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Abstract: In the multi-agent system research community, there is general consensus that the environment is important for multi-agent systems (MAS). However, most researchers minimize the responsibilities of the environment by reducing it to inter-agent communication, or neglecting to integrate it as a main element of their MAS models, which can be sufficient depending on the focus and objectives of their work. As a consequence of these decisions, the potential of MAS is not fully exploited. In some cases, the environment is a key element that cannot be written off as inter-agent communication, as it currently is in classical MAS. In our opinion it is important to focus the MAS around the environment. Reducing the environment to inter-agent communication deprives multi-agent systems of great potential. Our point of view is that the environment is an active entity with its own processes that can change its state, regardless of the activity of its embedded agents. We propose including the environment as an entity with a set of laws, Laws can be considered rules that cannot be broken by agents. However, some researchers have been interested in integrating the environment as first class and have proposed some interesting models. Unfortunately, they are not sustained by practical applications. The aim of this paper is to contribute in two ways: first, to propose an MAS model where an environmental engine is integrated; the capabilities of this model are comparable to those of a physics engine. Second, we propose an implementation of this model and some practical cases where it can bring concrete added value.

1 INTRODUCTION

In the multi-agent system research community, there is general consensus that the environment is important for multi-agent systems (MAS). Most multi-agent frameworks used by the scientific community and industry, such as Mobile-C (Chen et al., 2006), JADE (Bellifemine et al., 1999), JACK (Howden et al., 2001), Restina (Sycara et al., 2003), Zeus (Nwana et al., 1999) reduce the environment to a message transport system or broker infrastructure. Some platforms like MadKit (Gutknecht and Ferber, 2000) and educational platforms such as NetLogo (Tisue and Wilensky, 2004) include a system that allows the location of agents in a 2D space, which can then be assimilated into a minimalist environment. Even in other important works such as FIPA specifications (Suguri, 1999), it is difficult to find functionality for the environment beyond message transport systems or brokers. Some methodologies like Message (Bergenti et al., 2006), Prometheus (Padgham and Winikoff, 2002) and Trops (Bresciani et al., 2004) offer the basic features of an environment, but it is not represented as a fundamental entity in multi-agent systems.

In the literature (Russell and Norvig, 2016; Ferber and Weiss, 1999; Briot and Demazeau, 2001), environments are discussed briefly.

In recent years, the scientific community has talked more and more about integrating the environment as a main part of MAS. Among these works we can mention SODA (Omicini, 2000), a methodology in which the environment is taken into account and that provides specific abstractions and procedures for the design of agent infrastructures. In SODA, the environment is the space in which agents operate and interact.

D.Weyns et al. have shown in (Weyns et al., 2004; Weyns et al., 2015; Weyns and Michel, 2015) that the environment is important for multi-agent systems, and that environment must be considered a first-class entity. To overcome the concept of the environment’s absence in MAS, the scientific community launched

1first-class: A module that is a first class data object of the programming language, e.g. a record containing functions. In a functional language, it is standard to have first class programs, so program building blocks can have the same status. (Free on-line computing dictionary: http://foldoc.org/first-class)
a series of E4MAS (Environments for Multi-agents Systems) workshops in order to relaunch the debate and find new ideas. The first series took place between 2004-2007 ², and the second series ten years later, from 2013-2014 ³.

In the literature, we find the concept of the situated agent. Agents live and act in the environment. Situated agents choose their actions based on their position, the state of their perceived world, and their internal state. Unlike knowledge-based agents, situated agents do not emphasize the internal modeling of the environment. Instead, they use the environment as a source of information. This type of MAS is supposed to include the position of agents in the environment, but Wooldridge and Jennings (Wooldridge and Jennings, 1995) define an agent as: “...a computer system that is situated in some environment, and that is capable of autonomous action in this environment in order to meet its design objectives”. In this definition, “situated agent” refers to an agent in the environment, however the concept of environment remains abstract. The definition does not make explicit what it means for an agent to be situated in an environment; nothing in the definition explicitly refers to the fact that the existence of an agent in an environment entails a social component.

The word “environment” can lead to confusion. To prevent any confusion about the use of the word environment, for the rest of this article we consider the environment to be the space in which the agents evolve and not the software infrastructure on which the agents are executed.

