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Abstract: For more than a decade, languages such as WSDL, SA-WSDL, OWL-S and others have been proposed to tackle the problem of service description. These service description languages do not take into account key aspects of cloud computing. Inherent features such as interaction techniques between entities, service-level agreement or pricing are necessary when defining a cloud computing service. Regarding cloud data mining services, specific issues of experimentation and the execution process should be included, among others. Following the Linked Data proposal, it is possible to design a specification for the exchange of data mining services and achieve the highest level of interoperability. In this paper we propose a schema of definition of data mining service in cloud computing using Linked Data and validate its operation by defining a complete service. Our proposal is suitable for fully defining data mining services in a comprehensive approach, including all aspects associated with an on-demand cloud service.

1 INTRODUCTION

Data mining platforms such as Weka\(^1\) or Knime\(^2\) enable data analysis from a local environment. With the rise of cloud computing, some Internet service providers offer data mining services, called ML-as-a-service (Machine Learning as a Service). These vendors, such as Amazon AWS, Microsoft Azure or Google Cloud Platform, have the capability to run data mining algorithms as an on-demand service. One of the advantages of using these services in the cloud is the ability to support large datasets.

Unfortunately, each vendor offers its own catalogue of services with their particular specification details. There is no standard proposal among service providers for defining the kind of cloud services.

This means that each provider has its own definition of service that is not compatible with the others, therefore it hampers the migration from one supplier to another. A cloud data mining service should not only run experiments and data analysis, but also take into consideration critical aspects such as authentication, catalog, service-level agreement, pricing, interaction and service configuration. These aspects make it even more difficult to define a service and the relationship between cloud providers and cloud consumers from other providers.

The modeling of such cloud services cannot be fully addressed with SOA (Newcomer and Lomow, 2005) service definition languages such as WSDL (Christensen et al., 2001), Universal Description Discovery & Integration UDDI (Bellwood et al., 2002) or SoaML (Elvesæter et al., 2010) among others or the proposed OpenML (Vanschoren et al., 2014) that does not focus on cloud data mining. Semantic web services definition languages such as SA-WSDL (Kopecký et al., 2007), OWL-S (Martin et al., 2004) or WSMO (Kopecký et al., 2009) describe all relevant aspects of cloud services to automate discovery and composition.

Linked Data(Bizer, 2009) allows to leverage information from multiple data sources, linking to other domains and semantic terms that Semantic Web Berners2001 semantic offers. Links to other data enrich the definition schema being created. With Linked Data we can link with other vocabularies and definition schemas to use them in the semantic definition of the elements of our schema. A vocabulary is a collection of terms for a purpose (healthcare, business, libraries, etc.). A schema refers to a data model that represents the relationships between a set of concepts and terms. Some types of schemas are relational database schemas, taxonomies and ontologies. Linked Data

---

\(^{1}\)https://www.cs.waikato.ac.nz/ml/weka/
\(^{2}\)https://www.knime.com/
uses the RDF (Allemang and Hendler, 2011) standards for data interchange. It allows to define services using RDF/XML, RDFa (Adida et al., 2008), Terse RDF Triple Language (Turtle) (Beckett et al., 2011) and query with SPARQL (Prud et al., 2006).

In this context we have developed a proposal that strives to provide a framework for data mining cloud services specification and deployment. It is called dmcc-schema and follows the recommendations of Linked Data. Our proposal has been designed to reuse existing vocabularies and ontologies, being able to compose data mining services in the cloud. Vocabularies such as GoodRelations (Hepp, 2008), Machine-Learning Schema (mls) or Web API Authentication (waa) (Maleshkova et al., 2010) among others have been used to define cloud data mining services with dmcc-schema.

This work is organized as follows. Section 2 examines the state of the art of service definition languages at both syntactic and semantic levels and the most recent proposals with Linked Data. Section 3 defines the schema and scope of dmcc-schema as a tool for defining services. Our Linked Data schema proposal for service definition is compared with the options in section 4. Section 5 below provides an example of how a cloud data mining service is designed; finally section 6 the conclusions are addressed.

