Develop Inverse Models to Track Environmental Pollutants Using Mass Conservation Law for both Normal and Anomalous Transport
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Abstract. When pollutants are found in the environment (such as indoor air, land surface, rivers, and aquifers), one of the major challenges is to identify the source position(s) or the release history of the pollutants. This issue can be most efficiently addressed by the inverse modelling approach, which can directly back track the pollutant’s previous location(s) given the current location and travel time, or calculate the pollutant’s release history given the current and initial positions. It is, however, not trivial to develop the inverse model. Most importantly, both normal and anomalous transport can occur for pollutants in different systems, and how to build inverse models to efficiently back track these quite different transport dynamics using a unified, physically reasonable approach remains a historical challenge. This study develops inverse models for pollutants undergoing either normal transport or super-diffusive, anomalous transport using the well-known and universal mass conservation law. Results show that the combination of the mass balance law with reversing time and the standard Taylor series expansion leads to the inverse model for normal transport, while the mass balance law combined with the Grünwald approximation of fractional derivative builds the inverse model for anomalous transport. Numerical solvers are also developed to approximate the forward and inverse models, so that this study provides convenient tools to identify environmental pollutants with a wide range of intrinsic heterogeneity.

1. Introduction

World-wide contamination such as the global and continuous deterioration of fresh water resources is jeopardizing our environment, economy, and society. When pollutants are found in the environment, one of the major concerns is its original source location if the travel time or age of the pollutants is known, or the release history of the pollutants if the source is known [1]. Environmental management and contaminant remediation require previous properties of the pollutant, which can be most efficiently obtained using the inverse modeling approach [2]. The inverse models can directly back
track the position where the pollutants originated, or the backward time when the pollutants first entered the system. Although the inverse models can be extremely helpful, it is not trivial to develop reliable inverse models. The sensitivity analysis approach proposed by Neupauer and Wilson [2] has been regarded as the most reliable method to build the inverse models for Fickian-diffusive pollutants; see the review by Liu and Zhai [3] and Cheng and Jia [4].

There are however two historical challenges in the sensitivity analysis approach. Firstly, it is a complex statistical approach, where the multi-step statistical analysis (i.e., the adjoint probability and marginal performance) is difficult to be interpreted physically by most users. Second, it was applied mainly for pollutants undergoing normal transport due to Fickian diffusion (where the variance of pollutant displacement increases linearly in time) in relatively homogeneous media. Anomalous transport with a nonlinear evolution of the variance of pollutant displacement, however, has been increasingly documented in real-world systems which can be heterogeneous with multi-scale intrinsic heterogeneity, such as rivers, soil, and aquifers; see for example, Ref. [5–7], among many others.

This study aims at developing a physically sound approach based on the mass conservation (which should be valid for both the forward-in-time and backward-in-time processes) to derive inverse models for pollutants in both relatively homogeneous and strongly heterogeneous media. Particularly, we consider super-diffusive, anomalous transport of pollutants along preferential flow paths, such as fractures or interconnected ancient channels in subsurface that can substantially enhance the motion of pollutants and therefore pose a high risk to the ecosystem.

The rest of this work is organized as follows. In Section 2, we apply the mass conservation law combined with the standard Taylor series approximation to derive the inverse model for pollutants undergoing normal transport. This methodology is then extended for anomalous transport in Section 3. Section 4 shows the numerical solver for the forward and inverse models with numerical examples and validations, and the relationship between the models is then discussed in Section 5. Conclusions are finally drawn in Section 6.

2. Development of inverse model for normal transport

For simplicity, here we consider the pollutant particle (in forward-in-time) moves or backward probability expands in one direction (x). Note that the following methodology can be conveniently extended to multiple dimensions, since it is not dimension limited.

Let \( M_i \) be the number of particles (which can carry backward probabilities in the inverse model) in cell \( i \), the particle density in this cell is then given by:

\[
P_i = \frac{M_i}{u_i},
\]

where \( u_i \) [L^1] is the volume of cell \( i \). If the particle generally moves from cell \( i \) to cell \( i+1 \) under ambient conditions, then the particle number flux from cell \( i+1 \) to cell \( i \) per unit area and per unit time in the backward-in-time process is [8]:

\[
F_x = \frac{\left( \frac{1}{2} + \varphi_i \right) M_i \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} + \varphi_i \right) M_{i+1} \right]}{\omega} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} + \varphi_i \right) P_i - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \varphi_i \right) P_{i+1} \right] R \Delta x,
\]

where the parameter \( \varphi_i \) [dimensionless] represents the difference in probabilities when particles jump forward and backward along the x-axis (\( \varphi_i > 0 \)); \( \omega \) [L^1] is the area of the cell normal to the x-axis; \( R \) [T^{-1}] is the number of jumps per unit time for each particle; and \( \Delta x \) [L] is the cell length. Using the following Taylor series approximation

