# CELL TRACKING AND DATA ANALYSIS OF IN VITRO TUMOUR CELLS FROM TIME-LAPSE IMAGE SEQUENCES

Kuan Yan, Fons J. Verbeek

Section Imaging and Bioinformatics, Leiden Institute of Advanced Computer Science, Leiden University Niels Bohrweg 1, 2333 CA Leiden, The Netherlands

Sylvia Le Dévédec, Bob van de Water

Section Toxicology, Leiden/Amsterdam Center for Drug Research, Leiden University Niels Bohrweg 1, 2333 CA Leiden, The Netherlands

Keywords: Object tracking, Cell tracking, Cellular phenotype, Tumour cell, Time-lapse video, Cell migration analysis, KDE mean shift, Steepest descent, High-throughput, High-content, Video analysis, Image sequence.

Abstract: In this paper, we address the problem of the analysis of cellular phenotype from time-lapse image sequences using object tracking algorithms and feature extraction and classification. We discusses the application of an object tracking algorithm for in the analysis of high content cell-migration time-lapse image sequence of extremely motile cells; these cells are captured at low time-resolution.. The small size of the objects and significant deformation of the object during the process renders the tracking as a non-trivial problem. To that end, the 'KDE Mean Shift', a real-time tracking solution, is adapted for our research. We illustrate that in a simulation experiment with artificial objects, with our algorithm an accuracy of over 90% can be established. Based on the tracking result, we propose several morphology and motility based measurements for the analysis of cell behaviour. Our analysis requires only initial manual interference; the majority of the processing is automated.

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

Cytomics is the study of cell systems, referred to as the *cytome*, at the level of the single cell; it attempts to understand the molecular architecture and the functionality of the cell system. Much of this is achieved by using a combination of molecular and imaging techniques allowing the various components of a cell to be visualised by time-lapse image sequence either *in vivo* or *in vitro*. When applied to larger volumes of cells studied under different experimental conditions, such a study is usually referred to as a screen.

An automated cell screening for a 200-target RNA study will result in 400 image sequences; each of the sequences contains over 100 cells. Manually analyzing these videos is virtually impossible. Therefore, video tracking and data analysis are used; smart application of these techniques is crucial.

Existing studies of cell tracking (Rogers, *et al*, 2006) illustrate physical solutions to cell tracking;

this research focuses on *in vivo* cell tracking using Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). This approach is complex and requires advanced MRI equipment suitable for high-resolution imaging. Computer vision studies (Li and Kanade, 2007) also show that level-set based tracking algorithms can produce good tracking results directly from sequences as captured from a fluorescence or bright field microscope. In these studies, the tracking accuracy reaches an average of 85%. The method can deal with cell proliferation by splitting trajectories.

To date, measurements on cell-phenotype have not been extracted from time-lapse image sequences. Moreover, the phenomena that are captured in timelapse in image sequences, e.g. metastatic behaviour of a target cell-line, are often subtle and therefore it requires a range of features be evaluated. The image sequence is captured such that the relevant observations on behaviour can be deduced from the measurements. Sampling below the standard videorate is also necessary as otherwise; the amount of data will not be manageable. Challenges regarding

Yan K., Verbeek F., Le Dévédec S. and van de Water B. (2009). CELL TRACKING AND DATA ANALYSIS OF IN VITRO TUMOUR CELLS FROM TIME-LAPSE IMAGE SEQUENCES . In Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Computer Vision Theory and Applications, pages 281-286 DOI: 10.5220/0001804302810286 Copyright © SciTePress the analysis of such image sequences are addressed in this paper.

The structure of this paper is as follows: In section 2, we describe the pre-processing of raw image data; this includes segmentation of the images and subsequent object extraction. The objects that we extract are cells and these behave as non-rigid bodies while moving. In section 3, we present our approach to non-rigid objects tracking and explain our algorithm for a metastatic/motile cell model based on time-lapse image sequences of sparse time sampling (6 min/frame). We estimate the robustness and accuracy by introducing a test with artificial images/objects. In section 4, we illustrate our method with a data set typical for cytomics in which cell migration is analysed using cell tracking and phenotypic characterisation.

