SignalBIT

A Web-based Platform for Real-time Biosignal Visualization and Recording

Ana Priscila Alves¹, Hugo Plácido da Silva¹, André Lourenço^{1,2} and Ana Fred¹

¹Instituto de Telecomunicações, Instituto Superior Técnico, Avenida Rovisco Pais, 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal ²Instituto Superior de Engenharia de Lisboa, Rua Conselheiro Emídio Navarro, 1, 1959-007 Lisboa, Portugal

Keywords: Biosignals, Data Acquisition, Data Visualization, Web Technologies.

Biosignals have had an increasingly important role in the research and development of new applications for healthcare, sports, quality of life, and many other fields. Still, researchers are often faced with problems related with the ease-of-use and practicality of software tools for rapid prototyping of applications that involve biosignal acquisition and processing. Typically, there are either highly flexible scientific computing tools or custom developed and application-specific tools, the former being often characterized by long learning curves and limited user interface design capabilities, while the latter is often characterized by poor cross-platform compatibility, and overheads in terms of development time when new features are needed. In this paper we present a versatile, flexible, and extensible software framework for rapid prototyping of end-user applications, specifically targeted at biosignal acquisition and post-processing. We build on the advantages of combining web technologies with the Python programming language, to improve the usability, interaction, cross-platform compatibility, extensibility, and flexibility of biosignal-based applications.

1 INTRODUCTION

Abstract:

Over the last decade, the use of biosignals has increased significantly, and nowadays several disciplines both from the medical and engineering domain benefit from their use; these include sports performance, physical rehabilitation, ergonomics and quality of life. The widespread use of biosignals in a large variety of applications demonstrates that it is an increasingly growing field of interest in academia and industry (Helal et al., 2008; Petta et al., 2011; Schwartz and Andrasik, 2005; AliveCor, 2012; Adidas, 2012), however in the context of biosignal research, a major bottleneck is the rapid prototyping of high impact end-user applications.

Tools such as MATLAB[®], Simulink[®], LabVIEW[®] and others alike, are quite comprehensive and versatile, but their capabilities for development of modern user-interfaces are limited, and these tools require extensive training, which may not be accessible to the broadest research community. On the other hand, WYSIWYG tools such as Dreamweaver, Apple iWeb, Microsoft Publisher and others, that allow easy creation of modern user interfaces, lack the performance, flexibility, and computational power to deal with the requirements of

biosignal analysis. Furthermore, software developed in high performance programming languages such as C/C++, has poor cross-platform compatibility, and vendor-specific tools are mostly monolythic and designed to enable basic visualization with interfaces that are hard to use for non technically proficient users (Biopac, 2013; Shimmer, 2012).

In the overall, there is a need for software architectures and tools that can overcome the limitations of existing approaches. Web technologies have changed many established paradigms in software development, by allowing the creation of user-friendly applications with multi-tier architecture, without requiring complex development or deployment procedures. Since they only require a compatible web browser, there is a high cross-platform compatibility; and with the advent of HTML5, programmers can create rich interactive environments natively within browsers, allowing the development of tools that easily meet users needs.

In this paper, we present a platform and software framework that enables rapid prototyping of end-user software applications, involving seamless use and visualization of biosignals. Our work contributes to the widespread use of these signals, increasing the possibilities for their study in multiple engineering

Priscila Alves A., Plácido da Silva H., Lourenço A. and Fred A..

Copyright © 2013 SCITEPRESS (Science and Technology Publications, Lda.)

