# Automated Classification of Therapeutic Face Exercises using the Kinect

Cornelia Lanz<sup>1</sup>, Birant Sibel Olgay<sup>1</sup>, Joachim Denzler<sup>2</sup> and Horst-Michael Gross<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Neuroinformatics and Cognitive Robotics Lab, Ilmenau University of Technology, Ilmenau, Germany <sup>2</sup>Computer Vision Group, Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Jena, Germany

Keywords: Facial Expressions, Curvature Analysis, Point Signatures, Line Profiles, Therapeutic Exercises.

Abstract: In this work, we propose an approach for the unexplored topic of therapeutic facial exercise recognition using depth images. In cooperation with speech therapists, we determined nine exercises that are beneficial for therapy of patients suffering from dysfunction of facial movements. Our approach employs 2.5D images and 3D point clouds, which were recorded using Microsoft's Kinect. Extracted features comprise the curvature of the face surface and characteristic profiles that are derived using distinctive landmarks. We evaluate the discriminative power and the robustness of the features with respect to the above-mentioned application scenario. Using manually located face regions for feature extraction, we achieve an average recognition accuracy of about 91% for the nine facial exercises. However in a real-world scenario manual localization of regions for feature extraction is not feasible. Therefore, we additionally examine the robustness of the features and show, that they are beneficial for a real-world, fully automated scenario as well.

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

Facial expressions are key to interpersonal communication. Diseases like stroke or mechanical injury of the facial nerve can lead to a dysfunction of facial movements. These impairments of facial expressions may have various consequences that can constrain daily life and can lead to social isolation. Examples for these consequences are eating difficulties, impaired appearance of the face, and misunderstandings in face-to-face communications due to ambiguous facial expressions. Similar to rehabilitation exercises that help to regain body functions, there are exercises for the recovery of facial expressions. Besides practising under supervision of a speech therapist, patients additionally have to conduct unattended exercises on their own. However, the incorrect conduction of exercises can impede the training success or even lead to further impairment. An accompanying training platform could enrich unsupervised training exercises by tutorial, feedback and documentation functions. Tutorial functions can support correct exercise conduction by providing text and video instructions. A feedback function could give advice regarding mistakes or inaccuracies during training. The documentation in form of videos or feedback enables the therapist to review the past unsupervised training units, if necessary. The conception and implementation of such a training platform is a challenging and complex task that comprises several subtasks. In this work we will focus on one subtask, that is, the evaluation of features. However, to enable a better understandig of the context of our work, we give a short overview of the remaining subtasks. Figure 1 presents five of the involved subtasks, which will be discussed in the following.



Figure 1: Different subtasks of the conception and implementation of an automated therapeutic exercise platform.

Facial movements cause changes of the face surface, which can be captured by depth image sensors like Microsoft's Kinect<sup>1</sup> or Time-of-flight Cameras<sup>2</sup>,<sup>3</sup>. The extraction of **depth features** allows to examine the face surface, independently from skin colour and lighting conditions. Although there exist other systems that are capable of recording depth data

Lanz C., Olgay B., Denzler J. and Gross H..
Automated Classification of Therapeutic Face Exercises using the Kinect.
DOI: 10.5220/0004294005560565
In Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer Vision Theory and Applications (VISAPP-2013), pages 556-565
ISBN: 978-989-8565-47-1
Copyright © 2013 SCITEPRESS (Science and Technology Publications, Lda.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>http://www.xbox.com/en-US/kinect

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>http://www.pmdtec.com/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>http://www.mesa-imaging.ch/

with much higher depth resolution than the Kinect (e.g. (Grosse et al., 2011)), we decided to use this sensor because of its moderate price. This makes such an application suitable for widespread use in low-cost training platforms. Furthermore, the Kinect allows to capture **additional data channels** such as intensity images in parallel to depth images. These might be helpful if depth information is not suitable to describe certain facial movements. For example, it can hardly be determined whether the eyes are closed by solely employing depth information.

The nine therapeutic face exercises that we focus on in this paper are rather static. The pace of the exercise conduction from neutral face to final state, e.g. both cheeks puffed, is not important. It is more relevant that the exercises final states are retained for some seconds. Nevertheless, it is likely that additional information, obtained by examining the **dynamics** of an exercise instead of single **static** snapshots, may contain valuable information. Additionally, it is possible to reduce the amount of noise in the data by smoothing over time.

