Dynamically Reconfigurable Online Self-organising Fuzzy Neural Network with Variable Number of Inputs for Smart Home Application

Anjan Kumar Ray, Gang Leng, T. M. McGinnity, Sonya Coleman and Liam Maguire Intelligent Systems Research Centre, University of Ulster, Magee Campus, Londonderry, BT48 7JL, U.K.



Keywords: Self-organising System, Fuzzy Logic, Neural Network, Cognitive Reasoning.

Abstract:

A self-organising fuzzy-neural network (SOFNN) adapts its structure based on variations of the input data. Conventionally in such self-organising networks, the number of inputs providing the data is fixed. In this paper, we consider the situation where the number of inputs to a network changes dynamically during its online operation. We extend our existing work on a SOFNN such that the SOFNN can self-organise its structure based not only on its input data, but also according to the changes in the number of its inputs. We apply the approach to a smart home application, where there are certain situations when some of the existing events may be removed or new events emerge, and illustrate that our approach enhances cognitive reasoning in a dynamic smart home environment. In this case, the network identifies the removed and/or added events from the received information over time, and reconfigures its structure dynamically. We present results for different combinations of training and testing phases of the dynamic reconfigurable SOFNN using a set of realistic synthesized data. The results show the potential of the proposed method.

1 INTRODUCTION

Activity recognition within a smart home environment is a challenging research problem. Researchers are exploring different solutions for low-level data collection, information processing and high-level service delivery. The main objectives of presenting intelligence into a smart home environment are to identify the importance of events and automatically activate suitable responses (Bregman, 2010). Another important aspect of situation awareness within a smart home is to detect anomalous events. Jakkula and Cook (2011) used One Class Support Vector Machines (OCSVM) techniques to address this issue. Gaddam, Mukhopadhyay, and Gupta (2011) presented a home monitoring system based on a cognitive sensor network for elderly-care applications. Processing of the sensory information is essential to recognise the context of the ecology. Wang, Chuang, Lai, and Wang (2005) proposed CASSHA (Context-Aware System for Smart Home Applications) for processing, representation, and coordination of smart home applications. Youngblood, Cook and Holder (2005) proposed a home automation model to

understand the needs of inhabitants within the MavHome project. Lin and Fu (2007) used Bayesian Networks (BNs) to learn multiple users' preferences; these represent relationships among users and related sensor observations. Zheng, Wang, and Black (2008) developed a self-adaptive neural network based on Growing Self-Organizing Maps (GSOM) to analyse human actions within a smart home environment. Chen et al. (2009) proposed a hybrid system, which explored the relationship between an activity model and a preference model to provide appropriate services. Roy et al. (2010) discussed an initial framework of activity recognition based on possibility theory and description logic (DL). Mastrogiovanni, Sgorbissa, and Zaccaria (2010) integrated ontology and logic based approaches for context representation and recognition to map numerical data to symbolic representations. Chen and Nugent (2010) discussed the concept of semantically enhanced situation awareness for activity of daily living (ADL) assistance. This work was extended in Chen, Nugent, and Wang (2012) with an ontology-based knowledge-driven approach for activity recognition. Son, Park, Moon, and Lee (2011) reported a

Kumar Ray A., Leng G., M. Mcginnity T., Coleman S. and Maguire L..

Dynamically Reconfigurable Online Self-organising Fuzzy Neural Network with Variable Number of Inputs for Smart Home Application.

DOI: 10.5220/0004555405070514

In Proceedings of the 5th International Joint Conference on Computational Intelligence (NCTA-2013), pages 507-514 ISBN: 978-989-8565-77-8

Copyright © 2013 SCITEPRESS (Science and Technology Publications, Lda.)

resource-aware smart home management system. Alam, Reaz, and Ali (2012) proposed an algorithm, called sequence prediction via enhanced episode discovery (SPEED), to predict user activity in smart homes. Zhang, McClean, and Scotney (2012) proposed a learning algorithm to understand multiinhabitant activity profiles from a limited number of data from unreliable low-level sensors. Ray, et al. (2012) described a cognitive reasoning model based on a SOFNN that analyses events of a smart home ecology and reasons across those events to determine situational awareness. The SOFNN is suitable for dynamic model compactness as it identifies its structure and parameters of fuzzy neural networks from the available data. This makes the approach suitable for a dynamic smart home environment. The above mentioned approaches have a common deficiency in that the processes are built on a fixed number of contexts. However in a smart home application, situations change over time as new sensors and/or actuators are introduced or behaviours of users change. In this work, we address this problem. We first develop a dynamic online SOFNN which reorganises its structure based on a variable number of inputs which changes dynamically over time. Then we demonstrate the use of this proposed method for cognitive reasoning for a smart home environment.

