EARLY PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS IN THE DESIGN OF SPATIAL DATABASES

Vincenzo Del Fatto, Massimiliano Giordano, Giuseppe Polese Monica Sebillo and Genoveffa Tortora Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università degli Studi di Salerno Via Ponte don Melillo Fisciano (SA), Italy

Keywords: Conceptual Database Design, Spatial Databases, GIS.

Abstract: The construction of spatial databases often requires considerable computing and storage resources, due to the inherent complexity of spatial data and their manipulation. Thus, it would be desirable to devise methods enabling a designer to estimate performances of a spatial database since from its early design stages. We present a method for estimating both the size of data and the cost of operations based on the conceptual schema of the spatial database. We also show the application of the method to the design of a spatial database concerning botanic data.

1 INTRODUCTION

Many techniques for designing spatial databases have been devised by extending those used in the design of traditional databases. In particular, important extensions have regarded the conceptual data models, which have interested both the Entity-Relationship (Calkins, 1996; Chen, 1976), and the Object-Oriented models (Price et al., 2000; Rumbaugh et al., 1998). Traditional database design techniques also provide means to produce an early estimation of the database size and the access performances, based on the conceptual database schema (Atzeni et al., 1999; Elmasri and Navathe, 2004). So far, no similar techniques have been proposed for the design of spatial databases, despite in this context it is much more critical the early estimation of both size and performances, due to the complexity of geographic data. A late evaluation of these aspects entails high design cost to review early design decisions.

In this paper we propose a technique for estimating size and performances of a spatial database based on its conceptual schema. The technique has been developed on the extended ER model by Calkins (1996), but its principles can be easily applied to other models. Basically, the approach uses the constructs of the conceptual schema to estimate disk occupancy, and access performances. We have extended existing estimation models for alphanumeric databases to add parameters capable of expressing basic characteristics of different spatial data types, and that are measurable during the conceptual design phase.

In order to opportunely tune the parameters of our model we have performed massive experiments to observe access performance trends when varying the size and typology of spatial data. Our goal has been to derive a single unit of measurement to express estimated access performances for the different types of data stored in a spatial database.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a brief overview of the spatial database design process. In Section 3 we present our estimation method. Section 4 describes the application of our method to a botanic database example. Finally, Section 5 provides discussion and final remarks.

2 THE SPATIAL DATABASE DESIGN PROCESS

The process for the design of a spatial database described in the literature follows similar guidelines used for the design of traditional databases. In particular, after requirements analysis, there are often the conceptual, and the logical design phases.

The goal of the conceptual phase is to analyse data requirements and to model them according to a

172 Del Fatto V., Giordano M., Polese G., Sebillo M. and Tortora G. (2007). EARLY PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS IN THE DESIGN OF SPATIAL DATABASES. In Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Software and Data Technologies - Volume ISDM/WsEHST/DC, pages 172-177 DOI: 10.5220/0001343001720177 Copyright © SciTePress

 $\left| \right|$

conceptual spatial data model. In order to overcome limitations of traditional ER and Object-Oriented models, which do not provide means to describe spatial data in an abstract way, conceptual data models have been extended to provide means to abstractly represent characteristics of spatial data (Hadzilacos and Tryfona, 1997; Shekhar *et al.*, 1997; Tryfona and Jensen, 1999). In the rest of the paper we will use an extension of the ER model, namely the Calkins model (Calkins, 1996), in order to present our method and to illustrate examples, due to its simplicity and intuitiveness. Figure 1 and 2 show the symbols of this model.



Figure 1: Calkins conceptual data model notation.



Figure 2: The Entity Symbol for Spatial Objects.

Once the conceptual schema has been produced, it is used as input to the logical design phase.

The goal of the logical design phase is to translate the input conceptual schema into a logical schema abiding by the formalism of a given logical spatial data model. Before facing the translation task, a restructuring of the conceptual schema is usually performed. In the literature on traditional databases there are slight variants for this phase. In what follows we review one of such methods, namely, the one introduced by Atzeni *et al.* (1999), where the workload represents an estimation of database performances in terms of expected disk space occupancy, and accesses by main operations. The information about the workload is represented through three types of tables (Atzeni *et al.*, 1999): *Volumes Table, Operations Table*, and *Accesses Table*, shown in Tables 1-4.

