Experimental Investigation of the Water Erosion Resistance of Turbine Blade Materials at Different Impact Angle
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Abstract. In order to simulate the water erosion process of blades in final turbine stage under the actual operating conditions, the water erosion experiment system has been designed and built in the present research. High-speed water jet was used to impact three kinds of structural steel material under three impact angle (30°, 60°, and 90°) to obtain the cumulative erosion-time curves of specimen. The incubation period and maximum erosion rate are chosen as the criterion to evaluate the erosion resistance of the testing materials. Based on the mechanical properties of testing materials, it is figured out that water erosion resistance of materials is influenced by different mechanical properties (hardness, tensile Strength, elasticity and shear modulus) at different stages. According to the characteristic size changes in width and depth of erosion craters, it is verified that the shear effect of lateral jet is greater than the impact effect of normal jet.

1. Introduction
In the steam turbine of modern large-scale thermal and nuclear power plants, there is always two-phase condensation flow of wet steam. The steam humidity reduces the working efficiency. Meanwhile, a large number of water droplets entrained in the steam may cause serious water erosion damage to blades, which reduces the fatigue life of blades and threatens the safe operation of the equipment seriously. Therefore, studying the factors influencing the water erosion resistance and its changing rules are of great engineering value for development of new blades.

In recent years, many researchers have devoted themselves to the theoretical study of the mechanism of water erosion. However, due to the complexity of water erosion process, a set of complete and mature theoretical system has not yet been formed. So many researchers have adopted experimental methods to simulate the water erosion process. Adopting the experimental method and scanning electron micrograph, Mann [1] respectively studied the erosion progress of the titanium alloy, laser hardened Hadfield steel, plasma nitrided and pack borided 12Cr steel under high-speed jet impingement. Briscoe [2] designed and built a set of water jet device. The jet erosion process of a
polymer–particle coating system was investigated. Based on the experimental data, two modified wear models were proposed. Hancox [3] studied the influence of surface roughness on erosion effect. It was found that when the surface roughness changed from 12 to 1 μm, the erosion effect decreased by 4 times, but when the surface roughness was greater than 12 μm, the surface roughness had no effect on the erosion performance. Seleznev [4] simulated the erosion process of constructional steels and alloys impacted by droplet and drew the material mass loss curves. Mahdipoor [5] also adopted experimental method to investigate the water erosion resistance of WC-Co coatings deposited by high velocity oxygen fuel process. The erosion mechanism of brass, stainless steel and alloy was studied through the rotating jet impact test platform by Thomas [6]. Based on the analysis of applied energy intensity, three kinds of structural materials were tested under several erosion condition by Kirols [7]. In his study, a severity coefficient (ζ) was proposed to compare the results done at various erosion conditions or on different test rigs. The author mentioned that different droplet diameter and impinging velocity may produce the same erosion effect. Heymann [8] proposed a relationship of the maximum erosion rate, water droplet diameter, crater size, and other parameters. Oke [9] studied the water erosion characteristics of different ceramic materials, cermet coatings and martensitic stainless steels. Thiruvengadam [10] used experimental methods to determine the threshold velocity and threshold water pressure of jet damage for the specific material. According to the experimental results, the function relation between water erosion rate and erosion time was proposed. The influence of target surface shape coefficient on water erosion process was pointed out. On the basis of experimental data, Lee [11] proposed a new model for water erosion analysis. The specific fitting formula of water flow rate, erosion velocity, water droplet diameter, target material hardness and average erosion rate were put forward.

To sum up, many scholars have done a lot of research in the water erosion investigation. However, due to the limitation of the equipment, most of experimental conditions did not reach the actual operation parameters of turbine blade. With the continuous updating of materials, the early research results have not been of great reference value for the design and manufacture new blade. In order to solve this problem, an experimental platform for water erosion is introduced in this paper. The water erosion characteristics of three kinds of steam turbine blade materials under three impact angles are given and the difference of their water erosion resistance are compared. Based on the mechanical property parameters and local micrographs, the characteristic size change law of erosion cracks is obtained. The results could provide theoretical support for the design and manufacture of new steam turbine blades.