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows: section 2 describes the design and implementation issues of the dynamic SOFNN, which self-organises its structure depending on the number of inputs and their values. A brief overview is presented for neuron addition and pruning strategies. Section 3 presents the results of the proposed work. A set of anticipated events and reasoning outputs are chosen to validate the proposed idea. The results on structural growth of the SOFNN and the cognitive reasoning capabilities under synthesized scenarios with different training and testing situations are presented. In section 4, we present the overall conclusions of this work.

2 DYNAMIC ONLINE SOFNN

The SOFNN has a five layer structure as shown in Figure 1. The current structure, as reported in our previous work (Ray, 2012) has a fixed number of inputs. Consider that for the *t*-th observation (X_t , d_t), we define $X_t = [x_{1t} x_{2t} \dots x_{rt}]$ as the input vector, *r* as the number of inputs, d_t as the desired output (target), y_t as the output of the current network, then the output in layer 5 is obtained as (Ray et al., 2012)



Figure 1: The structure of the SOFNN.

$$y(X) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{u} w_{2j} \exp\left[-\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{(x_i - c_{ij})^2}{2\sigma_{ij}^2}\right]}{\sum_{k=1}^{u} \exp\left[-\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{(x_i - c_{ik})^2}{2\sigma_{ik}^2}\right]}$$
(1)

where u is the number of neurons; c_{ij} and σ_{ij} are the centre and width of the *i*-th membership function (MF) in the *j*-th neuron; w_{2j} is the weighted bias (B) which is defined for the TS model (Takagi and Sugeno, 1985) as

$$w_{2j} = a_{j0} + a_{j1}x_1 + \dots + a_{jr}x_r; \ j = 1, 2, \dots, u$$
(2)

During the training process, the first ellipsoidal basis function (EBF) neuron is created based on the first input vector. The number of membership functions in each EBF neuron is the same as the number of inputs. Further details on the sliding window based training process are available in (Leng et al., 2005) and (Ray et al., 2012). Figure 2 shows the procedure for adding new EBF neurons to the existing structure (Ray et al., 2012) where threshold for output of neuron is set at 0.1354 (equivalent to 2 standard deviations from mean). During training, there are some neurons which have insignificant contributions for the desired output. These neurons are deleted from the network for model compactness. The procedure for pruning insignificant neurons is shown in Figure 3 (Ray et al., 2012).

There are some applications e.g. smart homes where the number of inputs is not fixed. As new sensors and actuators are added to the system, the number of inputs will change dynamically. Moreover, there exists the possibility that some of the inputs may not be available due to sensor/actuator failures. One option would be to consider those inputs as having '0' values. But, a '0' value may have significance in certain cases (e.g. on/off sensor status). Moreover, if we consider unavailable inputs within the network, then certain contributions are reflected within the EBF and normalised layers. So, a dynamic change of the number of inputs to the network poses a significant design constraint but one which needs to be accommodated in real life.



Figure 2: The process of adding a new EBF neuron.



Figure 3: The process of pruning neurons.

To address this issue, we propose a dynamic SOFNN structure, which can handle a variable number of inputs. We aim to provide a facility to accommodate dynamical changes in the network structure, where the number of inputs to the network changes over time.