¹⁵⁶ SignalBIT - A Web-based Platform for Real-time Biosignal Visualization and Recording. DOI: 10.5220/0004612001560162

In Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Signal Processing and Multimedia Applications and 10th International Conference on Wireless Information Networks and Systems (SIGMAP-2013), pages 156-162 ISBN: 978-989-8565-74-7

and non-engineering contexts, such as biomedical, electrical and mechanical engineering, computer science, informatics, sports science, psychology, neurosciences, medicine and many more. In previous work by our group, we have developed an application for off-line data annotation and ground-truth collection (Lourenço et al., 2013) Now, the main motivation for our contribution was the need for an easy-touse, versatile and scalable software for biosignals visualization in real-time, capable of direct interaction with an acquisition device, the BITalino (Alves et al., 2013), also a result from previous research done by our group.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the architecture used in our application and the biosignal visualization software framework; Section 3 provides experimental results obtained from the software performance and usability standpoints; and finally, Section 4 highlights the main conclusions and future work perspectives.

٩N

2 SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

Our framework was designed under a Model-View-Controller (MVC) architecture, decoupling the presentation from the processing and persistency layers, as proposed in (Silva et al., 2012). This approach has the advantage of dividing the application into independent and interchangeable modules, which can be developed in different platforms, and with the possibility of reusability. In the approach presented in this paper, the system is divided into 3 main modules, as represented in Figure 3: a) View, a front-end based on Web technologies that displays the user interface and allows all the interaction; b) Controller, where all the events triggered in the user interface are mapped into operations; c) Model, which coordinates the application logic by evaluating the messages received by the controller, executing the operations and producing results.

2.1 View

One of the requirements for our work was for the front-end to provide intuitive and interactive interfaces. Web browsers currently offer the versatility of being used in all operative systems, combined with the possibility to create a rich user interface experience with relative ease of layout design and formatting. The HTML is the base technology, which is responsible for modelling the web page structure. Alongside with this technology there are the Cascading Style Sheets (CSS), which controls the style and layout of the web page. JavaScript provides a comprehensive set of functions for user-interface event handling, interaction logic, and browser-side computing.

Our web application user interface was designed recurring to HTML5 and CSS3, the latest standards that offer means for producing aesthetical, simple and intuitive front-ends. Figure 1 shows the overall interface that we entitled SignalBIT. A global overview plot on the bottom of the work area provides a 1 minute summary of all the analog channels being acquired. The graphics on the center of the screen show the individual channels, which in this case are Accelerometer (ACC), Light Dependent Resistor (LDR), Electrodermal Activity (EDA) and Electrocardiography (ECG) sensor, and finally, in the separation between the two areas we can visualyze the state of the digital inputs or change the state of the digital outputs, by clicking on the 4 buttons on the right.



Figure 1: SignalBIT application user interface.

The major interaction is provided by the menu, shown in Figure 3, which contains the following options: a) Start/Stop acquisition; b) Choose acquisition configurations, such as the number of channels to be acquired, or the device to communicate with; and c) Save the recorded data for later processing.

When the user starts a new recording session, the signals start to appear in each corresponding plot, as represented in Figure 1. By default, the timespan of the individual graphics shown corresponds to 12 seconds of signal acquired, marked as grey in the overview plot, however, the user can change the time scale by pressing the left or right arrow keyboard keys, increasing or decreasing, respectively, from 1 to 30 seconds. Figure 2 a) and b) represent the visualization result of changing the time scale. The user can also change the scale amplitude by zooming or change the offset of the graphic. The zoom event is triggered by pressing the 'plus' key for zoom out and 'minus' for zoom in. The user can also adjust the baseline of the signal by pressing the up or down arrow keys. In Figure 2 c) and d), we depict the result of a zooming operation, where, in this case, the ECG signal can be seen in more or less detail. All the interaction was inspired by the use of an oscilloscope, since it is a reference in what concerns to signals visualization and experimenting in electronic laboratories.



(d) Zoom Out

Figure 2: ECG signal visualization in different timespans and zooming levels.

2.2 Controller

The interface between the view and the model is managed by the controller. It was implemented in JavaScript (JS), using a fast, small, and feature-rich JavaScript library named jQuery(McFarland, 2011), which includes the animations and handles the user interface events. For plotting, we rely on the now widely used Flot library¹, a JS plotting API for jQuery.