\[
P(x + \Delta x, s) = P(x, s) + \frac{\partial P(x, s)}{\partial x} \Delta x + O(\Delta x^2),
\]

where \( O() \) denotes the truncation error, and \( s \) denotes the backward time. Equation (2) can then be written as:
When \( \Delta x \to 0 \), it is obviously that \((\phi_i + \phi_{i+1}) R \Delta x \to v \) (where \( v \) represents the mean drift). Also, according to Fick’s law \((8)\), one has \((\phi_{i+1} - 1/2) R \Delta x^2 \to D \) (where \( D \) denotes the dispersion coefficient). The above equation becomes:

\[
F_x = v P + D \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} .
\]  

We assume that the total number of particles remains stable during jumps; or in other words, we only consider the transport of conservative pollutants. The conservation of particle mass also means the conservation of the number of particles. Substituting equation \((5)\) into the mass conservation equation (for backward-in-time processes)

\[
\frac{\partial P}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} F_x ,
\]

we obtain the inverse model for normal transport in relatively homogeneous systems:

\[
\frac{\partial P(x,s)}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ v P(x,s) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D \frac{\partial P(x,s)}{\partial x} \right] .
\]  

The above formula is the well-known Kolmogorov backward equation, which is also the inverse model of the 2nd-order advection-dispersion equation (ADE) derived by Neupauer and Wilson \([2]\) using the sensitivity analysis based, complex statistical approach mentioned above. The forward-in-time ADE model takes the form:

\[
\frac{\partial C(x,t)}{\partial t} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ v C(x,t) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D \frac{\partial C(x,t)}{\partial x} \right] .
\]  

Compared to the forward-in-time ADE model \((8)\), the inverse model \((7)\) reverses the flow field while keeping the dispersive (backward probability) flux term unchanged (which is called “self-adjoint” by Neupauer and Wilson \([2]\)) to back track pollutant source or age. The “self-adjoint” dispersion in the inverse model \((7)\) is due to the physical interpretation of backward tracking, because the backward location (or travel time) probability distribution function (PDF) expands when moving backward further, representing the increasing uncertainty for the identification of the pollutant’s source position (or age) with an increase backward time \( s \) (or the backward travel distance). Here the backward location PDF provides the probability for all upstream locations being the source position for a given detection of pollutants with a known age. The backward travel time PDF describes the probability of a certain time required for the pollutant particle to travel between the detection well and its known source location. Both above PDFs can be calculated from the inverse model \((7)\), using the particle tracking approach discussed in Section 4.

3. Development of inverse model for anomalous transport

The forward-in-time, spatial fractional advection-dispersion equation (fADE) was derived by Schumer et al. \([8]\) using the mass conservation approach similar to the one used above, except for the standard Taylor series expansion \((3)\) (which is no longer valid for anomalous jumps). The generalized Taylor series proposed by Osler \([9]\) was applied by Schumer et al. \([8]\) to replace formula \((3)\) and then derive the fADE model (see for example, equation (10) in Schumer et al. \([8]\)):

\[
P(x + \Delta x, s) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j=+\infty} D^{j+q}_\beta P(x, s) \frac{\Delta x}{\Gamma(j+q+1)} = D^{q}_\beta P(x, s) \frac{\Delta x^q}{\Gamma(q+1)} + O(\Delta x^q) ,
\]  

where the operator \(D^{j+q}_\beta P\) denotes the Reimann-Liouville fractional derivative with order \( j + q \) \((j \) is an integer number) and skewness \( \beta \) \([\text{dimensionless}]\) \((-1 \leq \beta \leq 1)\), and \( \Gamma \) \([\text{dimensionless}]\) is the Gamma function. The second equality in \((9)\) can be used to replace the standard Taylor series
expansion (3) and derive the inverse fADE model. However, the above formula (9) is questionable in definition [10]. In addition, it cannot be used to capture the important drift displacement of pollutant particles (since the second equality in (9) does not contain the first-order term of P(x,s)), requiring the additional and debatable assumption of Galilei variant [11,8].

