Region Segregation by Linking Keypoints Tuned to Colour

M. Farrajota, J. M. F. Rodrigues and J. M. H. du Buf

Vision Laboratory, LARSyS, University of the Algarve, 8005-139 Faro, Portugal

Keywords: Colour, Segmentation, Keypoint, Cluster, Multi-scale, Visual Cortex.

Abstract: Coloured regions can be segregated from each other by using colour-opponent mechanisms, colour contrast, saturation and luminance. Here we address segmentation by using end-stopped cells tuned to colour instead of to colour contrast. Colour information is coded in separate channels. By using multi-scale cortical end-stopped cells tuned to colour, keypoint information in all channels is coded and mapped by multi-scale peaks. Unsupervised segmentation is achieved by analysing the branches of these peaks, which yields the best-fitting image regions.

1 INTRODUCTION

Motion, colour and form are inseparably intertwined properties of objects in visual perception and in the visual cortex (Hubel, 1995). Perceptual and psychophysical studies have determined reciprocal links between colour and form in human vision, and colour has been found to impact the perception of form (Shapley and Hawken, 2011). Also, perceptual grouping plays a decisive role in visual perception (Grossberg et al., 1997).

The capacity for colour vision requires multiple sensors with different spectral absorption properties in combination with a nervous system which is able to contrast signals (Jacobs, 2009). Trichromatic colour vision begins when the three types of cones (photoreceptors with unique absorption spectra) sample the irradiance across the retina (Derrington et al., 2002). After retinal preprocessing, ganglion cells transmit the information from the eye to the brain via the LGN (Gegenfurtner, 2003). In the visual cortex, single- and double-opponent V1 neurons are part of an organisation that extends from V1 all the way up to the inferotemporal cortex. Single-opponent and double-opponent cells have different functions: single-opponent cells respond to large coloured areas and inside those regions. Double-opponent cells respond to coloured patterns, textures and colour boundaries. Full colour segmentation in the brain is supposed to occur in higher visual areas such as hV4 (Goddard et al., 2011; Roe et al., 2012), although colour segmentation already begins in the early visual areas V1 and V2 (Gegenfurtner, 2003).

Image segmentation and grouping are still big challenges in computer vision. Many vision problems can be solved by employing segmented images. That is, when segmentations can be reliably and efficiently computed. The use of more powerful computers has led to a wide variety of segmentation methods (Pal and Pal, 1993). A universal method does not yet exist. Most techniques and variations are tailored to particular applications and they may work only under certain conditions. For detailed surveys of colour segmentation see (Lucchese and Mitra, 2001; Mushrif and Ray, 2008; Vantaram and Saber, 2012).

In this paper we present a new colour segmentation model. Although this model does not employ any prior information of the visual scene, it performs well in most real-world scenarios and it works in real time. We focus on colour information and spatial contrast mechanisms to segment meaningful regions in a uniform colour space: CIE L*C*H. By applying multiscale cortical end-stopped cells tuned to colour, segmentation can be achieved in an unsupervised way and with a high degree of parallelism, yet robust to noise and lighting conditions.

2 COLOUR SPACE AND COLOUR CELLS

In increasingly higher visual areas of the cortex, cells responsive to colour are increasingly more tuned to specific and narrower ranges of hues (Gegenfurtner, 2003). This means that a wider range of cells can code complex images into more regions with less percep-

Region Segregation by Linking Keypoints Tuned to Colour.

DOI: 10.5220/0004827002470254

In Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Pattern Recognition Applications and Methods (ICPRAM-2014), pages 247-254 ISBN: 978-989-758-018-5

tual difference between similar colours. Our method is not based on colour opponency or colour contrast but on the colours themselves. In order to obtain channels tuned to either colours or shades, we apply a colour gain function in conjunction with a high-pass function to the hue channels, and a low-pass function to the chroma (saturation) channel. For applications of region segmentation, a wider range of colours generally means more computations, hence a trade-off between precision and speed is often required.

