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Abstract: The evolution of web 2.0 has enabled the emergence of social media where users can post, share and discuss their opinions about products, events, peoples and organizations. This increase of the user generated content (UGC) has allowed the publication of several works during the last decade in the scientific community working on sentiment analysis. Sentiment analysis, also known as opinion mining is the field of extraction and analysis of opinions, feelings and attitudes of users on the web. In this paper, we provide an overview of the field of sentiment analysis by discussing the workflow of mining opinions in different granularity levels and covering common and recent approaches and techniques used to solve tasks related to sentiment analysis process at every level.

1 INTRODUCTION

Knowing what people think has always been a very important information to make a decision. For this reason we often seek out the opinions of others. A few years ago, when a person needed opinions, he/she asked family and friends. Even organizations had to conduct surveys, opinion polls and focus groups to collect public or consumer opinions. Those days are gone. At the present time, people express their opinions on social media platforms like Twitter, Facebook, and others and e-commerce sites like Amazon. Collection and analysis of this huge volume of opinionated data are thus needed. Sentiment analysis (SA) is the field specialized in such tasks.

Sentiment analysis, also called opinion mining, is the field of study that analyzes peoples opinions, sentiments, evaluations, appraisals, attitudes, and emotions towards entities such as products, services, organizations, individuals, issues, events, topics, and their attributes as mentioned in (Liu, 2012). This domain is also known in the literature as opinion mining, sentiment mining, opinion extraction, subjectivity analysis, etc. However, in this paper, we will limit ourselves to the use of sentiment analysis or opinion mining as they represent the most used keywords in journal publications and in conference proceedings based on (Ahlgren, 2016). In the last decade sentiment analysis has gained popularity. Mantyla et al., (2018) mentioned that the number of papers published in the field of sentiment analysis is 6996 papers. This incredible increase makes opinion mining one of the active and growing search areas.

In this paper, we will cite recent research techniques used in sentiment analysis based on granularity level (document, sentence and aspect). The rest of the paper is organized as follows: section 2 introduces some of the papers that defined sentiment analysis based on granularity level. In section 3 Coarse-grained-level (document and sentence) is highlighted. The aspect-level is covered in section 4. Other levels of SA are discussed in section 5. In Section 6 a comparison of the approaches of SA is being dealt with, and finally Section 7 concludes the paper.

2 GRANULARITY BASED SENTIMENT ANALYSIS

Sentiment Analysis is closely related to the field of Natural Language Processing (Sun et al., 2017), it is a big suitcase of NLP problems (Cambria et al., 2017). It is also studied in Information Retrieval and Data Mining. (Hemmatian and Karim Sohrabi, 2017) and (Bhatia et al., 2018) consider opinion mining as a sub-field of the Web Content Mining process in the field of Web Mining.

Some papers that dealt with the tasks of opinion mining in a granularity level manner are presented above.

(Missen et al., 2012) reviewed the field of opinion mining from word to document level in a very detailed manner. They also highlighted the importance of so-
cial networks for opinion mining tasks.

(Feldman, 2013) presented a general architecture for sentiment analysis systems. The input of the system is a corpus that will be converted to text and preprocessed using linguistic tools. The resulted text will be annotated by a Document Analysis Module. The annotation may be attributed to whole documents, to sentences or to fine grained entities (aspects).

(Liu, 2012) explored in his review/book the problems and the objectives of sentiment analysis. He used previous definition defining the opinion as a quintuple \((e_i, a_{ij}, s_{ijkl}, h_k, t_l)\), where \(e_i\) is the name of an entity, \(a_{ij}\) is an aspect of \(e_i\), \(s_{ijkl}\) is the sentiment on aspect \(a_{ij}\) of entity \(e_i\), \(h_k\) is the opinion holder, and \(t_l\) is the time when the opinion is expressed by \(h_k\). Based on this definition, the objective of opinion mining is defined as determining all the quintuples of given texts.

As mentioned earlier, the next section will talk about sentiment analysis tasks based on granularity level. We first begin by coarse-grained level (Documents and sentences) and go deep in the hierarchy as the complexity increases to fine grained level (aspect).

