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Abstract: The paper focuses on the adaptive solution of two-dimensional wave equation using an adaptive triangulation update based on a posteriori error estimation. The a posteriori error estimation is based on the Gradient super-approximation method which is based on works of J. Dalík et al that is briefly explained. The Modern Taylor Series Method (MTSM) used for solving a set of ordinary differential equations is also explained. The MTSM adapts to the required accuracy by using a variable number of Taylor Series terms. It is possible to use the MTSM to solve wave equation in conjunction with Finite Difference Method (FDM).

1 INTRODUCTION

The wave equation is widely used in real technical problems. It can be used to simulate AC electric circuits, strings, optics or electromagnetism. The wave equation is a partial differential equation of the second order. This article focuses on the wave equation with two dimensions and one time variable with Dirichlet boundary values.

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \quad (1)
\]

When simulating a system with the wave equation, it is necessary to quantize continuous space to a discrete space. This operation brings an error into the solution. If smaller number of discrete points is used, the quantization error is big, while the solution is calculated very quickly with small truncation error. On the other hand, if more discrete points are used, the quantization error decreases. However the calculation is very time consuming and truncation error is bigger. The solution tends to diverge when using ordinary methods.

One of the possible solutions to reduce the errors is to use an a posteriori error estimation which identifies the areas which should be covered with more points than the other areas. This balances between these two extremes. In most cases the result of the estimation is the non-symmetric triangulation which is more difficult to solve. This approach also requires iterative calculations of the same problem, but they can be faster than the uniform dense grids.

2 FINITE DIFFERENCE METHOD

Finite difference method (FDM) is a method for solving partial differential equations. The idea behind this method is to replace all partial derivatives by finite differences in predefined points. The values in these points approximate the solution of the equation (Strikwerda, 1989).

The finite difference formulas are defined. These formulas approximate the values of the derivatives and replace all the space derivatives by these formulas. The formulas can then be used to obtain a system of ordinary differential equations which can then be solved using the Modern Taylor Series Method (MTSM) (Kunovský, 1995).

2.1 Modern Taylor Series Method

The Modern Taylor Series Method (MTSM) is used for numerical solution of differential equations. The main idea is to calculate Taylor series terms recurrently for each time interval. An important property of MTSM is an automatic integration order setting, which means using as many Taylor series terms as the defined accuracy requires. During the computation, different number of Taylor series terms is used for
The wave equation (5) describes the oscillations of an ideal string of unit length. Both ends of the string are fixed, boundary values are fulfilled. The string has a non-zero original velocity and it is released at time \( t = 0 \).

Numerical methods for solving PDE’s are based on approximations of the derivatives by differences. One variable remains continuous and the others are replaced by differences, the result is the method of lines. Using this method it is possible to transform PDE into the system of ordinary differential equations. This system of ODEs can be solved using the MTSM. Using central difference formula for the three-point approximation it is possible to replace left side of the equation (5) as follows.

\[
\frac{d^2V(x,t)}{dx^2} = \frac{y_{k-1} - 2y_k + y_{k+1}}{(\Delta x)^2}
\]

The following sections of the paper (2.3 and 2.4) show an easy way of very high order difference formulas construction using Taylor series terms.

### 2.3 Forward Difference Formula

The forward method uses points from the right side of the current point. Note, only positive steps are used (Figure 1). For each point of the string, four Taylor series terms are constructed, because five-point approximation is considered. Using five-point approximation it is possible to obtain derivatives from the first to the fourth order in each point of the string. The following equations are valid for the position \( u_1 \) using forward formula (with respect to Figure 1).

\[
u_2 = u_1 + h u_1' + \frac{h^2}{2!} u_1'' + \frac{h^3}{3!} u_1^{"} + \frac{h^4}{4!} u_1^{"'}
\]

\[
u_3 = u_1 + 2h u_1' + \frac{(2h)^2}{2!} u_1'' + \frac{(2h)^3}{3!} u_1^{"} + \frac{(2h)^4}{4!} u_1^{"'}
\]

\[
u_4 = u_1 + 3h u_1' + \frac{(3h)^2}{2!} u_1'' + \frac{(3h)^3}{3!} u_1^{"} + \frac{(3h)^4}{4!} u_1^{"'}
\]

\[
u_5 = u_1 + 4h u_1' + \frac{(4h)^2}{2!} u_1'' + \frac{(4h)^3}{3!} u_1^{"} + \frac{(4h)^4}{4!} u_1^{"'}
\]
2.4 Symmetrical Difference Formula