The **evaluation of the exercises**, which is essential for a feedback functionality, is a complex task. Besides the choice of appropriate technical tools, it is necessary to define, in which cases an exercise is performed correctly and in which it is not. Additionally, we need to assess how feedback should be communicated in order to be most beneficial for a patient.

Furthermore, it is necessary to collect a **database** of training and test images that contain the exercises performed by healthy people as well as the exercise conduction by people with dysfunction of facial expression abilities. In our experiments, nine therapeutic facial exercises are employed that have been defined in cooperation with speech therapists. We only employ training and test data recorded from exercises of healthy persons. We omit data recorded from persons with dysfunction of facial expressions, as we expect their ground-truth to be ill-defined. This is due to the circumstance, that incorrect conduction of an exercises may resemble other exercises, as shown in Figure 2.

Since each of the above-mentioned subtasks consists of diverse aspects, we focus on the extraction and evaluation of depth features in this publication. Our depth features are extracted from 2.5D images and 3D point clouds recorded by the Kinect Sensor. We refer to 2.5D images as 2D images that contain the objectto-camera distance instead of the object's intensity value. We analyse the facial surface by extraction of curvature information and surface profiles. Surface profiles comprise line profiles and point signatures. Line profiles are based on paths that connect two landmark points, whereas point signatures are based on radial paths around single landmark points.

We examine the features' **discriminative power** with respect to the classification of nine therapeutic exercises and their **robustness** regarding varying feature extraction regions. In the targeted real-time scenario regions and points for feature extraction need to be determined automatically. We expect that this step leads to variations from manually located face regions and landmarks. Therefore it is necessary that the features are robust against these deviations.



Figure 2: Patient with facial paresis on his right side. Left image: The exercise *right cheek puffed* is conducted correctly because the bulge of the cheek is a passive process as reaction of a higher air pressure inside the mouth and a contraction of the buccinator on the left facial side. Right image: The exercise *left cheek puffed* is conducted incorrectly. The lack of contradiction in the right buccinator leads to the bulge of the right cheek.

# 2 RELATED WORK

Automated recognition of therapeutic face excercises is an unexplored research field. In practice, there are already tools that support the patient with regard to exercising that is not supervised by a therapist. These tools are videos and programs that give instructions to the patient, with respect to correct exercise conduction (*LogoVid*<sup>4</sup>), and, to some extent, allow for a documentation of exercise frequency and success (*CoMuZu*<sup>5</sup>). The documentation, however, is done by the patient himself, which is often impractical or even questionable. At the moment, there are no commercial solutions available that automatically recognize a performed therapeutic exercise.

(Nakamura et al., 2003) evaluated the success of facial exercises to prevent synkinesis after facial paresis. Synkinesis is an involuntary associated facial movement such as eye closure during smiling. They manually measure the eye opening width by using an image editing software. (Gebhard et al., 2000) presented a system for the diagnosis support of patients

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>http://www.comuzu.de

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>http://www.logomedien.de/html/logovid7a.html

with facial paresis using 2D colour images. Therefore, they analysed facial asymmetries in the eyes, nose and mouth regions.

At present, there are no publications known to us that focus on the automated recognition of therapeutic facial exercises using depth information. Nevertheless, we can utilize approaches from works on face detection, as well as person and emotion recognition. (Colombo et al., 2006) use curvature of the surface of a 2.5D image to detect salient face features like eyes and nose. A triplet consisting of a candidate nose and two candidate eyes is processed by a classifier that is trained to discriminate between faces and non-faces. Based on curvature information estimated on a 3D triangle mesh model, (Wang et al., 2006) classify 3D faces according to the emotional state that they represent.

Point signatures were developed by (Chua and Jarvis, 1997) as an approach for 3D object recognition. They presented an enhanced algorithm for face recognition based on point signatures in (Chua et al., 2000). (Wang et al., 2002) extracted point signatures in 2.5D images and Gabor filter responses in graylevel images and employed their combination for face recognition.

In this work we orient on the method of (Wang et al., 2006) to create histograms of curvature types. We utilize the face recognition algorithm from (Chua et al., 2000) for the classification of our nine therapeutic exercises and supplement it with a similar approach that employs line profiles instead of radial profiles. In contrast to (Wang et al., 2006), where manually placed landmarks are used, we additionally evaluate our results with automatically located landmark positions.

#### **3 METHOD**

In the following, we briefly review the determination of surface curvature (section 3.1) as far as it is necessary to understand the basic principles of our curvature feature types (section 3.2). For detailed information we refer to (Besl and Jain, 1986). In sections 3.3 and 3.4 the extraction of line profiles and point signatures is presented. In the last section, we focus on the automation of the feature extraction process.