The communication between the view and the model is based on the WebSocket standard, which implements a full-duplex single socket connection, simplifying the complexity around bi-directional web communication and connection management (Wang et al., 2012). We use this approach to enable the user interface to trigger model actions, decoupling the logic operations from the visualization. The messages exchanged correspond to Python commands or functions already implemented in the model. As such, when a command is received through the model input stream in Python, a function ("dataReceived()") is called, and the message received is evaluated and executed. Likewise, the model can send messages to the client through the output stream, formatted in the same way, that is, with messages corresponding to JavaScript functions, evaluated on arrival. The arguments of the functions received in the controller side rely on the JSON notation, a standard datainterchange format, used in a large variety of programming languages, and which is quite convenient, given that it is the native data representation format in JavaScript.

2.3 Model

The model is implemented using a high-performance back-end in Python, which is responsible for the connection with the BITalino device via Bluetooth and for all the data processing and storage. It is divided in three main processes, which are responsible for: a) *General operations*, such as the access to the file system or retrieve previously stored session configuration and settings; b) *Acquisition*, using the Bluetooth socket (which in our case is supported by the PyBluez API²), and receiving the data from the BITalino in real-time; and finally c) *Formating*, where the signals acquired are formatted as a JSON object with a format interpretable by the Flot JS API.

To better understand the acquisition process, we will first focus on the data received through the Bluetooth socket. The BITalino device is responsible for

¹http://www.flotcharts.org/

²https://code.google.com/p/pybluez/



Figure 3: System Architecture.

acquiring the analog channels previously selected by the user in the view interface. The acquired data is sent through a Bluetooth transceiver to a base station(where the SignalBIT is running), with a specific encoding, to make the most efficient use of the available bandwidth on the communication channel, sending packets as represented in Figure 4. Each sample corresponds to a 4 to 8 bytes packet that includes a sequence number, a 4-bit Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC) value, and the sampled values for 4 digital inputs and up to 6 analog channels. Each packet received through the socket is saved in a buffer and when it reaches 300 samples, they are decoded, converted to the data structure interpretable by the Flot JS API, and sent to the controller.

		Bits							
		7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
Bytes	0	S	S	S	S	CRC	CRC	CRC	CRC
	1	DO	D1	D2	D3	A0	A0	AO	AO
	2	AO	AO	A0	AO	AO	AO	A1	A1
	3	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1
	4	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2
	5	A2	A2	A3	A3	A3	A3	A3	A3
	6	A3	A3	A3	A3	Α4	A4	A4	A4
	7	A4	Α4	A5	A5	A5	A5	A5	A5

Figure 4: Data packets structure.

The model uses the Twisted³ protocol for communication with the controller, an event-driven networking engine written in Python. Once the back-end is launched, it waits for a client to successfully establish a connection and then the message exchanges between the controller and the model start.

Figure 3 shows an example of messages exchange between the model, view and controller. The save button click event (1), triggered in the user interface, will be detected by the controller, which sends a message to the model using the WebSocket protocol (2), with the function *getFile()*. In the model, the message is evaluated as a Python command, and the *getFile()* function is executed (3). The result is a dictionary (JSON format), with information about the files and folders found in the current path (4). This dictionary is sent to the controller (5) as an input variable of the function *DisplayFiles()* implemented in the controller. Consequently, the message received from the model is firstly evaluated in the controller (6) and then the function *DisplayFiles()* is executed (7), similarly to what happens in the model. This function will create a file explorer in the view module, by changing the HTML with the new information retrieved (8), namely the list of files.

3 RESULTS

The evaluation of the SignalBIT platform was divided in two major aspects: performance and usability. The performance determines the system responsiveness and stability under a particular workload, while the usability measures the clarity and ease-of-use associated with the human-computer interaction. With these two perspectives, we can assess on one hand the performance of SignalBIT for core tasks in the processing workflow, and the ease-of-use as evaluated by potential end-users of the software.