The designer can use the information provided in these tables to formally compare alternative schema restructuring choices. In particular, s/he can evaluate the impact that each choice would produce on the workload in terms of both space occupancy and operation performances.

Table 1:	Volumes	Table.
----------	---------	--------

ER Construct	Type	Volume
Name	Entity/Relationship	Expected # of occurrences

Table 2: Operations Table

rrequency
1

Based on the rule by which 80% of the workload for a database system is generated by the 20% of the most frequent operations (Atzeni *et al.*, 1999), in this phase the designer aims to detect this last set of operations. They will be the ones s/he inserts in the *operations table*, producing for each of them an access table describing the ER constructs to be visited when executing it. In table 3 the symbols R/W indicate access type, R for reading, and W for writing.

Table 3: Accesses Table.

Concept	Construct	# of Accesses	Туре
Name	E/R		R/W

After the restructuring phase has been completed, the restructured schema is translated into a logical schema by using mapping rules that are specific of the chosen logical data model. No such estimation methods exist for the spatial database domain. In the next section we discuss our proposal.

3 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION ON SPATIAL CONCEPTUAL SCHEMES

As opposed to analogous methods for traditional databases, the early estimation of performances for spatial databases is a considerably more complex task, due to the inherent complexity of the data they manage. On the other hand, the availability of a proper estimation method would produce increased benefits. In fact, while for traditional databases disk occupancy is not a major concern, also due to the reduced cost of storage devices, different design choices for spatial databases can yield huge differences in terms of disk occupancy and response time for spatial data processing.

In order to produce an estimation of disk occupancy of a spatial database it is necessary to take into account the different data formats that can be associated with the spatial component of a geographic data, namely raster, and vector. When trying to express the volume of data featuring a spatial dataset, it is necessary to take into account the dual nature of a spatial data, i.e. it is made of two components, namely descriptive and geometric components. To this end, in addition to traditional volume tables used in alphanumeric databases, we introduce two new volume tables, one for vector and one for raster spatial data. The structure of the vector volumes table is defined as follows:

Table 4: Vector Volume Table.

г			Constin			1
	Layer Name	Object Name	Representation: P - L - Poly	# Layer Occurrences	Scale	

It contains the layer name, the object name, the spatial representation (Point, Line, Polygon), the number of layer occurrences, and the Scale.

The structure of the raster volumes table is defined as follows:

Table 5: Raster Volume Table.

Raster Name Resolution Bit depth	Scale	Format	Size	Compression Ratio
--	-------	--------	------	----------------------

It contains the raster name, its resolution (measured in *ppi* - pixel per inches), the bit depth (the number of bits used for each pixel), the scale, the file format, the file size (measured in MB), and the compression ratio. The latter applies to compressed raster data, for which neither resolution nor bit depth apply.

As for data processing operations, spatial databases should enable users to accomplish intensive query and analysis sessions on very large data, to be conducted either on separate components, or simultaneously on spatial and descriptive components. Both these types of operations are divided into two categories: vector and raster.

Vector (resp. raster) operations are classified as follows:

 alphanumeric: they work either on alphanumeric data or on the descriptive component of spatial data;

- vector (resp. raster): they work on the vector (resp. raster) component of spatial data;
- mixed: they work on both vector (resp. raster) and descriptive components of spatial data.

Moreover, raster operations may be also classified on the basis of the functionality type they invoke:

- zonal: they perform a cross-tabulation using zones of two input themes;
- local: they calculate output values based on values from multiple grids at same location;
- focal: they calculate statistics on cells found within a neighbourhood;
- global: they calculate output values based on all values of the input theme.

To produce an estimation of the computation time for spatial data processing operations, we introduce two additional operation tables, namely *vector* and *raster operation tables*, and two additional access tables, namely *vector access table*, and *raster access table*. These are defined as follows:

Table 6:	Vector	Operations	Table.
Table 6.	vector	Operations	Table.

