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Abstract: Land-use-land-cover classification (LULC) is used to automate the process of providing labels, describing the physical land type to represent how a land area is being used. Many sectors such as telecom, utility, hydrology etc need land use and land cover information from remote sensing images. This information provides an insight into the type of geographical distribution of a region with providing low level features such as amount of vegetation, building area, and geometry etc as well as higher level concepts such as land use classes. This information is particularly useful for resource-starved rapidly developing cities for urban planning and resource management. LULC also provides historical changes in land-use patterns over a period of time. In this paper, we analyze patterns of land use in urban and rural neighborhoods using high resolution satellite imagery, utilizing a state of the art deep convolutional neural network. The proposed LULC network, termed as mUnet is based on an encoder-decoder convolutional architecture for pixel-level semantic segmentation. We test our approach on 3 band, FCC satellite imagery covering 225 km² area of Karachi. Experimental results show the superiority of our proposed network architecture vis-à-vis other state of the art networks.

1 INTRODUCTION

Recent advancements in remote sensing have resulted in easy accessibility of satellite imagery of Earth. Among many applications of satellite imagery, Land-use-land-cover (LULC) forms an integral part. LULC plays a pivotal role in urban planning, land resource management and provides a useful insight into the growth rate indexes of different population spectrum. LULC models also highlight the historical changes in an area by quantitatively showcasing the changes in habitation, vegetation, water areas over a span of years. Recent breakthroughs in deep learning have resulted in LULC’s application to various new versatile domains such as development of smart cities, urban planning, environmental monitoring and disaster recovery. The remote sensing community benefited the most with the use of deep convolutional neural networks for various tasks such as automatic feature extraction for classifying road, building footprints, grasslands etc (Mnih, 2013).

Land use classification refers to the consolidation of physical land attributes defining a region and what the cultural and socio-economic function that the land serves. In this paper, we address LULC classification by modifying the Unet (Ronneberger et al., 2015) architecture for pixel level segmentation. The proposed model is henceforth referred to as mUnet. mUnet consists of a ladder-like structure consisting of convolutional encoder layers followed by a series of decoding convolutional layers. Our method has the following advantages as compared to the traditional segmentation methods.

- mUnet provides classification at pixel level.
- mUnet consists of less number of trainable parameters as compared to original Unet.
- mUnet outperforms other state of the art segmentation architectures.

Our paper consist of the following sections: In section-II we review the studies which adopt deep learning methods to the problem of land-use-classification. In section-III, we present the dataset.
curated. In Section-IV, we examine the proposed methodology including network architecture and training details. In section-V we showcase our results and findings henceforth followed by a conclusion in section-VI.

2 RELATED WORK

The literature on land-use-land-cover classification can be broadly classified into two categories: patch-based and pixel-based methods. Patch-based approach (Papadomanolaki et al., 2016) (Albert et al., 2017) (Tong et al., 2018) is based on predicting labels for an image-patch whereas pixel-based approach (Kussul et al., 2017) (Torres et al., 2017) the labels are predicted for each underlying pixel of the image. Patch-based approaches are primarily based on neural-networks such as VGG (Deng et al., 2009), ResNet (He et al., 2016), AlexNet (Krizhevsky et al., 2012) for classifying the image. Pixel-based approaches on the other hand use Deep convolutional Neural nets combined with many up-sampling layers in order to accomplish semantic segmentation of images in an end to end manner.

2.1 Patch-based-Approach

Papadomanolaki et al (Papadomanolaki et al., 2016) evaluated deep learning frameworks based on convolutional neural networks for the accurate classification of spectral remote sensing data. A patch-based approach was utilized with a patch size of $28 \times 28$ pixels, consisting of 4 and 6 band images. The publicly available data of SAT-4 and SAT-6 images were utilized to fine tune the three different models Alexnet (Krizhevsky et al., 2012), Alexnet-small(Iandola et al., 2016), VGG(Deng et al., 2009). SAT-4 images were classified into four different classes (barren land, trees, grassland, water bodies) while SAT-6 consisted of 6 different classes (barren land, trees, grasslands, road, buildings and water bodies). Similarly Albert et al (Albert et al., 2017) utilized a pre-trained Resnet (He et al., 2016) and VGG-16 (Deng et al., 2009) to classify patches into 10 predefined classes (water, airport, sports and leisure, low, medium, high density urban, green urban, forest, agriculture). Google maps imagery was utilized to train the networks and the data were taken across six European countries. A patch size of $224 \times 224$ pixels was given as input to the model. Xin-Yi et al (Tong et al., 2018) utilized a Patch-based CNN classification using a re-trained Resnet-50 network. The network had a total of 49 convolution layers, consisting of 16 bottleneck structures. 50 Gaofen-2 imagery of 1m/px resolution were used to train the network. The original images were divided into patches of $256 \times 256$ and $512 \times 512$ patches respectively and were given as input to the model. It may be noted that misclassification is high in case of patch-based approach. Patch-based approach classifies an entire patch as a single label which may be incorrect if the patch consists of pixels belonging to other classes as well.

