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Abstract: This paper presents the LEMS2HDL toolsuite which converts Low Entropy Model Specification (LEMS) neuron/neural network models to synthesisable Hardware Description Language (HDL) hardware descriptions. The LEMS2HDL process will provide a route for the neuroscience community to perform accelerated Field-Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) hardware implementations of the growing library of LEMS neuron/neural network models. The paper describes the LEMS to HDL conversion process and references the previously reported vicologic platform. The paper compares the resulting FPGA hardware simulation of three LEMS neuron models with the LEMS model simulation.

1 INTRODUCTION

Computational neuroscience includes the study of brain functions using simulations of networks of neuron models. Consequently, it has become increasingly important to simulate large numbers of often very complex neuron models (Maguire et al., 2007). High levels of biological realism are desired in neuron and synapse model simulations to help improve our understanding of various brain functions. Hardware acceleration can offer improvements in simulation speeds of large neural networks. A number of hardware platforms are being developed in order to accelerate the simulation of large or complex neural networks. These include the Spinnaker (Furber et al., 2013) and Bluehive (Moore et al., 2012) projects.

Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) reconfigurable hardware devices provide vast concurrent hardware logic resources on which neural networks can be implemented (Pande, 2014; Maguire et al., 2007). Current FGPAgs contain up to 2 million logic cells (Xilinx, 2014). FGPAgs are reconfigurable and enable the implementation of high performance parallel designs. The process to digital logic hardware implementation typically captures the design using synthesisable hardware description language (HDL) (such as Very High Speed Integrated Circuit (VHSIC) Hardware Description Language (VHDL) or Verilog). FPGA technology implementation follows synthesis of the HDL, and a mapping and routing of the design using FPGA hardware logic resources.

The general neuroscience community is often not familiar with digital logic design and hardware implementation processes, hardware description language (HDL) modelling, or the related Electronic Design Automation (EDA) tools. The authors have developed LEMS2HDL as part of the Si elegans research project in order to contribute to the provision of an automated and accessible route from high level neuron model description to FPGA hardware implementation, hardware execution and model behaviour readback and analysis.

The Si elegans research project which aims to develop a Hardware Neural Network (HNN) and virtual environment to simulate the nervous system of the Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans) nematode. The HNN will consist of 302 FGPAgs each configured with one complex neuron model. This openly accessible system will be integrated into a web platform to allow researchers to develop very detailed models of the nervous system of the C. elegans.

Extensive libraries of neuron models have been created using languages such as the NEURON (Carnevale and Hines, 2006), BRIAN (Goodman and Brette, 2008) and GENESIS (Bower et al., 1998) scripting languages. Large hardware accelerated neural network platforms such as Spinnaker and Bluehive aim to support standard high level descriptions of neuron models in order to make these platforms more accessible to
neuroscientists.

The Low Entropy Model Specification (LEMS) (Gleeson et al., 2011) is a language used to describe neuron models and neural networks functionally. LEMS is a declarative language which is amenable to use by persons not trained in electronic engineering, computer science or information technology. A large library of complex and diverse LEMS neuron models exists and forms the basis of the NeuroML 2 neural network description language. LEMS descriptions are often exported to various software simulators for optimised execution with the NEURON and BRIAN simulators already supported.

VHDL is also a declarative language which is commonly used to describe synthesisable digital logic. It is possible to manually describe any time step simulated neuron model using VHDL. However, the hardware design process, VHDL capture and typical tool flow is arguably more difficult than the development of software neuron models or the mathematical descriptions underlying neural computations through LEMS. The provision of an appropriate high level neuron modelling language and automated generation of synthesisable HDL and automated FPGA implementation and interaction can offer a viable route for neuroscientists to achieve hardware neuron simulations.

The LEMS2HDL toolsuite automatically converts flexible high level LEMS functional descriptions of neuron models to synthesisable VHDL designs. The paper presents simulation results of three LEMS models and the LEMS2HDL generated VHDL models. Results demonstrate agreement between LEMS and VHDL simulations within the limits of fixed point logic.

The three LEMS models chosen for conversion are the iafTauCell, the iafTauRefCell and the iafRefCell with two synapses of type expOneSynapse. These models were chosen as they are standard NeuroML2 models and express many standard neuron model features. These models also use a wide range of behavioural descriptions representing the majority of LEMS algorithms. All three models are leaky integrate and fire neurons with both iafTauCell and iafTauRefCell returning to their leak reversal potential with a time course tau. In addition to this decay the iafTauRefCell describes a refractory period after a spike where membrane potential integration is halted. In this paper the iafTauCell and the iafTauRefCell are used without synaptic inputs, instead the leak reversal potential is set above the threshold potential. The iafRefCell is a leaky integrate and fire cell with membrane capacitance, a leakConductance, a leakReversal and a refractory period. The iafRefCell is converted here together with two synapses of type expOneSynapse. The expOneSynapse is an ohmic synapse model whose conductance rises instantaneously on receiving a spike event, and which decays exponentially to zero with time course tau. The example LEMS models and the LEMS2HDL program are available as part of the org.neuroml.neuroml2 and org.neuroml.export libraries at https://github.com/NeuroML/.

The vicilogic (Morgan et al., 2014) user design wrapper automates the integration of the LEMS2HDL exported HDL neuron model with the vicilogic core hardware and FPGA device pinout, and creates the FPGA configuration bitstream file. vicologic provides a local and remote FPGA configuration, ethernet-based neuron model parameter configuration and signal readback. Additionally, a UI Console toolsuite enables real-time monitoring and visualisation of internal neuron behaviour.

The structure of the remainder of the paper is as follows: Section 2 outlines the LEMS2HDL conversion process and VHDL fixed point modelling considerations. Section 3 compares the simulation accuracy of three example LEMS software and FPGA hardware models, and presents FPGA resource usage for each model. Section 4 concludes the paper and highlights future work.