$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Operation Name} & \mbox{Operation Type:} & \mbox{Execution:} & \mbox{Execution:} & \mbox{Frequency} \\ \mbox{A-V-M} & \mbox{I-B} & \mbox{Frequency} \end{array}$				
	Operation Name	Operation Type: A –V – M	Execution: I – B	Frequency

It contains operation name, operation type (Alphanumeric, Vector, Mixed), execution type (Interactive, Batch), and operation frequency.

Table 7: Raster Operations Table.

Operation Name	Operation Type A – R – M	Functionality Type L-F-Z- G	Execution I – B	Frequency

It contains operation name, operation type (Alphanumeric, Raster, Mixed), functional type (Local, Focal, Zonal, Global), execution type (Interactive, Batch), and operation frequency.

For each operation listed in one of the operation tables there is an access table showing entities and relationships it needs to access during its execution.

The structure of the vector access table is defined as follows:

Table 8: Vector Access Table.

Operation Name	Concept	Type SE–SR	Spatial Representation P – L – Poly	Access Type R-W	# Access

It contains the operation name, the schema construct, the type (spatial entity, spatial relationship), the access type (Read, Write), the spatial representation (Point, Line, Polygon), and the number of accesses. Finally, the structure of the raster access table is described as follows:

	Table 9:	Raster	Access	Table
--	----------	--------	--------	-------

	Operation Name	Concept	# Access (# pixel)	Access Type R – W
--	----------------	---------	-----------------------	----------------------

It contains the operation name, the schema construct, the number of accesses, and the access type (Read, Write).

Although these tables provide an overview of the database performances, we can derive a unique unit of measurement to express the cost of operations in terms of accesses to the database, independently from the type of data on which they are performed. To do this, we have performed massive experiments on real applications involving large heterogeneous spatial datasets. The testing environment is based on PostgreSQL 8.1 with GIS extension POSTGIS, Dual Intel Xeon system with 2G of ram and Windows XP pro.

We have executed several operations involving different spatial data types, and have measured several performance indicators, such as execution time, RAM usage, and volume of data exchanged with mass storage devices. The latter is the indicator on which we have based the parameters for our estimation model, since it guarantees invariance with respect to the hardware used, and it is not affected by the noise introduced by the measurement software itself.

Traditional estimation methods for alphanumeric databases only consider the different complexity of read with respect to write accesses, since they are all alphanumeric. In our case, other than differentiating between read and write accesses, we have focused on the following main types of data: alphanumeric, points, lines, and polygons. The notation used to express the different types of accesses and the types of data on which they are performed follows:

- RAN = Access cost to read an alphanumeric data
- WAN = Access cost to write an alphanumeric data
- RPT = Access cost to read a point
- WPT = Access cost to write a point
- RLN= Access cost to read a line
- RLN= Access cost to read a line
- RPL = Access cost to read a polygon
- WPL = Access cost to write a polygon
- RRS = Access cost to read a raster image
- WRS = Access cost to write a raster image

We observed that access performances of the spatial data *point* are similar to those of average size alphanumeric data. Moreover, we observed that access performances of lines and polygons grow linearly with the number of vertices. We also noticed that RLN entails higher costs than RPL due to the different storage methods that the Open

Geospatial Consortium defines for these two data types (OGC, 2007). Since we do not have info on the expected number of vertices for these types of data during the conceptual design phase, we needed to estimate the cost of access operations on them independently from this parameter. To this end, we observed that varying the number of vertices from few up to 1000, for both types of geometries, entailed a volume of data exchanged with mass storage devices varying from 0,5 to 2 MB. Thus, since the number of vertices of most real geometries falls in this range, we can assume that the average number of MB exchanged is O(1). In conclusion, we have derived the following relationships:

RPT
$$\cong$$
 RAN; WPT \cong WAN \cong 7*RPT;
RPL \cong 70*RPT; RLN \cong 140*RPT;
WLN \cong WPL \cong 350*WPT

