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Abstract: Controlling crowd simulations typically involves tweaking complex parameter sets to attempt to reach a desired outcome, which can be unintuitive for non-technical users. This paper presents an approach to control pedestrian simulations in real time via sketching. Most previous work has relied on grid-based navigation to support the sketching approach, however this does not scale well for large environments. In contrast, this paper makes use of a tiled navigation mesh (navmesh), based on the open source tool Recast, to support pedestrian navigation. The navmesh is updated in real time based on the user’s sketches and the simulation updates accordingly. Users are able to create entrances/exits, barriers to block paths, flow lines to guide pedestrians, waypoint areas, and storyboards to specify the journeys of crowd subgroups. Additionally, a timeline interface can be used to control when simulation events occur. The effectiveness of the system is demonstrated with a set of scenarios which make use of a 3D model of an area of a UK city centre created using data from OpenStreetMap. This includes a comparison between the grid-based approach and our navmesh approach.

1 INTRODUCTION

Crowds are present in quotidian activities such as walking to work, taking a train, shopping and attending a football match, and are simulated for numerous computer applications such as in entertainment, urban planning, safety and military training. Such crowd simulations are commonly modelled using an agent-based approach. However, controlling such simulations can be challenging, since many parameters must be tuned by the user. With commercial systems such as SimWalk\(^1\), MassMotion\(^2\), Massive\(^3\), Legion\(^4\) and Exodus\(^5\) (Owen et al., 1996), the user needs to be familiarised with the system and must know which parameters to change to obtain a desired outcome. Editing the environment can also be an issue. For instance, to create an obstacle such as a barrier in MassMotion, the simulation must be terminated and started again after adding the obstacle.

This paper presents a real-time, sketch-based control approach, where non-expert users are able to interact with the simulation by creating entrances/exits to define the spawning and goal positions for pedestrians, barriers to block paths, flow lines to guide pedestrians, waypoint areas, and storyboards to specify journeys. In addition, users can simulate events through the day using a timeline interface. We use a microscopic modelling approach based on agents and a tiled navigation mesh (navmesh (Snook, 2000)) as the navigation approach to guide the agents through the environment.

Sketching approaches to control crowd simulations have been presented before (Jin et al., 2008; Oshita and Ogiwara, 2009; Patil et al., 2011; Hughes et al., 2014; Gonzalez and Maddock, 2017). However, this paper presents five novel contributions. First, sketching is used to update a navmesh in real time, rather than using a grid-based approach. This includes the ability to draw barriers, unlike previous work where a list of points was used to add an obstacle to a navmesh (Kallmann, 2005), which is less intuitive for the user. Second, flow lines can be sketched and the cost of traversing each flow line can be individually changed. Third, areas can be sketched onto an environment, similar to Hughes et al. (2014), but with explicit control being given over the percentage of agents visiting each (waypoint) area. Fourth, storyboards can be created to define the journeys of subcrowds. Last, a timeline interface can be used to control events during a simulation of a 24-hour period.

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows. Section 2 gives an overview of related work
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1http://www.simwalk.com
2http://www.oasys-software.com/products/engineering/massmotion.html
3http://www.massivesoftware.com/
4http://www.legion.com/legion-software
5http://fseg.gre.ac.uk/exodus/index.html
and offers a classification of the existing graphical approaches to control pedestrian simulations. Section 3 describes the implementation of the system. Section 4 presents and discusses the results. A range of scenarios is presented to demonstrate the effectiveness of the system. Section 5 gives a detailed comparison between a grid-based approach and our navmesh-based approach. Finally, Section 6 concludes the paper.