In this paper, sequence images are obtained at a fixed rate of 6 min/frame from a CCD camera mounted on a fluorescence microscope. In case of artificial images, the situation with the fluorescence microscope is simulated, i.e. bright objects on a dark background. The algorithm is implemented as an ImageJ plug-in in JAVA. Data analysis is performed by PRTOOLS, a pattern recognition library for MATLAB.

# 2 IMAGE PREPROCESSING

In order to be able to trace the objects in our image sequence, i.e. the cells, all objects must be labelled. Therefore a segmentation algorithm including the object labelling was developed. The segmentation and labelling need to operate in concert as sometimes the objects appear in clusters. In our algorithm, we identify these clusters are and then properly separate them.

The original images are slightly smoothed using a standard Gaussian filter to remove speckle noise. Imperfections in the illumination of the field of view are corrected through a rolling ball algorithm.(Sternber, 1983)

For the visualization of the cells under the microscope, a staining procedure is applied. Variations in the staining of individual cells result in different intensity profiles of the cells. Consequently, a global segmentation is less effective for the object extraction. Therefore, instead, we have implemented a local adaptive version of the isodata method. This method employs a spherical kernel with a radius in the range of [15-20] pixels; this radius depends on settings derived from the imaging protocol. A convolution with this kernel results in a in a local

threshold value for each pixel. In order to prevent problems in large areas of background, the global average intensity is used a lower boundary of the threshold; pixels evaluated under that boundary are set to background. Next, we evaluate the set of labelled objects resulting from the segmentation as being part of a cluster of cells or not. To that end, the initial binary objects are used as a mask in the original image and a gray-value watershed is employed to provide further separation in case cells are clustered (cf. Fig.1). The gray-value watershed results in a more accurate separation as compared to the binary watershed (cf. Fig.2).

Now, each separated foreground object is labelled as a cell and the binary mask of these cells with the corresponding intensity information will be used as the initial model for KDE Mean Shift tracking algorithm.



Figure 1: Left to Right (1) Original Image (2) Binary mask (3) Watershed without Gaussian filter (4) Watershed with Gaussian filter.



Figure 2: Left to Right (1) Original Image (2) Binary watershed (3) Gray-value watershed.

# **3 CELL TRACKING**

We chose the Kernel Density Estimation (KDE) Mean Shift with Steepest Descent Tracking (KDE Mean Shift) as a tracking solution due to its robustness and recognized performance in real-time tracking (Yang et al., 2003). Although initially designed for real-time tracking, the algorithm demonstrates outstanding performance in time-lapse imaging applications as typical for cytomics.

### 3.1 KDE Mean Shift with Steepest Descent Tracking

In computer vision, KDE mean shift (Yang, *et al*, 2003) is a popular kernel based tracking algorithm and considered as real world application of an approach to localization. KDE Mean Shift Tracking consists of two steps:

- non-parametric density estimation using an initial module.
- steepest descent to locate the local maximum in a gradient space of density estimation.

Each trajectory begins with cells in the first frame. These cells are converted into initial model defined in a 3D feature space: (1) the x coordinate of a binary mask of a cell, (2) the y coordinate of a binary mask of a cell, (3) the intensity value at each pixel (x, y).

Given *n* data points  $x_n$  in the d-dimensional space  $R^d$ , the kernel density estimator with kernel function K(x) and window bandwidth *h*, can be expressed as:

$$\hat{f}_{h,k}(x) = \frac{c_{k,d}}{nh^{d}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\left\|\frac{x-x_{i}}{h}\right\|^{2}\right)$$
(1)

A generally used class of kernels is the radial symmetric kernels, expressed as:

$$K_N(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{-1} ||x||^2}$$
(2)

The mean shift estimation is completed by steepest descent through iterative computation of:

- the mean shift vector  $m(x^k)$
- the shift module by  $x^{k+1} = x^k + m(x^k)$

The steepest descent requires estimation of a gradient space g(x) = -k'(x), where term  $m(x^k)$  is the new mean shift (cf. Eq. 3). Due to the shape change (deformation) of the cells, the steepest descent does not necessarily converge at the centre of mass of the true candidate. We chose the cell closest to the stationary point as a true candidate.

$$m(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i g\left(\left\|\frac{x - x_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(\left\|\frac{x - x_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)} - x$$
(3)

We perform cell tracking on time-lapse image sequences with different levels of magnification (cf. Fig.3 and Fig.4). If proper segmentation is applied to the cell regions then KDE Mean Shift is resistant to morphology and motility behaviour of cancer cells.