2. Experimental system and material information

2.1. Introduction of experimental system

In order to study the water erosion characteristics of low pressure blades of turbine and obtain the water erosion resistance of commonly used materials, a rotating test platform for water erosion characteristics of low pressure blades of turbine was designed and constructed in the present study. The basic principle is shown in figure 1 and the physical picture is shown in figure 2.
2.2. Information of testing materials
In this experiment, three kinds of high strength structural steel materials were used as test objects, and the specific parameters of materials are shown in table 1. Each material was used to make experimental specimen with three angles in order to compare the effect of material property and impact angle on the water erosion characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial number</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Hardness (HB)</th>
<th>Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Elasticity Modulus ($\times10^5$MPa)</th>
<th>Shear Modulus ($\times10^4$MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1#</td>
<td>Martensitic stainless steel</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>1295</td>
<td>1.973</td>
<td>7.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2#</td>
<td>Precipitation hardening steel</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>1517</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3#</td>
<td>Heat-resisting stainless steel</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>2.066</td>
<td>7.915</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Experimental method
3.1. Experimental condition
The experimental system can simultaneously carry out high-speed jet erosion test on the testing material specimen. The experimental conditions used in this paper are shown in the table 2.
Table 2. Experimental conditions in the present study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Rotate Speed (r/min)</th>
<th>Vacuum Pressure (kPa)</th>
<th>Nozzle Pressure (MPa)</th>
<th>Nozzle diameter (μm)</th>
<th>Impact Velocity (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>234.43</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>638.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this paper, 3D ultra-depth microscopy was used to observe the surface micrograph of specimen and obtain the characteristic size of erosion craters. In order to plot the cumulative erosion-time curves, the precision balance was used to obtain the mass loss of the specimen. At the initial stage of the experiment, specimen were weighed at a shorter time interval to determine the fine mass changes. In the later stage, when the erosion rate is stable, a longer interval was used to save the time and improve the experimental efficiency.

3.2. Layout of the testing specimen

The layout of the specimen is shown in figure 3. The testing specimen and the fan-shaped blocks were arranged in the wheel disc alternately and tightly. Then the pressing plate was covered to fix the relative position of the testing specimen during the experiment. The signals of rotor speed and vibration were monitored by sensors to ensure the stable and safe operation of the system.

As shown in figure 3, the testing specimen were manufactured into different shapes to ensure a certain impact angle between water jet and target surface. In the present study, three kinds of specimen shapes correspond to the three impact angles (30°, 60°, and 90°). In order to facilitate statement, these three types of specimen are called 30° specimen, 60° specimen and 90° specimen hereinafter respectively.

![Figure 3. The testing sample layout diagram.](image)

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Cumulative Erosion-Time Curve

According to the ASTM standard G73-10[12], an erosion curve is usually divided into five sections: (a) incubation stage, (b) acceleration stage, (c) maximum erosion rate stage, (d) deceleration stage, and (e) terminal steady state stage. The cumulative curves of the present testing material specimen are shown from figure 4. As can be seen from the figures, for the martensitic stainless steel, at the initial stage of the experiment, the water erosion rate of 90° and 60° specimen were greater than that of 30° specimen. The mass loss rate of the 90° specimen was obviously accelerated at 120 minutes of erosion and decreased slightly at 240 minutes. For the precipitation hardening steel material, there was obvious water erosion incubation period at the initial stage for 30° and 60° specimen because of little mass loss. For the heat-resisting stainless steel, at the beginning of the experiment, the erosion
rate of 60° and 90° specimen remained alike and the 30° specimen had almost no mass loss. However, 90° specimen had a longer time of water erosion acceleration, resulting in more accumulated mass loss than 60° specimen. And the mass loss of 30° specimen was the least.

![Cumulative erosion-time curve of testing material.](image)

Figure 4. Cumulative erosion-time curve of testing material.