2 RELATED WORK

Agent-based modelling is the most common approach to simulate virtual crowds. Each agent calculates its own movement based on a set of rules or behaviours. Reynolds’s seminal work (Reynolds, 1987) demonstrated how to control a bird flock with three simple rules, and his later work (Reynolds, 1999, 2000) implemented new steering behaviours such as seek and pursuit. Other work has shown how the movement of agents could be determined by ‘social forces’ produced by agent-agent interaction and agent-environment interaction (Helbing, 1991; Helbing and Molnar, 1995). More recent work has shown how psychological aspects can be taken into account when modelling agents (Pelechano et al., 2005, 2007; Yeh et al., 2008; Ulicny and Thalmann, 2001, 2002; Rao et al., 2011). Sociological factors have also been modelled (Musse and Thalmann, 1997; Pan et al., 2007; Yu and Terzopoulos, 2007).

Two levels of control can be observed in pedestrian simulations. Local motion defines the short range motion of the agents considering their immediate surroundings. This level relates to the previously mentioned work using rules, behaviours and other factors. Global navigation guides the agents through environments where pedestrians could get stuck in local minima when using local rules. Several techniques have been proposed for global path planning. These methods include flow fields and navigation meshes. Reynolds (1999) was the first to propose the idea of flow fields. The environment is mapped to a two-dimensional grid where each cell contains a force vector. This is a grid-based approach. In our paper, we use a navigation mesh. Our sketch-based interface for graphical control of the simulation is implemented on top of this.

2.1 Navigation Mesh (Navmesh)

Snook (2000) introduced the term navigation mesh, a decomposition of a 3D environment into a mesh of convex polygons to represent walkable areas. He called this a navmesh. Several techniques have been proposed to generate navmeshes from the geometry of the environment. Van Toll et al. (2011) employed a medial axis to create a navmesh for a multilayered environment, and then extended this work to support dynamic updates (van Toll et al., 2012). Kallmann et al. (2003) represented a 2D environment using a constrained Delaunay triangulation. In subsequent work, Kallmann (2005) added obstacles in real time. Hale et al. (2008) created a 2D navmesh by dividing the environment into a grid. Square regions are seeded and grown in every direction until obstacles are found to form the polygons. This work was later extended to work with 3D environments (Hale and Youngblood, 2009). Oliva and Pelechano (2011) represented the environment as a single polygon that may have holes in it. Their later work used GPUs to automatically generate a navmesh from a 3D environment (Oliva and Pelechano, 2013). Akaydin and Gu¨d¨ukbay (2013) proposed an approach to create a navmesh based on images. Berseth et al. (2016) created a navmesh based on the curvature of the original mesh. There is also an open source software to create a navmesh: Recast is used in video games to generate navmeshes for a given environment. Section 3.1 will give more details about the use of Recast in this paper.

2.2 Graphical Control

Graphical tools for the control of crowd simulations make it possible for a user to interact with a simulation in an intuitive way, eliminating the time-consuming task of parameter tuning. We identify five categories to describe the different graphical control approaches: Navigation Graph, Map, Patch, Direct Interaction, Sketching. Table 1 summarises the relevant research.

In the first category, Navigation Graph, a graphical interface is used to manipulate graphs to control crowd movement. Yersin et al. (2005) created a graph from a predefined environment and allowed the user to assign nodes as goals for the pedestrians.

The second category, the Map approach, attaches extra information to the environment by drawing maps on top of it. Agents use this information to influence their behaviour. Sung et al. (2004) added ‘situations’ to the environment using a painting interface. Millan and Rudomin (2005) set environmental attributes such as height using maps. Similarly, McIlveen et al. (2016) defined areas such as obstacles and exits with a painting tool. Jordao et al. (2015) specified the crowd density and direction by drawing maps on top of the environment.