#### 3.1 Curvature Analysis

Our aim is the classification of faces according to the therapeutic exercises a patient performs. Facial movement leads to a change of the face surface. We analyse the surface by extracting curvature information from 2.5D range images and 3D point clouds. The parametric form of a surface in 3D is  $\mathbf{s}(u,v) = [x(u,v) y(u,v) z(u,v)]^T$ , with *u* and *v* denoting the axes of the parameter plane (Figure 3). On the basis of this function, we can determine the first and the second fundamental forms, which uniquely characterize and quantify general smooth shapes. The elements of the first fundamental form **I** are:

$$\mathbf{I} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{u}} & \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{v}} \\ \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{v}} & \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{v}} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (1)

The subscripts denote partial differentiation. The elements of the second fundamental form J are:

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{n} & \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{n} \\ \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{n} & \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{n} \end{bmatrix},$$
(2)

with **n** being the unity normal vector of the tangent plane in the point with parameters (u, v). Although both fundamental forms are a unique representation of the surface, more common for surface characterization are combinations of both, because they allow for an intuitive interpretation. Using **I** and **J**, the shape operator matrix **W** can be computed by:

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{I}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{J} \,. \tag{3}$$

The mean curvature H gives information about the direction of the curvature (convex, concave) and is determined by:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} tr[\mathbf{W}], \qquad (4)$$

with tr[W] being the trace of the shape operator W. The Gaussian curvature K contains the information whether curvatures that are orthogonal to each other point in the same or in different directions (Figure 4). It is computed as follows:

$$K = det \left[ \mathbf{W} \right]. \tag{5}$$

Opposed to the general parametric representation, the parametrization of a 2.5D range image takes a very simple form  $\mathbf{s}(u, v) = [u \ v \ z(u, v)]^T$ . Because a 2.5D image is spanned by two axes that generate a discrete (pixel) grid the derivation of  $\mathbf{s}$  with respect to u and v is simplified and results in  $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{u}} = [1 \ 0 \ z_u]^T$  and  $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{v}} = [0 \ 1 \ z_v]^T$ . Therefore, for the computation of H and K only the partial derivatives of z are relevant:

$$H = \frac{z_{uu} + z_{vv} + z_{uu}z_v^2 + z_{vv}z_u^2 - 2z_u z_v z_{uv}}{(1 + z_u^2 + z_v^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad (6)$$

$$K = \frac{z_{uu} z_{vv} - z_{uv}^2}{(1 + z_u^2 + z_v^2)^2} \,. \tag{7}$$



Figure 3: Surface in 3D with the corresponding parameter plane (image according to (Besl and Jain, 1986)).



Figure 4: Two surfaces with orthogonal maximum and minimum curvatures that point in different (upper surface: hyperbolic convex) and in the same directions (lower surface: elliptic convex).

# 3.2 Extraction of Curvature Information

Prior to feature extraction, we smooth the face surface using an average filter. On a subset of the data, we performed tests with different filter sizes and filter parameters, which showed that adequate low-pass filtering has strong impact on the success of classification. For example, Gaussian filtering was tested but resulted in lower classification results compared to average filtering.

We extract the mean and Gaussian curvature for each pixel, respectively 3D-point, to obtain information about the facial surface. This results in around  $2 \times 8000$  to  $2 \times 13000$  values per face, depending on the face-to-camera distance. In order to reduce the dimensionality of the feature space, we summarize the curvature values with a histogram (Wang et al., 2006). To maintain spatial information, we define four regions (A-D) from which histograms are extracted (Figure 5). Each histogram is weighted with the number of pixels of the region described by it. The selected cheek regions are axially symmetric, due to the fact that some of the therapeutic exercises are asymmetric and each face side contains valuable information. Two additional regions, in which high facial surface variation among all exercises is visible, were determined. Further refinement of the regions was omited to maintain a certain robustness in case of automatically determined regions.

The curvature type histogram feature is obtained by extraction of mean curvature H and Gaussian curvature K for every 2.5D pixel, respectively 3D point according to equations (4)-(7). In the next step, both values are combined to discrete curvature types as



Figure 5: Regions *A-D* are employed for curvature feature extraction. Region borders are derived from landmark points 1-5. The determination of the landmark points is explained in sections 3.5 and 4.1.

shown in Table 1 (Colombo et al., 2006). Subsequently, the discrete curvature types of each region are summarized with histograms. The concatenation of these histograms forms the feature vectors that are subjected to the classification process. For each image a 32 dimensional feature vector is extracted (8 curvature type histogram bins per region).