2.1 Layer 1: Input Layer

We define X_e as the set of pre-existing inputs to the network, X_r as the set of existing inputs that are removed from the network at time t, X_a as the set of new inputs that are added to the network at time t, X_n as the new set of inputs in the input layer, and X_c as the common inputs in X_e and X_n . So, we can present the above understanding as follows:

$$X_{e} = \{ (id_{ep}, x_{ep}) : p = [1, 2, \dots, r_{e}] \}$$

$$X_{r} = \{ (id_{ro}, x_{ro}) : o = [1, 2, \dots, r_{o}], (id_{ro}, x_{ro}) \in X_{e} \}$$

$$X_{r} \subseteq X_{e}, r_{o} < r_{e}$$

$$X_{a} = \{ (id_{al}, x_{al}) : l = [1, 2, \dots, r_{a}], (id_{al}, x_{al}) \notin X_{e} \}$$

$$X_{n} = \{ (id_{rot}, x_{rot}) : i = [1, 2, \dots, m] \}$$

$$X_{c} = \{ (id_{ck}, x_{ck}) : k = [1, 2, \dots, r_{c}] \}$$

$$X_{c} \in X_{e} \cap X_{n}$$

$$X_{c} = (X_{c} \setminus X_{c}) \cup X_{c}$$
(3)

where r_e is the number of existing inputs, r_o is the number of removed inputs from the existing inputs, r_a is the number of newly added inputs, r_c is the number of common inputs, *id* refers to the input id, and $m=r_e-r_o+r_a$. The network receives the set of inputs X_n at each sample where an input refers to corresponding *id* and its value. The rules to obtain X_a , X_r , r_a , r_o are as follows:

- 1. Check X_e and X_n for common inputs X_c and r_c
 - a. Find $I_{xe}(k)$, $k=[1 \ 2 \ ... \ r_c]$ i.e.
 - index of common inputs in X_e b. Find $I_{xn}(k)$, $k=[1 \ 2 \ \dots \ r_c]$ i.e. index of common inputs in X_n
- 2. Check for inputs that are present in X_e but excluded in X_n
 - a. Get X_r and r_o
 - b. Find $I_{xr}(o)$, $o=[1 \ 2 \ ... \ r_o]$ i.e. index of removed inputs in X_e
- 3. Check for inputs that are present in X_n but not available in X_e
 - a. Get X_a and r_a
 - b. Find $I_{xa}(1)$, $l=[1 \ 2 \ \dots \ r_a]$ i.e. index of added inputs in X_n

Depending on the values of r_o and r_a , the membership functions (MFs), bias and weighting matrix will change accordingly.

2.2 Layer 2: EBF Layer

The addition and/or removal of inputs requires modification of the number of the MFs associated with each neuron, and their relative organisation within it. Let's consider, C_{eje} , σ_{eje} to be the sets of centres and widths of MFs of the *je*-th EBF neuron in the existing structure respectively and C_{nj} , σ_{jn} to be the sets of centres and widths of MFs of the *j*-th EBF neuron in the new structure where $je = 1, 2 \dots$ $u_e, j = 1, 2 \dots u_n$; u_e and u_n represent the number of EBF neurons in the existing and new structures and $u_n=u_e$. Hence we obtain:

$$C_{eje} = \{c_{epje} : p = [1, 2, \dots, r_e], je = [1, 2, \dots, u_e]\}$$

$$\sigma_{eje} = \{\sigma_{epje} : p = [1, 2, \dots, r_e], je = [1, 2, \dots, u_e]\}$$

$$C_{nj} = \{c_{nij} : i = [1, 2, \dots, m], j = [1, 2, \dots, u_n]\}$$

$$\sigma_{nj} = \{\sigma_{nij} : i = [1, 2, \dots, m], j = [1, 2, \dots, u_n]\}$$
(4)

As the number of inputs changes in the layer 1, so in general,

$$c_{eqie} \neq c_{nqj}$$

$$\sigma_{eqie} \neq \sigma_{nqj}$$

$$q = 1, 2, \dots, \min\{r_e, m\}, r_e > 0$$
(5)

The update rule for centres and widths of the MFs are as follows:

- 1. If $m=r_e$ and $r_c=r_e$ then no change in input structure and C_{nij}=C_{eij} $\sigma_{nij} = \sigma_{eij}$ $i = [1 \ 2 \ ... \ m]; j = [1 \ 2 \ ... \ u_n]$
- 2. Othewise, follow steps 3 to
- 3. Get I_{xe} and I_{xn} of common inputs in X_e and X_n from layer 1 4. Update MFs of each EBF neurons as