In the third category, Patch, large and complex environments are created by connecting small prede-
Table 1: Summary of the graphical control approaches for crowd simulations. The Control column indicates whether the agent behaviour is controlled by changing agent (A) parameters and/or by modifying the environment (Env). The Discretisation column indicates how the environment is represented: Grid, Navmesh or Graph (where Graph includes techniques that use a graph structure based on circles or polygons).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Discretisation</th>
<th>Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NavGraph</td>
<td>Env</td>
<td>Graph</td>
<td>Yersin et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maps/Direct</td>
<td>A/Env</td>
<td>not stated</td>
<td>Sung et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maps</td>
<td>Env</td>
<td>Grid</td>
<td>McIvteen et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maps</td>
<td>Env</td>
<td>Graph</td>
<td>Jordan et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patches</td>
<td>Env</td>
<td>Grid</td>
<td>Chenney (2004); Lee et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patches</td>
<td>Env</td>
<td>Graph</td>
<td>Yersin et al. (2009); Jordan et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patches</td>
<td>Env</td>
<td>not stated</td>
<td>Kim et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sketching</td>
<td>Env</td>
<td>Grid</td>
<td>Jin et al. (2008); Patai et al. (2011); Gonzalez and Maddock (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sketching</td>
<td>Env</td>
<td>Navmesh</td>
<td>Hughes et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sketching</td>
<td>Agent</td>
<td>not stated</td>
<td>Oshita and Ogiwara (2009); Takahashi et al. (2009); Gu and Deng (2011, 2013); Allen et al. (2015); Xu et al. (2008, 2012, 2015); Haeri et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Agent</td>
<td>not stated</td>
<td>Ulicny et al. (2004); Kim et al. (2014, 2009); Zheng et al. (2014); Zhang et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>Agent</td>
<td>Grid</td>
<td>Henry et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>A/Env</td>
<td>not stated</td>
<td>Shen et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the fourth category, **Direct Interaction**, a crowd is directly controlled to change its behaviour – the agents themselves are directly targeted. Ulicny et al. (2004) create and modify agents and their behaviour using brush tools. Kwon et al. (2008) created a graph from an existing animation of characters, which is then user-deformable to create a new animation. A similar method was presented by Kim et al. (2014). Here, the existing animation can be manipulated in space and time. Furthermore, Kim et al. (2009) permits the user to change the position and direction of a group of characters with spatial and temporal constraints. Different input approaches have also been experimented with (Henry et al., 2012; Shen et al., 2018).

The final category includes work that interacts with the simulation by **Sketching**. This could be by modifying the environment or by controlling the path or actions of a group. Jin et al. (2008) controlled pedestrian movement by drawing arrows in the environment. These sketches update the underlying vector field responsible for guiding the agents. Oshita and Ogiwara (2009) allowed the user to specify the spawning location and trajectory of pedestrians by sketching lines. Patil et al. (2011) created a navigation field to direct the crowd based on flow lines drawn by the user.

Hughes et al. (2014) presented a sketch-based approach to populate environments initially based on an image. These environments cannot be used in automatic navigation mesh generation tools. Thus, the user first defines the boundaries of the navigation mesh and the borders of the obstacles (e.g. buildings) in an offline process using sketching. The mesh is triangulated to obtain a navigation graph. Then, users are able to dynamically use sketching to add waypoints, select pedestrians, create a path, and define behaviour areas where agents perform a certain action.

This work is the only approach that uses sketching on top of a navmesh. However, unlike our paper, the navmesh is not updated in real time based on user input. In our work, the navmesh itself is changed in response to sketches that change the environment, such as sketching barriers and flow lines. Gonzalez and Maddock (2017) developed an interface where users are able to specify the agent spawning position and draw obstacles and flow lines to control the simulation in real time. The underlying grid-based navigation is updated according to the user’s actions.