#### 3.3 Extraction of Line Profiles

-N

Although curvatures are extracted from each pixel, their combination in a histogram blots out some of the local information. Line profiles, in contrast, contain local information by describing paths along the face surface. Instead of using 2.5D images, line profiles are extracted from a point cloud, which lies in a three-dimensional space. Each of the three dimensions is expressed in metre. For a 2.5D image the two dimensions are given in pixel units. However, the real world distance that is described by the difference of one pixel depends on the person-to-camera distance. The smaller the distance of an object to the camera is, the more pixels does this object cover on a 2.5D image. As a result, comparison of different line profiles is more difficult, when using 2.5D images.

In total, we extract nine line profiles from the 3D point cloud of the face. Every line profile connects two defined landmark points. Figure 6 shows the paths of the profile lines. Seven profiles start at the nose tip, connecting it in radial direction to silhouette points. Two line profiles are horizontally located and link two silhouette points.

The paths over the face consist of N equidistant points  $p_n(x, y, z)$ , with n = 1...N. Nearest-neighbour interpolation is employed in order to calculate missing points. The L2-norm of the position vectors of every 3D point  $p_n$  already creates a distinctive curve as can be seen in Figure 7. However, in order to achieve invariance with respect to the viewpoint (i.e., translation and rotation operations of the facial point cloud), relative central differences between the 3D points are calculated (left image of Figure 8). The images show, that the curves consist of 70 samples. This

Table 1: Curvature type definition us	ing mean ar	nd Gaussiar	curvature (H, K).
$\mathbf{V} \rightarrow 0$	17	0	<i>V</i> . 0

	K < 0	K = 0	K > 0
H < 0	hyperbolic concave	cylindric concave	elliptic concave
H = 0	hyperbolic symmetric	planar	impossible
H > 0	hyperbolic convex	cylindric convex	elliptic convex



Figure 6: 3D face with marked paths of the nine line profiles.



Figure 7: Line profile from nose tip to the point of the chin for the exercise *A-shape*. The curve shows the length (in metre) of the position vector of each point  $p_n$ . The opening of the mouth, resulting in higher values, in the middle of the curve and the chin shape on the right are visible.



Figure 8: Left: Distance line profile. Right: The reconstructed line profile using the first 12 dct-coefficients.



Figure 9: Landmark points and line segments that are employed for the extraction of point signatures.

value may vary because the size of the head (subjectspecific) or the length of the curve (exercise-specific) may change. To get an identical size of the curve for every subject and every exercise and to reduce the amount of feature dimensions we conduct a discrete cosine transform (Salomon, 2004) on the curves and build our feature vector using the first 12 dctcoefficients. The right image of Figure 8 shows, that the inverse discrete cosine transform with 12 coefficients yields a reasonable reconstruction of the original curve. We derived the line profiles from the point signature approach presented in the following section.

#### 3.4 Extraction of Point Signatures

Similar to line profiles, point signatures are paths on a surface (Chua and Jarvis, 1997). Instead of connecting two landmark points the curve runs radial around a distinctive point  $p_0$  of a 3D point cloud. As can be seen in Figure 9, in our approach the point  $p_0$  is located on the tip of the nose. In order to obtain the point signature, a sphere is centered into the point  $p_0$ of the 3D point cloud. The intersection of the sphere with the facial points forms a curve Q in the threedimensional space (left image of Figure 10). The depth information of these intersection points, combined with the value of the sphere radius, contains characteristic and unique information about the depth value distribution in the surrounding area of the point  $p_0$ . However, taking the absolute depth values of this intersection points is not reasonable (as already discussed for the line profiles in section 3.3) because they are not independent with respect to translation and rotation of the head. As a result, we create a reference curve Q' that can be employed to calculate relative depth information. To obtain this curve, we fit a plane *P* through the set of intersection points. The plane is determined with regression analysis by a singular value decomposition that gives the surface normal of the plane. The plane is now shifted along its normal vector into the point  $p_0$ . This results in a new plane called P' (right image of Figure 10). In the next step the curve Q is projected onto P' building a new curve Q'. Now the curve Q' is sampled around the approximated surface normal at  $p_0$  with a rotation angle of 15 degrees. For each sampled point in Q' the distance to its corresponding point in Q is collected. The starting position for the distance sampling needs to be equal

between the different images to obtain curves that are comparable. Therefore, we define a starting position, which is determined by a reference point  $p_{ref}$ . The reference point is located on the chin as marked in Figure 9. The sphere radius length has to be determined such that the arising path does not protrude beyond the surface of the face and no background points are sampled. The length of the radius is computed from the eye distance  $d_{eye}$ , multiplied by a factor f. The eye distance is estimated from the distance between the mean positions of each eye. Mean positions are obtained by the landmark positions of each eye (Figure 9). We use the following values for the empirically determined factor f to extract five different point signatures: 0.4, 0.5, 0.7, 0.8 and 1.0.