Here we use the CIE L*C*H* colour space. Let image I(x, y) of size $N \times M$ be defined as (L^*, C^*, H^*) : luminance, chroma and hue. Figure 1 (bottom left) illustrates hue $H^*(x,y)$. We divide the hue circle into $N_{\odot} = 8$ equal ranges (channels): $\phi_i = j \times 360/N_{\odot}$ and $j = \{1, 2, ..., N_{\varphi}\}$. Each hue $H^*(x, y)$ in each channel ϕ_i is weighted by a Gaussian gain function: $G_{\phi_i}(x, y) = \exp(-(H^*(x, y) - \phi_i)^2/(2\sigma^2))$, with $\sigma = 360/N_{\phi}$. In the next step, high-saturation hues and low chromas are boosted in order to obtain eight channels which code clear colours, and one channel which codes low saturation, i.e., shades. To this purpose we apply two nonlinearities as defined by the "low-pass" Butterworth function, BW(x,y) = $\sqrt{1/(1+C^*(x,y)/K)^{2\eta}}$, where C^* is chroma. The "high-pass" function (1 - BW) is applied to hues.

The high-pass *BW* function is applied to G_{ϕ_j} , which yields the colour responses $\Psi_{CC_j}(x,y) = G_{\phi_j}(x,y) \times (1 - BW(x,y))$, with $CC_j = \{1,...,N_{\phi}\},$ $\eta = 3$ and K = 6. As mentioned, the low-pass function is applied to chroma C^* to code low saturations: $\Psi_{SC}(x,y) = BW(x,y)$ with K = 8. Finally, luminance is not processed: $\Psi_L = L^*(x,y)$. Summarising, we model 10 channels or 10 cells at each pixel position: 8 cells with boosted colours, one with low chroma for shades, and one with luminance. Figure 1 shows Ψ_{CC_j} , Ψ_{SC} and Ψ_L . All responses Ψ are normalised between 0 and 1 in each of the 10 maps.

3 MULTI-SCALE KEYPOINT CELLS

Keypoints are based on cortical end-stopped cells (Rodrigues and du Buf, 2006). The general idea behind our region segmentation method is to perform region fitting. One good way to achieve this is by using Difference-of-Gaussian (DoG) filters, mainly due to the desirable property of increasing visibility of edges and other details around the borders of regions (Young et al., 2001). Since end-stopped cells employ derivatives, DoG filters can be approximated, with additional benefits: (a) the particular orientation



Figure 1: Top four rows: colour responses Ψ_{CC_j} , $\phi_j = \{45^\circ, ..., 360^\circ\}$. Fifth row: low saturation Ψ_{SC} (left) and luminance Ψ_L (right). Bottom: hue H^* (left) and input image.

of a region can be acquired, and (b) elongated, curved and hollow (annulus) regions can be detected without special solutions, i.e., filters tuned to such particular regions. In this section we describe the multi-scale keypoint process.

The basic principle is based on Gabor quadrature filters which provide a model of cortical simple cells (Rodrigues and du Buf, 2006). In the spatial domain (x, y) they consist of a real cosine and an imaginary sine, both with a Gaussian envelope. Responses of even and odd simple cells, which correspond to real and imaginary parts of a Gabor filter, are obtained by convolving the input image with the filter kernel, and are denoted by $R_{s,i,h}^{E}(x,y)$ and $R_{s,i,h}^{O}(x,y)$, where s denotes the scale, i.e., $s = \{1, 2, 3, ..., \min(N, M)/6\}$. If λ is the (spatial) wavelength, then $\lambda = 1$ corresponds to 1 pixel. At the smallest scale s = 1, $\lambda =$ 4. The scales were computed with half-octave increments. We used 8 orientations, $i = [0, N_{\theta} - 1]$ with $N_{\theta} = 8$. Subscript *h* denotes the input data: $h = \{\Psi_{CC_i}, \Psi_{SC}, \Psi_L\}$ are the colour, saturation and luminance channels, respectively. Scale s = 1 will only be used for computing an edge map; see Section 4.2. Responses of complex cells are modelled by the modulus $C_{s,i,h}(x,y)$ (Rodrigues and du Buf, 2006), and they are normalised between 0 and 1.

