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Abstract: Data analysis in sports has been developing for many years. However, to date, a system that provides tactical prediction in real time and promotes ideas for increasing the chance of winning has not been reported in the literature. Especially, in soccer, components of plays and games are more complicated than in other sports. This study proposes a method to predict the course of a game and create a strategy for the second half. First, we summarize other studies and propose our method. Then, data are collected using the proposed system. From past games, games to similar to a target game are extracted depending on data from their first half. Next, similar games are classified by features depending on data of their second half. Finally, a target game is predicted and tactical ideas are derived. The practicability of the method is demonstrated through experiments. However, further improvements such as increasing the number of past games and types of data are still required.

1 INTRODUCTION

Data analysis is becoming more common, including in sports. Using data analysis results has become familiar in sports organizations such as the Grand Slam of the International Tennis Federation and Major League Baseball in the USA.

Data analyses have also become popular in soccer. Traditionally, in soccer, analyses were applied to the numbers related to goals and shots. However, Shigenaga et al. (Shigenaga et al., 2014) used pass data, because the number of passes is much greater than that of goals and shots, and it provides more information regarding the relative statuses of teams in a game. Regarding players as nodes and passes as edges, the motion of the ball creates a network. Shigenaga et al. analyzed the network using graph theory and calculated centralities such as betweenness and closeness. They determined the differences in pass networks between higher and lower ranked teams and between opponents that tend to defeat a target team. From these differences, they acquired strategies suitable for the target team to defeat the opponent.

In fact, such analyses (Jo et al., 2014) (Yamada et al., 2014) (Yamamoto and Yokoyama, 2011) are not used to decide a strategy in real games. One reason is that the motion of players and the ball is too complicated to obtain data about every action that occurs in the game. Another reason is that, since data analyses are performed after the game, it is impossible to utilize the acquired knowledge to provide advantages to the team in the same game. Many situations such as the conditions of players and constitutions of squads in the team and its opponents can change every game. This means that the most reliable data that we can use in a game are those taken from that very game. In fact, the number of passes and shots, percentage of ball possessions, and covered distance of each player are insufficient to express situations in soccer games. Therefore, it is necessary to define new data suitable for a useful analysis.

In this study, we propose a data schema for data necessary to measure similarity between games, and also propose a method to predict which strategy the target team used in past game would be expected a good result in the target game. This can be effective way to support decision-making regarding strategy. Our approach is based on the assumption that games similar in the first half are also played similarly in the second half. Accordingly, by applying memory-based reasoning, we extract games similar to the target game in the first half at the beginning of the method. If similar games exist, it is expected that they are either winning, drawing or losing games. If the similar games include many winning games, their features provide the important knowledge for decision-making in the
target game. Even if there are only losing games in the similar games, their feature of their games can be useful to avoid losing in the target game. We apply a clustering technique to classify similar games depending on their features in the second half. Next, we extract features of each groups (especially winning game groups) by identifying data whose variance is a minimum. Finally, we interpret the obtained features in the games similar to the target game and predict how the target game changes in the second half.

2 PROPOSED METHOD

2.1 Target Data

Our target data in this approach are the zone data. Figure 1 illustrates an image of the pitch, which is divided into 24 parts, divided longitudinally by six and transversally by four. The transverse division was defined to divide inside and outside the width of the penalty box and on the left/right sides. At the World Cup in Brazil last year, all 171 goal scorings at the competition were made inside the width of the penalty box. This suggests that there is a huge difference regarding the roles of the offense and defense depending on whether it was inside or outside the box. For the longitudinal division, we expected to obtain information such as efficiency of the attack from the tendency of the longitudinal position where the ball was frequently located.

Figure 1: Image of the pitch.

The zone data including three data types are as follows:

- Ball Zone data (BZD)
  The number of times players in a team handle with the ball at each zone.

- Ball Interception data (BID)
  The number of times players take over the ball from their opponent players at each zone.

- Foul data (FD)
  The number of times the players commit fouls at each zone.

Moreover, we use the following statistical data provided by Data Stadium Inc. (Data Stadium Inc.), which handles J-League data.