INC

follows:

$$a = I_{xn}(k)$$

$$b = I_{xe}(k)$$

$$c_{naj} = c_{ebj}$$

$$\sigma = \sigma_{xy}$$

- $k = [1 \ 2 \ \dots \ r_c]$, $j = [1 \ 2 \ \dots \ u_n]$ 5. If $r_a > 0$ then add new r_a number of MFs to each existing EBF neuron and update as follows: $C = I_{xa}(1)$ $C_{ncj} = X_{nc}$ σ_{nci} = chosen predefined value

$$l = [1 \ 2 \ \dots \ r_a], j = [1 \ 2 \ \dots \ u_n]$$

So the new *i*-th membership function in the *j*-th neuron is

$$\mu_{nij} = \exp\left[-\frac{\left(x_{ni} - c_{nij}\right)^2}{2\sigma_{nij}^2}\right]; i = 1, 2, \cdots, m; j = 1, 2, \cdots, u_n \quad (6)$$

Accommodating the changes in the previous layer, the output of each EBF neuron in layer 2 is given by

$$\phi_{nj} = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{nij}; \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, u_n$$
(7)

Any change in input number will change the internal structure of the EBF neurons. Let the current structure of the je-th EBF neuron be given as in Figure 4. It shows four MFs corresponding to four inputs. If input x_2 is removed from the network then the structure of the EBF neuron will change. Figure 5 depicts that the neuron has three MFs and MFs two and three are related to inputs x_3 and x_4 . When

 $r_o = r_a$, the total number of inputs to the network does not change. But the internal structure of the neuron changes and represents a new EBF neuron. Figure 6 depicts that input x_3 is removed and input x_5 is added. Although the neuron has four MFs, they are different as compared to the MFs in Figure 4 Here the new MFs three and four correspond to the inputs x_4 and x_5 respectively.



Figure 4: Structure of an existing EBF neuron with four inputs and four membership functions corresponding to each input.



Figure 5: Modified MFs as per change in the number of inputs (input number two is removed).



Figure 6: Modified MFs as per change in the number of inputs (input three is removed and input 5 is added).

Layer 3: Normalised Layer 2.3

The number of neurons in this layer is the same as layer 2. The new output of the *j*-th neuron in this layer will reflect the changes in inputs to the network and is given by

Dynamically Reconfigurable Online Self-organising Fuzzy Neural Network with Variable Number of Inputs for Smart Home Application

$$\psi_{nj} = \frac{\phi_{nj}}{\sum_{k_n=1}^{u_n} \phi_{k_n}}; j = 1, 2, \cdots, u_n$$
(8)

2.4 Layer 4: Weighted Layer

The output of this layer depends on the outputs of layer 3 and the weighted bias. Let, the existing bias

vector and parameter vector be given respectively by

$$B_{e} = [1 x_{el} x_{e2} \dots x_{ere}]^{T}$$

$$A_{eje} = [a_{eje0} a_{eje1} a_{eje2} \dots a_{ejere}]; \forall je = 1 2 \dots u_{e}$$
(9)

So, the existing weighted bias is

$$w_{eje} = A_{eje}B_e = a_{eje0} + a_{eje1}x_{e1} + a_{eje2}x_{e2} + \dots + a_{ejere}x_{ere}$$
(10)

As the inputs change in number as well as positions within the input set, the bias and parameter vectors are also changed. Let, the new bias and parameter vectors be given by

 $B_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \ x_{n1} \ x_{n2} \ \dots \ x_{nm} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$ $A_{nj} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{nj0} \ a_{nj1} \ a_{nj2} \ \dots \ a_{njm} \end{bmatrix}; \ \forall j = 1 \ 2 \ \dots \ u_{n}$ (11)

The update for B_n is straightforward according to the received inputs. The update rule for A_{nj} is as follows:

1. If m=r_e and r_c =r_e then $A_{nj}=A_{ej}$, $j=[1 \ 2 \ ... \ u_n]$ 2. Othewise, follow steps 3 to 5 3. Get I_{xe} and I_{xn} of common inputs in X_e and X_n from layer 1 4. Update A_{nj} as follows: $g = I_{xn}(k) + 1$ $h = I_{xe}(k) + 1$ $a_{nj0} = a_{ej0}$ $a_{njg} = a_{ejh}$ $k=[1 \ 2 \ ... \ r_c]$, $j=[1 \ 2 \ ... \ u_n]$ 5. If $r_a>0$ then add new r_a number of elements in A_{nj} as follows: $c = I_{xa}(1) + 1$ $a_{njc} = 0$ $l= [1 \ 2 \ ... \ r_a]$, $j= [1 \ 2 \ ... \ u_n]$

The above steps are referred to as the initialisation of new parameters. The weighted bias of the new structure is given by

$$w_{nj} = A_{nj}B_n = a_{nj0} + a_{nj1}x_{n1} + \dots + a_{njm}x_{nm}$$
(12)

The output of each neuron in this layer is given by

$$f_{nj} = w_{nj} \psi_{nj} \tag{13}$$

2.5 Layer 5: Output Layer

The output of this layer is a summation of the overall outputs from layer 4 and is given by

$$y(X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{u_n} f_{ni}$$
 (14)

This will restructure the existing network to adapt to the changes in the number of inputs. This will produce an initial network structure which can accommodate a dynamic change in inputs.

3 RESULTS

To validate our proposed system, we consider a smart home situation with different sensors and actuators. Different events that are obtained from sensory data within the environment reflect the activities of a user. The developed SOFNN is used to extract high level understanding from these events related to the user activities. We consider a set of 19 initial event inputs and 10 reasoning outputs for this situation. The chosen inputs and reasoning outputs are shown in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively. Values of inputs and outputs represent confidence levels between 0 and 1. We synthesize 4500 data samples. The dataset ensures a richness of variability with sufficient complexity to exercise the reasoning capabilities of the system. First, we consider training results for 3 different cases with sliding window of 300 data samples. In the first case the network is trained with 19 inputs. Then we consider the network with deletion of an input event (from 19 to 18 inputs) after 900 samples (the visitor detection event is removed). In case 3, the number of inputs changes from 19 to 20 after 900 samples. The objective is to observe the online adaptation as a result of the change in the number of inputs. Figure 7 shows the neuronal structure for the 3 cases when the network reasons across the 'user relaxing' situation. It is observed that the network produces different structures according to addition and pruning of neurons. The overall neuronal structures of the network for these cases are shown in Figure 8 and Table 3. The network has 17, 22, and 23 neurons for these cases respectively. From these results, it is clear that the proposed network is capable of handling changes in its input numbers. Table 4 shows the root mean square errors (RMSE) during training to obtain the expected reasoning outputs.

Next, we consider different testing situations using a trained network with 4500 data samples for 19 inputs. We show testing results with 300 data samples (4201 to 4500) for 3 cases. In case 1, we consider 19 inputs. In case 2, we consider 18 inputs where input id 12 (TV usage) is dropped. In case 3, we consider deletion of input id 4 (Visitor



Figure 7: Change of the number of EBF neurons for the 'user relaxing' situation for different training cases: (a) network with 19 inputs; (b) network with deletion of an input (from 19 to 18 inputs) after 900 samples; (c) network with addition of an input (from 19 to 20 inputs) after 900 samples.

Table 1: The event inputs for the smart home application.

| Synthesized input ids | Events | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 1 | User in room 1 | | |
| 2 | User in room 2 | | |
| 3 | User in room 3 | | |
| 4 | Visitor detection | | |
| 5 | Phone event | | |
| 6 | Doorbell event | | |
| 7 | Dripping event | | |
| 8 | Music event | | |
| 9 | Fire alarm | | |
| 10 | Microwave usage | | |
| 11 | Dishwasher usage | | |
| 12 | TV usage | | |
| 13 | Cleaning operation | | |
| 14 | Cooking | | |
| 15 | Use of oven | | |
| 16 | Smoke detection | | |
| 17 | Room temperature | | |
| 18 | Burglary alarm | | |
| 19 | Front door usage | | |
| | | | |

Table 2: The target outputs for SOFNN reasoning.

| Output ids | Reasoning outputs | | |
|------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | User exercise | | |
| 2 | User relaxing | | |
| 3 | User in kitchen | | |
| 4 | Bring phone | | |
| 5 | Open door | | |
| 6 | Cooking activity | | |
| 7 | Fire alert situation | | |
| 8 | Burglary alert situation | | |
| 9 | Dripping alert situation | | |
| 10 | Cleaning situation | | |

Figure 8: Change of the number of EBF neurons for the overall network for different training cases: (a) network with 19 inputs; (b) network with deletion of an input event (from 19 to 18 inputs) after 900 samples; (c) network with addition of an input event (from 19 to 20 inputs) after 900 samples.