The creation of crowd formations is a popular application of sketching interfaces. Takahashi et al. (2009) controlled formations taking into account agent adjacency. Gu and Deng (2011) define a formation with user-sketched lines. This work was subsequently extended to give more options to specify the formation and to allow the sketching of trajectories (Gu and Deng, 2013). Similarly, Allen et al. (2015) create and move formations with sketches but offer the extra feature of subgroup control. Xu et al. (2008) presented a flock simulation constrained by a user-defined shape. The user can define fixed positions over time and the path followed by the flock. In (Xu et al., 2012), the user specifies the source and target formations. Xu et al. (2015) created a similar crowd formation transformation model but using different criteria to assign the final positions. Sub-
groups are formed to maintain the cohesion of the group. Zheng et al. (2014) suggested a method to create formations based on geometry which does not require collision avoidance algorithms. A similar approach was presented by Zhang et al. (2015). The user is able to specify the density of the formation and the final formation by importing an image or by sketching the shape. Hauri et al. (2014) proposed a flocking algorithm to represent user-defined shapes within a robot swarm. A drawing interface is provided where static shapes or animations can be made by the user.

3 THE SYSTEM

This paper presents an intuitive and simple way for non-technical users to interact in real time with crowd simulations. The system consists of two modules: a visualisation module created by making use of Unreal\(^6\) and Recast\(^7\), and a simulation module based on the FLAMEGPU framework (Richmond and Romano, 2008). Both modules communicate with each other through a CPU-based shared memory segment. Figure 1 shows an overview of the system and the data structures that are exchanged. The agent data used in the FLAMEGPU framework must be available to the visualisation module running on the CPU, which, in turn, must send sketched updates to the environment back to FLAMEGPU to influence the simulation running on the GPU.

![System overview showing the data structures that are exchanged between GPU (green) and CPU (blue).](image)

**Figure 1:** System overview showing the data structures that are exchanged between GPU (green) and CPU (blue).

3.1 Visualisation

The objective of this module is to provide a visual representation of the simulation and to create an interface where users can use sketching to manipulate the virtual crowd. Additionally, this module is responsible for the navmesh creation and finding the paths to be followed by the agents.

The environment is produced using data from OpenStreetMap\(^9\). The tool OSM2World\(^10\) is then used to convert this data into a 3D model before importing it into the game engine. The selected environment is an area of a UK city centre (see Figure 2b). Some modifications were made to the model prior to the import: imperfections on the ground were removed, tree models were replaced with a new 3D model, and a few materials were replaced.

3.2 Navmesh

A novel contribution of this paper is the use of a navmesh to support the sketch-based solution. Previous work (Gonzalez and Maddock, 2017; Jin et al., 2008; Patil et al., 2011) used a grid-based approach. A navmesh approach is a more scalable solution (see Section 5 for a detailed comparison based on the scenarios we use in Section 4).

In our work, the underlying navmesh used to determine the movement of the agents is created with Recast, which is an open source tool used in games to automatically create a navmesh from a 3D environment. Recast allows the creation of tiled navmeshes which offer the individual update of the tiles rather than the entire navmesh. At the beginning of the simulation, the navmesh of all tiles is computed to generate the polygons (see Figure 2a) – Recast’s mesh generation process produces some long, thin triangles, but this does not affect our sketch work. Later updates are only made in affected tiles, which facilitates real time modification of the mesh. The user has the option to hide or show the navmesh – in most of the figures in this paper the navmesh is visible to make it clear how it is updated based on user inputs.

![The tiled navmesh created with Recast and displayed in Unreal Engine.](image)

**Figure 2:** (a) The tiled navmesh created with Recast and displayed in Unreal Engine. The underlying square tile pattern is shown, as well as the polygons created to connect different parts of the environment such as buildings and trees. (b) The environment where the simulation runs. The red rectangle highlights the area shown in (a).

The Recast software was modified to implement sketching on top of the navmesh and to update it according to user actions (Section 3.4). After every
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\(^6\)https://www.unrealengine.com/en-US/what-is-unreal-engine-4
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\(^9\)https://www.openstreetmap.org/
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navmesh change, the shortest path from every polygon to the target is recalculated. This information is sent to the simulation module through the shared memory segment.

