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Abstract: The text to model transformation is an important step to process UML diagrams that are designed in software modeling environments. Modeling environments, such as IBM Rational products, Eclipse Papyrus, Microsoft Visual Studio and others, store UML diagrams in XMI compatible format. For performing UML diagram processing operations it is necessary to restore their structure.

This article outlines an approach that allows obtaining an analytical representation of an UML diagram saving information about its structure. In order to solve this task the solutions of the next research problems are proposed: (i) how to extract information about UML diagram elements from theirs XMI representation in different modeling environments (Microsoft Visual Studio and Eclipse Papyrus); (ii) how to decompose software models into chains of linked elements; (iii) how to restore a software model structure from these chains.

1 INTRODUCTION

Software models that are represented as UML diagrams are central development artifacts in Model-Driven Development approach. Analysis of their structure is a preliminary step for effective performing of all software model processing operations. Such operations are software models’ refinement, merging, transformation, tracing and comparison.

Different software development teams design software models in different modeling environments as Microsoft Visual Studio, IBM Rational products, Eclipse Papyrus modeling tools, and others.

Most of software modeling environments store UML diagrams in an XMI compatible format. XMI is an OMG standard for exchanging information between UML diagrams (XML, 2015). Information about UML diagram is stored in XMI file as a hierarchical tree. Such structure is recommended in Abstract Syntax Tree OMG Standard for storing hierarchies of structures (ASTM™, 2011). But for further software model processing operations, analyzing of an XMI file is not a convenient procedure. A representation of software model in analytical form allows performing all operations of software models processing involving analytical tools, and becoming a foundation for development of new tools and plug-ins for software models’ processing (IBM, 2015). Designing of an approach of text to model transformation is a continuation of research started in paper (Chebanyuk and Mironov, 2017). Previously an algorithm and a prototype implementation, which are capable of extracting information about software models elements that were stored in different modeling environments, were proposed. The result of the software models’ processing is a set of directly linked software models’ elements.

Such a representation is convenient for static software models processing, such as estimating a class diagram in accordance to SOLID design principles as it is proposed at the paper (Chebanyuk and Povalyaev, 2017). An analytical background for class diagram estimation according to SOLID design principles is proposed in the paper (Chebanyuk and Markov, 2016).

Contribution of this Paper:
The proposed approach offers improvement functionality of text to model transformation operation. Also it allows processing both static and behavioral Software Models (SMs). To restore structure of a static SM it is necessary to define relationships between directly linked elements (Chebanyuk and Mironov, 2017). The additional step of restoring structure of behavioral SM is considering sequence of actions in it.
The paper is structured as follows. The second section describes the related works. The third section presents proposed approach. Finally, the section conclusion summarizes results of the research and suggests the future works.

2 RELATED WORKS

Consider the papers that discuss the processing of SM XMI representation.

Authors of the paper (Yuan et al., 2003) propose to use DOM specification and consider XML file as no ordered DOM tree. Structures of XML files are compared by means of collaboration of some operations (mostly Insert() and Delete() ) for processing different tree parts. To speed up the comparison process the hashing operations are used. If hash meanings are different, than more precise operations for comparison XML files fragments are used. The authors propose the detailed analysis of described algorithms effectiveness. But the results of two XML files comparison depend upon accurate processing of hash values.

One of the priorities of development Model-Driven Software Engineering (MDSE) is a code generation approach. That’s why more attention is paid to development of software model processing techniques and tools processing class diagrams. One of the examples of such tools is ICER Tool (Robinson, A., and Bates, C., 2015). This tool uses an XMI representation of class diagram. A class diagram is designed in IBM Rational Rose. The ICER tool performs the next steps:
- looking iteratively through all the class diagram classes;
- checking OCL constrains matching to design patterns,
- identifying to which design pattern a class diagram matches.

Also the limitation of ICER tool is that names of the classes on class diagram should match to the names of the classes in the OCL constrains file.