We have assigned the value 1 to RAN and RPT, yielding the following relationships:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{RPT}\cong \text{RAN}\cong 1; & \text{WPT}\cong \text{WAN}\cong 7\\ \text{RPL}\cong 70; & \text{RLN}\cong 140;\\ \text{WLN}\cong \text{WPL}\cong 350 \end{array}$

As for raster images, finding a proper estimation at conceptual level is more complex a task, because we do not know yet parameters like resolution, compression, and bit depth, which would be useful to estimate the bytes to be exchanged with mass storage to manipulate them. Moreover, it is more difficult to characterize the types of operations and their access costs before lower level design stages. Nevertheless, in our experiments we have noticed that for images with resolution ranging from 48.000 pixels to 2 Mega pixels, bit rate from 16 to 32 bits, stored through well known compressed formats they occupy from 0,5 to 10 MB of disk space. Thus, the designer can opportunely tune the estimated cost of operations on raster images depending on the knowledge s/he has about the images to handle at this stage of the design process. From what said above, for images without a particularly big size we can estimate an average number of bytes exchanged which is twice than that of vector images.

4 CASE STUDY

The ER schema shown in figure 3 represents the conceptual schema for a portion of a spatial database

of botanic data. Part of workload for this schema is summarized in Tables 10-12.



Figure 3: ER schema of the Botanic Spatial Database.

Table 10: Alphanumeric	Volume	Table.
------------------------	--------	--------

Objec t Name	Object Type E – R –SE – SR	Volume	# Attributes
References	E	1245	17
Samples	SE	19920	27
Region Regulation	E	3364	14
Glossary	E	3154	2
Species	E	8466	9
Roads	SE	14624	4
Towns	SE	551	13
Counties	SE	5	5
Cities	R	19920	0
Classifies	R	19920	0
Associates with	R	3154	0
Belongs to	R	551	0
Applies to	R	3364	0
Containing1	SR	19920	0
Containing2	SR	551	0
Containing3	SR	19920	0

Table 11: Vector Volume Table.

Ob jec t Name	Representation P – L – Poly	Layer Name	Scale
Towns	Poly	Towns of Campania	1:50000
Samples	Р	Samples	1:50000
Counties	Poly	Counties of Campania	1:50000

Table 12: Raster Volume Table.

Raster Name	Resolution	Bit Depth	Scale	Format	Size
Eswcolore	5000x5000	8	1:50000	Tif	71,5МЬ
Esecolore	5000x5000	8	1:50000	Tif	71,5МЪ
Enveolor	5000x5000	8	1:50000	Tif	71,5МЬ
Enecolore	5000x5000	8	1:50000	Tif	71,5Mb

Regarding operations, we had 28 operations. In Table 13 we report the 18 most frequent of them.

Table 13: Alphanumeric and Vector Operations Table.

Operation Name	Operation Type: A – V – M	Execution: I – B	Frequency
Op1	M	I	100/day
Op2	M	I	50/ day
Op3	M	I	25/ day
Op4	M	I	20/ day
Opt	M	I	10/ day
Орб	M	I	3/month
Op7	M	I	3/ month
Op8	V	I	3/ month
Opθ	V	I	3/ month
Op10	M	I	3/ month
Op11	A	I	2/ month
Op12	A	I	2/ month
Op13	М	I	2/ month
Op14	M	I	2/ month
Op15	V	I	1/ month
Op16	V	I.C.	1/month
Op17	V	I	1/month
Op18	A	I	1/month

Among these, we have developed Access Tables for the first five most frequent and meaningful operations (see Tables 14 and 15).

Table 14: Non Spatial Access Table.

Op. Name	Concept	Type E – R – SE – SR	Type Access R – W	#Access
Op1	Samples	SE	W	1
Op2	Samples	SE	R	1
	Counties	SE	R	5
	Towns	SE	R	110
Op3	Samples	SE	R	19920
Op4	Samples	SE	R	19920
Ορῦ	Samples	SE	W	1

Table 15: Vector Access Table.