2 RELATED WORK

Within the scope of service definition languages, there is a wide range of options to choose. More specifically, in data mining service definition languages, each provider or publisher of Internet services has its own protocol, interface or model to enable the interchange of information and service demand. Nowadays, there is no standardisation in terms of service definition languages, nor for cloud data mining services. There are many different proposals on the definition of services.

The aim of the WSDL standard, is to describe technical elements of web services such as the interaction of interfaces and protocols. WSDL is a syntactic specification for service description. This specification is not sufficient, since the precision in exchanging information between different entities requires additional elements that allow understanding between programming entities. WSDL for a web service consists of an XML description of its interfaces, where the methods, parameters and responses are defined. UDDI enables the definition of services by supporting description and discovery, and the technical interface for accessing those services.

Not only is it necessary to have a syntactic specification at the technical and functional level of the service, but the tendency in cloud computing services is to complete the definition with a semantic representation of them.

The definition of services at a semantic level is fundamental for the improvement of search and discovery, composition and integration.

In order to make a cloud service consumable by programming entities, it must be discoverable and invocable as well as it can be composed, verified, and monitored.

Several proposals for semantic web-based services description languages have been developed for over a decade.

Initial semantic proposals such as WSMO, OWL-S, SA-WSDL are considered the service specification. Some variants for Representational state transfer (REST) services such as hREST (Maleshkova et al., 2009) and Web Service Modeling Ontology (MicroWSMO) (Kopecký et al., 2009) have also been taken into account. They add a light semantic definition for REST services, providing support for service descriptions to render them processable and machine-readable. More extensive and general ones such as USDL (Kona et al., 2009) and Linked-USDL (Pedrini et al., 2014) have allowed cloud services to be built considering most of the overall complexity of cloud services.

Linked-USDL creates a vocabulary to capture and share descriptions of general cloud services in the cloud in an open, scalable and highly automated way using Linked Data. Linked-USDL is the most complete proposal for the definition of cloud services since it covers all the scenarios of entities involved in the consumption and usage of cloud services, such as billing, technical aspects, service-level agreement (SLA), etc. It lacks a specific module for the definition of data mining services, which should be implemented or linked from another vocabulary that includes it. The schema provided by Linked-USDL offers much more than needed and adds additional complexity to our goal of defining a more effective cloud data mining services taking into account our objectives: pricing, authentication, service-level agreement, catalogue and data mining aspects.

Another proposal is Exposé (Vanschoren and Soldatova, 2010), designed to describe machine learning experiments. It is built on top of OntoDM (Panov et al., 2008), and underlies OpenML, a collaboration and meta-learning platform for machine learning.

Vocabulary for dealing with Machine Learning algorithms is included in MLSchema (Esteves et al.,
This schema can be used to represent algorithms, tasks, implementation and executions, as well as input and output data. MEX vocabulary (Esteves et al., 2015) also addresses the problem of sharing specific information about processing Machine Learning techniques in a lightweight way. The above alternatives do not take into account aspects of a cloud Data Mining service.

The semantic proposal offered by Linked Data (Bizer, 2009), allows to link information and, in this case, vocabulary distributed and accessible on the Web from providers. Linked Data, enables the exchange and discovery of services by reusing vocabularies and schemas defined by other entities. These vocabularies can be operated by machines, where referenced information can come from different sources.

The proposals referenced to above are very generic to cover the full spectrum of cloud services or do not take into account specific aspects of this type of cloud data mining services. The idea with dmcc-schema is to safeguard the step between a generic cloud service and data mining services, proposing a schema and vocabulary by using Linked Data to unite both facets.

3 OUR PROPOSAL

Our dmcc-schema approach is designed to enable the complete definition of cloud data mining service. It supports the definition and execution of data mining algorithms as well as all the core elements of the web service that a service provider can offer, such as discovery, composition, security, authentication, billing, catalogue, and interoperability.