2.2 Pixel-based-Approach

Pixel based approach mitigates the limitations of patch-based approach by predicting labels for each pixel in an image, thus reducing the misclassification rate. Kussul et al (Kussul et al., 2017) used unsupervised neural network techniques on hyper-spectral imagery. The authors used 1D and 2D CNN ensemble. 1D CNN ensemble looked across the pixel values along the spectral domain, while the 2D network architecture performed the spatial convolutions. Dataset used was a multi temporal, multi-source data of the Kyiv region acquired from Landsat-8 and Sentinel 1-A. Eleven pre-distinguished classes were defined to classify the temporal bounds of the image. The authors use an unsupervised learning approach which inherently requires a large amount of training data. Torres et al (Torres et al., 2017) utilized a supervised learning approach, which involves training a fully convolutional neural (FCN) network in order to perform LULC classification at pixel-level on Dar Es Salaam dataset. The Images were broken down into $440 \times 440$ pixel tiles and then classified into 10 pre-defined classes. The authors used a FCN network which consist of an encoder architecture followed by a final up-sampling layer succeeded by a classifier, however it has been found that an encoder followed by a decoder which consists of trainable convolutional layers performs better in segmentation tasks (Ronneberger et al., 2015). Additionally, (Torres et al., 2017) (Kussul et al., 2017) used low resolution satellite imagery (30m/px, 10m/px) for LULC classification. In this paper we propose an encoder-decoder architecture for LULC classification on a high resolution satellite imagery (2m/px).

3 DATASET USED

Data used for training the network is Resourcesat 2 Satellite imagery of Karachi covering 225 km$^2$. LISS IV merged with Cartosat Satellite imagery was
used in the study because of its finer spatial resolution than other commonly used images such as Multi-Spectral Scanner (MSS). Figure 5 shows the full-scene FCC (false color composite) satellite imagery (7506 columns, 7475 rows). The 3 band imagery was acquired from National Remote Sensing Agency, Department of Space, Government of India. The image quality was rather good with relatively no cloud cover over the study area. The images have a spatial resolution of 2 m and 8 bit pixel depth. In this paper four classes are considered for land use land cover classification, namely Habitation, Vegetation, Open and water. The training data is prepared for 26 km$^2$ and prediction is done over a complete study area covering 225 km$^2$ of Karachi. The image pre-processing and post processing is done using ArcGIS software.

4 METHODOLOGY

In the following section we detail our proposed approach.

4.1 Image Pre-processing

The ground truth thematic image consisting of four land use land cover classes is used as ancillary data for creating labels and validating as seen in figure 6. Vectors are generated corresponding to each class by raster to polygon conversion. These vectors are further used for preparing training datasets for 26 km$^2$ area of Karachi. The false color composite (FCC) satellite imagery is tilled to 36 images of 850 850 pixels wide and corresponding WKT (well known text) file is generated by superimposing vectors over the tiles figure 4 shows the training data labels as binary images generated from WKT file. The WKT file contains polygons co-ordinate information corresponding to each of the four classes and for each of the 36 tiles used for training.

4.2 Network Architecture

We propose a modified encoder-decoder network based on the Unet model which was originally utilized for bio-medical segmentation (Ronneberger et al., 2015). The structure resembles, as the name signifies, alphabet U. Unet concatenates the encoder feature maps to up-sampled feature maps from the decoder at every stage to form a ladder-like structure. Our network (mUnet) starts with a 5-block convolutional base of neural units alternated with a max pooling layer. Each convolutional layer is followed by a ReLU activation function. The obtained feature maps are fed into a decoder. The decoder up samples the feature maps to produce predictions at the original spatial resolution. The decoder starts with a 5 block convolutional base of neural units with each convolutional layer preceded by an up-sampling layer. Each convolutional layer is followed by a ReLU activation function. Thus the feature maps finally obtained are fed into a fully 2D convolutional layer consisting of 4 classes with sigmoid activation function as shown in the figure 1.

Our network (mUnet) is inherently different from the traditional (Unet) in the following ways.

- mUnet has 19 convolutional layers as compared to UNet which has 23 convolutional layers.
- Filter size of 5 5 is used in mUnet while the traditional UNet has a filter size 3x3.
- The total number of trainable parameters in mUnet are less as compared to the Unet.
- The convolution operations used in mUnet are padded convolutions while in Unet there is spatial reduction between subsequent convolutional layers.