Symmetrical difference formula uses the same number of points from both sides of the current point (Figure 2). In this case positive and negative steps are used. Let’s suppose the five-point approximation, then the Taylor series for the point \( u_2 \) can be constructed as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_3 &= u_2 + h u_2' + \frac{h^2}{2!} u_2'' + \frac{h^3}{3!} u_2''' + \frac{h^4}{4!} u_2'''
    \quad (20) \\
    u_4 &= u_2 + 2 h u_2' + \frac{2 h^2}{2!} u_2'' + \frac{2 h^3}{3!} u_2''' + \frac{2 h^4}{4!} u_2'''
    \quad (21) \\
    u_1 &= u_2 + (-h) u_2' + \frac{(-h)^2}{2!} u_2'' + \frac{(-h)^3}{3!} u_2''' + \frac{(-h)^4}{4!} u_2'''
    \quad (22) \\
    u_0 &= u_2 + (-2 h) u_2' + \frac{(-2 h)^2}{2!} u_2'' + \frac{(-2 h)^3}{3!} u_2''' + \frac{(-2 h)^4}{4!} u_2'''
    \quad (23)
\end{align*}
\]

It is also possible to denote Taylor series terms as \( DU_1, DU_2, DU_3 \) and \( DU_4 \).

2.5 Accuracy of the Calculation

There are two parameters affecting the accuracy. The first parameter is the integration step. If the smaller step is used, the resulting solution is more accurate. The second parameter is the order of the difference formulas. The higher order is set, the more accurate solution is obtained.

Figure 4 shows the absolute error between numerical and analytical solution. The upper red function shows this error for three-point approximation and ten segments of the string, the lower red function shows this error for three-point approximation and one hundred segments. This error between numerical and analytical solution can be effectively decreased by an increase in the order of the difference formula. The upper blue function represents five-point approximation and twelve segments. Furthermore, the lower blue function shows five-point approximation, the string is divided into one hundred segments.
There are also differences between types of difference formulas. If the forward or backward formulas are used, the same calculation error is obtained, because both of these formulas are asymmetrical. This causes the error accumulation. On the other hand, symmetrical difference formula uses the same number of points from both sides, this formula has smaller calculation error.

Figure 3 shows the absolute error between numerical and analytical solution for symmetrical formulas. The upper red function shows the absolute error for five-point approximation (integration step $h = 0.1$), the lower blue function for nine-point approximation ($h = 0.01$). Note, that these functions mostly remain at the same level. Higher deviation between numerical and analytical solution at the ends of the plotted curves is caused by using asymmetrical difference formulas.

Figure 5 shows the absolute error between numerical and analytical solution for forward difference formulas, using the same settings as for symmetrical difference formulas. Note, that the deviation is considerably bigger, because mostly asymmetrical difference formulas are used.

3 GRADIENT SUPER-APPROXIMATION

The Gradient super-approximation is a method to calculate gradient of a function of two variables.

Each inner vertex $v$ of a triangulation $T$ is shared by multiple elements and gradient of a function $u(x,y)$ in vertex $v$ can differ from element to element.

The idea of the Gradient super-approximation is to use weighted average and calculate more precise gradient of a function $u(x,y)$ in vertex $v$.

3.1 Triangulation

Triangulation describes a quantization of the area $\Omega$. Basic elements of triangulation are called elements. The element can be denoted as $T$ if it is a rectangle. Each element is defined by four vertices $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$ and contains four edges $a_1a_2, a_2a_3, a_3a_4$ and $a_4a_1$.

$\Omega$ is called a triangulation of $\Omega$ of elements when the following conditions are fulfilled:

- $\bigcup t \in T = \Omega$ (the union of all elements covers the entire area including its border),
- any two different elements have disjoint interiors, or share an edge, or share a vertex.

A vertex $b$ is a neighbor of a vertex $a$ of a triangulation $T$ when the segment $ab$ is an edge of an element $t$ of $T$.

Vertex $a$ is called inner and outer when $a \in \Omega$ and $a \in \partial \Omega$ respectively.

3.2 Interpolant

Let $N^n_t$ be a bilinear nodal basis function defined for $n$-th vertex of an element $t$ (consider only rectangles with $n = 1 \ldots 4$) of the form $N^n_t(x,y) = a + bx + cy + dxy$. This function is defined by values of all four element vertices. $N^n_1 = 1$ for vertex $a_1$ and $N^n_1 = 0$ for all other vertices.