There are two types of end-stopped cells: single and double (Rodrigues and du Buf, 2006). These cells are combined using $C_{s,i,h}$ in order to obtain the cell responses for all colour channels. If $[\cdot]^+$ denotes the suppression of negative values, and $C_i = \cos \theta_i$ (with $\theta_i = i\pi/N_{\theta}$) and $S_i = \sin \theta_i$, then a single end-stopped cell is simulated by

$$S_{s,i,h}(x,y) = [C_{s,i,h}(x + dS_{s,i}, y - dC_{s,i}) - C_{s,i,h}(x - dS_{s,i}, y + dC_{s,i})]^+,$$
(1)

and a double end-stopped cell by

$$D_{s,i,h}(x,y) = \left[C_{s,i,h}(x,y) \times \frac{C_{s,i,h}(x,y) - CS_{s,i,h}(x,y)}{C_{s,i,h}(x,y) + CS_{s,i,h}(x,y)}\right]^{+}, \quad (2)$$

where $CS_{s,i,h} = CS^{a}_{s,i,h} + CS^{b}_{s,i,h}$, with $CS^{a}_{s,i,h} = \frac{1}{2}C_{s,i,h}(x+2dS_{s,i},y-2dC_{s,i})$ and $CS^{b}_{s,i,h} = \frac{1}{2}C_{s,i,h}(x-2dS_{s,i},y+2dC_{s,i})$. The distance *d* is scaled linearly with the filter scale *s*: d = 2s.

Hubel (1995) reported some end-stopped cells which did not respond at all to long lines, and he coined them as completely end-stopped cells. Although double end-stopped cells convey information concerning certain patterns, completely end-stopped cells also convey information if the stimulus area is larger than the activation region of the receptive field (RF). Object crowding can be quite challenging, and its effects can hamper region detection (Robol et al., 2012). Due to the increase of receptive field size at coarser scales, completely double end-stopped cells can be used to detect bulky objects, and nearby regions can be clustered into a single region. In order to minimise crowding effects, we analyse the responses of the surrounding RFs separately: CS^a and CS^b . This way, at coarser scales where the RFs are big, gaps between regions can be better segmented than when using the entire surrounding RF. We define completely end-stopped cells by $CD_{s,i,h}(x,y) = D_{s,i,h}(x,y)$ if $CS^{a}_{s,i,h}(x,y) < 0.55 \times C_{s,i,h}(x,y) \wedge CS^{b}_{s,i,h}(x,y) < 0.55 \times C_{s,i,h}(x,y)$; otherwise they are inhibited: $CD_{s,i,h}(x,y) = 0$.

In this scale space of end-stopped colour cells we look for peaks ("extrema") at each scale which can code differently coloured regions. Cell responses are summed over all orientations: if $\Lambda = \{S, D, CD\}$, then $\hat{\Lambda}_{s,h} = \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\theta}-1} \Lambda_{s,i,h} / N_{\theta}$. A threshold $T_i = 0.2$ is applied to inhibit small responses. The maximum responses of all h channels are combined, i.e., $\Lambda_s = \max_h \{\Lambda_{s,h}\}$, and the local extrema are detected: $E_s = \text{peak}\{\dot{\Lambda}_s\}$ are the peaks of the local maxima of each detected region. It is now possible to assign to each region in $\dot{\Lambda}_s$ a label that corresponds to the ϕ_i of the max_h, plus two labels for saturation and luminance. The result is an image that has $N_{\phi} + 2$ labels: $\Gamma(x,y)$ codes the maximum colour response channels h of Λ_s . This is used to classify the keypoints E_s with respect to colour. PUBLICATIONS

Figure 2 illustrates responses of colour double end-stopped cells in the case of the example image. The top row shows, at three scales (left to right: $\lambda = \{12, 24, 32\}$), the responses $\hat{D}_{s,h}$ for $\phi_j = 45^\circ$ (see top-left image in Fig. 1). We can see the apparent relationship between the sizes of the regions and the sizes of the cells: stronger responses lead to a the better fitting. The 2nd row shows the combined responses of all colour channels, \dot{D}_s , at the same three scales. The 3rd row shows D_s^* , which correspond to the images on the 2nd row but now with the corresponding hues, plus low saturation in grey and luminance in white. The 4th row shows the extrema, E_s^D , as white dots superimposed on the combined responses of the 2nd row.

4 REGION DETECTION AND SEGMENTATION

Several techniques for image segmentation adopt different strategies (Pal and Pal, 1993). Detection of differently shaped regions in case of region-fitting strategies generally depends on separate methods, each one tuned to a specific shape and size. The most commonly used shapes are circular, elongated, curved and hollow.