These features make our model much more suitable for LULC classification. For training the model a TITAN-XP GPU with CUDA Core 3840 was used. We tested our model on 32 Core, 2.10 GHz CPU.

4.3 Training Details

For training the model, cross-entropy loss function is used. Given a class $c$, the cross-entropy loss is computed as:

$$L = -\sum_i \left( y_{ij} \ln(p_{ij}) + (1 - y_{ij}) \ln(1 - p_{ij}) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where the total number of classes are C (in our case 4). mUnet generates predicted binary map for each class. $p_{ij}$ represents the predicted activation value for pixel $I(i,j)$ and class $c$. For a given image $I$, of height $H$ and width $W$, the ground truth consists of a tensor $y$ of size $H \times W \times C$ such that $y_{ijc} = 1$ if pixel $I(i,j)$ belongs to class $c$, otherwise $y_{ijc} = 0$. The network is trained using Adam optimizer (Kingma and Ba, 2014) for 200 epochs. A batch size of 64 is used along with a learning rate of 0.001.

4.4 Post Processing

The model returns binary png and pgw (geo-reference information) files corresponding to four classes (habitation, water, vegetation and open). Each binary file is then converted into corresponding four feature class
vectors. The different feature vectors are clustered according to a labeled priority setting (1. Water, 2. Habitat, 3. Vegetation, 4. Open) in order to produce a singular vector containing lowest priority feature at the lowest layer and highest priority feature at the top most layer. The shape regularization is done using ArcGIS (simplify polygon tool) by eliminating undesirable artifacts in polygons geometry. The vector is then converted into thematic raster based on the priority set labels resulting in final LULC map generation. Figure 4 shows the output binary images of the mUnet model for all the four classes.

5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The result evaluation is done by calculating kappa coefficient and by calculating the overall classification accuracy. The classification accuracy is assessed by comparing the ground truth thematic map with the classified map generated by the mUnet model. A systematic comparison of our model is done with two deep convolutional networks (Unet, FCN). A FCN architecture consisting of first 5 convolutional blocks similar to VGG-16 was implemented for comparison, however the fully connected layers were re-
placed by convolutional layers. Both the models (Unet, FCN) were implemented using Keras with theano as back end. Table 1 shows the comparison of all three models using three evaluation criterion that are: number of trainable parameters, kappa coefficient, overall accuracy. The model using the least pa-
Kappa coefficient measures the agreement between truth values and classification. A kappa value of 1 represents a perfect agreement while a value of 0 presents no agreement. Kappa coefficient is measured as:

$$ k = \frac{N \sum_{i=1}^{C} m_{ii} - \sum_{i=1}^{C} G_i D_i}{N^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{C} G_i D_i} $$

(2)

where N is the total number of pixels and C is the total number of classes. $m_{ii}$ represents the number of pixels of class i classified as class i. $G_i$ are the total number of pixels in ground truth belonging to class i. $D_i$ is the total number of pixels predicted in class i. Accuracy for class c is computed as $m_{ii}/G_i$.

It is observed that despite having less number of parameters mUnet outperforms other architectures in terms of kappa coefficient and overall accuracy.

Figure 8, 9, 7 show the spatial comparison of LULC classified map generated using all three models. As seen in the figure 7, mUnet performs better than the other two models with providing more accurate results when compared with the ground truth image (figure 6). Original Unet has misclassified open area with habitation and vegetation while some of the areas remain unclassified as seen in figure 6, 9. On
the other hand classification done by the FCN model as seen in figure 6, 8 has reduced the unclassified area but has misclassified pixels belonging to open, vegetation and water classes. When comparing mUnet with other two models the classification results are more accurate with respect to the ground truth (figure 6). Hence we can conclude that our proposed model has performed better for LULC classification than the previously defined models.

6 CONCLUSIONS

This paper has showcased the use of convolutional neural networks for predicting different land use classes from satellite imagery. We study the topographical land use distribution of Karachi using a new CNN architecture, mUnet. We benchmark mUnet with other state-of-the-art models such as FCN, Unet. The experimental results demonstrate that our novel approach outperforms FCN and Unet. It is observed that mUnet achieves a higher overall accuracy and kappa coefficient than the other two models. Additionally, mUnet has the advantage that it uses less number of trainable parameters. It can further be taken into consideration that while evaluating our model we utilized a dataset pre-trained to a developing country counterpart to the other studies conducted in the area which have only utilized developed country datasets. As future directions of this work we plan to address the problem of LULC by utilizing more classes in our model, improve and further curate the Karachi dataset and extend this type of analysis to more developing cities across other geographical locations.
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