MAS are used in many fields. In the simulation of complex systems, the aim is to reproduce an observed natural system within a multi-agent system. The produced MAS can serve to understand the system and predict its future state. In this paper the aim is to produce a model and implementation of MAS that allow a robot to represent its environment in a multi-agent system, in order to understand the evolution of its environment based on the robot’s own actions and then adapt its behavior accordingly.

So, the robot must make an internal representation of its environment and the other agents evolving within it as a multi-agent system. However, the environment in which the robot evolves is governed by the laws of physics. In this case the environment is considered an entity that acts upon the agents.

The challenge in this article is to propose a multi-agent model that allows the representation of the environment as a main entity, the implementation of environmental laws, certainty that these laws are not transgressed by agents, and finally the ability of the environment to act upon agents.

As an alternative to using the multi-agent system, the robot can use a physics engine to represent its environment. This solution may seem efficient but is difficult to implement; physics engines use Newtonian laws to simulate the behavior of the environment, but to use these laws the robot must know the exact characteristics of each element within the environment, which makes the task complex or virtually impossible. So, the use of MAS is a good compromise.

The next section gives an overview of the principal studies and reflections on MAS environments by different authors.

Afterwards, we highlight our disagreement with these points of view and identify the weaknesses in these concepts. Subsequently, in section 3 we propose our definition of an MAS environment and propose a model. In section 4 we present an implementation of said model. We end by discussing the difficulties and shortcomings of our approach before concluding.

2 REVIEW MULTI-AGENTS
ENVIRONMENT MODELS

In the study (Weyns et al., 2004; Weyns et al., 2015; Weyns and Michel, 2015), several research tracks that include some notion of the environment are shown. In this section, our goal is to summarize the most important among them.

In (Russell and Norvig, 2016), Russell and Norvig propose a simple representation of the environment and its interaction with agents. They argue that “An agent is anything that can be viewed as perceiving its environment through sensors and acting upon the environment through effectors”.

According to Russell and Norvig, the environment holds the following properties: 1) Accessible or inaccessible: indicates whether agents can obtain complete and accurate information about the environment’s state or not 2) Deterministic or nondeterministic: indicates whether a change of state of the environment is only determined by its current state and the actions selected by the agents or not. 3) Static or dynamic: indicates whether the environment may change while an agent deliberates or not. 4) Discrete or continuous: indicates if the number of percepts and actions is limited or not. These properties of environments have now been adopted by most researchers in the multi-agent domain.

²E4MAS - Environments for Multiagent Systems: https://distrinet.cs.kuleuven.be/events/e4mas/
³E4MAS - 10 years later: http://homepage.lnu.se/staff/dawea/events/E4MAS/2014.htm
In (Ferber and Weiss, 1999) J. Ferber proposes another view of an environment: 1) The environment is discrete and composed of a set of cells. 2) Centralized or decentralized environment: the environment can be centralized (the cells are grouped together in a monolithic system) or decentralized (the cells are linked together via a network). Agents evolve and perceive their environment through these cells. However, a distributed environment cell differs in several ways from a centralized environment. 3) Generalized or specialized environment: a generalized model of an environment is independent of the type of actions that can be performed by agents. A specialized model of an environment is characterized by a well-defined set of actions. 4) Influences and reactions to influences: influences come from agents and are attempts to alter the course of events in the environment. The environment produces reactions which in turn cause state changes by combining the influences of all agents, given the local state of the environment and the laws of the world.

In (Parunak, 1997) (Odell et al., 2003) Parunak, Odell et al. define the environment as a space which provides the conditions where agents exist. Parunak, Odell and their colleagues make the distinction between physical and communication environments. 1) The physical environment provides the laws, rules, constraints, and policies that govern and support the physical existence of agents and objects. 2) The communication environment provides processes that govern and support the exchange of ideas, knowledge and information. Functions and structures are commonly employed to exchange communication, such as roles, groups and the interaction protocols between roles and groups.