Here we mainly address circular (or slightly oval) and elongated regions. For circular and slightly oval shapes, oriented RFs of end-stopped cells are particularly appropriate. Elongated shapes are a bigger chal-



Figure 2: Top block: responses of colour double endstopped cells at, from left to right, three scales $\lambda = \{12, 24, 32\}$. Top row: $\hat{D}_{s,h}$ for $\phi_j = 45^\circ$. Second row: \dot{D}_s . Third row: as 2nd row but with the corresponding hues ϕ_j , saturation in grey and luminance in white. Fourth row: extrema E_s^D superimposed on the combined responses of the 2nd row. At bottom: contours of the scale space (at left), a cut shows the responses at successive scales (at right), and linked extrema over scales with colour tags.

lenge, mainly because of different ranges of lengths and forms. We tested several filters with different receptive field shapes and sizes, but because of complexity and computational costs this solution was not feasible.

Therefore we focused on the responses of oriented double end-stopped cells, originally tuned to circular shapes, in order to also detect elongated shapes, by combining them with the responses of completely end-stopped cells for determining the length and orientation of such regions. The method for region segmentation consists of using such cells to cluster keypoints according to colour similarity and, by combining the clusters with the retinotopic colour maps and line/edge maps, to detect region boundaries.

4.1 Keypoint Clustering

Keypoints of the same hue range ϕ_j and at all scales are combined into trees. We apply a multi-scale tree structure in E_s space, where one keypoint at a coarse scale is related to one or more keypoints at one finer scale, which can be slightly displaced. This relation is modelled by down-projection using grouping cells with a circular axonic field, the size of which (λ) defines the region of influence; see (Farrajota et al., 2011). Resulting trees, which are mainly caused by responses of completely end-stopped cells, are then separated from those caused by inhibited responses. If a tree *only* comprises keypoints from "completely" responses, it is considered to be a final cluster and it is excluded from further processing.

The clustering consists of four steps: (1) Trees of keypoints based on the E_s space are assigned the colour corresponding to the maximum response $\dot{\Lambda}_s$. (2) Trees which mainly consist of keypoints where at the same (x, y) position there exist inhibited completely end-stopped responses (CD = 0) are separated from those with such non-inhibited responses – because inhibited responses are due to very elongated regions whereas the other ones are due to circular or semi-circular regions. (3) Trees with inhibited completely end-stopped responses in the same hue range ϕ_j are clustered on the basis of saturation, luminance and spatial continuity. (4) The resulting clusters are linked to other clusters which belong to neighbouring hue ranges.

As mentioned, the clustering is divided in two major groups: (a) more or less circular areas and (b) elongated and differently shaped areas. In the first case, we use the multi-scale keypoint trees (with the same hue range ϕ_j) in combination with completely end-stopped responses to detect and cluster keypoints belonging to regions with different surrounding regions, where keypoint trees at any scales *s* which have inhibited completely end-stopped responses in any orientation were discarded, i.e., where $CD_{s,i,h}(x,y)|_{h=h^*} = 0$, where h^* corresponds to the colour channel of the keypoints. The sizes of the regions are directly related to the extrema with the highest responses, because the amplitudes of the responses are directly related to the sizes of the RFs of completely double end-stopped cells. Therefore, a region with an area which best fits a cell's RF, at any given scale, will yield the highest response of the keypoint in the corresponding multi-scale tree for that particular region.

Elongated and differently shaped areas require different clustering processes to ensure reliable detection of the underlying colour patch and the clustering of its features. After the first clustering step in (2), keypoint trees with inhibited completely end-stopped responses at any scale and orientation $(CD_{s,i,h}(x,y)|_{h=h^*}=0)$ are analysed and clustered together. Trees composed of extrema with inhibited responses of completely end-stopped cells, which belong to a same region, are clustered together using saturation, luminance, colour range and spatial connectivity. Let $T_{A_{\phi}}$ and $T_{B_{\phi}}$ be two trees with the same colour ϕ . For each tree, the means $\bar{C}^*{}_{A_{\phi}} =$ $\sum_{1}^{N_s} C^*(x,y)/N_s$ and $\bar{L^*}_{A_{\phi}} = \sum_{1}^{N_s} L^*(x,y)/N_s$ of the saturation (chroma) and luminance channels are computed, where N_s is the number of scales of the tree. If $\bar{C}_{A_{\phi}}^{*} > 0.2$ and $\bar{L}_{A_{\phi}}^{*} > 0.25$, then the spatial connectivity is checked. A binary map B_i is derived from the colour maps CC_i :

$$B_j(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } \psi_{CC_j}(x,y) \ge 0.7 \land \psi_{SC(x,y)} \ge 0.7 \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(3)