In Figure 4, an estimation of cell motion is illustrated. Still, estimation of the performance of KDE using time-lapse image sequences from real cells is subjective. Therefore, we have developed an objective and reproducible estimation method. We initiated this development by testing tracking accuracy using a time-lapse series containing artificially generated objects.



Figure 3: Tracking of tumour cells at 40X fluorescence.



Figure 4: Tracking of tumour cells at 20X fluorescence.

### 3.2 Error Estimation of the Tracking

Our artificial object test, referred to as 'virtual cell test', is utilized for error estimation of the tracking algorithm. The test of artificial objects rules out complex situations that occur in "live cells". Moreover, the imaging is ideal and illumination deficiencies do not occur whilst the behaviour of the virtual "cell" can be controlled completely. The virtual cell test simulates two basic cell migration behaviours: (1) shifting position and (2) extending protrusion.

Table 1

Parameter name	Description		
minT	Minimum travel distance of a cell		
maxT	Maximum travel distance of a cell		
minH	Minimum extension in y-axis		
	direction, can be shrinkage		
maxH	Maximum extension in y-axis		
	direction		
minW	Minimum extension in x-axis		
	direction, can be shrinkage		
maxW	Maximum extension in x-axis		
	direction		
R	Initial radius of virtual cell		
	(equivalent to size)		
Cell	Number of virtual cells in video		
Seq	Length of the time-lapse		
	sequence		

Each "virtual cell test" object has several predefined parameters to control their behaviour (cf. Table 1). Once created, a virtual cell object will randomly shift or extend and is restricted by these

parameters. The motion and shape change of the objects is self-organizing without any predefined motion model (cf. Fig. 5). In pseudo code, this is expressed as follows:

```
Pseudo Code: #virtual cell extending
  With a existing virtual cell;
  Generate a width in (minW, maxW);
  Generate a height in (minH, maxH);
Pseudo Code: #virtual cell position
shift
  Do {
     Generate a step size in (mint, maxT)
     If(causes collision){
        Discard the step size;
      Else{
        Keep the step size;
   }while(step size is not feasible);
  Pseudo Code: #generate cell shape
  For i in x-width to x+width step 1{
     For j in y-height to y+height step 1{
            If (i,j) in the range of ellipse
           defined by width, height, x, and
            y position{
                  pixel(i,j) is given a
                  intensity value weighted
                  by the distance between
                  (i, i) and mass centre
        }
    }
   }
```

Image sequences are acquired by recording the motion of these virtual objects; in this manner, the true trajectory of cell migration can be captured and used in error estimation. The score system in our error estimation consists of two factors, i.e.:

(1) The accomplish ratio 'T' between the total number 'n' of trajectory determined by tracking algorithm and the total number 'N' of trajectory captured during the video generation:

$$T = \frac{Trajectory \in (n \cap N)}{Trajectory \in N}$$
(4)

(2) The percentage 'O' of identical 'Node' between each trajectory s determined by the tracking algorithm and trajectory S as captured from the image frames:

$$O = \frac{Node \in (s \cap S)}{Node \in S}$$
(5)

From these factors, the final score is computed:

$$Score = T \cdot O = \frac{n}{N} \cdot \frac{Node \in (s \cap S)}{Node \in S}$$
(6)

By adding the accomplished ratio 'T', require the tracking algorithm to correctly tracking each trajectory and capable of identify all possible trajectories (cf. Fig. 5). Under different behaviour

parameters, KDE mean shift shows an overall performance above 90% (cf. Table 2).



Figure 5: A sample of cell tracking using virtual cell video.

Table 2: Virtual cell test using different parameters. Each set generate 10 videos with the same length (30 frames). The mean error is the average of 10 videos, similarly, the std error.

