Modeling Method for Temperature Anomaly Analysis
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Abstract: This study applies intelligent analytical methods to analyze temperature anomaly events during the past seven centuries of countries in the Southeast Asia including Thailand, Malaysia, Myanmar, and Cambodia. The temperature reconstruction during the years 1300 to 1999 were used as data source for anomaly analysis. In the analytical process, correlation analysis was applied to initially investigate the temperature variability concordance among the Southeast Asian countries. The results are that temperature variability patterns in Thailand, Myanmar, and Cambodia are moderately correlated to each other. On the contrary, the temperature variation patterns of Malaysia do not correlate to other countries in the same region. The further in-depth analysis focuses on the temperature anomaly of Thailand that shows high variability from the 14th to 16th centuries. Several machine learning algorithms had been applied to estimate the temperature anomaly of Thailand based on the anomaly events among the neighbors. The learned models reveal that Myanmar temperature anomaly most associate to the Thailand’s temperature variation. The performance of each model had been assessed and the results reveal that the chi-squared automatic interaction detection, or CHAID, is the best one with 0.624 correlation coefficient and relative error around 0.611.

1 INTRODUCTION

Climate change has been reported to have strong influence over various natural dangers such as global wildfires (Jolly et al., 2015), major volcanic eruptions (Fujiwara et al., 2015), intense tropical cyclones (Wing, Emanuel, and Solomon, 2015), mega-heatwave (Sánchez-Benítez et al., 2018), and extreme cold (Hartmann, 2015; Liu et al., 2015). Temperature and precipitation anomalies are two important factors to estimate climate changes. To assess climate variation and trends, researchers deploy several interpolating techniques, for instance, analyzing the stratospheric temperature change (Seidel et al., 2016), estimating the Antarctic and Arctic surface air temperature anomalies over land and sea ice (Comiso et al., 2017; Dodd et al., 2015; Francis and Vavrus, 2015; Turner et al., 2016), examining the cloud amount anomalies (Liu and Key, 2016), and observing wind and temperature over the ocean surface (Dong and Dai, 2015; England et al., 2014; Randel and Wu, 2015). These techniques require temperature record as a major source of information for the climate variation assessment. Temperature reading using thermometer from the ground-based weather stations and instrumental reading from ships and buoys are common form of temperature data acquisition. But the major shortcoming of this kind of data source is that the instrumental data are available for only the past one or two centuries. To observe temperature trends and variations over a long period spanning across several centuries or a millennium, scientists have to rely on some forms of natural proxy records such as tree rings (Cai et al., 2018; Seim, 2016) and sediments from lakes (Li et al., 2017; McColl, 2016). Such natural-based reconstruction data are now complemented with the state-of-the-art reanalysis technique that combines instrumental record with satellite observations to form an atmospheric data set suitable for studying climate change (Cowtan and Way, 2014; Donat and Sillmann, 2014; Kobayashi et al., 2015; Saha et al., 2014; Simmons et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2018). Reanalysis data are now widely adopted for observing temperature trends in many areas.
globally (Kern et al., 2016; Song et al., 2016; Way and Bonnaventure, 2015).

In this work, we use reanalysis data of surface temperature anomaly in eastern and south-central Asia (Shi et al., 2015) to analyze the anomaly association patterns among four countries in the Southeast Asia. We apply correlation analysis and machine learning techniques to capture the anomaly association patterns. The applied machine learning techniques include artificial neural network (ANN), classification and regression tree (CART), and chi-squared automatic interaction detection (CHAID). Machine learning has recently been applied to the climatology domain, but the technique is limited to cluster analysis (Horton et al., 2015; Kretschmer et al., 2018). This work introduces a classification scheme to support the work of climatologists as well as to expand the frontier of climate change study.

2 ANOMALY ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

2.1 Area of Study

We focus our anomaly analysis on the neighborhood countries of Thailand sharing some common characteristics based on the climatic type (Figure 1).

Thailand locates at 102.5 longitude and 17.5 latitude. Country in the northwest is Myanmar (102.5 longitude, 2.5 latitude) with the same tropical wet and tropical wet and dry climate zones as in the north and the west parts of Thailand. Cambodia in the east (107.5 longitude, 12.5 latitude) is in the tropical wet and dry zone sharing the same climate type as the northeastern of Thailand. Malaysia in the south (102.5 longitude, 17.5 latitude) is in the tropical wet zone as most southern part of Thailand.