The authors of paper (Ran Wei et al., 2016) have presented an approach that enables partial loading of XMI-based models. Their proposed approach realize the scenarios where read-only access to SM is sufficient and where the parts of the model (typically a small subset of the entire model) that are of interest are loaded. To load the information about software model built in parser is used. The parser allows recognizing software model elements and links between them. The principle of working parser is the next: The parser maintains a stack of model elements to keep track of its current position in the XMI document. This is needed in order to determine what object to create next. When the XMI file is read, the callback method startElement() is triggered, and it is decided which objects of partial diagram should be created. All created objects are pushed into the object stack. Then the parser processes the top of the stack together with the element and decides that an instance of objects should be created and instances to them are added. The created instance is also pushed into the object stack. Once all XML elements have been processed, a tree structure has been constructed in memory. But functionality of the parser is limited.

Analyzing the review of the related works it is summarized that designing of the transformation approach that defines constituents of SM without limitations of names (as OCL constrains), providing complex SM processing (given by abstract and concrete syntax trees), and allowing designing flexible comparison rules and performing many other tasks for SM processing is an actual and important task.

3 THE PROPOSED APPROACH

A general schema of the approach is represented on the Figure 1.

The text to model transformation operation is performed by the following steps:

1. An XMI representation of a SM is parsed. Recognized SM elements and links between them are stored in the memory (Figure 1, Link 1).
2. Then chains from linked SM elements are formed. Every chain stores information about a part of an algorithm or a business process, represented in a behavioral SM (Figure 1, Link 2).

In order to perform the transformation from text to model the next Research Problems (RPs) should be solved:

RP1: to investigate regularities of the SM elements stored in an XMI file.
RP2: to propose an algorithm for defining linked SM elements in the XMI file for different types of UML diagrams.
RP3: to design an analytical foundation for SM representation and forming of linked chains.
RP4: to introduce a concept of behavioral SM decomposition into chains of linked elements with further restoring of its structure.
RP 4.1: to introduce a concept of composing chains of linked elements for behavioral SM;
RP 4.2: to explain an idea how to restore structure of behavioral SM from these chains.

**Solutions of RPs:**
The solutions of RP1 and RP2 are proposed in the paper (Chebanyuk and Mironov, 2017).

Solution of RP3: It is proposed to use graph representation to describe SM analytically. Analytical foundations of SMs representation for forming linked chains is represented in the Table 1.

### Table 1: Analytical denotations for restoring software model structure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Explanation and analytical representation of concept</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Software model</td>
<td>According to the UML 2.5 standard SM is an UML diagram. Denote it as SM and SM of some type as $SM_{type}$ where $type=$ use case, type=class, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software model representation</td>
<td>The graph representation is chosen. $SM_{type} = (O_{type}, L_{type})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>where $O_{type}$ – a set of SM objects that are used in $SM_{type}$ notation. Objects are the elements of SM notations that can be expressed as graph vertexes. $L_{type}$ – a set of software model links that are used in $SM_{type}$ notation. Links are elements of SM notation that can be expressed as graph edges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common definitions for behavioral SM representation are presented in the paper (Chebanyuk, 2015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary sub-graph</td>
<td>It is a part of a graph, consisting of two linked vertexes. Denote an elementary sub-graph as: $e = (o_1, l, o_2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>where $o_1, o_2 \in O$ are software model objects linked by link $l \in L$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set of elementary sub-graphs</td>
<td>All elementary sub-graphs of SM. Denote this set as $A$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linked Elementary Sub-Graphs (LESG)</td>
<td>Consider two elementary sub-graphs $e_1 = (o_1, l_1, o_2)$ and $e_2 = (o_2, l_2, o_3)$ If two elementary sub-graphs are interconnected through an object $o_2 \in O$ these two sub-graphs are considered linked. Consider a pair of elementary sub-graphs $e_1$ and $e_2$ Determine $e_1$ as the first linked elementary sub-graph, $e_2$ respectively as the seconds.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Concept Explanation and analytical representation of concept