3.3 Simulation

The agent-based simulation uses the social forces model (Helbing and Molnar, 1995) to determine the movement of the agents. Whilst this is a relatively simple model, it is sufficient to support the combined sketching work and navmesh use. A more complex approach could be used if required. The agent motion is the result of the weighted sum of three forces: (i) The pedestrian avoidance force for inter-agent collision avoidance. This is computed taking into account the position and velocity of nearby agents; (ii) The collision force used to prevent agents colliding with the environment. The polygon edges without neighbours exert a repulsive force on agents depending on the distance; (iii) The goal force to guide agents to their destination. This is obtained using the graph (navmesh) sent by the visualisation module.

The graph is formed by nodes (polygons) and the edges shared by adjacent polygons. Each node contains a list of neighbouring polygons and the connecting edges. This graph is used to calculate the shortest path from every polygon to all the exits and areas. The A* algorithm is used to compute the shortest path – this uses a heuristic value to guide the search for better performance. A route is computed for each exit. To create the route, every polygon stores the adjacent polygon leading to the corresponding exit. In this manner, agent movement can be calculated knowing the current polygon and the assigned exit of the agents. One approach to generate the agent movement is by following the middle point of the edges connecting the polygons of the shortest route. However, this would produce unrealistic paths. This problem can be solved by smoothing the resulting path using The Simple Stupid Funnel Algorithm (Demyen and Buro, 2006). This technique finds the corners of the path staying inside the polygons found by the A* route.

3.4 Sketching

The interface, implemented in Unreal, allows the user to perform a series of actions by sketching or clicking in the environment. These actions include definition of agent spawn and goal locations, sketching obstacles to alter the crowd movement, creation of flow lines to guide the motion of the agents, drawing areas to create waypoints, and definition of journeys via storyboards. The entrances and exits are created by selecting a polygon edge with no neighbours. These locations define the spawning position of the agents and also serve as goals.

The first step to update the navmesh is to capture the user sketch and sample the line into equidistant points. Each sequence of points can represent an obstacle, a flow line or an area edge. Then, the line is mapped to the navmesh by marking the area covered by the sketch. These regions are given an id to differentiate among obstacles, flow lines and areas. The tiles affected by the user sketch are identified and the navmesh of these tiles is rebuilt with the new information.

3.4.1 Barriers

The barrier obstacles are created by marking the affected navmesh area as null. A null area cannot be crossed and is not used in navigation computation. The process is made efficient by using the tiles that the relevant navmesh area overlaps. Each overlapped tile is divided into an integer grid of voxels, the size of which can be controlled by a Recast parameter. Every voxel in the grid is tested to determine if it lies within the sketched obstacle region, whereupon it is marked as empty. Using this information, the contours of the updated walkable areas inside the affected tiles are calculated and these are used to re-triangulate this area to obtain the new polygons of the navmesh. The first row of Figure 3 shows the process of producing a barrier by sketching a line—navmesh polygons are generated on both sides of the barrier.

![Figure 3: Column (a) original navmesh. Column (b) user-sketched line. Column (c) Updated navmesh with an obstacle (first row), flow line (second row) and area (third row).](image)

3.4.2 Flow Lines

A sketched flow line is given an id to identify it. The sketched flow line is divided into a set of polygons which are traversable only in the direction of the sketch (second row in Figure 3). The cost of traversing a flow line can be changed by the user – a higher value means that a flow line is more likely to attract agents from the surrounding area.
The addition of flow lines converts the navmesh in the flow line area into a directed graph which means that adjacent polygons are not necessarily connected for navigation purposes. Therefore, agents inside flow lines must follow the complete flow line until the end of it is reached. The routes to areas and exits are recalculated when the cost of traversing the flow lines is changed.

3.4.3 Areas and Behaviours

The user can create areas in the environment that serve as waypoints. The shape of the sketched polygon is mapped to the navmesh and the new area is re-triangulated to eliminate concave polygons, as shown in the third row of Figure 3. The shortest path from each navmesh polygon to the area is then computed. In addition, the interface offers the ability to set the percentage of agents that will visit each area.