Specifically in (Parunak, 1997), an MAS is defined as a three-tuple: a set of Agents, an Environment, and a Coupling between them, shown as follows:

\[
\text{MAS} = <\text{Agents}, \text{Environment}, \text{Coupling}>
\]

\[
\text{Agents} = \text{Agent}_1, ..., \text{Agent}_n
\]

\[
\text{Agent}_i = <\text{State}_i, \text{Input}_i, \text{Output}_i, \text{Process}_i>
\]

\[
\text{Environment} = <\text{State}_e, \text{Process}_e>
\]

An agent is defined as a set of states, inputs, outputs and processes. A state is the set of attributes that define the agent, the differences in these attributes being responsible for the variation between different types of agents. Inputs and Outputs are subsets of State, whose variables are coupled to the environment. Inputs and Outputs can represent an agent’s sensors and effectors; they relate the agent to its environment. These are the mechanisms that implement the coupling between the environment and the agents. The process is an autonomously-executing mapping that changes the agents’ states.

The environment is defined as an active entity. It has its own Process that can change its State, independent of the actions of its embedded agents. Inputs and outputs of the embedded agents are coupled to elements of the environment state, but the environment does not distinguish which elements of state are coupled in this fashion. That distinction depends on the agents that exist at any moment, and the capabilities of their sensors and effectors.

In (Rao et al., 1992) Rao et al. describe the characteristics of a generic environment: 1) Agents can evolve in many different ways in the environment; 2) the environment can be affected by several actions at the same time; 3) different goals may not be achievable simultaneously; 4) the actions that best meet the different objectives depend on the state of the environment; 5) the environment can only be detected locally; 6) the rate at which calculations and actions can be performed is reasonably related to the rate of change in the environment. Rao and his colleagues describe the typical characteristics of the outside world in which agent systems are deployed and with which agent systems interact.

In (Demazeau, 2003) Demazeau considers the four essential components of multi-agent systems to be: 1) agents; 2) interactions (structuring elements of internal interactions between entities), 3) organization (structuring elements of entity sets within the MAS), 4) the environment defined as domain-dependent elements to structure external interactions between entities.

In (Ferber, 1997) J. Ferber pointed out that multi-agent systems can be used for the resolution of two major categories of problems: the simulation of complex phenomena and distributed problem solving. We believe that the models described above are too generic to develop a model that can deal with these two issues effectively, and we think that this is the main reason that many researchers ignore integrating the environment in their models; often, the environment is indispensable only in the simulation of complex phenomena. For our part, we think that multi-agent systems are an abstraction of the system we want to simulate. So, it is important to take into account that the agents act on the environment and the environment acts on the agents as well, which is also the case in the previous models. However, our opinions diverge in that (1) the effects of the environment are not experienced in the same way by all agents (2) the agents perceive the environment through sensors, so have information that is likely to be skewed (3) agents may not feel certain effects of the envi-
3 DESCRIPTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT MODEL

We can distinguish two main categories of MAS use: the first being the study of complex phenomena, and the second being distributed problem solving (Ferber, 1997). In the former, the environment plays an essential role. Studies of MAS focused on the environment (Weyns et al., 2004; Weyns et al., 2015; Weyns and Michel, 2015; Russell and Norvig, 2016; Ferber and Weiss, 1999; Odell et al., 2003; Rao et al., 1992; Demazeau, 2003) show two types of difficulties: first, the definition of the environment is too broad, and the term environment has several interpretations, causing a lot of confusion. Second, the functionalities associated with the environment are integrated in and processed by the agents. From our point of view, the environment must be a separate entity, and must interact with and influence the behaviour of agents. In the remainder of this section we describe our vision for the environment and propose a new MAS model along with how to implement it. The model proposed below is strongly inspired by the MAS reviewed in the previous section. We have chosen the points that we think are important in each model.

We think that the environment must be an autonomous and active entity and not integrated into the agents as we have seen previously, for example in messages or brokers. Basically, our approach is to represent the environment as a fully-fledged entity, as it is in (Parunak, 1997). First, we define the environment as a space in which agents evolve. Second, the environment is like a physics engine; it must include inviolable rules. Third, as is the case in classical MAS, the agents act on the environment and change its state. Finally, the environment can change the state and attributes of agents in order to keep its rules inviolable; if an agent tries to transgress an environmental rule, the environment changes the state of the agent in order to maintain its integrity.