Let’s consider following function as interpolant of a function $u(x,y)$ on an element $t$.

$$\Pi_{1}[u](x,y) = \sum_{n=1}^{4} u(x_i, y_i) N^n_t(x,y) \quad (28)$$

where

- $t$ is an element $t \in T$,
- $u$ is a function of two variables,
Then the error of the gradient is \(O_t\) in a vertex \(a\), the error is \(O_{p_a}\) of partial derivatives of interpolants of a polynomial basis. \(T\) and one of the two following conditions is fulfilled.

3.3 Ring Around Vertex

Let’s call \(r_v = a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\) a ring around vertex \(v\), where \(a_i\) is a vertex of an element of a triangulation \(T\) and \(va_i\) is an edge of an element of a triangulation \(T\) and one of the two following conditions is fulfilled.

1. If \(v\) is an inner vertex, then equation (29) is valid.
   \[\angle a_n va_1 + \ldots + \angle a_1 va_n = 2\pi\]  (29)

2. If \(v\) is a boundary vertex, then there exists an inner vertex \(c\) and a ring around vertex \(c\) denoted as \(r_c = (a_1, v, \ldots, a_n)\). The ring around \(v\) is created by swapping \(c\) and \(v\) vertices. When this condition is not fulfilled, the Gradient super-approximation is not applicable.

When this operation is performed, new elements which are not part of the triangulation are used for calculation. More details can be found in (Dalík and Valenta, 2013).

3.4 Consistency Condition

Each ring \(r_a\) can be transformed into a ring \(r_p\) with its local coordinate system. The vertex \(a\) can be moved to origin of the ring \(r_p\) using simple translation. All calculations are performed in this local coordinate system. The gradient value is not affected.

The Gradient super-approximation method is based on the Consistency condition. This condition says that the partial derivative of a polynomial \(p(x, y)\) in a vertex \(a\) must be equal to the weighted average of partial derivatives of interpolants of a polynomial \(p(x, y)\) for all elements from the ring around vertex \(a\) for all polynomials \(p(x, y)\) of the second order. Then the error of the gradient is \(O(h^2)\), where \(h\) is the longest edge of an element \(t\) (without this operation, the error is \(O(h^2)\)). Formally written in the form

\[\forall p \in \mathbb{P}^2, \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \sum_{v \in r_p} f_v \frac{\partial \Pi_v [p]}{\partial x}\]  (30)

where

- \(p\) is a polynomial of the second order,
- \(r_p\) is a ring of elements around the vertex \(a\),
- \(t\) is an element from the ring of elements around the vertex \(a\).
- \(f_v\) is a weight value for an element \(t\) from the weight vector \(w\), and \(f\) is the weight vector defined for a ring \(r_a\).

Because all polynomials of the second order are linearly dependent on a polynomial basis, the second order polynomial basis is used instead of all polynomials of the second order.

For example, the following basis can be chosen (31).
\[B = (1, x, y, xy, x^2, y^2)\]  (31)

Now let’s consider the Consistency condition for both derivatives (by \(x\) and by \(y\)) and all the functions from the polynomial basis \(B\). The resulting system of twelve equations can be reduced to the system of three linear algebraic equations of \(n\) variables, where \(n\) is the number of elements in the ring \(r_a\).

It is well known that this system has an infinite number of solutions, let’s choose the minimal norm solution.

3.5 Example

In this section a simple example of the Gradient superapproximation is presented on a very simple triangulation containing four rectangles (see Figure 6).

![Figure 6: Example triangulation with four rectangles.](image)
For example, nodal function for the element $T_1$.

$$T_1 : L_1^1(x,y) = \frac{1}{x_1y_2}xy$$ (36)

$$L_2^1(x,y) = -\frac{1}{x_1y_2}y(x + x_1)$$ (37)

$$L_3^1(x,y) = \frac{1}{x_1y_2}(x + x_1)(y + y_2)$$ (38)

$$L_4^1(x,y) = -\frac{1}{x_1y_2}x(y + y_2)$$ (39)

An interpolant template is created for a function $u$ for each element, for example the element $T_1$.

$$\Pi[u]_{T_1}(x,y) = u_1L_1^1(x,y) + u_2L_2^1(x,y) + u_3L_3^1(x,y) + u_4L_4^1(x,y)$$ (40)

where $u_i$ is a value of a function $u$ in a vertex $i$.