Sampling of the radial curve with a fixed interval of 15 degrees generates 24 values per point signature. The more point signatures are extracted, the more precisely the surface of the face can be described. However, a high amount of point signatures leads to a high-dimensional feature space. We reduce the dimension of the feature vector by applying discrete cosine transform on each point signature as shown in section 3.3. Again the first twelve coefficients are retained.



Figure 10: Left image: Intersection curve Q of the sphere with the 3D point cloud. Right image: The planes P (red) and P' (magenta). The projected curve Q' is marked on P'.

# 3.5 Automation of the Feature Extraction Process

The features presented above have in common that certain facial areas need to be determined for extraction. These can be landmark positions or regions derived from these landmark positions. Manual determination of the landmarks and regions is not feasible in a real-world application. Thus, they have to be detected automatically, which may lead to less accuracte localizations. We use two different approaches to test the robustness of the presented features with respect to these deviations. The first approach is the application of Active Appearance Models (AAMs) for landmark detection. The second approach is a threshold-based localization of the nose tip position using curvature analysis.

AAMs are mainly applied in the field of facial expression recognition on 2D gray-value images (Cootes et al., 2001). On the basis of several training images a combined mean texture and shape model is derived. The fitting of this mean model to a new and unknown face is improved by determination of a coarse initialization position. We use the Viola and Jones face detector to find an initial localization (Viola and Jones, 2004). In the next step the AAM adapts itself to the new face by minimizing the error between the model intensities and the image intensities. The parameters that describe the fitted model are usually subjected to classification of facial expressions. In constrast to this, the AAM can be used for the mere detection of landmarks without further consideration of the model parameters (Haase and Denzler, 2011). In this paper we focus on the application of AAMs for landmark detection. The AAM is fitted on the 2D intensity images and we need to transform these landmark positions to positions in depth images. Therefore intrinsic and extrinsic camera parameters were determined by camera calibration (Hartley and Zisserman, 2000). They can be employed to align the 2.5D images with their corresponding intensity images. As a result, corresponding points have the same position in the images of both channels and the labeled landmark positions can be accordingly transferred. Additionally, these camera parameters can be used to transform the points of the 2.5D image to a discrete 3D point cloud (Hartley and Zisserman, 2000).

Landmark detection by AAMs is complemented by the detection of the nose tip using curvature analysis. Again, a coarse initalization is necessary to constrain the search space, e.g., by the Viola and Jones face detector. Mean and Gaussian curvature is calculated for each 3D point that belongs to the delimited search space. The largest region that fullfills certain thresholds for both curvature measures is defined as the nose region. Inside the nose region we search for the point that has the lowest distance to the camera. We evaluated this approach on 1485 images and 13 persons by comparing the manually labeled nose tip to the nose tip detected by curvature analysis. For 94% of the images the distance in x-and y-direction is smaller than 0.95cm. The nose tip detection algorithm works, even if the head is slightly rotated. An example can be seen in Figure 11. However large rotations should be avoided in the whole application scenario because therapeutic exercises can not be recognized and evaluated correctly if important regions of the face are occluded.



Figure 11: Left: Gray-value image. Center: Depth image with nose tip position marked. Nose tip position was determined by curvature analysis. Right: Regions that fulfill the necessary thresholds for Gaussian and mean curvature. The largest region belongs to the region of the nose.

# **4 EXPERIMENTS**

In the first section of the experimental part, we focus on the dataset and the exercises that are used for our experiments. The evaluation of the features discriminative power with respect to the classification of therapeutic exercises is presented in section 4.2. Results from experiments that test the robustness of the features related to variations of region borders are given in the last section.

#### 4.1 Exercises and Dataset

In cooperation with speech therapists, we selected a set of nine therapeutic face exercises by certain criterions. The exercises should train the lips, the cheeks and the tongue and should be beneficial for various types of facial muscle dysfunctions, e.g. paresis of muscles or muscle imbalance. Furthermore, the selected exercises should be easy to practice and should build a set of sub-exercises that can be combined to more complex dynamic exercise units, e.g. by alternating between them. The exercises have to be performed in an exaggerated manner, to enable a maximum training effect, and have to be retained for around two or three seconds. The speed of the performance is not important. Although some of these are vocal exercises, it is not necessary to vocalize a continuous sound while performing the shape. Images that visualize the exercise conduction are shown in Figure 12.