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Explanation and analytical representation of concept</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Starting border elementary sub-graph</td>
<td>Elementary sub-graph that has no first linked elementary sub-graph. Consider $e_1 = (o_i, l_1, o_2)$. Usually $o_i \in O$ is an object from which streams of UML diagram are stated. These objects are actors or objects that have no incoming links.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A set of starting border elementary sub-graphs</td>
<td>A set that contains all starting border elementary sub-graphs of software model. Denote this set as $START$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$START = {e_{start,1}, e_{start,2}, \ldots, e_{start,k}}, k =</td>
<td>START</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching elementary sub-graphs</td>
<td>Consider two linked elementary sub-graphs, $e_1 = (o_i, l_1, o_2)$ and $e_2 = (o_3, l_2, o_4)$. They are started from the $o_i \in O$. An elementary graph located on SM before $e_1$ and $e_2$, $e_n = (o_i, l_0, o_1)$ is determined as a switching elementary sub-graph.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A set of switching border elementary sub-graphs</td>
<td>A set that contains all switching elementary sub-graphs of a SM. Denote this set as $SWITCH$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$SWITCH = {e_{switch,1}, e_{switch,2}, \ldots, e_{switch,p}}, p =</td>
<td>SWITCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finishing border elementary sub-graphs</td>
<td>An elementary sub-graph that has no the second linked elementary sub-graph. Consider $e_1 = (o_i, l_1, o_2)$. Usually $o_2 \in O$ is an object to which streams of UML diagram are ended. Other words these objects have no outgoing links.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A set of finishing border elementary sub-graphs</td>
<td>A set that contains all finishing border elementary sub-graphs of a SM. Denote this set as $FINISH$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$FINISH = {e_{finish,1}, e_{finish,2}, \ldots, e_{finish,t}}, t =</td>
<td>FINISH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A set MIDDLE</td>
<td>All elementary sub-graphs that are not included to sets $START, SWITCH$, and $FINISH$ are included to the MIDDLE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software model sub-path</td>
<td>A part of software model, consisting from chain of linked elementary sub-graphs. Denote a sub-path of a SM as $chain$. Using (2) $chain$ is denoted by the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$chain = ((o_{1,1}, o_{2,1}, o_{3,1}, l_{1,1}, o_{4,1}, l_{2,1}, o_{5,1}, \ldots, l_{n-1,1}, o_{n,1})), n =</td>
<td>chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are several variants of forming chains</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- beginning from a border elementary sub-graph and ending on a switching elementary sub-graph;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- beginning from a next elementary sub-graph to switching one (the second elementary sub-graph in pair of linked elementary sub-graph) and ending on other switching elementary sub-graph;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- beginning from a next elementary sub-graph to switching one (the second elementary sub-graph in pair of linked elementary sub-graph) and ending on the finishing border elementary sub-graph;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- beginning from a starting border elementary sub-graph and ending on finishing border elementary sub-graph.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set of software model sub-paths</td>
<td>All SM chains that contain all its objects and links.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$PATH = {chain_1, chain_2, \ldots, chain_n}, n =</td>
<td>PATH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Solution of RP4: to solve RP4 is it is necessary to design a process of behavioral SM decomposition into chains of linked elements with further restoring of its structure.

Rules of Processing a Set A of SM:
The formal rules for analyzing the SM elementary sub-graphs allow classifying them to \textsc{START}, \textsc{SWITCH}, \textsc{FINISH}, and \textsc{MIDDLE} sets.

The rules are:
- a rule for including of an elementary sub-graph to a set \textsc{START};
- a rule for including of an elementary sub-graph to a set \textsc{SWITCH};
- a rule for including of an elementary sub-graph to a set \textsc{FINISH};
- a rule for including an elementary sub-graph in to a set \textsc{MIDDLE}.

A Rule for Including an Elementary Sub-graph in the Set \textsc{START}:
Consider a reference elementary sub-graph \( \text{ref} = (\text{subj}, \text{obj}) \).

A Condition for Proving that \textsc{ref} \textsc{START}: If there is no possibility to design a \textsc{LESG} with any \( \alpha \in A, \alpha = (e_{l_1}, l_1, e_{l_2}) \) with the common element \( e_{l_2} \) namely:

\[ \text{LESG} = (\alpha, \text{ref}) = ((e_{l_1}, l_1, e_{l_2}), (\text{subj}, \text{obj})) \]

then the \text{ref} is included to the set \textsc{START}. Formally this rule is expressed by the next predicate:

\[ P(\text{subj}, \alpha, A) = (\forall \alpha \in A \land e_{l_2} = \text{subj}) = false, \]

\[ \alpha = (e_{l_1}, l_1, e_{l_2}), \alpha \in A \quad (8) \]