It is necessary to find the weight vector $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)$ to fulfill the consistency condition.

$$f_1\frac{\partial \Pi[p]_{T_1}}{\partial x}(a) + \ldots + f_4\frac{\partial \Pi[p]_{T_1}}{\partial x}(a) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(a)$$

$$f_1\frac{\partial \Pi[p]_{T_1}}{\partial y}(a) + \ldots + f_4\frac{\partial \Pi[p]_{T_1}}{\partial y}(a) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(a)$$

Now all the functions of the basis $\mathcal{B}$ are used in both equations of consistency condition. In fact there are only four different situations.

Considering $p(x,y) = 1$, $p(x,y) = y$ or $p(x,y) = y^2$ for the derivative by $x$, or $p(x,y) = 1$, $p(x,y) = x$ or $p(x,y) = x^2$ for the derivative by $y$, equation in the form $0 = 0$ is obtained and therefore can be ignored.

Another situation is for $p(x,y) = x$ for the derivative by $y$ and $p(x,y) = y$ for the derivative by $x$. Bilinear interpolant $\Pi[p] = p$ and four same equations can be created.

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(a) = f_1\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(a) + \ldots + f_4\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(a)$$ (41)

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(a) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(a)(f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4)$$ (42)

1 = $f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4$ (43)

Another situation is for $p(x,y) = xy$ for both derivatives (by $x$ and $y$), where the derivatives are $y$ and $x$. The value of the gradient in point $a = [0,0]$, which means that the resulting equation can be ignored.

Different situation is for $p(x,y) = x^2$ for the derivative by $x$ and $p(x,y) = y^2$ for the derivative by $y$. It is necessary to calculate all the interpolants for all four rectangles.

$$\Pi[x^2]_{T_1} = \Pi[x^2]_{T_4} = -x_1x$$ (44)

$$\Pi[x^2]_{T_2} = \Pi[x^2]_{T_3} = x_3x$$ (45)

$$\Pi[y^2]_{T_1} = \Pi[y^2]_{T_2} = -y_2y$$ (46)

$$\Pi[y^2]_{T_3} = \Pi[y^2]_{T_4} = y_6y$$ (47)

Their appropriate partial derivatives in the point $a$.

$$\frac{\partial \Pi[x^2]_{T_1}}{\partial x}(a) = \frac{\partial \Pi[x^2]_{T_4}}{\partial x}(a) = -x_1$$ (48)

$$\frac{\partial \Pi[x^2]_{T_2}}{\partial x}(a) = \frac{\partial \Pi[x^2]_{T_3}}{\partial x}(a) = x_3$$ (49)

$$\frac{\partial \Pi[y^2]_{T_1}}{\partial y}(a) = \frac{\partial \Pi[y^2]_{T_2}}{\partial y}(a) = -y_2$$ (50)

$$\frac{\partial \Pi[y^2]_{T_3}}{\partial y}(a) = \frac{\partial \Pi[y^2]_{T_4}}{\partial y}(a) = y_6$$ (51)

Using these derivatives, two equations of the following form are obtained

$$f_1\frac{\partial \Pi[x^2]_{T_1}}{\partial x}(a) + \ldots + f_4\frac{\partial \Pi[x^2]_{T_4}}{\partial x}(a) = 0$$ (52)

$$f_1(x_1) + f_2x_3 + f_3x_3 + f_4(-x_1) = 0$$ (53)

$$f_1\frac{\partial \Pi[y^2]_{T_1}}{\partial y}(a) + \ldots + f_4\frac{\partial \Pi[y^2]_{T_4}}{\partial y}(a) = 0$$ (54)

$$f_1(-x_2) + f_2(-x_2) + f_3y_6 + f_4y_6 = 0$$ (55)

Finally, it is necessary to solve the following system of three linear algebraic equations of four variables and find the solution of the minimal form.

$$f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4 = 1$$ (56)

$$-f_1x_1 + f_2x_3 + f_3x_3 - f_4x_1 = 0$$ (57)

$$-f_1y_2 - f_2y_2 + f_3y_6 + f_4y_6 = 0$$ (58)

### 4 A POSTERIORI ERROR ESTIMATION

The a posteriori error estimation is an approach of calculating the error of a solution of the partial differential equation without knowledge of the analytical solution.

#### 4.1 Calculating a Posteriori Error Estimation

Because there is no particular formula available, custom a posteriori error estimation method had to be created using the Gradient super-approximation.