3 **COARSE-GRAINED LEVEL SENTIMENT ANALYSIS**

3.1 **Document-level Sentiment Analysis**

In (Ravi and Ravi, 2015) 159 articles were distributed based on the granularity of sentiment analysis, 73 articles appeared in the document level which makes it the most studied topic in the field. Document-level sentiment classification, as known in the literature, is considered the simplest sentiment analysis task. The task of opinion mining at this level is to identify opinionated documents and classify them according to their polarities.

Authors in (Missen et al., 2012) mentioned that most of the researchers at this level follow a two-step approach: Topic Relevance Retrieval and Opinion Finding step.

The document is considered as a basic information unit which includes multiple sentences. Based on the quintuple introduced in the first section, the task of opinion mining is to determine the overall sentiment of the opinion holder about the entity described in the document. This approach helps the users in decision making by providing a summary of total number of positive and negative documents.

3.2 **Sentence-level Sentiment Analysis**

Just like document level opinion mining, sentence level opinion mining is also a classification problem. Sentences are regarded as short documents which makes the classification the same for both levels. Most of the researches at document level don't perform a three class classification (positive, negative, and neutral). However, at the sentence level, the neutral class cannot be ignored because sentences may express no opinion or sentiment. Thus, the purpose at this level is to classify each sentence in an opinion document as positive, negative or neutral opinion or sentiment.

Sentiment sentence classification is generally performed in two classes of classification problem. The first determines whether the sentence is expressing an opinion (sentiment) or not and the second classify the sentences as positive, negative or neutral. The first step in the process is known in the literature as Subjectivity Classification. It aims to distinguish opinions (subjective sentences) from facts (objective sentences) (Chaturvedi et al., 2018). Subjective sentences can express some personal feelings, views judgments or beliefs that might vary from person to person, whereas, objective sentences express factual information which remains valid for all individuals. Because of that some researchers prefer to classify sentences as opinionated or non-opinionated.

The second step is called sentence sentiment classification. After classifying the sentences as being subjective (opinionated) or objective (non-opinionated), sentence sentiment classification aims to classify them as positive, negative or neutral. An assumption that generally researchers make at this level of analysis is that a sentence expresses a single sentiment. Thus, sentences that express more than one sentiment are treated differently. More complex sentences (interrogative, comparatives, conditionale and sarcastic sentences) also need advanced techniques.

3.3 **Machine Learning Approaches**

3.3.1 **Supervised Learning**

Supervised learning supposes that there are multiple classes to which a document can be classified. The process of learning is carried out using the data of training available for each class. The training set is used by a classifier to learn the different characteristics of documents. Learning task is done by using classification algorithms either probabilistic (naive Bayes, Bayesian Neutral Network, Maximum entropy) or non-probabilistic (Support Vector Machine, Artifi-
cial Neural Network, K-nearest Neighbor, Rule Based, Decision Tree) (Hemmatian and Karim Sohrabi, 2017). The performances of the classifier are validated using a test data. At the end of this process, every document should be tagged with its appropriate category (class).

Like most supervised learning approaches, feature engineering is the key to build a good sentiment analysis classifier. The most common used features are N-gram (Terms and their frequency), syntactic features (Part Of Speech, Syntactic Dependency) and semantic features (Sentiment words and phrases, sentiment shifters).

### 3.3.2 Unsupervised Learning

Unlike supervised learning, that considers the target value (label), unsupervised learning process does not provide any label data. Unsupervised classification belongs to semantic orientation approach. It aims to determine the semantic orientation of the phrases within the document. The algorithm described by (Turney, 2002) is totally unsupervised. He used syntactic pattern as a sequence of Part Of Speech tags. The algorithm consists of three steps. First, two consecutive words are extracted if their POS tags are conform to certain constraints. Then, it estimates the polarity of adjectives and adverbs present in opinion review by calculating their proximity using the pointwise mutual information (PMI) method. PMI (P,W) measures the statistical dependence between the phrase P and the word W based on their co-occurrence in a given corpus or over the Web (Feldman, 2013). Turney used two words for his approach excellent and poor. Finally, the overall polarity of the review is then deduced by aggregating the polarity of the adjectives and adverbs that compose it, and the review is classified as positive or negative.