In order to think of the environment as a separate entity, we must have a good idea of what the environment is. To this end, we will try to explain the components and functions of an environment in parallel with the real environment that we are representing. Particularly in the simulation of complex phenomena, we try to model the entities and the environment of the system we want to simulate. If we take the example of modelling a multi-agent system and a human or robot agent that evolves in a natural environment, the agent does not have a real view of its environment, but its knowledge of the environment is filtered through and/or distorted by the sensors through which it observes it. We can deduce that the environment is much more complex than the belief of the agent. In this article we propose a model in which users can model MAS for complex environments such as natural ones, for example. Generally speaking, in complex systems many things happen, the agents act on the environment and the environment also acts on the agents. However, the agents can only feel the effects of the environment if they have sensors to feel these effects, which is the major point that differentiates our model from the other models discussed in the previous section.

So, we begin by defining a Multi-Agent System as a three-tuple: a set of Agents, an Environment, and Interactions between them:

\[ \text{MAS} = < \text{Agents, Environment, Interactions} > \]

\[ \text{Agents} = \text{Agent}_1, \ldots, \text{Agent}_n \]

3.1 Environment

We propose that the environment be split into two parts, the first of which would be represented in a monolithic process, while the second would be integrated into the agents (distributed on all agents). 1) The monolithic part of the environment represents the physical and functional characteristics of the environment, such as: the size of the environment, the gravity, etc., depending on the environment we want to represent. 2) Each agent in the system contains part of the environment’s information, as shown in Figure 1. Usually, this information concerns the agent itself; for example: its position in the environment, its energy, etc. It also includes all possible actions that can be performed on the environment, such as move, leave a trace, and so on.

The environment is governed by laws. The environment can be assimilated to a physics engine. Agents evolve inside, and are consequently subject to the laws of the environment. So we can consider that the environment an entity that acts on the agents that evolve within it. These agents can only actually feel the effects of the environment only they are equipped with sensors to perceive the impact of these laws. Of course, the model considers that it is the responsibility
The user (the MAS developer) to determine which laws will be implemented by the environment, and it is also up to the user to determine the attributes of the agents and/or the environment that must change. The environment and the agents are two distinct entities: 1) The agents consist of a set of attributes and knowledge of their possible actions on the environment; these agents can consult their attributes and can perform actions on the environment. 2) The environment has laws; it has the responsibility to enforce them, and checks compliance with these laws at regular time intervals, otherwise automatically changing the attributes of agents in order to respect those laws.

So, we can define the environment as a three-tuple, States, Agents and Process:

\[
\text{Environment} = \langle \text{States}, \text{Rules}, \text{Process} \rangle
\]

\[
\text{States} = \langle \text{SharedAttributes}, \text{InternalAttributes} \rangle
\]

\[
\text{Rules. The environment’s laws are rules that it must uphold. For example, two agents must not be in the same place at once, and the rules are written as follows:}
\]

\[
\text{rule} = \langle \text{expression} \, \text{? action1 : action2} \rangle
\]

A rule is represented as an expression. It corresponds to the law that the environment must check, the two actions corresponding respectively to the actions that must be performed according to whether the rule is respected or not. In the previous example (the rule that prohibits two agents from being in the same place at once), this can represented as follows: if an agent tries to move to a place already occupied by another agent, then prevent the movement; if not do nothing.

The environment is represented as a monolithic process with a set of attributes, and carries out checks from time to time in order to respect its laws. Agents using the environment must first register with the environment. Whenever the agents modify their attributes, they must inform the environment via a message. For example, an agent that moves must therefore modify one or more of its attributes, and at this point the agent informs the environment.

The environment also has the ability to change the attributes of the agents. For example, if the shifting is not possible because of a rule that is not respected, then it informs the agent and returns the values of the attributes to their old values.

\[
\text{Process. An environment has its own process that can change its state, independently of the actions of the embedded agents. The primary purpose of Process is to implement dynamism in the environment e.g. processes that check rules and execute actions, the behavior of objects in the environment, etc.}
\]

3.2 Agent

Each agent is a tuple of State and Process:

\[
\text{Agents} = \text{Agent}_1, \ldots, \text{Agent}_n
\]

\[
\text{Agent}_i = \langle \text{States, process} \rangle
\]

\[
\text{States} = \langle \text{SharedAttributes, InternalAttributes} \rangle
\]
States. Agent attributes are a set of values that define the agent. The structure and variability of these values are not constrained by this definition, and differences in these features are responsible for much of the variation among different kinds of agents.