Currently, within an area, a wandering behaviour is implemented. When an agent reaches an area, it moves in random directions within the area for a pre-defined amount of time, before continuing on its journey. Whilst the idea of areas and behaviours has been previously suggested (Hughes et al., 2014), the main difference in our approach is the ability to set the percentage of agents visiting and to assign the areas to a storyboard (Section 3.4.4). In future work other behaviours could be assigned to areas.

3.4.4 Storyboards

A storyboard defines the exact journey of agents throughout the simulation. To create a storyboard, the user must select an entrance polygon, areas (optional) and an exit. Once the route is completed, the storyboard is displayed as shown in Figure 4. The selected polygons and areas are highlighted and the indication arrows show the order of the storyboard. These arrows are not the actual path followed by the crowd. Individual pedestrians will calculate their own path using the navmesh.

Currently, up to ten storyboards can be created per entrance. The user is able to define the percentage of pedestrians (spawned at the selected entrance) that follow the desired storyboard. A menu displays the existing storyboards and offers editing facilities. If no storyboard has been created, agents spawn at every entrance depending on a user-defined emission rate. Similarly, their exit is selected from all the exits based on percentages specified by the user. By creating storyboards, the user defines the exact agent journey.

3.4.5 Timeline

The simulation keeps track of the time allowing the user to simulate events during a day. Example events include: open/close entrances and exits; change the emission rate of an entrance; create barriers, flow lines, areas and storyboards. In addition, the speed of the simulation can be increased up to 24x to observe a day in an hour.

Using the timeline interface, the previously created elements can be dragged and dropped into the timeline to specify their occurrence time. The duration of the events is modified by re-sizing the elements. The simulation constantly checks for events and updates the environment accordingly to influence the pedestrian behaviour. The elements that are not added to the timeline are considered permanent as part of the environment. Figure 5 shows the timeline of the complex environment in Figure 10. In this example, the emission rate of the named entrances is changed throughout the day to simulate two peak travel periods, as people travel to a city centre in the morning and then leave it in the evening. For example, the “Division” entrance has a high flow from 7-9am, then a low flow until 4pm. These people follow one of 5 storyboards to different buildings. For the building “JLewis”, there is a low leaving flow from 10am-5pm, followed by a high leaving rate from 5-
7pm. These people follow storyboards to exit routes (which are equivalent to the original entrance routes).

4 VALIDATION SCENARIOS

A set of scenarios were simulated to demonstrate the system’s functionality. A video of the system in action is available at https://tinyurl.com/y7waxwr. The scenarios were run on an Intel Core i5 6500 with 16GB RAM and an NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1060 SC. The performance of the system is shown in Table 2.

Table 2: System performance with multiple crowd sizes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. Agents</th>
<th>fps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1k</td>
<td>118-119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5k</td>
<td>86-87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10k</td>
<td>50-52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20k</td>
<td>24-26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first scenario is the creation of a queue that simulates the entrance to a venue. Figure 6 shows a snake-like corridor created by sketching barriers.

The second scenario shows the use of flow lines (Figure 7) to control the crowd by forming lanes in areas such as road crossing points. Lane formation is a global behaviour that can emerge in agent-based simulations (Treuille et al., 2006). Our system gives a user more control over where it occurs. Currently the user has no control over the width of a flow line. This could be added but would increase interface complexity. An extension to consider for future work would be two-way flow lines.

Figure 8 shows the use of areas, which can be used as waypoints on a journey for a range of scenarios, e.g. where some pedestrians have to queue at a ticket machine before continuing in a train station or perhaps where pedestrians are stopping to watch some kind of street entertainment before continuing.