A posteriori error estimation $\tau_t$, on each element $t$ of triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ is calculated. Element $t$ is denoted by four vertices which define unique bilinear function $\phi(x,y)$ of two variables of the following form.

$$\phi(x,y) = ax + by + cy + dxy$$ (59)
It is also possible to calculate gradient of this function of the form as mentioned below.

\[(b + dy, c + dx)\]  

It is also necessary to calculate the Gradient superapproximation presented in section 3. Two bilinear functions \( \phi_x \) and \( \phi_y \) that define gradient in the following form.

\[ (\phi_x, \phi_y) \]  

Now it is possible to calculate a posteriori error estimation on element \( t \) using the following formula.

\[
\tau_t = \frac{1}{|t|} \int_t \left( \frac{\partial \Pi_t [\phi]}{\partial x} - \Pi_t [\phi] \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \Pi_t [\phi]}{\partial y} - \Pi_t [\phi] \right)^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y
\]

A posteriori error estimation is the volume between two curves (for derivative by \( x \) and also by \( y \) which is normalized to the element area \( |t| \)).

This formula is not applicable directly for the wave equation because it is suitable only for static boundary value problems (like Laplace’s equation). The wave equation includes time domain and it is necessary to reflect that.

\[ \tau_t(t) \]  

is calculated for each time step and maximum value is chosen. This is considered as an a posteriori error estimation for element \( t \). Note that this value \( \tau_t \) does not reflect real error of the solution but it provides the capability to find an element with the biggest error.

4.2 Using a Posteriori Error Estimation

It is possible to define a method which attempts to iteratively adapt triangulation to obtain a more precise solution. The idea behind this method is to start with very rough triangulation, which produces quite big error of the solution. A posteriori error estimation is calculated and elements with big error are identified. These elements are then replaced by smoother triangulation, thus more precise solution is obtained (Ainsworth and Oden, 1997), (Babuška and Rheinboldt, 1978) and (Verfürth, 1994).

The advantage is that it is not necessary to update triangulation uniformly, which means that less equations are solved and the system can converge faster.

The following algorithm is used:
1. initialize a rough triangulation,
2. solve the wave equation,
3. calculate a posteriori error estimation,
4. find element with big error and update the triangulation,
5. if error is still big enough, continue with point 2.

4.3 Triangulation Update

When a posteriori error estimation is calculated and the elements with big error are identified, triangulation update is made. Because of using a specific triangulation with the orthogonal edges only, it is necessary to split an element (vertically or horizontally) and all the elements of the same horizontal (eventually vertical) position. The scheme is shown on the following Figure 7.

![Figure 7: Vertical triangulation update.](image)

5 RESULTS

A posteriori error estimation is used to wave equation in the following form

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}
\]

with the following domain \( \Omega \) and Dirichlet boundary values on \( \Omega \)

\[
\Omega = \langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle
\]

\[
u(0,y,t) = u(1,y,t)
\]

\[
u(1,y,t) = \sin(\pi y) \left( \cos(\pi y) + \sin(\pi y) \right)
\]

\[
u(x,0,t) = u(x,1,t)
\]

\[
u(x,1,t) = \sin(\pi x) \left( \cos(\pi x) + \sin(\pi x) \right)
\]

and following Cauchy initial values.

\[
u(x,y,0) = \sin(\pi x) + \sin(\pi y)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u(x,y,0)}{\partial t} = \pi \left( \sin(\pi x) + \sin(\pi y) \right)
\]

It is well known that this equation has the analytical solution in the following form.

\[
u(x,y,t) = \sin(\pi x) \left( \cos(\pi y) + \sin(\pi y) \right)
\]

+ \sin(\pi y) \left( \cos(\pi x) + \sin(\pi x) \right)

The Finite difference method is used to create a set of ordinary differential equations that were solved using the Modern Taylor Series Method. The system is solved for time interval \( t \in (0, 1) \).
Triangulations shown on Figures 8, 9 and 10 were used.

The following Figures 11, 12 and 13 show the absolute error of the solution for time $t = 1$ for three chosen triangulations.

6 CONCLUSIONS

A combination of the Modern Taylor Series Method and the a posteriori error estimation based on the Gradient super-approximation method is presented. A posteriori error estimation is used for the wave equation solution.

The MTSM provides very precise and stable solution of a system of ordinary differential equations and the a posteriori error estimation provides capability to update the triangulation in better way than the uniform update.

The result is the smaller number of ordinary differential equations that needs to be calculated and the solution is therefore calculated faster.
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