Agents are defined with two types of attributes: "Shared Attributes" and "Internal Attributes". Shared Attributes are the part of the environment concerning the agent e.g. position of agent in the environment. Internal Attributes define the internal state of the environment e.g. the log of its movements, its goal, internal time etc.

Process. Processes are standalone mappings that change the state of the agent. The agent can perform these processes without being called by an external entity. In terms of calculation, an agent has its own virtual processor.

3.3 Agents and Environment

Interactions

We propose that the multi-agent system be composed of agents and the environment; when both agents and environment are time-based, the state values can vary continuously. The change in a variable resulting from such a flow may be infinitesimal, depending on the Process in the receiving entity and the other energy flows in the system.

To summarize our approach, we can define an Environmental engine-centered situated MAS as follows:

- The agent has filtered (partial) and sometimes distorted knowledge of its environment.
- The environment is governed by laws, and these laws may be known or unknown by the agents.
- The environment acts on the agents.
- Agents act on the environment with actions.
- Agents observe the environment through attributes that can be influenced by the environment.

4 IMPLEMENTATION

In recent decades, many multi-agent architectures, designs and models have emerged. This proliferation is a sign of great interest for multi-agent systems. However, in many cases, the proposals are conceptual and not supported by implementations to validate them. This lack of implementation is due to the difficulty of producing multi-agent systems due to the complexity of the underlying concepts (coordination, interaction, organization, etc). This complexity makes the majority of existing systems difficult to use, and virtually impossible to use by non-specialists of multi-agent systems.

There are two problems (as shown in our brief analysis) to overcome: 1) to present a practical realization to validate our multi-agent model and 2) to present an easy implementation of use. In order to propose a framework compliant with the standard and easy to use for the MAS community, we have chosen to implement the FIPA standard where possible. Currently, FIPA is limited in its capacity to describing the architecture of the system as a whole, the structure agents and communication between agents, etc. As we have already mentioned earlier in this article, unfortunately it is difficult to find the concept of the environment in FIPA. As a result, regarding the implementation of the environment, we have not respected any standard. Currently, the JADE multi-agent platform is widely used by the scientific community, and we have tried to take as much inspiration from it as possible, always with the aim of proposing an easy-to-use framework, and not making the task difficult for users with this new tool.

The FIPA standard proposes a reference model for multi-agent platforms. It proposes a general architecture (see image 3) for which it requires the existence of a certain number of specialized agents: 1) Agent Management System (AMS): the agent that exercises supervisory control over the access and use of the platform; they are responsible for resident agent authentication and record control. Agent 2) Communication Channel (ACC): the agent that provides the route for basic interactions between agents in and out of the platform; it is the implicit communication method that offers reliable and accurate service for message routing. 3) Directory Facilitator (DF): the agent that provides a yellow page service to the multi-agent platform.

The standard also specifies the Agent Communication Language (FIPA-ACL). Agent communication is based on sending messages. It should be noted that there is no restriction on the technology used for the implementation of the platform; for example, for
We are providing a new FIPA-compliant multi-agent framework, written to simplify situated MAS implementation. Our framework is written in C++ and is available under the GPL license, for which the source code and documentation can be downloaded here.\footnote{Last version available: http://djerroud.halim.info/index.php/recherche/mas/gagent/}

5 USE CASE

This section presents a practical case that is difficult to solve using conventional MAS that does not take the environment and its laws into account. Subsequently, we will propose an approach to solve this problem with the architecture proposed in this article.

As an example we will be able to look at the problem of navigation among movable obstacles, as described in (Wu et al., ; Stilman and Kuffner, 2007). The robot evolves in a congested environment with different obstacles. The obstacles are more or less movable or immovable according to their sizes, their weights and their shapes. The robot aims to reach a certain position. So, the robot must find a path among the obstacles and push the obstacles that hinder its passage in order to pass. The solutions proposed in (Levihn et al., 2013; Van Den Berg et al., 2009; Stilman and Kuffner, 2005) are essentially based on deliberative and non-reactive approaches, which is to say by determining the complete path of the robot between an initial and final position using the robot’s vision. In the presented article, the emphasis is on how to move the objects and not on finding the optimal path of least resistance. We propose that the robot make an internal representation of its environment, and then perform simulations before acting. Indeed, drawing its path in the same way but simulating actions before taking them in the physical environment will give the robot the advantage of predicting the behavior of the other agents and said environment. We want to propose a solution that uses the multi-agent system proposed in this article to perform the simulation and the choice of the action.