Storyboards offer complete control over the paths to be followed by the crowd. Figure 9 shows three storyboards created by the user—different shades of the same colour are used for storyboards that belong to the same entrance, and each entrance is assigned a different colour for its storyboards. The crowd is divided into three equal parts, each following a different storyboard. Two storyboards have an intermediate area and one directs pedestrians straight from the entrance to the exit. A flow line is also used near to the top area. It can be difficult to see the different shades of colour used for storyboards emanating from the same entrance because the system allows up to 10 storyboards from one entrance. This is something that still needs further work.

Figure 10 shows a more complex scenario with 10 entrances/exits and 70 storyboards. In this ex-
ample, a day in a city centre is simulated. Five entrances/exits are placed on the outskirts of the city centre and the other five entrances/exits are located in buildings. This simulates the flow of people going to work or visiting the area. The timeline in Figure 5 is used to simulate peak hours. During the morning the number of agents going to the city centre is increased, whereas in the early evening, the agents leave the area. Late evening and night-time are not modelled. The use of storyboards combined with the timeline gives non-expert users control over the simulation to recreate real scenarios. Future work could look at the use of decision trees in conjunction with storyboards.

Figure 10: Complex scenario simulating a city centre with 10 entrances/exits and 70 storyboards.

5 GRID VS NAVMESH

This section compares our tiled navmesh approach with a grid-based approach (Gonzalez and Maddock, 2017) using three criteria: environment representation and sketch accuracy, memory usage and computation time.

5.1 Environment Representation and Sketch Accuracy

The representation accuracy of the grid depends on its resolution. Low resolution grids use bigger cells which cover larger areas of the environment, e.g. walkable surfaces and obstacles. However, a cell can only be marked as empty or occupied, leading to mis-representation of the environment. This issue is evident in objects with circular shapes and when straight walls are not aligned with the grid, as shown in the top row of Figure 11, and also when curves and lines are sketched at angles to the underlying grid.

Figure 11: Grid and navmesh representation of the environment. Top row shows three grids with different resolutions: (a) original environment, (b) 128x128, (c) 256x256 and (d) 512x512. Bottom row shows three navmeshes with different voxel size: (e) original environment, (f) 0.5, (g) 0.25 and (h) 0.1.

The navmesh provides a more accurate representation of the environment since it is based on the geometry of the model. Reducing the voxel size results in a better representation but also increases the number of tiles and polygons. An advantage of the navmesh over the grid is that polygons cover larger areas compared to the cells of the grid, therefore fewer polygons are required to cover the entire walkable surface. The bottom row of Figure 11 shows the same zoomed area of the environment represented by navmeshes with different voxel size. As the voxel size is reduced, the number of polygons increases and the accuracy of the representation improves. Sketch precision is also better for the navmesh approach, since the navmesh does not require a small voxel size to represent a line in a reasonably accurate way, whereas the grid approach must increase its resolution.

In both the grid-based approach and the navmesh-based approach, increasing the accuracy of the representation impacts on the memory used and the computation efficiency. Bigger environments require more data to be accurately represented, therefore a trade-off has to be made between environment representation, memory usage and computation time.

5.2 Memory Usage

A grid is represented by a two-dimensional array with each element set to empty or occupied. However, another structure is required to store the shortest paths to the goals. Gonzalez and Maddock (2017) used a flowmap per exit (as in (Karmakharm et al., 2010)) to store these paths. The maps are grids where every cell stores a force directing agents to their target. Table 3 shows the memory used by grids of different sizes with multiple exits. Figure 12 illustrates that the memory used is directly proportional to the grid.
resolution and the number of exits. When the number of cells is quadrupled, the memory usage is also increased fourfold. The grid approach has poor scalability.

Table 3: Memory used by the grid approach with three different sizes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid size</th>
<th>No. cells</th>
<th>Grid memory (kB)</th>
<th>No. exits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>128x128</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>4.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>512</td>
<td>8.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>12.288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256x256</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4096</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 12: Memory used by three grids with multiple exits.