The schema provided by dmcc-schema addresses the following aspects:

- **Service Catalogue.** It provides a catalogue of algorithms and enables the discovery of data mining services. The catalogue allows algorithms to be classified according to the type and problem of data mining they can address, such as regression, classification, clustering, association rules and preprocessing among others.

- **Authentication.** Eases the management and access of users or agents. It contains the basic features and mechanisms to enable authentication capacities for the use of the cloud data mining service.

- **Costs and Prices.** Defines all the necessary entities to manage the costs associated with the use of the service. In diverse aspects such as used CPU time, storage, number of instances or calls to the service among others. The execution of data mining algorithms requires intensive computation and a large amount of infrastructure resources. Such resources must be monitored with regard to define the pricing of the service.

- **Business.** Aspects such as who is the provider or consumer of the service and all its related information are essential to identify the data of the entity that acts interacting with the service. This data includes information such as legal aspects, contact information, etc.

- **Service-level Agreement.** When such services are provided by providers where a minimum quality of service agreed between both parties, producer and consumer, must be established.

- **Interaction.** To use the cloud data mining service, you have to define the points of interaction with the service. These interaction points enable you to access and use the service. The definition of this interaction can be given as RESTful API, for example.

- **Algorithms, Experimentation and Results.** This is one of the main features of the schema, which contains the definition of all the elements concerned with the execution of an algorithm by the service. Such elements are the inputs and parameterization, experimentation, results and models, as well as the selection of the specific algorithm of the service catalogue. It also supports different implementations of the algorithms, so that you can have several variants of the same data mining algorithm.

The dmcc-schema is represented using the Linked Data principles. Linked Data is gaining popularity for knowledge modeling. In terms of integration, it allows data to be integrated as part of the web services. In addition, the use of RDFs (Allemang and Hendler, 2011) in conjunction with service identification through URIs provides a uniform interface for data access.

The fact of using Linked Data principles facilitates the incorporation of new vocabulary into the schema, so dmcc-schema has been designed for the complete definition of an on-demand cloud data mining service. Vocabularies such as GoodRelations, DublinCore Terms (DCterms) (Weibel et al., 1998), Machine Learning Schema (mls), MEX-algo (Esteves et al., 2015), Simple Knowledge Organization System (SKOS) (Isaac and Summers, 2009), and Linked-USDL have been used or taken into account to compose the comprehensive schema of dmcc-schema.

The integration of different vocabularies within the Linked Data proposal is very important for the
definition of semantic concepts in order to define services. Thus, the vocabulary offers the semantic glue that allows mere data to become meaningful data.

In the proposal that offers dmcc-schema, attempts to compose a simpler and more direct schema than other more general proposals. Linked-USDLC proposal, which considers almost the entirety of a cloud service, does not consider the data mining part explicitly, as it seeks to be an open proposal to accommodate much of the spectrum of services. dmcc-schema combines various schemas and vocabularies to shape a very compact service definition. Our proposal is more concise to address the problem of defining these cloud services as it is based on the study of how different Internet providers and data mining platforms define these services with their specifications.

The Figure 1 depicts the core of dmcc-schema that has been developed, where the boxes represent the classes and the arrows represent properties, subclass relations and part-of relations. The color of the boxes represent the source vocabulary used with Linked Data proposal, such that green color is for the definition of dmcc-schema, blue for GoodRelations classes, orange for SKOS and magenta for mls. Class SLA contains parts from Linked-USDLC (usdl-sla).

The definition of a service is done using Turtle. The schema in this formats is available for use from our laboratory website. The aim of the cloud data mining service is to run data mining algorithms. Any data mining or machine learning algorithm can be included in dmcc-schema. This is so since we have sought to make as broad a definition as possible of the concepts of input, experimentation and output algorithms. This allows a wide range of algorithms to be supported.