3.1 Profiling

We evaluated the performance measuring the time complexity of the program by recording the duration of the main function calls. In the SignalBIT application, we will focus on analysing the most important functions associated with signal visualization in realtime, evaluating both in the model and view.

In the model, we focused on the functions responsible for: a) *Decoding* the messages received over the

³http://twistedmatrix.com/trac/

Bluetooth socket; b) *Formatting* the data sent to Flot; and c) *Transmitting* data to the controller. In the controller we will assess the time between receiving and drawing the data.

The obtained results represent the average elapsed time in each function call on the model for 500 exchanged messages. Each message represents 300 samples received from the acquisition hardware and being decoded, prepared and sent to the controller. The experiments were performed in a HP p6290pt Desktop Computer, with Windows 7 64-bit operative system, processor Intel(R)Core(TM) i5 CPU 750 @ 2.67GHz and 8.00 GB of RAM memory.

Table 1: Profiling results, displaying the elapsed time ($\mu \pm \sigma$ in milliseconds) for the three core operations of the model (Decoding, Formatting data to Flot, Transmitting to the controller), as well as for the controller displaying operation (JS:JavaScript).

#Ch	Decoding	Formatting	Transmitting	JS
1	9.69±1.55	$7.58 {\pm} 3.89$	0.08 ± 0.27	11.90±3.99
2	12.11±1.83	12.54±5.02	0.08±0.27	16.36±4.59
3	$13.81{\pm}2.39$	16.64 ± 8.54	$0.10{\pm}0.30$	21.72 ± 4.82
4	$16.16{\pm}2.33$	$19.66 {\pm} 7.45$	$0.11{\pm}0.31$	$27.16 {\pm} 5.49$
5	$19.02{\pm}2.54$	$21.68 {\pm} 8.30$	$0.08 {\pm} 0.27$	32.54±7.22
6	$19.98{\pm}2.88$	$23.97{\pm}8.43$	$0.07 {\pm} 0.25$	$37.29 {\pm} 4.06$

Table 1 summarizes the obtained results. As expected, the processing time increases with the number of channels, which is due to the increment in the amount of data. There is a linear relation between the amount of data and the number of analog channels being acquired, where from 1 to 6 channels, the data received from the acquisition device goes from 900 to 2400 bytes in each message. The transmission operation is the only one which does not vary significantly, due to the Websocket's high speed communication when operating in localhost mode.

In the controller, the profiling was done by measuring the time between the arrival of data and its display. The results in the controller follow the same progression as seen in the model, that is, as the number of channels increases, the time spent between receiving the data and displaying it, also increases.

Figure 5 summarizes the profiling in the model and controller, where the linear progression of the total time with the number of channels is visible. The required time to acquire 300 samples is 300 ms, which means that the time between decoding the samples and displaying them in the view must be lower than 300 ms. The total time spent for 6 analog channels (worst case scenario), is approximately 81 ms, providing enough time to receive the samples and show them in real-time.



Figure 5: Profiling results for model (Decoding, Preparing, Sending) and controller (JS: JavaScript).

3.2 Usability study

The usability test consisted of questionnaires based on the System Usability Scale (SUS), designed to collect qualitative data related to measurable usability criteria, through direct user interviews. The SUS was developed in 1986 by Digital Equipment Corporation (DEC) as a ten-item questionnaire giving a global assessment of usability, i.e., the subjective perception of interaction with a system (Brooke, 1996). Each question is a statement and a rating on a five-point scale from "Strongly Disagree" to "Strongly Agree". The SUS items have been developed according to the three usability criteria established by the ISO 9241-11: a) *Effectiveness*: interpreted as the ability of users to complete tasks using the system, and successfully achieve their objectives; b) Efficiency: the level of resources consumed, and effort required in performing tasks; c) Satisfaction: the users' subjective reactions to the use of the system. The SUS has had a great success among usability practitioners since it is a quick and easy-to-use measure of usability. According to Bangor et al. (Bangor et al., 2008) the SUS is not biased against certain types of user interfaces or gender.