Set	minT	maxT	minH	maxH	minW
1	5	10	20	40	30
2	10	20	20	40	30
3	5	10	20	40	30
4	10	20	20	40	30
5	5	10	20	40	30
6	10	20	20	40	30
7	5	10	20	40	30
8	10	20	20	40	30
	. /				
	1 AV			Avg	Std
				Corr	Corr
Set	maxW	R	cell	%	%
1	60	30	5	99.4	1.87
2	60	30	5	96	6.1
3	60	30	20	86.51	3.66
4	60	30	20	74.4	6.89
5	60	30	5	100	0
6	60	30	5	99.26	1.61
7	60	30	20	97.05	2.91
8	60	30	20	89.47	3.91
	Mean		1	92.76	3.37

#### **3.3 Feature Measurements**

We have divided the feature measurements into motility and morphology measurements based on biological meaning. Area, perimeter, centre of mass are used as basic features to describe cell size and position. In addition, shape is described by **Extension**, **Dispersion** and **Elongation** which are derived from moment invariants (Huang, *et al*, 2003)(Gonzalez, *et al*, 2004)(Verbeek, 1995)( van der Putten, *et al*, 2007) <sup>[4][5][7][8]</sup>; These features are calculated from normalized moments:

$$\mu_{pq} = \sum_{0}^{sy-1} \sum_{0}^{sx-1} (x - \bar{x})^p (y - \bar{y})^q F(x, y)$$
(7)

$$\mu_{00} = \sum_{0}^{sy-1} \sum_{0}^{sx-1} F(x, y)$$
(8)

$$\eta_{pq} = \frac{\mu_{pq}}{\mu_{00}^{r}}, r = \frac{p+q}{2} + 1 \tag{9}$$

and the first and second moment invariant

$$\phi_1 = \eta_{02} + \eta_{20} \tag{10}$$

(12)

$$\phi_2 = (\eta_{02} - \eta_{20})^2 + 4\eta_{11}^2 \tag{11}$$

henceforth condensed in:

Ģ

$$\lambda_1 = 2\pi \left( \phi_1 + \sqrt{\phi_2} \right) \tag{12}$$

$$\lambda_2 = 2\pi \left( \phi_1 - \sqrt{\phi_2} \right) \tag{13}$$

$$Extension = \ln \lambda_1 \tag{14}$$

$$Dispersion = \frac{1}{2} \ln \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \tag{15}$$

$$Elongation = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}$$
(16)

These three measurements (cf. Eqs. 14-16) are shape descriptors, that are, due to internal normalization, scale-free and resistant to noise. Extension measures roughness of a long protrusion and dispersion measures roughness of the small protrusions. Elongation measures how cell is elongated in its major axis. An active cell line intends to have a high value in all three invariants.

Further, **Absolute Position Shift** is calculated as the position shift of one cell between first frame (cell position  $(x_0, y_0)$ ) and last frame (cell position  $(x_m, y_m)$ ):

$$s_a = \sqrt{(x_m - x_0)^2 + (y_m - y_0)^2}$$
(17)

**Trajectory Length** is the total length of trajectory:

Current position  $(x_i, y_i)$ 

Next position  $(x_{i+1}, y_{i+1})$ 

$$s_{t} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sqrt{\left(x_{i+1} - x_{i}\right)^{2} + \left(y_{i+1} - y_{i}\right)^{2}}$$
(18)

**Velocity** is calculated by the shift of centre of mass divided by time-interval:

$$v_{i} = \frac{\sqrt{(x_{i+1} - x_{i})^{2} + (y_{i+1} - y_{i})^{2}}}{t}$$
(19)

**Motion Linearity** is the ratio between absolute position shift and trajectory length; normalized by trajectory length, motion linearity is scale-free:

Since we aim to characterize the cellular phenotype, the study of feature measurements in one cell in one particular frame has little biological meaning. Therefore, we generalized the frame-based measurement into a cell-based measurement. Considering measurements as a discrete signal (cf. Fig. 4), it can be generalized by:

$$f(t) = ACos(\alpha t + \omega) + T$$
<sup>(20)</sup>

The amplification A, the frequency  $\alpha$ , and shift T may well preserve the majority structure of such discrete signal (measurement vs. time). With these cell-based measurements (cf. Table 3), we are able to compare cell behaviour and establish significant changes in behaviour. In the next section, a test for reliability of our principles is discussed.