Table 3: Total number of EBF neurons for the reasoning outputs in different training cases.

| Reasoning outputs | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| User Exercise | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| User Relaxing | 4 | 6 | 6 |
| User in Kitchen | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bring Phone | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Open Door | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Cooking Activity | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Fire Alert Situation | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Burglary Alert Situation | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Dripping Alert Situation | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Cleaning Situation | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Total Neurons | 17 | 22 | 23 |

Detection). Figure 9 and Figure 10 show the reasoning outputs from the network when there are 19 inputs (case 1) and 18 inputs (case 2). It is observed in Figure 9 that the confidence level of "user relaxing" is reduced when the TV usage event is removed. The network identifies all other reasoning outputs as expected. Figure 11 and Figure 12 show the reasoning outputs from the network when there are 19 inputs (case 1) and 18 inputs (case 3). It is observed in Figure 12 that the confidence level of the "open door" situation is reduced as the "visitor detection" event is dropped from the input set. The network identifies all other reasoning outputs as expected. The RMSEs for these testing cases are shown in Table 5. It is observed that the RMSEs for the "user relaxing" in case 2 and "open door situation" in case 3 have higher values.

Dynamically Reconfigurable Online Self-organising Fuzzy Neural Network with Variable Number of Inputs for Smart Home Application

| Reasoning outputs | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| User Exercise | 0.0828 | 0.0810 | 0.0817 |
| User Relaxing | 0.0482 | 0.0421 | 0.0423 |
| User in Kitchen | 0.0658 | 0.0649 | 0.0650 |
| Bring Phone | 0.0667 | 0.0661 | 0.0656 |
| Open Door | 0.0531 | 0.0668 | 0.0521 |
| Cooking Activity | 0.0621 | 0.0611 | 0.0579 |
| Fire Alert Situation | 0.0319 | 0.0292 | 0.0311 |
| Burglary Alert Situation | 0.0812 | 0.0693 | 0.0698 |
| Dripping Alert Situation | 0.0842 | 0.0832 | 0.0799 |
| Cleaning Situation | 0.0454 | 0.0590 | 0.0547 |

Table 4: RMSE of different training cases.



Figure 9: Set 1 of reasoning outputs during testing with 19 inputs and 18 inputs (TV event removed).



Figure 10: Set 2 of reasoning outputs during testing with 19 inputs and 18 inputs (TV event removed).



Figure 11: Set 1 of reasoning outputs during testing with 19 inputs and 18 inputs (Visitor detection event removed).



Figure 12: Set 2 of reasoning outputs during testing with 19 inputs and 18 inputs (Visitor detection event removed).

Table 5: RMSEs of different testing cases.

| Reasoning outputs | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| User Exercise | 0.0681 | 0.0697 | 0.0716 |
| User Relaxing | 0.0527 | 0.2260 | 0.0513 |
| User in Kitchen | 0.0671 | 0.0730 | 0.0671 |
| Bring Phone | 0.0662 | 0.0666 | 0.0699 |
| Open Door | 0.0529 | 0.0556 | 0.2062 |
| Cooking Activity | 0.0671 | 0.0733 | 0.0674 |
| Fire Alert Situation | 0.0345 | 0.0515 | 0.0372 |
| Burglary Alert Situation | 0.0613 | 0.0652 | 0.0619 |
| Dripping Alert Situation | 0.0844 | 0.0846 | 0.0835 |
| Cleaning Situation | 0.0283 | 0.0396 | 0.0282 |

4 CONCLUSIONS

This paper presents a dynamically reconfigurable online SOFNN for application in a robot ecology environment. In this work we address the situation when the number of inputs varies over time. We then implemented and utilized this network to extract knowledge from realistic events occurring within a smart home environment. A set of realistic synthesized training and testing data have been employed to observe different scenarios. We show the structural modifications of the network when the number of inputs changes for the network during the training phase. We also show the impact of removing event inputs from the network during different testing phases. The results show that the network has the ability to adapt to the dynamics of the environment and show its cognitive capability.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is partially supported by the EU FP7 RUBICON project (contract no. 269914) – www.fp7rubicon.eu.