Now, between two keypoints of the two trees and on a straight line connecting the two extrema, if $B_j(x, y) = 0$ at six or more consecutive positions on the line, the link is considered invalid. If a valid link between all pairs exists, the two trees are grouped together. This process is repeated for all pairs of trees and tree clusters, until all possible links have been checked.

Finally, tree clusters with all colours are evaluated and possibly combined for the cases where the hue of an underlying region lies between two colour ranges. The Ψ_{SC} and Ψ_L channels are not included in this step. In case of two clusters with neighbouring colours ϕ_e and ϕ_d , where $|e - d| \le 1$ and $\{e, d\} \in j$, all pairs of trees between the clusters are compared as in the previous clustering step, where the saturation and luminance means are computed and validated. If the validation is positive, the minimum distance of the closest two keypoints in both trees is calculated, and



Figure 3: Clustering results. Top: input image (left) and the maximum colour responses (right). Second row, left: keypoints at all scales. Other images: examples of clustered keypoints.

if the distance is less than 13 pixels (this was empirically determined), both clusters are merged. In the case of clusters which already contain two different colour ranges (ϕ_e , ϕ_d), only clusters with those same colour ranges are considered for validation and merging. As before, this process is repeated until no more further links can be established. All trees which have not been clustered with any other tree are discarded.

To avoid unnecessary computations and to speed up the clustering process, responses of inhibited completely end-stopped cells of keypoints in multi-scale trees are analysed with respect to inhibition of orientations when comparing two extrema of two trees or clusters of trees. For any orientation involved in a keypoint from $T_{A_{\phi}}$ with inhibited completely endstopped response ($CD_{s,i,h} = 0$), if there exists a corresponding orientation in a keypoint from $T_{B_{\phi}}$, then both keypoints are valid candidates for spatial connectivity analysis. Invalid candidates are excluded from the matching process.

Figure 3 shows examples of the clustering process. The colours of the keypoints correspond to the colour range ϕ_j in H^* . The keypoints at all scales (second row, left) serve to analyse the clustering results in the other images. In the bear (second row, right) and in the left tree (third row, left) some keypoints are wrongly clustered (missing). In case of the bear, the rock pigments near the right paw caused a grouping because similar colours are too close spatially. Such a problem cannot yet be solved. In case of the left tree, some keypoints are missing (top left corner) due to low saturation, which results in a separate cluster and which is not shown here. The areas between the paws and rock are correctly clustered.

4.2 Segmentation

After keypoint clustering, a precise region segmentation must be achieved. Keypoint clusters are therefore combined with an edge map and the colour maps. A cluster's coordinate boundaries are detected, a binary map is obtained by combining the colour maps of the colour (or colours) of the cluster with an edge map, and all pixels inside the boundaries and the binary map are extracted.

First, a region's limits (bounding box) $R = \{x_{min}, y_{min}, x_{max}, y_{max}\}$ are retrieved from a cluster, i.e., the keypoint positions at the cluster's finest scale, and a small relaxation is applied: $\dot{R} = \{x_{min} - \Delta, y_{min} - \Delta, x_{max} + \Delta, y_{max} + \Delta\}$, with $\Delta = 9$ pixels. This ensures that most if not all pixels from the region are included. Within this "window," a binary map which corresponds to the region's colour coding is computed, such that any pixel within the same colour ranges of the cluster in $\Gamma(x, y)$ are 1 and all others are 0.