In the last few years, deep learning has gained popularity in many fields and had shown valuable results. It is a powerful machine learning technique as mentionned in the recent survey (Zhang et al., 2018). Sentiment analysis is one of the fields that recently has been attracted to deep learning techniques. It has been shown that document and sentence representations can be very useful for SA tasks. For that purpose, deep learning techniques such as word embeddings, Long Short Term memory, recurrent, recursive, convolutional neural networks were applied to sentiment analysis classification.

### 3.4 Lexicon based Approaches

Another approach to do sentiment analysis in document level, which can be seen as an unsupervised approach (Liu, 2012), is the lexicon-based approach. It consists of using a collection of known and precompiled sentiment terms tagged with their semantic orientation called sentiment lexicon (polarity or opinion lexicon). These terms are used to express the positive or negative feelings. The terms that make the lexicon are generally adjectives and adverbs, but names and verbs should also be considered.

The aim of using such a lexicon is to determine the overall sentiment of a given text based on the assumption that the collective polarity of a sentence or document is the sum of polarities of the individual phrases or words. The document is classified as positive if the sum is positive, negative if the sum is negative and neutral if the sum is equal to zero.

Lexicon-based approach is divided into two main methods: corpus-based and dictionary-based. Dictionary based approach will use an existing dictionary, which is a collection of opinion words along with their positive or negative sentiment strength (Ravi and Ravi, 2015). Corpus based approach relies on the probability of occurrence of a sentiment word in conjunction with positive or negative set of words by performing a research on very huge amount of text (Ravi and Ravi, 2015).

The process may also include intensification and negation called sentiment shifters. Negations are used to reverse the semantic polarity of a particular term, while intensifiers are used to change the degree to which a term is positive or negative as mentioned in (Alistair and Diana, ).

### 4 ASPECT-LEVEL SENTIMENT ANALYSIS

Polarity classification of opinion text at document and sentence level is helpful in many cases but it does not provide all the necessary details because they do not discover what exactly people liked and did not like. Generally, documents are made of several passages of opinions of different semantic categories. Thus, classification at coarse-level does not identify sentiments or opinion targets. For example, being positive/negative of the sentiments about an entity in a text document, do not mean that the author is being positive/negative about all the aspects of the expressed entity. Due to the need of a finer grain analysis, aspect-level sentiment analysis represents a key step. Aspect-level sentiment analysis (previously called feature-based sentiment analysis) describes that an opinion consists of a sentiment and a target. The objective of the analysis at this level is to discover the specific tar-
gets and then specify their sentiment polarities. Using the quintuple definition \((e_i, a_{ij}, s_{ijkl}, h_k, t_l)\) (section 2), aspect-level sentiment analysis aims to locate the first three components. Therefore the analysis is divided into two tasks: Aspect extraction and Aspect sentiment classification.

The first task is also called opinion target extraction (Liu, 2015), because it concentrates on the extraction of both entities and their aspects. Entities appoint to products names, services, events, etc. and aspects, which can be expressed implicitly or explicitly, generally identify the attributes and components of entities.

The second step, similar to the identification of the polarity of opinions at coarse granularity, associates a polarity with the various extracted opinion targets. The extraction of remaining components of the quintuple are studied as sub-tasks of aspect-level sentiment analysis called opinion holder extraction and time extraction. The extraction of all quintuples present in a document is helpful to produce a summary of opinions about entities and their aspects.

Such a summary is known as aspect-based summary (or feature-based summary) (Hu and Liu, 2004).

### 4.1 Machine Learning Approaches

#### 4.1.1 Supervised Learning

Supervised learning approaches for aspect-level sentiment analysis uses the same machine learning algorithms for coarse-level analysis. The difference between the two levels (coarse and grained) resides in the features used for the learning. The features used for both document and sentence levels are not applicable for aspect-level because the key problem is that they are target independent, whereas, the core concept of the aspect-level sentiment analysis is the identification of opinion target. Researchers study this challenging problem either by generating a set of target dependent feature or by determining an application scope of sentiments that cover the target entity/aspect in a sentence.