The target audience for this study was chosen from four groups, which are representative of the potential end-user populations: a) Biomedical engineering students; b) Electrical engineering students; c) Computer Sciences students; and d) Medical Sciences students (non-proficient in the use of computer-based signal acquisition software). None of the groups had previous experience with the system, and they were instructed to use the system, namely to perform the following tasks: a) Start new acquisition; b) Stop acquisition; c) Save the recorded data in a file.

The study was performed on 100 individuals, 25 for each group and the obtained results are detailed in Table 2. We verified that in all the groups the



Figure 6: System Usability Scale (SUS) score for 4 groups of students: Biomedical Engineering (BME), Computer Sciences (CS), Electrical Engineering (ECE) and Medical Sciences (MS).

score was higher than 84 (well above the SUS average score of 68), indicating that the system was generally well accepted and easy-to-use, without requiring any specific knowledge or background in computer-based signal acquisition software.

We performed a one-way ANOVA test, using the IBM SPSS Statistics Software, to analyse the difference between group means. The obtained F value was 1.043, and p-value was 0.377, which means that there is no significant difference among the four analysed groups.

From these results we can conclude with some confidence that this is a user-friendly system, not only relevant within the academia environment, but even within a medical environment where we expected a much lower degree of acceptance.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we presented the SignalBIT, an easyto-use software framework that can not only be used to acquire biosignals in real-time, but also in multiple dimensions of academic programs and workshops, opening new research horizons and prospects. Our work was geared towards the creation of a simplified albeit flexible and scalable framework that can enable rapid application development of high impact software prototypes involving real-time biosignal acquisition and analysis.

We believe that this architecture has a good compromise solution between usability and performance tradeoffs. Monolithic approaches implemented in low-level languages such as C/C++ can be highly optimized for performance, however they generally have very low cross-platform portability and provide poor user interface design facilities. Approaches based only on web-technologies on the other hand, have a very high cross-platform portability and user interface design facilities but are limitative in terms of low-level operations. Our proposed system architecture overcomes these limitations, by combining a performant and cross-platform back-end that is Python, with a web-based front-end. Experimental results have shown that our approach has manageable performance overheads, given the usability and user acceptance that it enables, as demonstrated by the overall and well above average scores obtained from the System Usability Scale (SUS) assessment. We believe our framework introduces a novel approach to the problem of rapid prototyping of end-user applications that deal with real-time biosignal acquisiton.

Future work will focus on further optimizing the performance of the core operations performed by the Model, and on benchmarking and evolving our framework to a web-based format.

Table 2: SUS Questionnaire: results of each question, in terms of mean (μ), and standard deviation (σ). The rating is on a fivepoint scale from "Strongly Disagree" to "Strongly Agree". The groups are labeled as follows: BME: Biomedical Engineering; CS: Computer Science; ECE: Electrical and Computer Engineering; MS: Medical Sciences.