Boundaries between regions are sometimes noisy or badly defined due to camera focus, disparity, lighting, etc. An edge map is used to improve localisation. At a given (finest) scale s, the edge map EGis constructed by combining responses of single endstopped cells in all orientations,

$$EG_s(x,y) = \max_{h,i}(S_{s,i,h}(x,y)).$$
 (4)

Only edges at the cluster's finest scale are used because of their better localisation. Then, nonmaximum suppression (NMS) is applied: $\widehat{EG}_s = NMS(EG_s)$. This is done in all orientations in order to preserve the peak responses of the best orientations while suppressing weaker ones. Also, in order to improve results, a hysteresis scheme like (Canny, 1986) is applied, with thresholds $T_{\text{low}} = 0.2$ and $T_{\text{high}} = 0.6$. This ensures edge continuity. Finally, the edge map is binarised to 0 and 1.

The binary edge map is further refined by using the colour maps. Pixels outside the boundaries de-



Figure 4: Segmentation results. Top row: edge maps $EG_s|_{s=1}$ before and after non-maximum suppression and noise removal. Second and third row: the segmentation process (see text). Fourth row: results (see text).

fined by the edge maps are considered to be outliers which belong to other regions. These outliers are generally small sets of one or two pixels wide. Hence, small sets of 5 pixels or less removed. Finally, a region is segmented by verifying whether the cluster's keypoint positions are contained in the binary map. All regions without any keypoints contained in the regions as defined by the clusters are inhibited.

Figure 4 illustrates the segmentation process and shows results. The top row shows the edge maps obtained from single end-stopped cells EG_s at the finest scale (left) and the result after non-maximum suppression and hysteresis tracking (right). On the second row, the binary map (left) corresponds to the colours of the cluster in Fig. 3 (third row, right). The region, delimited by the spatial positions of the combined keypoints, i.e., the bounding box shown in red, includes two separate regions (right). Then, by combining the keypoint map with the edge map (third row, left), the other region between the legs can be removed (right). Finally, the region's pixels in the input image can be extracted (bottom-left), and all regions can be shown and tagged by a number, including the boundaries (bottom-right). Only big and meaningful regions are shown in order to provide a clearer view of the segmentation result.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In general, real-time computer vision requires huge computational power because all images must be processed from the first to the last pixel. GPU (graphics) boards are becoming more popular as hardware advances, and more methods are being parallelised to take advantage of massive parallelism. This concept is fully employed by the primate visual system, in order to execute complex visual tasks for real-time vision (Zeki, 1998). There is evidence that, like in the macaque monkey, different areas of the human prestriate visual cortex are specialised for different visual attributes (Zeki et al., 1991; Grill-Spector and Malach, 2004). Also, functional relationships were discovered between areas V1/V2 and V4 in colour vision, and between V1/V2 and V5 for motion processing. These reflect the anatomical connections between these areas (Zeki et al., 1991). This indicates that specialised neural processes for different tasks also interact with each other, in both low-level (Li et al., 2000) and high-level (Hansen and Gegenfurtner, 2006) processes.

In this paper it has been shown that cortical cells tuned to colour can be used to detect and segment regions or patches according to their colour and shape. Clusters of such regions can be used for higher-level tasks such as object tracking and/or recognition. The main advantage here is the high degree of parallelism: most tasks can be performed simultaneously and independently from each other. Also, resulting keypoints from end-stopped cells code the local complexity of a region, and their structure in a multi-scale tree increases overall keypoint stability and speeds-up the matching process (Farrajota et al., 2011). Results in Fig. 5 show the applicability of the method to many real images. On the 1st, 3rd, 5th and 7th rows it shows the input image (left) and the maximum colour responses (right). The 2nd, 4th, 6th and 8th rows show the keypoints at all scales (left) and the segmentation results (right). As in Fig. 4 (fourth row, right), only the most meaningful regions are shown for clarity. The groupings of features in all images suggest that meaningful regions can be obtained by the method, and that these are suitable for higher-level tasks. By combining colours and clusters of multi-scale keypoint trees, optical flow can be speeded up, not only by direct tree matching but also by matching colour,



Figure 5: Segmentation results.

shape and size information. In addition, the clustering process can be optimised for recognising human behaviour, because the parts of a person's body can be detected and coded by their shape and size over time. This is crucial for recognising human gait, posture and gestures (Sminchisescu et al., 2011).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the EU under the grant ICT-2009.2.1-270247 NeuralDynamics, the Portuguese Foundation for LARSyS (PEst-OE/EEI/LA0009/2013) and PhD grant to author MF (SFRH/BD/79812/2011).