- Chance percentage (the number of shots / the number of attacks)
- Ball possessions per 15 min
- The number of shots per 15 min
- The success rate of Shot/Pass/Crosse/Take-on/Tackle

2.2 Method

Figure 2 shows the broad outline of the technique. The following describes each step of our method:

Step 1: In this step, data are gathered by hand with PileZD, a data input system developed by authors in this study. Figure 3 shows its input screen. As we showed in Section 2.1, we use BZD, BID and FD as original data in this method. Therefore, a user input the type of each action that occurred in the game, such as ball motion, ball interception and foul. Moreover, it is also important that the position on the pitch where each action occurred because even the type of actions are same, they have different meanings according to the position they happen. Therefore, it is essential to get not only the type of action but position data. For that reason, we developed that system to gather data of ball motion, ball interception and foul in every zone in the pitch as we showed in Figure 1. All actions are able to be input their type of actions by keyboard inputting, their zone where each actions occurred by mouse clicking on the pitch in the input screen(Figure 3). Furthermore, these data that gathered with PileZD are compiled every 15 minutes and stored into the database because it enable to reveal the changes of the game flow based on temporal game development.

Figure 2: Outline of the process.
Step 2: In this method, we assume that games similar in the first half are also similar in the second half, because we empirically know that opponent teams follow a pattern in their strategies toward the target team. Under this assumption, past games similar to the game in progress are extracted using zone data such as BZD, BID and FD in the first half. Therefore, extracted similar games will be similar to the target game from viewpoints of ball motion, places where the team gains/loses the ball and places the team gets fouls.

The similarity of games is measured using the distance (Gordon S. Linoff, 1999) between vector data of game in progress and of each past game.

Figures 4 and 5 show how the distance means are calculated. First, we create a set of subvectors of BZD, BID, and FD for each home and away team, as is shown in Figure 4. These subvectors have 24 elements regarding data of each zone. Then, we create vectors by lining up each subvector in order. The vectors $v_{ZD1}$, $v_{ZD2}$, and $v_{ZD3}$ in Figure 5 corresponds to data in 0–15, 15–30, and 30 min at the end of the first half, respectively. All vectors have 72 elements, which are grouped into subvectors. BZD of the home team: $e_{ZD1}$, BID of the home team: $e_{ZD2}$, FD of the home team: $e_{ZD3}$, BZD of the away team: $e_{ZD6}$, BID of the away team: $e_{ZD7}$, and FD of the away team: $e_{ZD8}$. The vectors $v_{ZD1}$, $v_{ZD2}$, and $v_{ZD3}$ are created separately for the first halves of a target game and the past games and are used to calculate the Euclidean distance between them. Let $d_i$ denote the distance between $v_{ZD1}$ and $v_{ZD1}'$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and let the distance of the game $D_{k-NN}$ be defined as their weighted sum: $D_{k-NN} = 0.2d_1 + 0.4d_2 + 0.4d_3$. We use less weight for the first 15 min than the others because it is not likely that characteristic features will appear in the first 15 min. Applying k-NN method to the obtained distances, the nearest $k$ games are extracted as similar games.

Step 3: In this step, we use a clustering algorithm to divide similar games into multiple groups. Figure 6 shows how to calculate distances for clustering. Two types of vectors are used: stats data vectors and zone data vectors. Stats data vectors have 24 elements, whose first 12 elements, denoted as $e_{SD1}$ in Figure 6, are the stats data for the home team, and the second 12 elements, denoted as $e_{SD2}$, are the data for the away team. If $V_s$ and $V_{s}'$ are vectors of any two games chosen from the similar games extracted in Step 2, their Euclidean distance $d_4 = |V_{SD} - V_{SD}'|$ can be obtained as

$$d_4 = \sqrt{|e_{SD1} - e_{SD1}'|^2 + |e_{SD2} - e_{SD2}'|^2}. \quad (1)$$

Since this is a combination of stats data distances for both teams, we adopt it as the distance between the stats data in the second half of the game.

Here, zone data vectors are used to numerically express the behavior of a ball in the game, whose definition is the same as that in Step 2. However, in this step, we use only one zone data vector, whose elements are the number counted in the entire of the second half not every 15 min, as in Step 2. In our study, we use the Euclidean distance $d_5$ between two zone data vectors to measure their dissimilarities.

Finally, $D_{clustering}$ is the total distance between any two games obtained in Step 2 and is calculated as the sum of $d_4$ and $d_5$. 

$$D_{clustering} = d_4 + d_5.$$
Figure 6: Calculation for clustering.

Figure 7: Example of a distance matrix.

Figure 7 is an example of a distance matrix between any two similar games. After creating this matrix, to classify the games, we apply hierarchical clustering (Toyoda, 2008) with Ward’s method (Toyoda, 2008) and obtain a dendrogram, as shown in Figure 8. Since the similar games can be divided into plural groups, we chose hierarchical clustering as clustering method to determine clusters based on the shape of the dendrogram. In the next step, analysis of this dendrogram reveals the features of each cluster.

