A Study on Generation of Synthetic Evolving Social Graph

Nagehan Ilhan and Şule Gündüz Öğüdücü

Department of Computer Engineering, Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

Keywords: Evolving Social Network, Synthetic Data Generation.

Abstract: Social networks are popular tools for communication, interaction, and information sharing on the Internet. The extreme popularity and rapid growth of these online social networks reveal to study, understand, and discover their properties. Social networks evolve gradually and the network structure varies as the network grows. Large-scale dynamic network analysis requires a large quantity of network data to be available for the experiments and using real data have restrictions due to the privacy issues. Synthetic data generation is an alternative way to overcome these problems. The challenge when generating synthetic, but realistic, time-evolving social graphs. We describe two main classes of properties: static and dynamic. We analyzed real datasets and extracted their behavior using static and dynamic properties. Then, we generated synthetic graphs with different parameter settings using Barabasi-Albert model (Barabasi and Albert, 1999). Our work enables the creation of synthetic networks that reflect both static and dynamic characteristics of online social networks. Moreover, our generated data may lead to more accurate structural and growth models, which are useful for network analysis and planning.

1 INTRODUCTION

The popularity of online social networks has increased the interest in analyzing how these networks evolve over time. One fundamental question is to understand how do the network properties change as the network evolves. In recent years a wide variety of models have been proposed to analyze the evolution of complex networks. The big proportion of the significant amount of the network data that are being collected are often about people and contain personal information. When such data are being analyzed and mined, publishing the data becomes important problem due to the privacy issues. Furthermore, time to time the limited number of available network graphs are insufficient to generate meaningful experimental results.

An alternative approach, is to use synthetically generated data. Albeit the challenges, the use of synthetic data offers great opportunities. The user can control and rapidly generate the data set with the desired characteristics, size and quality. It allows the user to investigate what-if scenarios when real data are difficult to collect. The generated data can be shared, and thus allow other researchers to repeat experiments and compare algorithms. The main challenge in generation of synthetic data is its realism. It is not easy to create data with characteristics that are similar to real-world data. The generated data should obey all the main static patterns that have appeared in the literature and also obey the recently discovered temporal evolution patterns.

A widespread model that used to simulate social networks is Barabasi-Albert model (Barabasi and Albert, 1999). In this paper, we generate different evolving synthetic graphs with distinct parameters using Barabasi-Albert model, compute static and dynamic graph metrics of the generated synthetic graphs and compare them with the measurements of the real social networks. Thereby, we explore the most convenient parameter settings to generate synthetic graphs that best fit to the real networks. Our study is useful in generating synthetic networks that obey static and dynamic properties of social networks. Our generated networks can be used as better structural and growth models in social network analysis.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 previous works on graph generation are given. Static and dynamic properties and Barabasi-Albert model explained in Section 3. Measurements and results of the generated and real graphs are given in Section 4. Finally, we conclude the paper.

A Study on Generation of Synthetic Evolving Social Graph DOI: 10.5220/0004258005230526

In Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Agents and Artificial Intelligence (ICAART-2013), pages 523-526 ISBN: 978-989-8565-39-6

Copyright © 2013 SCITEPRESS (Science and Technology Publications, Lda.)

2 RELATED WORK

Building synthetic social graphs that are sufficiently representative of real world social graphs has been of interest for researchers for a long time. Most of the studies try to extract the characteristics of graphs and the patterns that can help to distinguish between an actual real-world graph and any synthetic one. As summarized in (Chakrabarti and Faloutsos, 2006), there exist several patterns: power laws, small diameters and community effects which together characterize the graphs.

One of the first models has been proposed by (Erdös and Rényi, 1959) which employs random networks in order to generate real networks. Random graphs are generated by picking nodes under some random probability distribution and then connecting them by edges. Watts and Strogatz came up with a model that starts with a regular ring of n nodes where each node is connected to its k closest neighbours and than the nodes are rewired according to some probability to another node chosen uniformly at random (Watts and Strogatz, 1998). It has high clustering coefficient unlike Erdos-Renyi but fails to reproduce the power-law distribution of the degrees and behave like a Poisson distribution. It is clear that purely random graphs are not a good approximation of topology of social networks despite showing small-world effect.

Studying the static snapshots of graphs has led to analyzing properties such as the small-world phenomenon (Travers and Milgram, 1969) and the power-law degree distributions. However, in timeevolving graphs interesting properties have been discovered, such as shrinking diameters, and densification power law. The Forest Fire model attempts to explain the densification and decreasing-diameter over time and also captures the power-law degree distribution (Leskovec et al., 2005b).