2.2 Temperature Anomaly Analysis Steps

To study the temperature anomaly patterns of countries in the Southeast Asia, we perform the following steps of data analytics:

**Step 1: Data Extraction.** The temperature reconstruction data during the rainy season (June-July-August) of the four countries are extract from the original data set that contains surface temperature anomaly of 126 countries in the east and central Asia. These data had been reconstructed in 2015 by Feng Shi from China and his international team using hundreds of proxy climate data (Shi et al., 2015) Data are made publicly available by the National Centers for Environmental Information (http://ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/18635).

**Step 2: Correlation Analysis.** Surface temperature anomalies of the selected four countries during the years 1300 to 1999 are analyzed with Pearson correlation to explore their association of anomaly event occurrence.

Figure 1: Geographical map of the study area in Southeast Asia (shown on the above map) covering (1) Myanmar, (2) Thailand, (3) Cambodia, and (4) Malaysia, with the climate chart (on the bottom) showing the two weather styles of this region: tropical wet along the coastal areas of Myanmar, Thailand, and Malaysia and tropical wet and dry in the mainland regions. (sources: http://www.nationsonline.org/oneworld/map/physical_world_map_3200.htm and http://www.asiafastfacts.com/asiaclimate.html).

**Step 3: Predictive Model Building.** We apply five learning algorithms to construct a predictive model with Thailand’s temperature anomaly as a
target of the model. These algorithms are ANN, CART, CHAID, linear regression, and generalized linear model.

Step 4: Model Evaluation. The five models are assessed based on their correlation metric and relative error on predicting the target event. The best model with the highest correlation and the lowest error is to be reported as the temperature anomaly estimator.

3 ANALYSIS RESULTS

3.1 Correlation Analysis Result of Temperature Variability

From the exploration of temperature anomalies among the four Southeast Asian countries (summarized in Table 1), we found that temperature in Cambodia is the most fluctuate one with the variance as high as 0.171. Cambodia also shows the cold period with its minimum temperature anomaly at -1.688 °C. The country showing clearly the warm period during the past millennium is Malaysia with the mean temperature anomaly at 0.093 °C. In the 18th century while Cambodia was in the cold phase, Malaysia was in the warm phase (as shown in Figure 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region / Country</th>
<th>Temperature Anomaly (°C)</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastern and south-central Asia (E&amp;SC Asia)</td>
<td>-0.766</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>-0.323</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia (MAL)</td>
<td>-0.724</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia (CAM)</td>
<td>-1.688</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>-0.613</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar (MYR)</td>
<td>-0.524</td>
<td>0.446</td>
<td>-0.027</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand (THA)</td>
<td>-1.315</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>-0.243</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The association of temperature anomaly patterns through the correlation analysis (as displayed in Table 2) is the result from the second step of our analysis. The strongest association pattern through Pearson’s correlation is the temperature anomalies between Thailand and Myanmar. Malaysia shows weak correlated temperature patterns to other neighboring countries. Instead, among the four regional countries, temperature pattern of Malaysia is closest to the east and central Asia with correlation coefficient 0.125, whereas Cambodia shows opposite direction of pattern.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E&amp;SC Asia</th>
<th>MAL</th>
<th>CAM</th>
<th>MYR</th>
<th>THA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E&amp;SC Asia</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>-0.175</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>-0.175</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYR</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THA</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.549</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Temperature Estimation Model

The five machine learning algorithms that have been used to model temperature anomaly association among Thailand and the other three neighboring countries in the region are assessed their performances based on the correlation coefficient and the relative error. Results are summarized in Table 3.
Table 3: Performance comparison of estimation models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
<th>Relative error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHAID</td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>0.611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CART</td>
<td>0.611</td>
<td>0.627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANN</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>0.673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Regression</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>0.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized Linear Model</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>0.688</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen from the results that CHAID is the best machine learning algorithm to estimate temperature anomaly of Thailand based on anomalies of the neighbors. The CHAID model is shown in Figure 3.

CHAID is a tree-based machine learning algorithm that grows tree and split data set into subsets based on the result from the chi-square test (Kass, 1980). The tree is to be interpreted from the root node on the left-hand-side to reach a conclusion, which is the target node on the right-hand-side. From Fig. 3, the interpretation of this tree model to estimate temperature anomaly (TA) in Thailand is as follows.

- **In case of TA in Myanmar ≤ -0.326**, the TA in Thailand is around **-0.643**.

- **In case of TA in Myanmar > -0.326 but less than or equal to -0.212**, the TA in Thailand is around **-0.486**.