Due to the lack of a public database that shows the performance of therapeutic exercises, we recorded a dataset, which contains eleven persons, who conduct the nine exercises. For each exercise, there are around seven images, showing different states of exercise conduction. This amounts to a total size of 696 images in the dataset. Some parts of the scene that is captured by the Kinect may be shadowed, if they are seen by the depth camera but are not illuminated by the infrared projector. This leads to invalid values in the 2.5D image (Khoshelham, 2011). These values



Figure 12: Exercises that have been selected in cooperation with speech therapists (from left to right and top to bottom): pursed lips, taut lips, A-shape, I-shape, cheek poking (right/ left side), cheeks puffed (both/ right/ left side(s)). For better visualization colour images are shown. Features, as previously mentioned, are extracted from depth images and point clouds. For visualization of the nine exercises the shown images were shot with a commercially obtainable camera with higher resolution than the Kinect and are not part of the dataset.



Figure 13: The 58 manually labeled landmark positions.

were removed by replacing them with the mean depth values of adjacent valid neighbour pixels. For every depth image, there exists a corresponding colour image that has been recorded with maximum time difference of 16 milliseconds. The colour images have been labeled manually with 58 landmark points that were used for the training of the AAM (Figure 13) or for the feature extraction from depth data. The transferability of landmark positions between the 2.5D image and the colour image was further explained in section 3.5.

# 4.2 Evaluation of the Discriminative Power

The following section gives an overview of the classification results. Since we want to evaluate the basic suitability of the selected features for the task of classifying therapeutic exercises, we extract the features from regions obtained from manually labeled landmarks, thus excluding other influences like deviating region borders. We evaluate each feature group individually and in combination. Training and classification is performed by applying Support Vector Machines (SVMs) of the LIBSVM package (Chang and Lin, 2011). We tested linear SVM and a Radial Basis Function kernel. Optimal values for the penalty parameter C and the kernel parameter  $\gamma$  were obtained by a grid search on the training set (Hsu et al., 2009). To avoid overfitting to the training set, we employed a 5-fold cross-validation during parameter optimization. In combination with the amount of data (696 images, 232 feature dimensions), the linear SVM leads to the best results because it avoids overfitting. The dataset was split up into training and test set using the leave-one-out cross-validation. Additionally, all images of the person present in the test image are excluded from the training set. This approach is consistent with the mentioned application scenario in which the images of the test person will not be part of the training data. We obtained an average recognition accuracy over the nine classes of 82.4%. The use of linear discriminant analysis (LDA) prior to the linear SVM classification improves the results to 91.2% average recognition accuracy. LDA is a linear transformation of the feature space that maximizes the between-class separability and minimizes the within-class variablity (Webb et al., 2011). As a result of LDA the number of feature dimensions is reduced from 232 to 8. Detailed results that show the classification accuracy for each of the nine classes are given in Table 2.

# 4.3 Evaluation of Feature Extraction from Automatically Determined Regions

As mentioned before, in a real-world scenario regions and landmark points for feature extraction have to be detected automatically. Therefore, in this section we evaluate the robustness of our features with respect to varying region borders and landmark positions. Figure 14 shows the results for the three feature types for manually and automatically detected landmarks. Automated detection was done by the

fitting of AAMs. Compared to the point signatures and line profiles, curvature analysis is weaker with respect to the discrimination of the nine therapeutic exercises. However, it achieves better results for automatically detected landmarks. The deviations of the landmarks that were determined by AAM-fitting are, compared to the manually labeled landmarks: in xdirection -1.88 pixels (mean value) with a standard deviation of 4.7 and in y-direction at an average of 6.0 pixels with a standard deviation of 15.94. Considering the distances of our persons to the camera six pixel correspond to about 0.95 centimetres. As shown in section 3.4, the point signature needs exactly two landmarks: the nose tip and a reference point. For further examination of the point signatures, independent from the AAM fitting, we detect the nose tip by curvature analysis. The reference point is determined under the assumption that only very small in-plane rotations of the face occur in our dataset. Therefore, we estimate the reference direction by a vector parallel to the y-axis of the image in positive direction (with the origin of the coordinate system in the upper left corner of the image). Compared to the average recognition rate that was obtain for the point signatures using AAMs for landmark detection (44.5%) we gain a significant improvement to 72.6%. Combining these features with the curvatures and the line profiles that were extracted based on the AAM an overall average recognition rate of 75.1% is obtained. By only combining curvature analysis and point signatures a rate of 75.6% is obtained. This shows that the line profiles - in conjunction with the current automated landmark estimation method - do not contribute to the classification success. However, the results of line profiles using manual landmarks suggest that further effort for more precise landmark localizations may be beneficial. A certain robustness of the line profiles can be assumed because even the manually labeled landmarks may be subject to deviations. In colour images (in which the landmarks were labeled), especially on the chin silhouette distinctive points are missing. Therefore, variations in position and landmark-to-landmark distance occur on the chin positions more likely than in the corners of the eyes or the mouth. Figure 15 presents the comparison of the results for the manual approach and the two automated localization methods.