Real networks are often scale-free networks inhomogeneous in degree, having hubs and a scale-free degree distribution. Such networks are better to be represented by the preferential attachment family of models, such as the BarabasiAlbert model (Barabasi and Albert, 1999). It produces graphs with power-law degree distributions missing from random graphs. It captures two shared mechanisms shared by many real networks: incremental growth and preferential attachment properties.

The authors in (Leskovec et al., 2005a),(Leskovec and Faloutsos, 2007) proposed the Kronecker model which is based on Kronecker multiplication to generate graphs that obey the properties of real graphs. Kronecker model starts with the initial seed graph and constructs a larger graph by repeatedly multiplying the seed graph with itself. It creates the target graph by multiplying with a seed graph. It is another well known technique to generate scale-free graphs. However, the final graph generated with the Kronecker multiplication method heavily depends on the initial seed graph. Thus, difficult to configure or control to obtain a graph with desired properties. Barabasi-Albert method with preferential attachment is configurable and generates much better representative networks for real-world despite some lacks.

3 DATA GENERATION

3.1 **Data Representation**

A social graph G(V, E), made of nodes V and edges E that connect nodes with different relationships. In this study, real evolving graph G described over a time period [0..T] and it will be decomposed into a sequence of static snapshots $G_{[0,\varepsilon]}, ..., G_{[T-\varepsilon,T]} = G_1, ..., G_n$. ε is the discretization factor which will be adjusted depending on the granularity of the time stamps and $G_{t,t+\varepsilon}$ is the graph containing all nodes and edges involved during the time period $[t, t+\varepsilon]$.

3.2 Barabasi-Albert Model

Barabasi-Albert incorporates two main properties of the of scale-free networks: incremental growth and preferential attachment (Barabasi and Albert, 1999). These properties also shared by many real networks. Real world networks expand in size continuously by the addition of new nodes (incremental growth) and new nodes preferentially attach to nodes with a high degree(preferential attachment). The model has three parameters: *n* is the number of nodes, *m* is the number of edges to add in each step and *power* is the power of the preferential attachment.

3.3 Graph Properties

In this study, we describe two main classes of properties of graphs that represent social networks. Static properties, describe the structure of snapshots of the graphs. **Radius, diameter, clustering coefficient** and **degree distribution** metrics will be considered. Dynamic properties such as **shrinking diameter** and **densification power-law** are observed over a period of time and evaluate how measurements of these snapshots change by looking the series of static snaphots.

4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

4.1 Dataset Description

Our experimentation has been conducted on distinct synthetic and real datasets. Three distinct real datasets: Internet Link, Flickr (Mislove et al., 2008) and Youtube (Mislove, 2009) consisting different size, volume and clustering coefficient degrees has been used. Weekly snapshots are taken from real datasets and evolution of ten time period has been taken into account. Each synthetic dataset has been created using Barabasi-Albert model with distinct m and power parameters. Graphs created with the same number of initial nodes n of the Internet Link dataset (Mislove, 2009) and evolved over ten time period by adding random number of nodes between 2000 and 3000 at each period. Table 1 gives the ultimate number of nodes and edges of the datasets after tenth evolution which are used in the study. Synthetic datasets represented with the SD abbreviation in the table.

Table 1: Datasets.

| Datasets | Nodes | Edges |
|---------------------|---------|----------|
| Internet Link | 22084 | 122439 |
| Flickr | 2263928 | 13982994 |
| Youtube | 1637838 | 7778675 |
| SD1 (m=2 power=1) | 32567 | 65131 |
| SD2 (m=2 power=1.4) | 30780 | 61557 |
| SD3 (m=2 power=2) | 33826 | 67649 |
| SD4 (m=3 power=1) | 34237 | 102705 |
| SD5 (m=3 power=1.4) | 31823 | 95463 |
| SD6 (m=3 power=2) | 32464 | 97386 |
| SD7 (m=10 power=1) | 31457 | 314515 |

Table 2: Radius, diameter and clustering coefficient of the studied networks.

| Dataset | Radius | Diameter | Clustering Coefficient |
|---------------------|--------|----------|------------------------|
| Flickr | 13 | 27 | 0.366 |
| Youtube | 13 | 21 | 0.177 |
| Internet Link | 1 | 12 | 0.008 |
| SD1 (m=2 power=1) | 6 | 10 | 0.001 |
| SD2 (m=2 power=1.4) | 3 | 6 | 0.758 |
| SD3 (m=2 power=2) | 2 | 4 | 0.998 |
| SD4 (m=3 power=1) | 5 | 8 | 0.001 |
| SD5 (m=3 power=1.4) | 3 | 5 | 0.527 |
| SD6 (m=3 power=2) | 2 | 3 | 0.998 |
| SD7 (m=10 power=1) | 3 | 5 | 0.010 |

4.2 **Results of Static Properties**

Radius and Diameter. Table 2 shows the results of the radius and average diameter values of the graphs after tenth evolution. The average diameter is calculated by taking the mean of the average shortest path lengths over all nodes. Our real and synthetic graphs are found to exhibit small diameter property despite



Figure 1: Degree Distributions.

their large population. The datasets SD3 and SD6 have smaller radius and diameter values since they are denser compared to other graphs because of having greater power value.