Op. Name	Concept	Type SE –SR	Spatial Representation P – L – Poly	Type access R – W	#Access
Op1	Samples	SE	Р	W	1
Op2	Samples	SE	Р	R	1
	Containing1	SR	Poly	R	99600
	Containing2	SR	Poly	R	2191200
Op3	Samples	SE	Р	R	19920
Op4	Samples	SE	Р	R	19920
Op5	Samples	SE	Р	W	1

In these tables we have distinguished read and write operations on alphanumeric and vector data. In the following we show redundancy analysis and access performances for op4.

For each botanic sample, given an area surrounding it (named *buffer zone*), this operation requires the computation of the number of botanic samples observed in the area. Since we store the coordinates of each sample, and the ray of the associated buffer zone, this last data becomes redundant. To this end, the choice whether to keep or remove such attribute is made based on the access tables (Tables 16, 17, 18 and 19) developed for both design alternatives.

Table 16: Alphanumeric Accesses without redundancy.

Concept	Type SE –SR	Type access R – W	# Access
Samples	SE	R	19920

Concept	Type	Type access	#
	SE –SR	R – W	Access
Samples	SE	R	19920

Table 18: Vector Accesses without redundancy.

Concept	Type SE –SR	Spatial Representation P – L – Poly	Type access R – W	# Access
Samples	SE	P	Ř	19920

Table 19: Vector Accesses with redundancy.

Concept	Type SE –SR	Spatial Representation P – L – Poly	Type access R – W	# Access
Samples	SE	Poly	R	19920

By applying our method we obtain a total cost of 28.286.400 with redundancy, and 796.800 without redundancy. Thus, we would conclude that is not convenient to store the buffer zone, since we not only save on the cost of accesses, but we also save 9.561.600 bytes of disk space. To experimentally verify this, we have implemented both design alternatives, observing that the time required by op4 with the redundant data is about 46 seconds, whereas without it is about 556 ms. Obviously, the last two parameters heavily depend on hardware characteristics.

5 **DISCUSSION**

We have described a method to analyse the performances of a spatial database since from early stages of the design process. We have tuned its parameters after several experiences in designing and implementing spatial databases and their surrounding GIS applications for real world problems. We have presented concepts through a case study concerning the design of a spatial database containing botanic data. The proposed method can potentially help the designer in evaluating the quality of the design artefacts based upon an estimation of performances they can yield. This can also help him/her to prevent the construction of inefficient databases, which would be too expensive to revise after their implementation is completed.

In the future we would like to develop finer estimation methods, traceable from the one presented here, to be used in later phases of the design process, when more parameters on spatial data and their manipulation functions are known. We would also like to extend our approach to accomplish early performance analysis of spatial databases used in real time systems.

REFERENCES

- Atzeni, Ceri, Paraboschi, Torlone, 1999. Basi di dati, McGraw-Hill.
- Calkins, H., W., 1996. Entity Relationship Modeling of Spatial Data for Geographic Information Systems. In International Journal of Geographical Information Systems.
- Chen, P., P., 1976. The entity-relationship model toward a unified view of data. In ACM Transactions on Database Systems.
- Elmasri, R., Navathe, S., B., 2004. Fundamentals of Database Systems, Addison-Wesley.
- Hadzilacos, T., Tryfona, N., 1997. An Extended Entity-Relationship Model for Geographic Applications. In *SIGMOD Record*.
- OGC, Open Geospatial Consortium specification compliant prod. list. Retrieved March 8, 2007 from www.opengeospatial.org/resource/products/compliant.
- Price, R., Tryfona, N., Jensen, C., 2000. Extended Spatiotemporal UML: Motivations, Requirements, and Constructs. In *Journal of Database Management*. *Special Issue on UML*.
- Rumbaugh, J., Jacobson, I., Booch, G., 1998. The Unified Modeling Language Reference Manual, Addison-Wesley Object Technology Series.
- Shekhar, S., Coyle, M., Goyal, B., Liu, D., Sarkar S., 1997. Data models in geographic information systems. In *Communications of the ACM*.
- Tryfona, N., Jensen, C., 1999. Conceptual data modelling for spatiotemporal applications. In *Geoinformatica*.