Description		
Average size for one cell through all frames		
Average extension for one cell through all frames		
Standard deviation of extension for		
one cell through all frames		
Same as average extension		
Same as std extension		
Same as average extension		
Same as std extension		
Average velocity for one cell		
through all frames		
Average motion linearity for one		
cell through all kernel window		

# 4 RELIABILITY TEST AND BIOLOGICAL EXPERIMENTS

In Figure 6, we show the result of an experiment with motility stimulation. In two groups, cells are treated with a growth factor (experiment) and expected to move faster with respect to the control group (control). The effect of this stimulation is observed in our measurement results. (Alberts, *et al*, 1994)



Figure 6: Control vs. EGF treated.

In addition, multiple subgroups of cells from the same sample culture are determined using K-Mean

Clustering (cf. Fig. 7). The number of clusters is validated by the lowest Davies-Bouldin Index (DBI) score (cf. Fig. 8). The result of DBI score confirms three natural subgroups: (1) Normal cells (2) Correctly treated cells (3) Incorrectly treated cells. These measurements are consistent with results from similar experiments on different dates.



Figure 7: Cell behaviour clustering.



Figure 8: Clustering validation using Davies-Bouldin validity index.

## 5 CONCLUSIONS

Object tracking has been studied comprehensively in computer vision. We investigated object tracking algorithms to support cytomics research and we demonstrated how these can be successfully applied. Our results, i.e. object tracking and data analysis, on real data illustrate application on image sequences depicting a metastatic/motile cell model.

We developed an artificial object test and this test shows that our approach of the KDE Mean Shift can provide an accuracy over 90% (85% in level set tracking); for cell-tracking analysis this is acceptable. The measurements on the cells resulting from the tracking present correct conclusions in relation to the biological experiment. The feedback from the "wetlab" indicates that labour time of post-experiment data analysis is reduced enormously ( $\geq$  300%) while accuracy of cell-migration analysis has significantly increased. Moreover, automation allows processing of large volumes of data. Finally, the tracking analysis of migrating (tumour) cells provides sufficient confidence to continue further research on structural level tracking.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research has been partially supported by the BioRange Programme of the Dutch ministry of Economic affairs (BSIK grant). We would like to thank Hans de Bont for his assistance in the microscopy.

### REFERENCES

- Yang, CJ., Duraiswami,, R. DeMenthon,, D. and Davis, L.S. (2003). Mean-shift analysis using quasi Newton methods, In Image Processing, International conference on Volume 2, Issue, 14-17 Sept. 2003 Perceptual, Interfaces & Reality Laboratory, University of Maryland, USA
- Li, K. and Kanade, T. (2007). Cell Population Tracking and Lineage Construction Using Multiple-Model Dynamics Filters and Spatiotemporal Optimization, In Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Microscopic Image Analysis with Applications in Biology (MIAAB). Carnegie Mellon University, USA
- Alberts, B., Johnson, A., Lewis, J., Raff, M., Roberts, K. and Walter, P., (1994). *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, Garland Science Publishing, New York, ISBN 0-8153-4072-9, USA
- Huang, C., Rajfur, Z., Borchers, C., Schaller, M.D. and Jacobson, K. (2003). phosphorylates paxillin and regulates cell migration, In *Journal Nature*, Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Comprehensive Center for Inflammatory Disorders, University of North Carolina, USA.
- Gonzalez, R.C., Woods, R.E. and Eddins, S.L., (2004) *Digital Image Processing using MATLAB*, Person Prentice Hall Publishing, ISBN 0-13-008519-7, UK
- Verbeek, F.J. (1995). Three dimensional reconstruction from serial sections including deformation correction (Delft, Delft University of Technology), the Netherlands.
- van der Putten, P., Bertens, L.F.M., Liu, J., Hagen, F., Boekhout T., Verbeek F.J. (2007). Classification of Yeast Cells from Image Features to Evaluate Pathogen Conditions, In Proc. SPIE Vol. 6506, MultiMedia ontent Access: Algorithms & Systems, Vol. 6506 (Eds Hanjalic, A., Schettini, R., Sebe, N.), 65060I-1,65060I-14
- Rogers, W.J., Meyer, C.H. and Kramer, C.M. (2006), Technology Insight: In Vivo Cell Tracking by Use of MRI, In *Nat Clin Pract Cardiovasc Med*, Nature Publishing Group, USA
- Sternber, S., (1983), Rolling ball algorithm, In *Biomedical Image Processing*, IEEE Computer, January 1983