Clustering Coefficient. Our clustering coefficient results have shown on Table 2. Our real social networks do not have high clustering coefficient value as it is expected. Nevertheless, clustering coefficient degree of synthetic datasets increase as the power value increase. SD3 and SD6 have the maximum clustering coefficient degrees due to the power.

Degree Distribution. The results have shown in Figure 1. We can see from the results in Figure 1 that the synthetic datasets that are generated with slighter preferential attachment power value (*power*) fit power-law scaling more than the datasets generated with greater power value. Higher preferential attachment power value results several hub nodes while the rest of the nodes in the network having few connections. Consequently, SD3 and SD6 do not fit power-law scaling.



Figure 2: Shrinking Diameter.

4.3 **Results of Dynamic Properties**

Shrinking Diameter. Figure 2 shows plots of the change in diameter values of the datasets over time with timestamp value on x axis and diameter value on y axis. The results of real graphs conform the shrinking diameter property. In synthetic graphs, we obtained stabilized diameter results over time, some times even after a small increase. This is because of the nature of the generator model which has preferential attachment property where the diameter grows slowly with the number of nodes n.

Densification Power Law (DPL). Our DPL results are shown in Figure 3. All our real and synthetic graphs obey the DPL, with exponents ranging between 1.06 and 1.24. The power-law exponent being greater than 1 shows that there is a superlinearity between the number of nodes and the number of edges in the graph.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we presented a study on realistic social graph generation using Barabasi-Albert model. We used static and dynamic graph properties to analyze synthetically generated graphs and measure how fit the generated graphs to the real one. We generated graphs with different parameter settings and compared them to the real graphs.

Results indicate that greater preferential attachment power value cause small diameter and radius values with disrupting the power law degree distribution of the graphs. The parameter of the number of edges to add in each step effects the number of edges in each timestamp and results in greater densification power law degree. Synthetically generated graphs do not obey shrinking diameter property of dynamic social networks. However, they have better clustering coefficient degree with smaller diameter than real graphs. Furthermore, these synthetic graphs have power law degree distributions and fit densification power law property of dynamic social networks.



Figure 3: Densification Power Law.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors were supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) EEEAG project 110E027.

REFERENCES

- Barabasi, A.-L. and Albert, R. (1999). Emergence of scaling in random networks.
- Chakrabarti, D. and Faloutsos, C. (2006). Graph mining: Laws, generators, and algorithms. *ACM Comput. Surv.*, 38(1).
- Erdös, P. and Rényi, A. (1959). On random graphs i. *Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen*, 6:290.
- Leskovec, J., Chakrabarti, D., Kleinberg, J. M., and Faloutsos, C. (2005a). Realistic, mathematically tractable graph generation and evolution, using kronecker multiplication. In Jorge, A., Torgo, L., Brazdil, P., Camacho, R., and Gama, J., editors, *PKDD*, volume 3721 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 133–145. Springer.
- Leskovec, J. and Faloutsos, C. (2007). Scalable modeling of real graphs using kronecker multiplication. In *Proceedings of the 24th international conference on Ma chine learning*, ICML '07, pages 497–504, New York, NY, USA. ACM.
- Leskovec, J., Kleinberg, J., and Faloutsos, C. (2005b). Graphs over time: densification laws, shrinking diameters and possible explanations. In *Proceedings of the eleventh ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery in data mining*, KDD '05, pages 177–187, New York, NY, USA. ACM.
- Mislove, A. (2009). Online Social Networks: Measurement, Analysis, and Applications to Distributed Information Systems. PhD thesis, Rice University, Department of Computer Science.
- Mislove, A., Koppula, H. S., Gummadi, K. P., Druschel, P., and Bhattacharjee, B. (2008). Growth of the flickr social network. In *Proceedings of the 1st ACM SIG-COMM Workshop on Social Networks (WOSN'08)*.
- Travers, J. and Milgram, S. (1969). An Experimental Study of the Small World Problem. *Sociometry*, 32(4):425– 443.
- Watts, D. J. and Strogatz, S. H. (1998). Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks. *Nature*, 393(6684):440– 442.