The expression (8) should be true for all \( \alpha \in A \). Formally, the representation of the condition proving that \text{ref} \textsc{START}:

if \( P(\text{subj}, A) = false \) then \text{ref} is added to the set \textsc{START}

Rule for Including an Elementary Sub-graph in the Set \textsc{SWITCH}:
Consider an elementary sub-graph \text{ref}. If there is a possibility to design more than one \textsc{LESG} with any \( \alpha \in A, \alpha = (e_{l_1}, l_1, e_{l_2}) \) with the common element \text{obj}, namely:

\[ \text{LESG} = (\text{ref}, \alpha) = ((\text{subj}, \text{obj}), (e_{l_1}, l_1, e_{l_2})) \]

then \text{ref} is included to the set \textsc{SWITCH}. It is formally expressed by the next predicate:

\[ P(\text{obj}, \alpha, A) =
\]

\[ = (\exists \alpha_i \in A \land e_{l_1,i} = \text{obj} \mid i \geq 2) = true, \quad (9) \]

\[ \alpha = (e_{l_1}, l_1, e_{l_2}), \alpha \in A \]

The next step is to form the set \textsc{SWITCH} from all \( \alpha A \) for which \( P(\text{obj}, \alpha, A) = true \). It is expressed by the next predicate:

\[ W = \{ \alpha \in A \mid P(\text{obj}, \alpha, A) = true \} \]

The next step is to define a number of elements in the set \( W \):

if \( |W| > 1 \) then \text{ref} is added to \textsc{SWITCH}

Rule for Including an Elementary Sub-graph in the Set \textsc{FINISH}:
A condition for proving that \text{ref} \textsc{FINISH}: If there is no possibility to design a \textsc{LESG} with any \( \alpha \in A, \alpha = (e_{l_1}, l_1, e_{l_2}) \) with the common element \( e_{l_2} \), namely:

\[ \text{LESG} = (\text{ref}, \alpha) = ((\text{subj}, \text{obj}), (e_{l_1}, l_1, e_{l_2})) \]

then the \text{ref} is included to the set \textsc{FINISH}. It is formally expressed by the next predicate:

\[ P(\text{obj}, \alpha, A) = (\forall \alpha \in A \land e_{l_1} = \text{obj}) = false, \]

\[ \alpha = (e_{l_1}, l_1, e_{l_2}), \alpha \in A \quad (10) \]

The expression (10) should be true for all \( \alpha \in A \).

Formally representation of the Condition for proving that \text{ref} \textsc{FINISH}:

if \( P(\text{obj}, \alpha, A) = false \) then \text{ref} is added to the set \textsc{FINISH}

Rule for Including an Elementary Sub-graph in Set \textsc{MIDDLE}:
All elementary sub-graphs of a SM that do not satisfy the rules represented above are added to the set \textsc{MIDDLE}

The process of forming the set \textsc{CHAIN} by analyzing software model is described below:
1. An XMI representation of an UML diagram is read. The set \( A \) which contains all SM objects and links is formed.
2. The set \textsc{START} from the set \( A \) is formed performing the following operations:
   2.1 The counter \( k \) increments from \( 1 \) to \(|A|\).
   The start value of the counter is \( k=1 \).
   2.2 Assume that \( \text{start}_k = \text{ref} \).
2.3 Choose \( \alpha \in A \). Consider \( \alpha = \text{ref} \) and verify whether \( \text{ref} \) corresponds to the set \( \text{START} \) according to the rule proposed above (8). If yes, add \( \text{ref} \) to the set \( \text{START} \) and delete \( \text{ref} \) from the set \( A \).

2.4 Increment the counter \( k \). If \( k < |A| \) go to the point 2.2 otherwise go to the point 3.

3. The set \( \text{SWITCH} \) is formed performing the similar actions described in the point 2.

4. The set \( \text{FINISH} \) is formed performing the similar actions described in the point 2.

5. All \( \alpha \in A \) that are left in the set \( A \) are copied to the set \( \text{MIDDLE} \).

6. Prove that the set \( \text{START} \neq \emptyset \). Otherwise the SM was recognized with an error and its further processing is impossible.

7. To set the counter of the linked \( \text{chain} \) \( i = 1 \).

8. Assign \( \text{ref} = \text{start}_i \). Then remove the \( \text{start}_i \) from the set \( \text{START} \) and add it to the \( \text{chain}_i \).