4 PROOF OF CONCEPT

The approach we have developed is part of a platform for data mining services in the cloud that is being developed. This dmcc definition has been created with a cloud broker development in focus. The broker for cloud data mining requires a service definition that allows to overcome the different parameters, algorithms, input, experimentation, data, and models of all (or most) cloud services with these characteristics.

The reliability of dmcc-schema as a definition schema for the definition of data mining services in cloud computing is proven by using it within a proof-of-concept platform called occml-platform. This platform works with all the details of a service definition built in Turtle or JSON-LD and transforms all the definition information into a fully usable service. This means that it is possible to run the algorithms that have been included in the definition of the service from the cloud and use the service in its full scope.

Service definition is processed using SPARQL (Prud et al., 2006). This allows us to create the complete service and all the details of the algorithm catalogue it provides.

The catalogue published is directly consumable by agents and users who are demanding it. The platform, for the proof of concept, generates a REST API for interaction with the service and the selection of algorithms.

With dmcc-schema, the execution and results of catalogue algorithms can be fully defined. To address the differences that service providers have to classify and parameterize algorithms, the decision was made to follow the definition of the algorithms, according to the structure of programming environments such as R (Zhao, 2012), in terms of interfaces, datasets, parameter setting and data output, including models or datasets.

Part of the concepts and schemas from MEXalgo (Esteves et al., 2015) and mls have been integrated within the dmcc-schema, so they complement the definition of the schema for service definition. MEXalgo accurately defines a catalogue of Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence algorithms. In mls a more comprehensive and general level is used for the definition of algorithms, allowing virtually any algorithm to be included and it is not as closely linked to the specification as MEXalgo.

Another advantage of using the proposed occml specification is the simplicity of creating the complete service. Creating a simple service that includes the K-Means (see Section 5) algorithm is done in a straightforward form.

5 A PRACTICAL EXAMPLE

For illustrative purposes we will describe in this section how some specific well-known techniques have been defined using dmcc-schema.

We will model a data mining cloud service with one algorithm as part of the services catalogue. Because of space considerations only part of the service will be defined.

3http://dicits.ugr.es/dmcc-schema/dmcc-schema.ttl
4The comprehensive list of algorithms can be found here: https://github.com/manuparra/ml_dm_tax/nony
5OCCML on DiCITS: dicits.ugr.es/occml/
The service description has been done using the Turtle language. The first part to be defined corresponds to the class `dmcc:MLService` that provides the entry point for service description. A service is created within the catalog with the name `KMeans_Service`, thus associating a Data Mining algorithm with the service and specifying the service attributes, in addition to listing the interaction points provided by the service as seen in listing 1.

```
1 dmcc:KMeans_Service
2   a dmcc:MLService ;
3   dcterms:created "2017-04-20" ;
4   dcterms:creator "Manuel Parra";
5   dcterms:description "Perform k-means clustering" ;
6   dcterms:modified "2017-05-04" ;
7   dcterms:publisher "DICITS_ML" ;
8   [...] 9;
10 .
```

Listing 1: New service definition.

In this case the new service requires authentication, for which it is necessary to include `dmcc:hasAuthentication` and `dmcc:KMeans_Auth` as indicated in the listing 2.

```
1 dmcc:KMeans_Service
2   a dmcc:MLService ;
3   dmcc:hasAuthentication
4     dmcc:KMeans_Auth ;
5   dmcc:hasOperation
6     dmcc:KMeans_Operation ;
7   [...] 8 ;
```

Listing 2: New service definition for KMeans.

In addition, as it indicated in the `dmcc` diagram 1, cloud provider and consumer require `dmcc:MLServiceOffering` ant it can be included to define prices and costs of the service, `dmcc:MLServicesCatalog` for the catalogue of services, `dmcc:MLApiMethod` for the points of interaction with the service and `dmcc:SLA` for detailing aspects of the licensing.