And as supervised learning approaches, machine learning algorithms need a huge annotated data for training. In this case a collection of annotated aspects and non-aspects data is needed.

#### 4.1.2 Unsupervised Learning

Although supervised approaches for aspect-level showed good results, it is hard to provide a huge aspects and non-aspects annotated data collection because manually labeling data is costly and time consuming.

For that reason, several researches have studied the task using unsupervised approaches.

Same as document and sentence levels, deep learning techniques were also applied to aspect-level by generating target and context representations, or by identification of important sentiment words for targets (Zhang et al., 2018).

### 4.2 Lexicon based Approaches

(Liu, 2015) stated that lexicon based approach for aspect-level sentiment analysis is based on three pillars: (1) a sentiment lexicon containing sentiment words, phrases, idioms and composition rules, (2) a set of rules to handle sentiment shifters, the "but" clauses and other types of sentences, (3) a sentiment aggregation function or a set of sentiment and target relationships acquired from parse trees.

#### 4.3 Ontology based Approaches

An ontology is an explicit, machine-readable specification of a shared conceptualization (Studer et al., 1998). Ontologies provide a formal representation of knowledge since it models the terms in a specific domain and captures the semantic relation between these terms.

The usage of such relation is very important in aspect-level sentiment analysis especially in product reviews because in such reviews, products are generally qualified by their aspects. This hierarchical relation between products and their aspects can be captured using ontological approaches.

Table 1 below reviews some recent articles in sentiment analysis and opinion mining. The articles were collected from academic research sites and organized according to granularity level and the approaches presented previously.

### 5 OTHER LEVELS OF SA

Sentiment analysis is basically studied at the three levels mentioned previously (document, sentence and aspect), but these levels are not the only ones. A variety of researchers dealt with the problem using another levels such as word-level, clause-level, phrase-level and concept-level.
Table 1: Summary of articles in sentiment analysis and opinion mining.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Studied issue</th>
<th>DataSet</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document Level</td>
<td>Supervised learning</td>
<td>Naive Bayes, SVM, Maximum Entropy, Stochastic Gradient Descent</td>
<td>Movie review classification</td>
<td>IMDb movie review dataset</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>(Tripathy et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document Level</td>
<td>Unsupervised learning</td>
<td>K-means clustering</td>
<td>Mood swing analyzer</td>
<td>Facebook messages</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>(Kalyani et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document Level</td>
<td>Deep learning</td>
<td>Deep Memory Network, Long Short Term Memory Convolutional NN, LSTM</td>
<td>Dual prediction of word and document sentiments</td>
<td>Movie review, IMDb, Twitter</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>(Lee et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexicon based</td>
<td>Supervised learning</td>
<td>Joint Framework</td>
<td>Segmentation and sentence polarity prediction</td>
<td>Tweet Sem-Eval 2013 dataset and Rottentomatoes dataset</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>(Tang et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentence Level</td>
<td>Deep learning</td>
<td>Recursive neural network, LSTM</td>
<td>Increasing phrase/sentence representation</td>
<td>Stanford Sentiment Treebank, Movie review dataset Stackover Flow, Mobile app reviews, JIRA</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>(Huang et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentence Level</td>
<td>Deep learning</td>
<td>Recursive neural network</td>
<td>Software libraries recommendation and negative results</td>
<td>Stanford Sentiment Treebank, Movie review dataset Stackover Flow, Mobile app reviews, JIRA</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>(Lin et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexicon based</td>
<td>Lexicon based</td>
<td>Dictionary based</td>
<td>Sentiment classification of twitter messages</td>
<td>Stanford Twitter Sentiment, SemEval 2013</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>(Musto et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspect Level</td>
<td>Supervised learning</td>
<td>Neural network, word embeddings and Compositional vector models</td>
<td>Aspect rating and weight detection</td>
<td>TripAdvisor Hotel reviews</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>(Pham and Le, 2018)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Studied issue</th>
<th>DataSet</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspect Level</td>
<td>Supervised learning</td>
<td>Supervised learning</td>
<td>Three ABSA sub-tasks</td>
<td>Arabic Hotels’ reviews SemEval-2016: Task-5</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>(Al-Smadi et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deep learning</td>
<td>Deep learning</td>
<td>Targeted Aspect-Based SA</td>
<td>SentiHood, SemEval 2015</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>(Ma et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsupervised learning</td>
<td>Unsupervised learning</td>
<td>Unsupervised learning</td>
<td>Aspect extraction</td>
<td>English and Persian product reviews</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>(Shams and Baraani-Dastjerdi, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unsupervised learning</td>
<td>Unsupervised learning</td>
<td>System for Aspect Based Sentiment Analysis (ABSA)</td>
<td>SemEval 2016 Task 5 dataset</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>(Pablos et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lexicon based</td>
<td>Lexicon based</td>
<td>A media monitoring system about the opinion mining in political field</td>
<td>Arabic journalistic text</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>(Najar and Mesfar, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lexicon based</td>
<td>Lexicon based</td>
<td>Dictionary based and syntactic dependency</td>
<td>Twitter dataset (mobile phones)</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>(Rathan et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lexicon based</td>
<td>Lexicon based</td>
<td>Corpus based</td>
<td>Usage of Chinese radical parts for SA</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>(Chao and Yang, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ontology based</td>
<td>Ontology based</td>
<td>Retrieval and analysis of social media content</td>
<td>Twitter messages</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>(Thakor and Sasi, 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Detection of adolescent depression signals</td>
<td>Twitter and social media channels</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>(Jung et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6 COMPARISON OF APPROACHES

