Cost of Prevention Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever in Gianyar, Bali
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Abstract: Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever (DHF) is a disease caused by Aedes aegypti mosquito bites. In 2016, Gianyar District is one district in Bali has become area with highest dengue fever case in Bali. Up to now there is no complete data and publication of the costs incurred by the government. The purpose of this study is to determine the cost of prevention and control program of dengue disease in Gianyar District Health Office as a baseline data to determine the economic burden due to DHF. This research is descriptive research with mixed methods approach using secondary data and interview technique. Study found that fund spent by Departement of Health Gianyar District amounted to 91% for vector control, the cost incurred for communication, information, and education was 8.5% and the lowest cost was surveillance of 0.7% of total cost of prevention in Gianyar Regency. This is calculated as IDR 2,203,172,875.00 in 2016. The most widely applied cost for vector control is for fogging purposes which reached 96.3% of total DBD vector control with the cost of the three largest activities were for officer fee, ULV, and fogging insecticide. The highest level of expenditure was on prevention and control is fogging. This activity is the most widely used alternative of DHF control although it is realized that fogging is not effective to prevent DHF. This study suggests that more effective innovation prevention and control programs are needed, and to obtain maximum results further research can be done using a broader perspective to calculate the total cost of prevention of DHF.

1 INTRODUCTION

Dengue Haemorrhagic Fever (DHF) is one of the infectious diseases transmitted by mosquito bites that are found in many tropical and subtropical regions around the world (Guzman, 2010). Globally in 2012, based on morbidity and economic impact for a country especially in developing country DHF has become more important to be handled than Malaria (Dubler and Duane, 2012; Senanayake and Manouri, 2014). According to Senanayake (2014), knowing the economic impact of DHF can be useful for formulating policies ranging from prevention program planning and further research priorities (Senanayake and Manouri, 2014). Kongsin et.al (2010), also stated that considering the costs incurred as a result of the handling of vectors as well as humans such as fogging, program implementation, health promotion activities, and DHF surveillance will be very useful in public health (Kongsin et al, 2010).

In Asia especially in Indonesia, estimation of the cost of prevention are difficult to ascertain. This is because the DHF case information is less clear due to incomplete reporting of some cases that occur. In 2016, incidence rate of DHF per month in Bali province is 486.2 per 100,000 population, this number increased compared to 2015 with dengue IR 269 per 100,000 population (Dinas Kesehatan Provinsi Bali, 2016). The economic burden occur due to DHF has become the responsibility of each local government. Puskesmas plays pivotal point in handling prevention and control of DHF budget. An integrated information on the economic costs of vector control and other extras costs include plague management costs are not available for policy maker (Baly et al, 2011). Therefore, it is important to conduct a research of cost-prevention analysis of dengue haemorrhagic disease because DHF is one of the causes of many deaths in Gianyar District. This study was conducted to complement the limited empirical evidence in relation to the estimated cost.
of dengue disease, as local data collection will provide more accurate information.

2 METHODS

This study is a cross sectional study with mixed methods sequential explanatory design. Based on the characteristics of sequential explanatory combination method, the first phase of the study using quantitative methods and in the second stage using qualitative methods. Thus a combination of research was conducted to answer the formulation of quantitative problems in order to complete each other. The method is used because lack of data available on how well vector, surveillance and IEC controls. This research had been approved by the ethical commission with ethical clearance number 762/UN.14.2/KEP/2017 from Research Ethical Commission Udayana University Medicine Faculty/ Sanglah Hospital, Denpasar. This research was conducted at Gianyar Regency starting from March until April 2017. The study use primary and secondary data. Quantitative data is gained from secondary data from Department of Health (DOH) Gianyar and qualitative data is gained form in-depth interview. Sample is chosen purposively with five informants and use triangulation technique to validate data.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Cost of Vector Control in 2016

Based on interview with staff from DOH Gianyar, study found that there are three activities undertaken by DOH Gianyar Regency to control DHF vector in 2016 namely Environmental Management, Abatization, and Fogging.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control of Dengue Vectors Year 2016</th>
<th>IDR</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Management</td>
<td>13,550,000.00</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abatization</td>
<td>60,000,000.00</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fogging</td>
<td>1,925,020,000.00</td>
<td>96.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,998,570,000.00</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 1 can be seen that total cost incurred by DOH Gianyar for vector control is Rp. 1,998,570,000.00 with the highest percentage for fogging activity that is equal to 96.3%

3.2 Cost of Communication, Information and Education 2016

This study found that DOH Gianyar and some related agencies spent Rp. 188,218,000.00 to develop posters, brochures, leaflets, brochures, booklets, messages for health education purposes, partnering with cross-sector agencies, radio broadcasts, print media, potential partners consisting of non-governmental organizations as a means of promoting the danger of DHF.

3.3 Cost of Surveillance Program 2016

Data on surveillance costs are obtained from secondary data. While the flow and surveillance system of Dengue Haemorrhagic Fever in DOH Gianyar obtained from in-depth interview.