Step 4: A threshold for dividing dendrograms into plural clusters is determined in this step. Figure 8 is a dendrogram based on the distance matrix in Figure 7. If it is split at the height as shown in Figure 8, all similar games are divided into two parts: one group includes F, G, B, and H and the other group includes D, C, A, and E. The height represents a distance threshold for dividing the clusters.

After dividing similar games, we find features of each group according to variances of parameters such as BZD, BID and FD in their second half. Equation 2 is the variance used in this technique, where \( V \) is the variance of one parameter, \( x_i \) is the value of the parameter of Game \( i \), \( \bar{x} \) is the average value of the parameters, and \( N \) is the number of games.

\[
V = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{N-1}.
\] (2)

Data of each parameter for all similar games are normalized by subtracting their mean value and dividing by their variance (Toyoda, 2008). For each parameter, the variance is calculated, and when some parameter has the lowest value of variance in the parameters, it is regarded as feature of the cluster. This is because similar games in the same cluster have close values of parameters. We take the mean value for the parameter and interpret it by comparing with other clusters. In this study, we employed three parameters that have the lowest variance.

3 EVALUATION

3.1 Objective and Method

The objective of this experiment is to evaluate the proposed method by applying it to realistic data. To simulate our method, we used 25 past J-League official matches that Urawa Reds played in Seasons 2013 and 2014. We changed the target game and conducted an experiment three times. Three randomly selected games were used as target games and the other 22 games were used as past games. Before the experiment, we collected BZD, BID, and FD data in the first and second halves and statistical data in the second half. In these experiments, as the past games in the first half, we extracted eight similar games. After the application, we calculated the winning percentage for every cluster, discriminated which group was the most superior, and used it to identify features that are effective for playing the game advantageously.

On the basis of the experimental results, we discuss

• whether we can identify features that are effective for playing the game advantageously,

• whether the actual results of the target game were as predicted by our method.

We show the results of the experiment, in which we used a J-League official game held on March 17th 2014, with Urawa Reds playing against Cerezo Osaka. In this game, Urawa Reds beat Cerezo Osaka by a goal scored in the second half. We focus on whether Urawa Reds won or lost in this section.
Table 1: Target game and similar games.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Opponent teams</th>
<th>Final score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (target)</td>
<td>2014/05/17</td>
<td>Cerezo Osaka</td>
<td>1 - 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.52</td>
<td>2013/09/21</td>
<td>Ventforet Kofu</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.91</td>
<td>2014/03/08</td>
<td>Sagan Tosu</td>
<td>0 - 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.07</td>
<td>2013/08/17</td>
<td>Oita Trinita</td>
<td>4 - 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.58</td>
<td>2014/08/16</td>
<td>Sanfrecce Hiroshima</td>
<td>1 - 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.86</td>
<td>2014/04/06</td>
<td>Vegalta Sendai</td>
<td>4 - 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.88</td>
<td>2014/03/23</td>
<td>Shimizu S-Pulse</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.96</td>
<td>2013/05/29</td>
<td>Vegalta Sendai</td>
<td>1 - 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.03</td>
<td>2013/12/07</td>
<td>Cerezo Osaka</td>
<td>2 - 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Result and Discussion

Table 1 shows the list of the target games and similar eight games. Moreover, Figure 9 shows Urawa Reds’ BZD for every zone on the pitch in the first half of the target game and similar games. The horizontal axis indicates the zone number, and the vertical axis shows the number of times the ball was placed at the zone. Clearly, all lines in the graph have nearly the same shape. This indicates that the target game and similar games have some common points in how frequently players in Urawa Reds used zones to bring the ball.

Figure 10 shows BZDs at every zone on the pitch in the second half of the similar games. We can see that all the lines in the graph are similar in shape. This indicates that the games similar in the first half proceed similarly in the second half as well, at least in terms of the motion of the ball. This suggests that attacks against the same team tend to be similar regardless of whether it is in the first or second half.

We then applied cluster analysis to these similar games and obtained the dendrogram shown in Figure 11. If we set the threshold at more than 60, we can divide the eight similar games into two clusters, Cluster 1 with five games and Cluster 2 with three remaining games. Moreover, Table 2 shows the record difference between the two clusters. In the J-League, a team gains three points by winning, one point by drawing, and 0 points by losing. The index Points per game in the table denotes the average of the points that Urawa Reds gained in each cluster.

Table 2: Record differences between two clusters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster 1</th>
<th>Cluster 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - 0 - 2</td>
<td>0 - 2 - 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Goal For in second half</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Goal Against in second half</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From Table 2, Cluster 1 can be regarded as the cluster of the games that Urawa Reds won, because, e.g., the winning percentage in Cluster 1 is much greater than that in Cluster 2. This shows that we could classify the games similar to the target game into two clusters showing different performances. It also suggests that we suitably selected the parameters used in the classification. It is important to investigate the features of the Cluster 1 according to these ideas.

