to learn the same pattern: neurons are equipped with lateral inhibition capability, where an active neuron prevents its neighbors to spike;
- refractory periods to prevent a single output unit to continuously burst without giving other units a chance;
- synaptic delays to avoid that all incoming spikes occur simultaneously.

We implemented this network using Brian2 SNN simulator (Goodman and Brette, 2009) with parameters inspired from (Bichler et al., 2012).

3.3 Protocol

We study our model by exploring its behavior according to the type of input pattern among the three considered patterns. We expect that the model should be able to differentiate between WEST and EAST directions for the vertical line (two distinguishable classes), between NORTH/WEST directions and SOUTH/EAST directions for the diagonal pattern...
(two distinguishable classes), and between NORTH, SOUTH, WEST, EAST directions for the square pattern (four distinguishable classes).

We also investigate a possible impact of the stimulation sequence strategy. Indeed, different simulation orders are likely to influence the way synaptic weights are updated. For a given pattern, the stimulus is presented to the network using the 3 following strategies: (1) ordered sequence e.g. NNNSSSWWWEEE, (2) sequences of quadruplets where classes are permuted so that they appear in a random sequence e.g. NSWE-ESWN-WNES- etc. – this guarantees a uniform class distribution, and (3) random sequence (e.g. NSSESWN etc.). We simulated the network using these 3 strategies, and each run consists in presenting 40 samples to the network (i.e. 8 s).

Finally, we try several sizes for the output layer, ranging from 2 to 6:

- 4 output neurons is the natural size for four classes;
- 2 output neurons is the minimal number for two classes (vertical and diagonal lines);
- 6 output neurons increases the chance to get a specialized output neuron for each class;
- we also tried values in between: 3 and 5.

We describe the experimental results varying these settings and parameters in the next section.

4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The aim of the unsupervised training step is to reach a network state where the outputs precisely distinguish between input classes. This means that some output layer neurons would become specialized in detecting a particular input class. A necessary situation of specialization is when some synaptic weights have converged to values close to 0 or 1, indicating that the corresponding output neuron has become either insensitive or sensitive to the corresponding input neuron, therefore proving a specialization of the network toward a particular stimulus.

4.1 Evolution of Weights

For each simulation, we observe the evolution of synaptic weights, that are initially given random values. Figure 4 shows an illustration of synaptic weights specialization for a network with a 6-neuron output layer. Each cell in this $6 \times 25$ matrix represents the normalized synaptic weight between one of the 25 input neurons and one of the 6 output neurons. The matrix on the left shows the weights after a random initialization, before the training, and the matrix on the right shows the same weights after 8s of stimulation. We observe that a number of weights have changed toward a lower or higher value, indicating a specialization of synaptic connections.

![Evolution of weights](image)

Figure 4: Evolution of weights – LEFT: random initialization before training. RIGHT: after training, light/dark zones indicate weight variations towards specialized outputs.

4.2 Results and Discussion

Because of the random initializations, we ran a number of simulations for each situation in order to evaluate the stability of the results. We successfully trained several network configurations able to recognize the motion direction for the vertical and diagonal lines. However, the simulations with the square pattern mainly resulted in an oscillating network state, where no convergence allowed for the correct classification of input classes, as illustrated in the Figure 6. The network reaches an infinite oscillation state that does not converge. This resembles situations of too large learning rate in stochastic gradient descent, that causes the gradient to diverge.

A plausible clue to explain this situation lies in the amount of active input neurons: 5 for the vertical and diagonal lines, and 9 for the square pattern. Therefore, the overall expected input voltage is higher for this pattern. In other words, each spike train stimulates a larger number of neurons. With a given set of neuronal and synaptic parameters, it is likely that the network is able to reach a stable state only if the input spike volume lies inside specific bounds. This would indicate an unwanted sensitivity that would require to fine tune parameters according to the input – see future work.

Figure 5 shows in the top row the activity of the output neurons for several network configurations and sequence order strategies, and in the bottom row the evolution of the standard deviation of the synaptic weights during the simulation.

In Figure 5 the top-left plot corresponds to the diagonal line pattern, with random sequence order of presentation, where the network has 6 output neurons. After 1000 ms, we see that the neuron at index 3 specializes for the SOUTH/EAST class (Blue and Yellow) and the neuron at index 2 becomes selective to the NORTH/WEST class (Red and Violet). We observed that only 3 output neurons are sufficient to distin-
Figure 5: Best seen in color. **Top row**: The ordinate axis corresponds to the output neuron indices and the abscissa is the simulation time in ms. The black markers indicate the pulses from the corresponding output neuron. The color patches displayed in the background are associated with the input class. When the network is trained, we target a situation where certain neurons react to a particular class. **Bottom row**: Evolution of the standard deviation of the synaptic weights during the simulation. We observe a large increase early in the simulation, and then a plateau indicating a stable state (homeostasis) of the network.

Figure 6: Evolution of the standard deviation of the synaptic weights during the simulation for the square pattern. We observed no stability, and therefore no convergence of the network weights.
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5 CONCLUSION

The objective of this study was to train a spiking neural network to recognize the motion direction of patterns, where the data is expressed in AER. Our main findings are as follows: (1) we achieved a convergence for 2 out of 3 patterns after unsupervised training, where the motion direction is successfully recognized by the network. (2) in successful situations, the network weights quickly converge to a stable state, (3) we observed that the sequence of presentation has no impact on the training of the network.
In future work, we will naturally address the problem of convergence for the square input. Also, we wish to target invariance regarding motion speed, possibly by further exploiting synaptic delays so that several speeds will trigger the same network output.

Other directions will be investigated. One of them will be the estimation of a classification score, e.g. based on the number of distinguishable classes. We will also work towards establishing a theoretical link between the network parameters and the convergence conditions. For example, it would be interesting to automatically update neuronal parameters depending on recent activity of the input layer, in order to dynamically adapt its sensitivity. Then, we will try to validate the proposed parameters on random patterns containing a varying number of pixels and appearing on windows of various sizes.

Once such a minimal motion analysis architecture is identified, the long-term objective of our work is to use them as elementary units whose input is a limited receptive field, to be laid out in layers or other architectures to enable analysis of more complex motion patterns.
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