9. Consider sequentially all elementary sub-graphs from the set \( \text{SWITCH} \) with the aim to design \( \text{LESG} \). If it is possible then

9.1. the founded \( \text{switch} \in \text{SWITCH} \) is added to \( \text{chain}_i \) and removed from \( \text{SWITCH} \);

9.2. the forming of \( \text{chain}_i \) is finished;

9.3. the counter \( i \) is incremented by one;

9.4. If \( \text{START} \neq \emptyset \) then go to the point 8;

9.5. else if \( \text{SWITCH} \neq \emptyset \) assign the \( \text{ref} = \text{switch}_i \) and delete the \( \text{switch}_i \) from the \( \text{SWITCH} \) and add it to \( \text{chain}_i \) and go to the point 10

9.6. if \( \text{SWITCH} = \emptyset \) the processing of the SM is finished.

10. Consider sequentially all elementary sub-graphs from the set \( \text{FINISH} \) with the aim to design the \( \text{LESG} \). If it is possible then:

10.1. \( \text{finish} \in \text{FINISH} \) is added to \( \text{chain}_i \) and removed from \( \text{FINISH} \);

10.2. Forming of \( \text{chain}_i \) is finished;

10.3. Counter \( i \) is incremented by one;

10.4. if \( \text{START} \neq \emptyset \) then go to the point 8

10.5. if \( \text{SWITCH} \neq \emptyset \) assign \( \text{ref} = \text{switch}_i \) and delete from the \( \text{SWITCH} \) and add it to \( \text{chain}_i \) and go to the point 10

11. Consider sequentially all elementary sub-graphs from the set \( \text{MIDDLE} \) with the aim to design \( \text{LESG} \). If it is possible then:

11.1. \( \alpha \in \text{MIDDLE} \) is added to the \( \text{chain}_i \) and removed from the set \( \text{MIDDLE} \);

11.2. if \( \text{SWITCH} \neq \emptyset \) go to the point 9

11.3. if \( \text{FINISH} \neq \emptyset \) go to the point 10

11.4. if \( \text{MIDDLE} \neq \emptyset \) go to the point 11

11.5. if \( \text{MIDDLE} = \emptyset \) then forming of analytical representation of the SM is finished.

Sequence diagram of forming linked chains from XMI representation of UML diagram is represented in the figure 2 (on the next page).

12. The next step is to compose a set \( \text{PATH} \). To define continuation of path for \( \text{chain}_i \) it is necessary to compare the last elementary sub-graph with the first elementary sub-graph for all other \( \text{chain} \in \text{CHAIN} \)

4 CONCLUSIONS

This paper proposes the text to model transformation approach. The input data are taken from a SM stored in an XMI format. As a result of transformation, a set of linked chains from elementary sub-graphs is obtained. These chains are combined into paths that repeat the SM structure. Many techniques, approaches, and software tools can obtain information about SMs. Advantage of the proposed approach is the possibility to process both behavioral and static SMs obtaining information about their structure in details.

Model transformation tools allow obtaining new software models from existing ones. For example Medini QVT is a convenient tool for round trip engineering (Greiner at al, 2016), but there are limited possibilities to compose transformation rules using elements that are not linked directly. In this case it is difficult to compose transformation rules to perform “many-to-many” and “many-to-one” transformations.

The executable UML tools as MOKA and IBM Rational Software Architect (RSA) simulation toolkit allow simulating of SMs execution.
During the simulation, all steps of the business process execution are performed sequentially. Involving proposed approach it is possible to simulate parallel processes. (Bedini et al., 2017).

Proposed approach allows obtaining analytical representation of the SM, repeating all details of its structure. The linked chains of elementary sub-graphs are combined into paths. The analysis of these paths allows easily getting access to every SM elementary sub-graph or group of them. Such a scheme of a SM storing provides a possibility to improve any other technique or software tools for SMs processing.
5 FURTHER WORK

The continuation of the research represented in this paper is to design comparison techniques to compare linked chains of elementary sub-graphs.

It is planned to extend the analytical foundation proposed the Table 1 and design software tools to consider semantic aspects of SMs comparison. The next step is to design a model versioning technique for reflecting the refinement history.
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