Table 3 shows three zones (or parameters in our study) which have the lowest variances even though we used BZD as well as other parameters. Consequently, we focus especially on the BZD of games in Cluster 1.

To analyze how players in Urawa Reds used each zone for their offense, averages of BZD for each zone are shown in their corresponding portions of the image of the pitch (Figure 12). Moreover, variances of each vertical four zones are calculated and shown under the pitch to find the difference of usage in the center and side areas. The three zones colored orange are those with the smallest variances.

First, let us compare the data of Zones 9–16 (middle third) and Zones 17–24 (attacking third).

We can see that there are large differences in the tendency of mean values between the middle third and the attacking third. In the middle third, the mean values of center zones such as Zones 10, 11, 14, and 15 are clearly greater than those of outside zones, and there are no large differences between center and outside areas in the attacking third. This means that in the Cluster 1 games, Urawa Reds began their attack at the center of the middle third, and they used the pitch more widely toward the goal. In fact, in the game on April 6 in 2014 between Urawa Reds and Vegalta Sendai, all three goals that Urawa Reds scored in the second half were the result of attacks that used both the center and side areas in the pitch.

Similarly, by examining the mean value distribution of Cluster 2 (Figure 13), we found the difference of how Urawa Reds used the center zone and outside of the pitch. Zones in the middle third, especially Zones 13, 14, 15, 16, do not have clear differences in the mean values in the center and outside. However, in the attacking third, there are large differences in the mean value of each zone. Another point is that in the attacking third, the mean values of the left side of the pitch (Zone 17, 21) are clearly higher than the other area, suggesting that it is not desirable to use the outside area unevenly in an attack.

From these results, we quantitatively confirmed that it is important to use the pitch widely and equally, especially in front of the opponents’ goal, because Urawa Reds played advantageously in the second half of the target game.

Finally, we compared the features of the target game and the games in Clusters 1 and 2. Figure 14 shows the mean value of each zone. The horizontal axis indicates zone numbers and the vertical axis shows usage counts. There are three lines in the graph: blue, red, and green lines represent Clusters 1, Cluster 2, and the target game, respectively. We can
see that the green line is closer to Cluster 2, which has a lower winning percentage than Cluster 1, although Urawa Reds finally won the target game. This might make us think that the result of our experiment is not as expected.

![Figure 15: Goal process in the target game.](image)

However, there were some effective attacks by Urawa Reds in the second half of the target game. Figure 15 shows the process of the goal that Urawa Reds scored. It reveals that Urawa Reds used the pitch widely, left-side, right-side, and center, a feature of attacks in Cluster 1. The reason why this occurred is possibility that the distance between the similar games and Cluster 1 was wrongly calculated to be long according to the parameters other than the scoring and the used zones. Thus, in the future work, we will need to define a distance that gives weight to the parameters which are the features of obtained clusters.

4 CONCLUSION

Recently, data analysis in sports has been developed. Some systems have already been used to manage and analyze data in several fields. However, so far, no analysis method has been applied to soccer games. In our study, we proposed a method for predicting how games proceed in the real time.

To this end, we collected zone data (BZD, BID, and FD) and statistical data of past games. During the first half of the target game, zone data were collected and used to extract similar games from past games. Subsequently, the extracted similar games were classified by applying a clustering technique to data of their second halves. This is because effective features of similar games played well must also be effective in the target game. Finally, features in the second half in the clusters were extracted as the parameter having the lowest variance. The information obtained was used to discuss the strategy by which the team should play advantageously in the second half of the target game.

We evaluated our method by applying it to real match data and established some points. First, we confirmed that the method extracted games similar to the target game. Moreover, these similar games had similar features of zone data even in their second halves. This means that we correctly assumed that games with similar features in their first halves will proceed similarly in their second halves. We succeeded in extracting eight games from 22 past games similar to the target game. A clustering technique revealed the difference in winning percentage between clusters, showing that the parameters we chose were suitable. Finally, the feature difference between clusters that have higher and lower winning rates were found to be closely related to strategies that should be taken in the second half of the target game. Though the strategy obtained was not epoch-making, we quantitatively demonstrated an advantageous strategy that is well known to people who often watch soccer games.

In the future, we will increase the number of past games to ensure a variety of games. In this study, we used only zone data and a few types of statistical data for clustering. More types of data must be used for further analyses. Moreover, we will also need to define a distance that gives weight to the parameters which are the features of obtained clusters.
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