## 5 DISCUSSION

In this paper we have discussed several aspects that are necessary for the conception and implementation of an automated training platform for persons with fa-

Table 2: Confusion matrix of the classification results (in %). Features were extracted from regions and points that have been determined on the basis of manually labeled landmarks. The rows contain the ground truth, columns the assignments resulting from classification. The average recognition rate is 91.2%. LDA was applied to transform the feature space and to reduce the feature space dimensionality. The term *tongue* refers to the exercise *cheek boxing* and *cheek* to *cheek puffed*. L and R are abbreviations for left and right.

	Pursed	Taut	A-Shape	I-Shape	Tongue L	Tongue R	Cheek	Cheek L	Cheek R
Pursed	83.75	0	1.25	0	2.5	12.5	0	0	0
Taut	2.53	81.01	0	16.46	0	0	0	0	0
A-Shape	0	0	96.43	0	0	3.57	0	0	
I-Shape	0	8.33	0	91.67	0	0	0	0	0
Tongue L	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0
Tongue R	4.11	0	4.11	0	0	90.41	0	0	1.37
Cheek	0	1.2	0	0 🦱	0	1.2	93.98	1.2	2.41
Cheek L	2.82	0	0	0	1.41	0	1.41	94.36	0
Cheek R	0	0	0	1.37	0	2.74	6.85	0	89.04

Table 3: Confusion matrix of the classification results (in %). Features were extracted from regions and points that have been determined on the basis of automatically located landmarks. The average recognition rate is 75.1%.

	Pursed	Taut	A-Shape	I-Shape	Tongue L	Tongue R	Cheek	Cheek L	Cheek R
Pursed	61.25	8.75	15.00	1.25	5.00	1.25	6.25	1.25	0
Taut	1.27	70.89	2.53	21.52	1.27	0	0	0	1.27
A-Shape	5.95	2.38	82.14	7.14	1.19	0	0	1.19	
I-Shape	1.39	22.22	1.39	73.61	1.39	0	0	0	0
Tongue L	8.64	2.47	1.23	1.23	81.48	4.94	0		
Tongue R	1.37	5.48	4.11	5.48	0	79.45	0	0	4.11
Cheek	1.20	3.61	0	0	1.2	1.2	74.70	9.64	8.43
Cheek L	5.63	7.04	1.41	1.41	0	0	8.45	74.65	1.41
Cheek R	0	1.37	0	1.37	0	12.33	6.85	0	78.08



Figure 14: The bar plot shows the average recognition rates (in %) for each of the three feature groups. As expected feature extraction from manually determined regions and landmarks leads to better results than the extraction from automatically determined areas. The local features point signatures and line profiles (with LDA) lead to better results than the curvature analysis. However, they are more prone to landmark position deviations.

cial muscle dysfuctions. We presented nine therapeutic exercises, which - in cooperation with speech language therapists - were determined as beneficial for the planned application scenario. Additionally, the automated classification of these exercises was evaluated. The presented approach employs 2.5D depth



Figure 15: Comparison of the average recognition rates (%) for the nine exercises and all features. Again, rates strongly depend on the landmark localization method. With manually labeled landmarks a rate of 91.2% is obtained. A combination of the AAMs with the nose tip detection gives the best results for the automated approaches (75.1%). In contrast to this, the sole use of the AAMs results in 62.9% average recognition rate.

images and 3D point clouds and is based on three different feature types: curvature analysis, point signatures and line profiles. The features were evaluated with respect to their discriminative power for exercise classification. Additionally, we examined their robustness regarding varying locations of feature extraction. This is relevant for all applications, planned for practical use, were a manual detection of landmarks is not feasible.