The navmesh is represented by a structure that stores tiles, polygons, vertices and polygon adjacency, as well as other information required to find paths between polygons. In addition, an extra structure is defined to store the shortest routes, entrances, exits, areas, storyboards and a search grid used to accelerate the polygon search to determine the position of each agent. An advantage of the grid-based approach is that the location of the agent can be directly mapped to a grid cell when determining the next movement. Table 4 shows the number of tiles, polygons and vertices generated for three voxel sizes and also the memory used by the navmesh structure.

Table 4: Number of elements generated by the navmesh with different voxel size, and memory used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voxel size</th>
<th>No. tiles</th>
<th>No. polygons</th>
<th>No. vertices</th>
<th>Memory used (kB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>1,363</td>
<td>80.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>2,643</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>2,213</td>
<td>7,310</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 shows the memory usage by the additional structure with different voxel sizes and multiple exits. Similar to the grid, memory usage also increases with the number of tiles, polygons and exits, however, the growth ratio is lower, as shown in Figure 13. Since the navmesh approach scales better with the size of the environment, it is a more suitable option for large environments in terms of memory usage.

Table 5: Memory used by the structure storing the shortest paths with multiple exits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voxel size</th>
<th>No. exits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 13: Memory used by three navmeshes with multiple exits.

5.3 Computation Time

To compare the performance of both approaches the time taken by three functions was measured: construction, update and pathfinding. A grid is built by using vertical raycasting to segment the world into cells. The update time is the amount of time taken to change the values of the grid when the environment is dynamically updated. Pathfinding uses a wavefront propagation algorithm to calculate the distance from each grid cell to the corresponding goal and the result is smoothed to create more realistic paths. Table 6 shows the time taken by these functions for three grids with multiple exits. The update times are similar since only the affected cells are updated. However, the paths must be recalculated, which requires more time as the grid resolution increases.

Table 6: Time taken to create and update three grids of different sizes and to find the shortest paths for several goals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Build (s)</th>
<th>Update (s)</th>
<th>Pathfinding (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>128x128</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256x256</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512x512</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
be created just once in an offline stage. For a dynamic environment, such as a sketching environment, the navmesh must be recalculated when the environment changes. However, using the tiled approach of Recast, where the mesh is updated locally, ameliorates the cost. The update time is similar for each case since the number of voxels per tile is the same.

Table 7: Time taken to create and update three navmeshes with different voxel sizes and to find the shortest paths for several goals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Build (s)</th>
<th>Update (s)</th>
<th>No. exits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.435</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 14 plots the time taken by the pathfinding algorithm for different size grids and navmeshes. For the navmesh, the major issue is that this time increases as the number of polygons grows. Sketching barriers, flowlines and areas creates more polygons, thus exacerbating the problem. Higher computation times could compromise the real time interaction with the simulation. This may be an issue in complex environments or with a large number of destinations.

Figure 14: Time taken in seconds to calculate the shortest paths in grids and navmeshes with different sizes.

6 CONCLUSIONS

This paper has presented a solution for real-time control of virtual crowds without the need for technical knowledge and complex parameter tuning. Users can guide the pedestrian flow by sketching directly in the environment while the simulation is running. Multiple elements can be defined by sketching or clicking: entrances/exits, obstacles to block paths, flow lines to guide agents, waypoint areas, and storyboards to specify the journeys of the pedestrians. A timeline interface administers the simulation of events through the day. The underlying navigation approach used is a navmesh created with a modified version of the open source tool Recast. The navmesh is a better alternative for navigation, since it represents the environment more accurately and requires less memory than the grid-based approach, although computation time is similar for both approaches. However, the navmesh approach has some limitations. The initial construction time (which can be done in an offline step) and the addition of new elements increases the number of polygons and consequently the path-finding computation time. Future work will look at ways to mitigate this problem. We also intend to run a user study looking at the ease of use of the interface as environment complexity increases.
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