REFERENCES

- Canny, J. (1986). A computational approach to edge detection. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on, (6):679–698.
- Derrington, A. M., Parker, A., Barraclough, N. E., Easton, A., Goodson, G. R., Parker, K. S., Tinsley, C. J., and Webb, B. S. (2002). The uses of colour vision: behavioural and physiological distinctiveness of colour stimuli. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B*, 357:975–985.
- Farrajota, M., Rodrigues, J., and du Buf, J. (2011). Optical flow by multi-scale annotated keypoints: A biological approach. Proc. Int. Conf. on Bio-inspired Systems and Signal Processing (BIOSIGNALS 2011), Rome, Italy, 26-29 January, pages 307–315.
- Gegenfurtner, K. R. (2003). Cortical mechanisms of colour vision. Nature Rev. Neurosci, 4:563–572.
- Goddard, E., Mannion, D. J., McDonald, J. S., Solomon, S. G., and Clifford, C. W. G. (2011). Color responsiveness argues against a dorsal component of human v4. *Journal of Vision*, 11(4).
- Grill-Spector, K. and Malach, R. (2004). The human visual cortex. Annu. Rev. Neurosci., 27:649–677.
- Grossberg, S., Mingolla, E., and Ross, W. D. (1997). Visual brain and visual perception: How does the cortex do perceptual grouping? *Trends in neurosciences*, 20(3):106–111.
- Hansen, T. and Gegenfurtner, K. R. (2006). Higher level chromatic mechanisms for image segmentation. *Journal of Vision*, 6(3).
- Hubel, D. (1995). *Eye, Brain and Vision*. Scientific American Library.
- Jacobs, G. H. (2009). Evolution of colour vision in mammals. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B*, 364:2957–2967.
- Li, Z. et al. (2000). Pre-attentive segmentation in the primary visual cortex. Spatial Vision, 13(1):25–50.
- Lucchese, L. and Mitra, S. (2001). Color image segmentation: A state-of-the-art survey. *Image Processing*, *Vision, and Pattern Recognition, Proc. of the Indian National Science Academy*, 67(2):207–221.

- Mushrif, M. M. and Ray, A. K. (2008). Color image segmentation: Rough-set theoretic approach. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 29(4):483–493.
- Pal, N. R. and Pal, S. K. (1993). A review on image segmentation techniques. *Pattern Recognition*, 26(9):1277– 1294.
- Robol, V., Casco, C., and Dakin, S. C. (2012)). The role of crowding in contextual influences on contour integration. *Journal of Vision*, 12(7):1–18.
- Rodrigues, J. and du Buf, J. (2006). Multi-scale keypoints in V1 and beyond: object segregation, scale selection, saliency maps and face detection. *BioSystems*, 2:75– 90.
- Roe, A. W., Chelazzi, L., Connor, C. E., Conway, B. R., Fujita, I., Gallant, J. L., Lu, H., and Vanduffel, W. (2012). Toward a unified theory of visual area v4. *Neuron*, 74(1):12 – 29.
- Shapley, R. and Hawken, M. (2011). Color in the cortex: single- and double-opponent cells. *Vision Research*, 51:701–717.
- Sminchisescu, C., Bo, L., Ionescu, C., and Kanaujia, A. (2011). Feature-based pose estimation. In Visual Analysis of Humans, pages 225–251. Springer.
- Vantaram, S. R. and Saber, E. (2012). Unsupervised video segmentation by dynamic volume growing and multivariate volume merging using color-texture-gradient features. In *Image Processing (ICIP), 19th IEEE International Conference on*, pages 305–308. IEEE.
- Young, R. A., Lesperance, R. M., and Meyer, W. W. (2001). The gaussian derivative model for spatial-temporal vision: I. cortical model. *Spatial Vision*, 14(3-4):261– 319.
- Zeki, S. (1998). review: Parallel processing, asynchronous perception, and a distributed system of consciousness in vision. *The Neuroscientist*, 4(5):365–372.
- Zeki, S., Watson, J., Lueck, C., Friston, K. J., Kennard, C., and Frackowiak, R. (1991). A direct demonstration of functional specialization in human visual cortex. *The Journal of neuroscience*, 11(3):641–649.