Curvature analysis, in the form we have imple-

mented it, is rather global compared to point signatures and line profiles and showed a relatively robust performance. However, with suitable landmark localizations point signatures and line profiles outperform curvature analyis. We used two approaches for automated landmark detection: Active Appearance Models and nose tip estimation by curvatures. The combination of both lead to the best results. Line profiles showed weak contribution to the classification process, if landmark positions are detected automatically. Nevertheless, the results based on manually defined regions are promising. Besides considerations of making the line profiles more robust, a more sophisticated approach for automated landmark detection might be the most beneficial solution. Constrained AAMs (Cootes and Taylor, 2001) including prior estimates of some shape point positions will be investigated in order to improve the fitting of the AAM. Curvature analysis and a-priori knowledge related to the anatomy of the face may be valuable for the estimation of these prior positions.

SCIENCE AND

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the m&i Fachklinik Bad Liebenstein (in particular Prof. Dr. med. Gustav Pfeiffer, Eva Schillikowski) and Logopädische Praxis Irina Stangenberger, who supported our work by giving valuable insights into rehabilitation and speechlanguage therapy requirements and praxis. This work is partially funded by the TMBWK ProExzellenz initiative, Graduate School on Image Processing and Image Interpretation.

#### REFERENCES

- Besl, P. and Jain, R. (1986). Invariant surface characteristics for 3d object recognition in range images. *Computer Vision, Graphics, and Image Processing*, 33(1):33– 80.
- Chang, C.-C. and Lin, C.-J. (2011). LIBSVM: A library for support vector machines. ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology, 2:27:1–27:27. Software available at urlhttp://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/ cjlin/libsvm.
- Chua, C.-S., Han, F., and Ho, Y.-K. (2000). 3d human face recognition using point signature. In *Proceedings of* the 4th Int. Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition Conf., pages 233–238.
- Chua, C. S. and Jarvis, R. (1997). Point signature: a new representation for 3d object recognition. In *Int. Journal of Computer Vision*, volume 25, pages 63–85.

- Colombo, A., Cusano, C., and Schettini, R. (2006). 3d face detection using curvature analysis. *Pattern Recognition*, 39(3):444–455.
- Cootes, T., Edwards, G., and Taylor, C. (2001). Active appearance models. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 23(6):681–685.
- Cootes, T. and Taylor, C. (2001). Constrained active appearance models. In *Proceedings of the 8th Int. Conf. on Computer Vision*, volume 1, pages 748–754.
- Gebhard, A., Paulus, D., Suchy, B., and Wolf, S. (2000). A system for diagnosis support of patients with facialis paresis. *Kl*, 3/2000:40–42.
- Grosse, M., Schaffer, M., Harendt, B., and Kowarschik, R. (2011). Fast data acquisition for three-dimensional shape measurement using fixed-pattern projection and temporal coding. *Optical Engineering*, 50:100503.
- Haase, D. and Denzler, J. (2011). Anatomical landmark tracking for the analysis of animal locomotion in xray videos using active appearance models. In *Image Analysis*, volume 6688 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 604–615.
- Hartley, R. and Zisserman, A. (2000). *Multiple view geometry in computer vision*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hsu, C., Chang, C., and Lin, C. (2009). A practical guide to support vector classification. *TR available at http://www. csie. ntu. edu. tw/ cjlin/papers/guide/guide. pdf.*

IN

- Khoshelham, K. (2011). Accuracy analysis of kinect depth data. In *ISPRS Workshop Laser Scanning*, volume 38.
- Nakamura, K., Toda, N., Sakamaki, K., Kashima, K., and Takeda, N. (2003). Biofeedback rehabilitation for prevention of synkinesis after facial palsy. *Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery*, 128(4):539–543.
- Salomon, D. (2004). *Data compression: the complete reference*. Springer-Verlag New York Inc.
- Viola, P. and Jones, M. (2004). Robust real-time face detection. Int. Journal of Computer Vision, 57(2):137–154.
- Wang, J., Yin, L., Wei, X., and Sun, Y. (2006). 3d facial expression recognition based on primitive surface feature distribution. *Int. Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2:1399–1406.
- Wang, Y., Chua, C.-S., and Ho, Y.-K. (2002). Facial feature detection and face recognition from 2d and 3d images. In *Pattern Recognition Letters*, volume 23, pages 1191–1202.
- Webb, A., Copsey, K., and Cawley, G. (2011). *Statistical* pattern recognition. Wiley.