Here we fix the above issue by adopting the zero-shifted Grünwald approximation proposed by Meerschaert and Tadjeran [12]:

\[
\frac{\partial^q P(x,s)}{\partial (-x)^q} \approx \frac{1}{(\Delta x)^q} \sum_{j=0}^{N} g_j P(x + j \Delta x, s),
\]

where \( q \) [dimensionless] \( (0 < q \leq 1) \) is the scale index indicating the order of fractional differentiation; the symbol \( \partial^q / \partial (-x)^q \) denotes the (negative) Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative (note that the negative fractional derivative is selected here since the inverse process exhibits an opposite skewness for the preferential displacement compared to its forward-in-time counterpart; see our recent work in [13]); \( N \) [dimensionless] is a sufficiently large number of grid points in the downstream direction; and \( g_j \) [dimensionless] is the Grünwald weight defined by:

\[
g_j = \frac{\Gamma(j-q)}{\Gamma(-q)\Gamma(j+1)}. \tag{11}\]

Inserting (11) into (10), and then approximating the negative fractional derivative using the first two major terms (note that the contribution from the remaining terms in (10) is negligible due to their small weights [14]), we have

\[
\frac{\partial^q P(x,t)}{\partial (-x)^q} \approx \frac{1}{(\Delta x)^q} P(x, t) - \frac{q}{(\Delta x)^q} P(x + \Delta x, t),
\]

which can be re-arranged as:

\[
P(x, t) \approx \frac{1}{q} P(x, t) \frac{(\Delta x)^q}{q} \frac{\partial^q P(x,t)}{\partial (-x)^q} \tag{13}.
\]

The approximation (13) reduces to equation (3) when \( q = 1 \), implying that the Grünwald approximation (10) can be used to obtain the generalized Taylor series expansion.

Combining equations (13) and (2), and then using the mass conservation law (6), we obtain the inverse model for pollutants undergoing super-diffusive anomalous transport:

\[
\frac{\partial P}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( v^* P \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D^* \frac{\partial^q P}{\partial (-x)^q} \right],
\]

which leads to the following inverse model if all parameters are constant:

\[
\frac{\partial P}{\partial s} = v^* \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + D^* \frac{\partial^q P}{\partial (-x)^q}, \tag{15}
\]

where the index \( \alpha = q + 1 \) [dimensionless].

The forward-in-time counterpart of (15) is the following fADE model [13]:

\[
\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = -v^* \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + D^* \frac{\partial^q P}{\partial (-x)^q}, \tag{16}
\]

which has been widely used to quantify pollutant/material transport in heterogeneous systems [15~18].

4. Numerical solutions and validations

The above forward and inverse models can be approximated by a particle-tracking based, fully Lagrangian solver. First, for the forward-in-time ADE model (8), we build the following Langevin equation, which describes a Markov process for particle tracking:
\[
dX(t) = v(x) \, dt + \xi \sqrt{2D} \, d\tau, \quad (17)
\]
where \(dX(t) \, [L]\) is a differential distance of travel, \(X = X(t)\) is the current particle location, \(dt \, [T]\) is a differential unit of time, and \(\xi\) denotes independent normally distributed random variables with zero mean and unit variance. Here we assume \(D\) is constant; otherwise an additional drift, \(\left(\frac{dD}{dx}\right)dt\), should be added in (17) to account for the impact of the spatial variation of \(D\) on particle dynamics. It is also noteworthy that here the velocity \(v\) can vary in space, although this variation is not needed for a one-dimensional model. The following Langevin equation corresponds to the inverse ADE model (7):
\[
dX(s) = -v(x) \, ds + \xi \sqrt{2D} \, ds, \quad (18)
\]
where \(ds \, [T]\) is the differential unit of time for backward tracking.

Second, for the forward fADE model (16), the corresponding Langevin equation is:
\[
dX(t) = v^*(x) \, dt + \left[-\cos\left(\frac{\pi \alpha}{2}\right) \, D^* \, dt\right]^{1/\alpha} \, d\ell^\beta_{\alpha=+1}, \quad (19)
\]
where \(d\ell^\beta_{\alpha=+1}\) denotes a Lévy \(\alpha\)-stable random noise with maximally positive skewness, one scale, and zero shift [19]. The Langevin equation for the inverse fADE model (15) takes the form:
\[
dX(s) = -v^*(x) \, ds + \left[-\cos\left(\frac{\pi \alpha}{2}\right) \, D^* \, ds\right]^{1/\alpha} \, d\ell^\beta_{\alpha=-1}, \quad (20)
\]
where \(d\ell^\beta_{\alpha=-1}\) denotes a Lévy \(\alpha\)-stable random noise with maximally negative skewness, one scale, and zero shift [19]. After obtaining the trajectory of each random walker, the particle number density provides the solution of each transport model, which can be converted to the backward location and travel time PDFs. This is because the spatial distribution (i.e., the resident number density) of particles at a given time is related to the backward location PDF, while the flux number density of particles at a given control plane is related to the backward travel time PDF [2,13].

Eularian solvers can also be developed to approximate the above forward and backward models, by extending for example the implicit Eulerian finite difference scheme developed by Meerschaert and Tadjeran [12].