Question	BME	CS	ECE	MS
I think that I would like to use this system frequently	$4.28 {\pm} 0.123$	$4.20{\pm}0.129$	$4.12{\pm}0.176$	4.41±0.105
I found the system unnecessarily complex	$1.16{\pm}0.075$	$1.40{\pm}0.100$	$1.44{\pm}0.164$	$1.24{\pm}0.081$
I thought the system was easy to use	$4.80{\pm}0.082$	$4.64{\pm}0.098$	$4.72{\pm}0.108$	$4.72{\pm}0.084$
I think that I would need the support of a technical person to be able to use this system	$1.24{\pm}0.087$	$1.28{\pm}0.147$	$1.48{\pm}0.154$	$1.48{\pm}0.118$
I found the various functions in this system were well integrated	$4.52{\pm}0.117$	$4.40{\pm}0.141$	$4.52{\pm}0.117$	$4.21 {\pm} 0.135$
I thought there was too much inconsistency in this system	$1.24{\pm}0.087$	$1.32{\pm}0.111$	$1.36{\pm}0.098$	$1.41{\pm}0.105$
I would imagine that most people would learn to use this system very quickly	$\textbf{4.68}{\pm 0.111}$	$4.48 {\pm} 0.143$	$4.68 {\pm} 0.138$	$4.41{\pm}0.117$
I found the system very cumbersome to use	$1.28{\pm}0.108$	$1.60{\pm}0.183$	$1.64{\pm}0.162$	$1.69{\pm}0.205$
I felt very confident using the system	$4.24{\pm}0.119$	$4.52{\pm}0.102$	$4.64{\pm}0.098$	$4.03{\pm}0.136$
I needed to learn a lot of things before I could get going with this system	$1.40{\pm}0.115$	$1.36{\pm}0.128$	$1.40{\pm}0.153$	$1.69{\pm}0.158$
SUS Score	90.50±6.50	$88.20 {\pm} 9.80$	$88.40{\pm}11.41$	84.71±11.41

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially funded by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT) under the grants PTDC/EEI-SII/2312/2012, SFRH/BD/65248/2009 and SFRH/PROTEC/49512/2009, whose support the authors gratefully acknowledge. The authors would also like to thank the Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Control and Communication (INSTICC), the graphic designer André Lista, Prof. Pedro Oliveira, and the Instituto Superior de Educação e Ciências (ISEC), for their support to this work.

- Silva, M., Guerreiro, T., Gonçalves, D., and Silva, H. (2012). A Web-Based Application to Address Individual Interests of Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Procedia Computer Science*.
- Wang, V., Salim, F., and Moskovits, P. (2012). The Definitive Guide to HTML5 WebSocket. Apressus Series. Apress.

PL

JBLIC

REFERENCES

- Adidas (2012). miCoach Heart Rate Monitor. http://www.adidas.com/us/micoach/ui/Product/#!/heartrate (last accessed on 29/03/2013).
- AliveCor (2012). iPhone ECG Accessory. http://www.alivecor.com (last accessed on 29/03/2013).
- Alves, A. P., Silva, H., Lourenço, A., and Fred, A. (2013). BITalino: A Biosignal Acquisition System based on Arduino. In Proceeding of the 6th Conference on Biomedical Electronics and Devices (BIODEVICES).
- Bangor, A., Kortum, P., and Miller, J. (2008). An Empirical Evaluation of the System Usability Scale. Int. J. Hum. Comput. Interaction, pages 574–594.
- Biopac (2013). Biopac Systems, Inc. http://www.biopac.com/ (last accessed on 25/03/2013).
- Brooke, J. (1996). SUS: A Quick and Dirty Usability Scale. In Jordan, P. W., Thomas, B., Weerdmeester, B. A., and McClelland, I. L., editors, *Usability Evaluation in Industry*. Taylor & Francis., London.
- Helal, A., Mokhtari, M., and Abdulrazak, B. (2008). The Engineering Handbook of Smart Technology for Aging, Disability and Independence. Wiley-Interscience, 1 edition.
- Lourenço, A., Silva, H. P., Carreiras, C., Alves, A. P., and Fred, A. L. (2013). A web-based platform for biosignal visualization and annotation. *Multimedia Tools* and Applications, pages 1–28.
- McFarland, D. S. (2011). JavaScript & jQuery: The Missing Manual. Pogue Press, second edition edition.
- Petta, P., Pelachaud, C., and Cowie, R., editors (2011). *Emotion-Oriented Systems: The Humaine Handbook.* Springer.
- Schwartz, M. and Andrasik, F. (2005). *Biofeedback: A Practitioner's Guide*. The Guilford Press, 3rd edition.
- Shimmer (2012). Shimmer: Discovery in Motion. http://www.shimmerresearch.com/p/products/software/multi-shimmersync (last accessed on 25/03/2013).