- **In case of TA in Myanmar > -0.212 but less than or equal to -0.058**, also taking into account TA in Cambodia:
  - If the TA in Cambodia ≤ 0, then TA in Thailand is expected to be around **-0.319**.
  - But if the TA in Cambodia > 0, then TA in Thailand is expected to be around **0.130**.

- **In case of TA in Myanmar > -0.058 but less than or equal to 0.044**, taking into account TA in Cambodia:
  - If the TA in Cambodia ≤ -0.939, then TA in Thailand is around **-0.343**.
  - But if the TA in Cambodia > -0.939 but less than or equal to -0.378, then TA in Thailand is around **-0.343**.

- **In case of TA in Myanmar > 0.044 & CAM: ≤ -0.192**, taking into account TA in Cambodia:
  - If the TA in Cambodia ≤ -0.939, then TA in Thailand is around **-0.027**.
  - But if the TA in Cambodia > -0.939 but less than or equal to -0.378, then TA in Thailand is around **-0.343**.

- **In case of TA in Myanmar > 0.044 & CAM: > -0.378 & MAL: ≤ 0.247**, taking into account TA in Cambodia:
  - If the TA in Cambodia ≤ -0.939, then TA in Thailand is around **-0.090**.
  - But if the TA in Cambodia > -0.939 but less than or equal to -0.378, then TA in Thailand is around **-0.343**.

Figure 3: CHAID model for estimating temperature anomaly of Thailand based on the neighboring anomalies.


Figure 3: CHAID model for estimating temperature anomaly of Thailand based on the neighboring anomalies (cont.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictive Factors</th>
<th>Thailand Temperature Anomaly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MYR: &gt; 0.149 &amp; MAL: (0.181, 0.247]</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYR: &gt; 0.149 &amp; MAL: (0.247, 0.332]</td>
<td>-0.283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYR: &gt; 0.149 &amp; MAL: &gt; 0.332</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- If the TA in Cambodia > -0.378, then also consider the TA in Malaysia:
  - If TA in Malaysia ≤ -0.192, then TA in Thailand is around -0.027.
  - If TA in Malaysia > -0.192 but less than or equal to 0.247, then TA in Thailand is around -0.201.
  - If TA in Malaysia > 0.247, then TA in Thailand is around 0.022.

- If the TA in Cambodia > 0.044 but less than or equal to 0.149, the TA in Thailand is around -0.117.

- In case of TA in Myanmar > 0.149, also taking into account TA in Malaysia:
  - If the TA in Malaysia ≤ -0.192, then TA in Thailand is around 0.114.
  - If the TA in Malaysia > -0.192 but less than or equal to 0.181, then also consider TA in Cambodia:
    - If TA in Cambodia ≤ -0.939, then TA in Thailand is around -0.337.
    - If TA in Cambodia > -0.939 but less than or equal to -0.003, then TA in Thailand is around 0.037.
    - If TA in Cambodia > -0.003, then TA in Thailand is around -0.090.
  - If the TA in Malaysia > 0.181 but less than or equal to 0.247, then TA in Thailand is around 0.135.
  - If the TA in Malaysia > 0.247 but less than or equal to 0.332, then TA in Thailand is around -0.283.

4 CONCLUSIONS

This research presents the statistical and machine learning approaches to learn correlated and associated patterns from historical temperature anomaly events among countries in the Southeast Asia including Myanmar, Thailand, Cambodia, and Malaysia. The temperature anomaly data used in this work are obtained from the multi-proxy reconstruction of east and south-central Asia during June-July-August of the past millennium between the years 1300-1999 C.E.

Correlation analysis results reveal that climate variations in Myanmar and Thailand closely resemble, but anomaly events in Malaysia are quite different from other countries. From the temperature anomaly record of Cambodia, the cold events during the 18th century are noticeable and contrasting to the warm events in Malaysia within the same timeframe.

Machine learning methodology is further applied to study associative patterns of temperature variations across countries. Such patterns are to be analyzed through modeling within the classification and regression framework. The results from applying five algorithms to induce patterns with numeric target, which is the temperature anomaly of Thailand, reveal that CHAID algorithm is the best one. The CHAID model employs temperature anomaly in Myanmar as the first factor to estimate temperature anomaly in Thailand. In case of complicate estimation, the model takes temperature anomaly of Cambodia as the second factor. This is in accordance with the correlation analysis results that Thailand’s temperature anomalies closely correlate to the anomalies in Myanmar and Cambodia. But the CHAID model provides more information than the correlation analysis in that the model can quantify the conditional temperature anomalies necessary for making accurate estimation over the target’s temperature anomalies.
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