The above numerical solvers were tested extensively. A few examples are shown in Figure 1, where the model parameters are as follows: \(\alpha = 1.5, v^* = 1, \) and \(D^* = 5\). In Figure 1b, the inverse 2nd-order ADE (7) is also shown for comparison (whose solution is multiplied by a factor 0.5 for a better visual). The four crosses in Figure 1b, which describe four possible (backward) point source positions with different probabilities and can be linked with the forward resident concentration showing in Figure 1a, illustrate the equivalence between the forward and the backward location PDFs for the fADEs. This equivalence confirms for the first time the result in Zhang et al. [20], who found that the backward location PDF should be an image of (and therefore should equal) the forward location PDF for super-diffusive pollutants when the backward time \(s\) and the forward time \(t\) have the same magnitude.
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Figure 1. Numerical experiments. (a) Forward location PDF for model (16) at a forward time \( t = 50 \) for four possible source locations \( (x_0) \). (b) The corresponding backward location PDF for model (15) at time \( s = 50 \), from the detection well located as \( x_d = 0 \). Circles denote the Lagrangian solutions (with 10^6 particles and 10^7 time steps), and lines denote the Eulerian solutions. See the main text for other model parameters.

In addition, the backward location PDF for normal diffusion distributes symmetrically in space (around the most likely source position at \(-v\cdot s = -1\times 50 = -50\)) (Figure 1b), implying the equal probability for pollutant particles to jump downstream and upstream due to Fickian diffusion during each motion. The backward location PDF for anomalous diffusion, however, is highly skewed with a prolonged tail toward the upstream zones (Figure 1b), revealing the contribution of potential preferential flow paths on pollutants that can convey pollutant particles from a long distance upstream in a short time.

5. Discussion
The forward/backward models for anomalous transport can be reduced to the models for normal transport. For example, when \( q = 1 \) (representing the end member of the media, which is the homogeneous medium), the inverse model (14) for heterogeneous media reduces to model (7) for the relatively homogeneous media. In addition, when \( q = 1 \), the forward model (16) reduces to the classical ADE model (8) with constant parameters. Hence, the forward/backward models for anomalous transport contain the forward/backward ADE models as special cases. “Normal” transport, therefore, might be regarded as an end member of anomalous transport. Or in other words, all real-world systems are heterogeneous (note that strictly speaking, there is no absolutely homogeneous system in nature), and the “homogeneous” system might just be an ideal case with a negligible degree of heterogeneity [21].

It is also noteworthy that the Langevin equation for the forward/backward anomalous transport cannot be directly linked to those for normal transport. For example, in equation (19), although the \( \alpha \)-stable random variable \( dL_{\alpha}^{\beta+1} \propto (dt)^{\beta+1/\alpha} \) (which is on the same order as \( \sqrt{d\ell} \) in equation (17) when \( \alpha = 2 \)), the \( \alpha \)-stable Lévy motion described by (19) has a different scaling factor \( (D^{\star})^{1/\alpha} \) than that \( (\sqrt{2D}) \) in the Brownian motion in (17). This discrepancy is simply due to the fact that a standard stable with \( \alpha \rightarrow 2 \) is not standard normal [22]. Numerical experiments (not shown here) do confirm that the solution of (19) (or (20)) with \( \alpha = 2 \) is similar to (17) (or (18)), as expected.

6. Conclusions
Long-term environmental management, protection, and remediation require the previous properties of pollutants detected in the natural media (such as air, rivers, ocean, land slope, soil, and aquifers),
including for example the pollutant source locations and the release time, which can be quantified mathematically by the backward location probability density function and the backward travel time probability density function, respectively. Both PDFs can be obtained conveniently and reliably by solving the appropriate inverse model, whose derivation however remains a challenge. Transport process for pollutants can also exhibit either normal behaviour (in ideal, homogeneous media) or anomalous behaviour (in heterogeneous media). There is lack of a physically clear method that can build inverse models for a wide range of transport behaviours, which motivated this study. Three major conclusions are drawn from this work.

First, the universal mass conversation law, when combined with the appropriate Taylor series expansion, can build the inverse models for both normal and anomalous transport. The standard Taylor series expansion leads to the inverse model for normal transport following the classical 2nd-order advection-dispersion equation, while a corrected, generalized Taylor series expansion (owing to the Gr"unwald approximation) is needed to derive the inverse counterpart for the fractional advection-dispersion equation model that has been widely used by hydrologists to quantify super-diffusive anomalous transport in natural geological deposits.

Second, cautions are needed when deriving the inverse models using the mass conversation law. The time needs to be reversed, and the dispersive jumps of particles also need to be skewed to the opposite direction if the jumping probability along the downstream and upstream directions is no longer symmetric. The spatial direction, however, remains unchanged, since the drift is now reversed.

Third, a particle-tracking based Lagrangian solver is developed and validated to approximate all the forward and inverse models. Hence, this study may provide convenient tools to identify environmental pollutants. Real-world applications will be conducted to check the feasibility of the proposed technique in a future study.
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