4.2. Water erosion resistance performance
According to the ASTM standard G73-10[12], based on the cumulative erosion-time curves, the tangent at the point of maximum erosion rate was plotted and the corresponding equations were obtained. The intercept in the x axis and slope of each tangent represents the corresponding equivalent incubation period \((I_p)\) and the maximum erosion rate \((Q_e)\), which are given in figure 5. For the present testing material, the incubation period of 30° specimen is the longest, 90° specimen shorter and 60° specimen is the shortest. Specimen of precipitation hardening steel shows the longest incubation period at different impact angles, followed by heat-resisting stainless steel and martensitic stainless steel specimen is the shortest.
As can be seen from figure 5, as the angle of water erosion increases, the maximum mass erosion rate increases. For precipitation hardening steel, the maximum mass erosion rate is obviously smaller than that of other two kinds of materials, which shows its superior erosion resistance to other two kinds of materials. It can be reduced from the comparison between martensitic stainless steel and heat-resistant stainless steel, that elastic modulus and shear modulus are the main factors affecting the water erosion incubation period. The incubation time of martensitic stainless steel material is shorter due to its higher elastic modulus and shear modulus. However, once the defect occurs, the influence of elastic modulus and shear modulus is weakened, and the effect of hardness and tensile strength on the material is enhanced. Therefore, the heat-resisting stainless steel shows a smaller maximum rate of water erosion.

4.3. Water-erosion morphology

The microscopic 3D morphology of martensitic stainless steel material at 90° impact angle are given in figure 6. It can be seen that only a series of discrete craters were produced in the initial erosion stage. Then the number of craters increased and eventually developed into an erosion groove. Under the scouring effect of the lateral jet, the edge of the water erosion groove was smoothed gradually.

Figure 6. 3D Micrograph of water erosion area of martensitic stainless steel material 90° specimen.
The erosion groove size of 60° specimen for precipitation hardening steel at different times are shown in table 3. It can be seen that the expansion rate of width was obviously higher than that of depth at the beginning of the experiment, which indicates that the main reason for the expansion of the grooves is the shear effect of the lateral jet at this stage. After that, due to the formation of water film on the side wall, the expansion of the groove width is slowed down.

Table 3. Characteristic sizes of erosion grooves for 60° specimen of precipitation hardening steel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time(min)</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>39</th>
<th>87</th>
<th>117</th>
<th>237</th>
<th>357</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth(μm)</td>
<td>13.73</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>77.93</td>
<td>121.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width(μm)</td>
<td>33.27</td>
<td>133.8</td>
<td>335.43</td>
<td>393.8</td>
<td>495.17</td>
<td>498.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusions
The experimental investigation on water erosion characteristics of three kinds of structural steel materials at three impact angles was carried out in the present study. A detailed analysis of the water erosion characteristics of each material of each stage and the curves of mass loss were obtained.

The water erosion resistance of three kinds of materials were compared based on the incubation time ($I_p$) and maximum erosion rate ($Q_e$). According to the mechanical parameters of materials, it is found that the incubation time is mainly influenced by elastic modulus and shear modulus. Once the defect occurs, the effect of hardness and tensile strength on the material is gradually enhanced.

The influence of impact angle on the water erosion resistance of materials was studied. On the one hand, the greater impact angle causes the greater erosion rate. On the other hand, the 60° specimen shows the shortest erosion incubation period for the testing three materials, which indicates that there is the most dangerous water impact angle under the present experiment condition.

For the erosion grooves, the expansion rate of width was 2 times greater than that of the depth in the early stage of the experiment, which indicates that the lateral jet produced by high-speed jet impingement is the main factor of erosion groove expansion. Once the defect on the surface formed, the shear effect of the lateral jet accelerated the expansion of the groove size, which resulted in the acceleration of the mass loss. In the late erosion stage, due to the formation of the water film on the side wall, the expansion speed of the groove size was slowed down to different degrees.
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