The robot wants to make an internal representation of its environment in the form of an MAS in order to perform simulations before acting. The simulation will allow it to anticipate the behavior of other agents in the environment, and will also allow it to simulate an action before choosing to apply it in the real environment. In this way, the robot in the environment already has an idea of the results of an action before even applying it. This will enable it to verify whether the action applied to the environment produces the
desired results in the long-term, thus reinforcing its knowledge of the different environmental agents and the laws that govern the environment.

The robot uses its various sensors to observe its environment. For each object detected in the environment, the robot represents it as an agent in the MAS. So, each agent represented in the MAS corresponds to an object in the environment. Moreover, the robot enriches this agent with attributes and possible actions to perform on this agent. For example, the robot observes its environment in which an obstacle hinders its passage, the robot makes a representation in the form of an MAS of its environment, it represents the obstacle as being an agent which is at a distance \((x,y)\) with respect to it, and the agent is enriched with attributes (shape of the object, size, weight). Of course, if the robot does not know the exact characteristics, it will make an estimate and check its database to see if it is already familiar with said object. The robot also enriches the environment by describing how this environment reacts through laws. In this article we limit ourselves to describing the advantages of using the ADM presented previously, and we do not describe the methods used for obstacle detection and estimation.

For the robot to apply the proposed solution, it needs an MAS with an environment and agents.

6 DISCUSSION

In this section, we seek to compare our model of the environment in relation to Ferber’s (Ferber et al., 2004) well-known approach, namely AGRE (Agent-Group-Role and Environment).

In their AGRE (Agent-Group-Role and Environment) architecture, Ferber and his colleague offer an architecture centered around organization, in which agents are organized into groups according to their skills and the environment is a space that may be physical (i.e. geometrical) or social. Physical spaces (called areas) and social spaces represent AGR groups. But, the environment plays the role of a physical medium to locate agents. Agents can do actions in the environment through primitives.

We believe that this approach only allows the modelling of phenomena for which the organization is already known, or those which are already known to be naturally organized. In our approach, however, we model only the characteristics (attributes) and the constraints (laws) of the system to bring out the organization. Moreover, for perspective on our work, we want to put this model into practice in that the agents must discover the environment as well as the laws that govern it only through experimentation. We will give a practical case for our model. The idea is to entrust the task of modelling a multi-agent system to a machine that observes a real environment. The objective is for the machine to observe its environment and build its own model, modifying the parameters until it (and you) have obtained observations that you understand to be the laws that govern the real environment.

So, the difficulty of our approach lies in the fact that there is no generic way to represent the environment; we must first define the components of the environment and the laws that govern it, and finally the way in which it interacts with the agents that evolve in it.

It is important to emphasize that we are forced to propose a new implementation of a multi-agent platform that integrates the two new elements of our model: 1) the environment and its characteristics and 2) the attributes of the agents. We have experimented with integrating these two elements into an existing platform before; as JADE is a platform that is widely used by the MAS community and is under an open source license, which made it easy for us to add modifications. In our previous proposal (Djerroud and Cherif, 2018), we chose to propose an extension for JADE without modification of the existing code. Unfortunately, we were not able to integrate all the elements while respecting this latter constraint, and for this reason we are proposing a new platform.

7 CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

A study on the role of environment in MAS has taught us that the environment is an implicit part of MAS; it is often represented as integrated into agent communication or brokers. This leads to poor engineering practices and prevents MAS users from harnessing the full potential of the environment in MAS.

In this article, we present the environment as being an explicit part of MAS. In addition, we define the environment as a first-order abstraction in MAS that has multiple roles: First, the environment is the part of the world with which agents interact, and in which agents’ effects will be observed and evaluated. Second, the environment describes the world in which the agents evolve through laws and attributes, and it has the ability to act on the agents and modify their states in order to respect the rules of the represented world.

Finally, we propose an implementation that integrates an environment in order to provide a design space that can be exploited by the designer and help
manage the enormous engineering challenges of complex MAS applications.
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