ANE

REFERENCES

SCIENCE

- Alam, M. S., Reaz, M. B. I., and Ali, M. A. M., 2012. SPEED: An inhabitant activity prediction algorithm for smart homes. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man,* and Cybernetics—Part A: Systems and Humans, 42(4), 985-990.
- Bregman, D., 2010. Smart home intelligence the ehome that learns. *International journal of smart home*, 4(4).
- Chen, L., and Nugent, C. D., 2010. Situation aware cognitive assistance in smart homes. *Journal of Mobile Multimedia*, 6(3), 263-280.
- Chen, L., Nugent, C. D., and Wang, H., 2012. A knowledge-driven approach to activity recognition in smart homes. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 24(6), 961-974.
- Chen, Y. H., Lu, C. H., Hsu, K. C., Fu, L. C., Yeh, Y. J., and Kuo, L. C., 2009. Preference model assisted activity recognition learning in a smart home environment. *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, 4657 - 4662.
- Gaddam, A., Mukhopadhyay, S. C., and Gupta, G. S., 2011. Elder care based on cognitive sensor network. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 11(3).
- Jakkula, V., and Cook, D. J., 2011. Detecting anomalous sensor events in smart home data for enhancing the living experience. AAAI Workshop, 33-37.
- Leng, G., McGinnity, T. M., and Prasad, G., 2005. An approach for on-line extraction of fuzzy rules using a self-organising fuzzy neural network. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 150(2), 211-243.
- Lin, Z. H., and Fu, L. C., 2007. Multi-user preference model and service provision in a smart home environment. *IEEE International Conference on Automation Science and Engineering*, 759 – 764.

- Mastrogiovanni, F., Sgorbissa, A., and Zaccaria, R., 2010. A cognitive model for recognizing human behaviours in smart homes. *Ann. Telecommunication*, 65, 523– 538.
- Ray, A. K., Leng, G., McGinnity, T. M., Coleman, S. A., and Maguire, L. P., 2012. Development of cognitive capabilities for smart home using a self-organizing fuzzy neural network. *10th IFAC Symposium on Robot Control*, Dubrovnik, Croatia, 447-454.
- Roy, P. C., Giroux, S., Bouchard, B., and Bouzouane, A., Phua, C., Tolstikov, A., and Biswas, J., 2010. Possibilistic behavior recognition in smart homes for cognitive assistance, *AAAI Workshop*, 53-60.
- RUBICON project., 2011. EU FP7 project. FP7 challenge 2, cognitive systems and robotics. Available: http://www.fp7rubicon.eu.
- Son, J. Y., Park, J. H., Moon, K. D., and Lee, Y. H., 2011. Resource-aware smart home management system by constructing resource relation graph. *IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics*, 57(3).
- Takagi, T., and Sugeno, M., 1985. Fuzzy identification of systems and its applications to modeling and control. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, 15(1), 116-132.
- Wang, W. Y., Chuang, C. C., Lai, Y. S., and Wang, Y. H., 2005. A context-aware system for smart home applications. *EUC Workshops*, LNCS 3823, 298-305.
 - Youngblood, G. M., Cook, D. J., and Holder, L. B., 2005. Managing adaptive versatile environments. *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, 1(4), 373-403.
 - Zhang, S., McClean, S. I., and Scotney, B. W., 2012. Probabilistic learning from incomplete data for recognition of activities of daily living in smart homes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Technology in Biomedicine*, 16(3), 454-462.
 - Zheng, H., Wang, H., and Black, N., 2008. Human activity detection in smart home environment with selfadaptive neural networks. IEEE ICNSC, 1505–1510.