For each of the data mining services that are added, it is necessary to indicate the operation involved, so `dmcc:hasOperation` `dmcc:KMeans_Operation` is used as shown in listing 2. In the listing 3 the operation it performs includes information regarding the input parameters (lines 10-11) of the algorithm, data set (lines 3-4),
output and algorithm to be executed (lines 12-13) the composition of the operation that requires an algorithm.

```
 1 dmcc:KMeans_Operation
 2  a dmcc:MLOperation ;
 3  dmcc:hasInputParameters
dmcc:KMeans_InputParameters;
dcterms:description
"Operation performing
the service";
mls:executes
 9  mls:KMeans_Implementation;
 11 dmcc:KMeans_Input;
 12 mls:hasOutput
 13 dmcc:KMeans_Output .
```

Listing 3: Operations for the algorithm.

The input and output data of the algorithms must be included in the definition of the data mining operation to be performed. The input of data, which can be parameters dmcc:KMeans_InputParameters or datasets dmcc:KMeans_Input.

Input parameters of the algorithm dmcc:MLServiceInputParameters and the parameter list dmcc:parameter_01, [...] is shown in the listing 4.

```
 1 dmcc:KMeans_InputParameters
 2  a dmcc:MLServiceInputParameters ;
 3  dmcc:Parameters
 4    dmcc:response_parameter_01,
 5      [...] ;
dcterms:description
"Input Parameters" ;
dcterms:title "Input" .
```

Listing 4: Input parameters definition.

Definition of dmcc:hasInputParameters dmcc:KMeans_InputParameters; allows you to specify the general input parameters of the algorithm. For example for K-Means dcterms:title "centers" (number of centers of the K-Means), as well as whether dmcc:mandatory "false"; is mandatory and its default value, if it exists. The listing 5 shows the definition of one of the parameters parameter_01. The other algorithm parameters are defined in the same way.

```
 1 dmcc:parameter_01
 2  a dmcc:MLServiceInputParameter ;
 3    dmcc:defaultValue "3" ;
 4    dmcc:mandatory "true" ;
dcterms:description
"Either the number of clusters,
or a set of initial cluster
centres" ;
dcterms:title "centers" .
```

Listing 5: Example of a parameter and features.

An mls:Model model and an evaluation of the mls:ModelEvaluation model have been considered for specifying the results of the K-Means service execution in mls:hasOutput dmcc:KMeans_Output. Model evaluation is the specific results if the algorithm returns a value or set of values. When the service algorithm is preprocessing the result is a dataset. For the model you have to define for example whether the results are PMML (Guazzelli et al., 2009) for example dmcc:KMeans_Model a dmcc:PMML_Model ; as shown in listing 6.

```
 1 dmcc:KMeans_Model
 2  a dmcc:PMML_Model ;
 3    dmcc:storagebucket
 4    "dicts://models/" ;
dcterms:description
"PMML model" ;
dcterms:title "PMML Model" .
```

Listing 6: PMML Model of the service output.

6 CONCLUSIONS

Due to the high and increasing demand and usage of data mining services, there is a clear need of suitable tools for data mining cloud services definition. In this work we have introduced dmcc-schema, a schema and vocabulary for defining data mining services in cloud computing using a Linked Data approach.

The description of data mining services is one of the most critical components since it is a vital element in communication and trading with different cloud service providers.

This enables services to be designed to execute data mining algorithms, considering the key aspects of a cloud service included in the schema. The definition implemented with dmcc-schema, offers the basic capabilities to define a cloud data mining service, taking into account authentication, pricing, licensing catalog and interaction interfaces, among other aspects.

The main advantage of using dmcc-schema is that it greatly simplifies the design of a cloud service focused on data mining. This is because it unifies two environments: the cloud computing and services aspects, and the execution of data mining algorithms. Allowing key elements of this type of services such as the pricing of the execution, the storage costs of a dataset, or the authentication of the service.

In addition, our schema is being deployed on an open platform that will offer Big Data data mining services on demand, as validated in the proof of concept in the section 3.
As future work, we are working on expanding the definitions of the algorithms supported to include methodologies that allow us to include services such as Deep Learning techniques and time series processing.
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