Table 2: Cost of Surveillance at DOH Gianyar 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>IDR</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buying Goods</td>
<td>1,400,875.00</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>2,304,000.00</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervision</td>
<td>12,680,000.00</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16,384,875.00</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cost of surveillance is fully utilized by the DOH Gianyar Regency, there is no fund given to the Puskesmas because community health center has become a public service agencies primary health care policy (BLUD) institution. The public service agencies primary health care policy has been implemented to all primary health care in Gianyar district since 2010 (Indrayathi et al, 2014).

3.4 Cost of Prevention of Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever (DBD) in DOH Gianyar 2016

Based on in-depth interview and secondary data available in DOH Gianyar, this study found that cost of prevention of DHF is Rp. 2,203,172,875. Detail is as follow:
Table 3. Cost of Prevention of DHF in DOH Gianyar 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Rp</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vector control</td>
<td>1,998,570,000.00</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication, Information and Education</td>
<td>188,218,000.00</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveillance</td>
<td>16,384,875.00</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,203,172,875.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on table 3, it can be seen that the most fund is for vector control as much as Rp. 1,998,570,000.00 or about 91% of the funds for the P2DBD program at the DOH Gianyar Regency. Followed by communication, information and education, and surveillance with Rp188,218,000.00, and Rp 16,384,875.00 respectively.

4 DISCUSSIONS

DHF is the most important vector-borne disease in terms of disease and economic burdens. The government has invested substantially for dengue prevention program. This is because Dengue creates a real economic burden for society. Cost of prevention is a disease prevention activity that uses the resources needed to perform various intensive activities undertaken by the parties concerned to prevent and control the disease. DHF prevention and control activities are surveillance, periodic larvae in houses, larvicide use in water storage containers, peripheral insecticide spraying against adult mosquitoes (fogging), health education/promotion related to disease prevention. Based on the secondary data collection, it can be concluded that the large cost incurred by the DOH Gianyar in 2016 for P2DBD (DHF Prevention and Control) activities is Rp. 2,203,172,875.00. These costs are spent on vector control consisting of environmental management, abatization, and fogging. Environmental management activities consist of PSN (Mosquito Nest Eradication) and PJB (Periodic larva monitoring) by Jumantik cadres in the working area of DOH Gianyar. The cost incurred for Jumantik incentives in 2016 is Rp. 13,590,000.00 or equal to 0.68% of the total cost incurred for vector control in 2016. The incentive given to Jumantik is given by the Gianyar District Health Office directly without going through the puskesmas. Based on DOH Gianyar District report, the activity that absorbed most of APBD funds managed by DOH Gianyar Regency is fogging which is 96.32% from total cost of DBD vector control. As can be seen from table 1 that the cost is high enough for the fogging activity. This is because fogging is an activity that is routinely done every year and is realized to spend high cost with low effectiveness in preventing dengue disease. But fogging is still done to prevent and control the vector of dengue fever which aims to kill infective adult mosquitoes quickly and break the chain of dengue virus spread carried by mosquitoes. This fogging activity is not only an alternative to vector control in Indonesia but also in Cambodia which costs 500,000 USD every year. While Thailand spent 4.87 million USD each year for the fogging activities. The fees vary depending on the policies of each country in the P2DBD.

However, there are several concerns about the use of insecticides in dengue prevention, namely the development of mosquito resistance, environmental risks, and the transient variable efficacy of peridomestic area spraying. According to WHO, education programs / programs to the public about prevention and control of DHF more effectively can raise awareness about the importance of prevention and control activities to be done independently by community rather than fogging. The DOH Gianyar also conduct health promotion activities to prevent DHF.

There are several health promotion activities undertaken by the DOH namely advocacy, business development, and community empowerment. The activities not only targeting community groups but also for policy advocacy and foster relationships with partners in the process of disseminating information to the community about DHF. By raising public awareness through education activities against the dangers of dengue fever and doing activities of prevention and control of dengue fever is the choice to avoid this infectious disease. However, the fund provide for health promotion through communication, information and education program in Gianyar District not as much as fund for fogging activity. This approach may be driven by public expectations of government reaction to dengue outbreaks in the district area rather than higher expectations of proactive actions to prevent dengue outbreaks.

There are several limitations to this study. The cost of prevention perspective is limited to the public sector only especially from DOH Gianyar District perspective. The study did not include the cost of dengue vector activities paid for by private corporations (e.g., fogging activities surrounding hotels, factories, and warehouses) and private
households (e.g., fogging conducted in elite residential area) also not included community mosquito prevention activities conducted by non governmental organizations (NGO). Most cost data for communication, information and educational are borne from interview since the DOH Gianyar office does not the written document.

5 CONCLUSIONS

The cost of prevention borne due to DHF is Rp 2,203,172,875.00. In 2016, spending for Dengue Prevention and Control activity at the DOH Gianyar Regency is the highest fund compared to other diseases. Fogging is the most costly alternative option from year to year that costs the most from other preventive activities from supporting equipment, human resources and chemicals used. Although fogging is not effective to prevent dengue fever, fogging activities are still done to kill infective adult mosquitoes to prevent rapid transmission but also driven by public expectations of government reaction to dengue outbreaks. The cost has increased notably over time, primarily due to price inflation and the increasing prevalence of DHF. Data on DHF costs in Gianyar will help indicate how much money could potentially be reallocated to other control approaches as they become available. DHF disease creates a real economic burden for society. The community in Gianyar area has been reliant on a government funding for prevention and control program.
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