A comparison of Machine learning and lexicon base approaches is presented in Table 3 as they represent the two main approaches for sentiment classification at the document, sentence and aspect levels, whereas ontology based approaches are specially used at aspect level.

Lexicon based approaches are domain independent and do not need labelled data. It is a strong advantage over machine learning approaches that are dependent to the domain which means that a classifier trained in a certain domain will show weak results if it is used for a different domain. Another big inconvenient for ML methods is the need of labelled data, which requires human participation and annotation that could be expensive and time consuming.

### 7 CONCLUSION

Sentiment Analysis is gaining more and more popularity nowadays and that is because we all need each others opinions and point of views. Opinion mining has been studied in different domains and languages
Table 2: Comparison of machine learning and lexicon based approaches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approaches</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Inconvenient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Machine Learning</td>
<td>• Unnecessity of dictionaries</td>
<td>• Dependent to the domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• High accuracy of classification</td>
<td>• Slow time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• High precision and adaptability</td>
<td>• Needs human participation and labelled data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexicon based</td>
<td>• Does not need labelled data</td>
<td>• Needs strong linguistic resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Domain independent</td>
<td>• Low accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Fast time</td>
<td>• Requires dictionaries that covers lot opinion words</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and has showed to be very effective and beneficial in finance, politics, e-commerce, but it can also be used in health, cybersecurity and point of view discovery.

In this paper, we present the field of sentiment analysis or opinion mining by covering utilized approaches based on three levels of granularity (document, sentence and aspect). Another important level related to sentiment analysis is the concept level which is being dealt with frequently. Concept-based approaches to sentiment analysis focus on a semantic analysis of text through the use of web ontologies or semantic networks (Cambria, 2013). This makes sentiment analysis at concept-level exciting and challenging and need more researches because of the lack of sentiment ontologies. As it can be remarked in the recent papers reviewed previously, researchers are following deep learning approaches to deal with SA tasks and challenges, and there is much more to be done using deep learning approaches.

Most of the researchers in SA are analyzing people's opinions on social networks, e-commerce sites and other platforms where people can share their opinions, whereas they can also express their opinions outside the digital world. Graffiti are a way for people to express their opinions in an anonymous manner. Sentiment analysis can be applied to Graffiti for discovering several characteristics and traits of society.
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