2 METHODS

This section outlines the LEMS to HDL conversion process and the LEMS features currently supported by the LEMS2HDL application. Considerations for the support of synthesisable fixed point logic and neural computation model time derivative solvers in VHDL are also described.

2.1 LEMS to VHDL Conversion

The LEMS2HDL conversion process aims to map all features of the LEMS language to synthesisable VHDL descriptions. Many LEMS features are converted directly through the use of VHDL templates described in the Apache VelociRaptor (Foundation, 2007) templating language. While LEMS is used to specify neurons, synapses, connections and simulation parameters, the LEMS2HDL process converts only the LEMS neuron and synapse models to VHDL. The vicologic server and FPGA IP core provide hardware simulation control, neuron synaptic input stimulus application and readback of all neuron model internal signals.
The input to the conversion process consists of a LEMS neuron and optionally multiple synapse models. A LEMS neuron model can comprise a number of nested “components”. Firstly, a VHDL entity description is generated from the component ports. Each component exposes a number of variables (LEMS “exposures”) and expects a number of “parameters”, such as threshold voltage or leakage current which are specified to be of certain SI units. These parameters and exposed variables are converted into fixed point numbers of varying precision and ranges as detailed in Section 2.2. In addition each component can send and receive spike events to/from other components (on LEMS “event ports”). Each event port is marked as an in or out port and is mapped to single bit signals in the VHDL entity descriptions.

Each LEMS component contains “state variables” which collectively describe the state of a model or component. State variables are described as fixed point numbers similar to parameters and exposures. LEMS “dynamics” update state variables in the component based on events from event ports (LEMS “on event”), on the passage of time (LEMS “time derivative”) or on other variable changes (LEMS “on condition”). A form of state machine (LEMS “regimes”) can be used in LEMS to apply different dynamics such as a refractory period and an integration regime at different times in a component. This is directly translated to the commonly used Finite State Machine (FSM) construct in VHDL. Due to the interplay of all dynamics on a single state variable it is necessary to integrate all relevant dynamics within a single VHDL process which drives said state variable. The same is true for regimes and outward event ports. As such the exporter creates a single VHDL process for each state variable, output event port and one for a set of regimes. This process integrates, using fixed point logic, the effects of the “on condition”, “on event” and “time derivative” LEMS structures on a state variable, output event port or regime. Code listing 1 illustrates a LEMS sample component while code listing 2 illustrates the automatically exported VHDL of the state variable v driver process.

### 2.2 Fixed Point Logic and Time Derivative Implementation

Fixed point logic generally has a smaller footprint than floating point logic in hardware (Běčvář and Štukjunger, 2005), and a known precision. However fixed point logic suffers from a limited dynamic range compared to floating point logic. In order to efficiently implement neuron models in hardware, it is common to use fixed point logic to perform calculations of neuron dynamics and state.

In LEMS, standard dimensions are defined to restrict developers to creating neuron and synapse models with variables and dynamics that are dimensionally consistent. Each dynamic in a LEMS model is verified to be dimensionally consistent by the reference LEMS interpreter (available at https://github.com/LEMS/jLEMS/). To minimize FPGA hardware usage the LEMS2HDL process assigns a fixed point integer and fractional bit length for each LEMS model variable. Therefore, voltage and current are not described by the same number of bits, rather an optimum bit length is choosen for each dimension. The bit length for each variable type is choosen empirically based on the smallest and largest possible absolute values of a signal during a simulation. This ensures that the minimum possible number of bits are choosen to represent a variable.

```xml
<ComponentType name="iafTauCell" extends="baseIaf" description="Integrate and fire cell which returns to its leak reversal potential of _leakReversal with a time course _tau">
  <Parameter name="leakReversal" dimension="voltage"/>
  <Parameter name="tau" dimension="time"/>
  <Dynamics>
    <StateVariable name="v" exposure="v" dimension="voltage"/>
    <TimeDerivative variable="v" value="(leakReversal - v) / tau"/>
    <OnStart><StateAssignment variable="v" value="reset"/></OnStart>
    <OnCondition test="v .gt. thresh">
      <StateAssignment variable="v" value="reset"/>
      <EventOut port="spike"/>
    </OnCondition>
  </Dynamics>
</ComponentType>
```
Software and digital hardware simulations of biological neurons are commonly time step driven. At every discrete time step the state of neuron models such as the membrane potential is updated. Time derivatives in LEMS are used to model state variables changes at every discrete time step. Time derivatives describe the Ordinary Differential Equations (ODE) acting on state variables. Forward Euler integration is a first order integration method which provides an efficient hardware implementation (Maguire et al., 2007) to solve ODEs in the generated VHDL models. Listing 2 illustrates a VHDL model of the iafTauCell LEMS model (listing 1) using the forward Euler method to calculate the state variable v. The variable sv_voltage_v_temp_1 is the next value of the state variable v based on the time derivative alone. Using more accurate numerical integration schemes for ODEs such as Runge-Kutta fourth-order approximation would result in larger hardware resource usage.

Listing 2: VHDL code describing the combinational process responsible for calculating the next value of the state variable v.

```vhdl
sv_process_comb_0 : process
  variable sv_voltage_v_temp_1 : sfixed (2 downto -24);
  variable sv_voltage_v_temp_2 : sfixed (2 downto -24);
  begin
    sv_voltage_v_temp_1 := resize(
      sv_voltage_v_in + ( (
        p_voltage_leakReversal - sv_voltage_v_in ) * p_time_inv_tau_inv ) * p_time_timestep ,2 , -24);
    if To_slv ( resize ( sv_voltage_v_in - ( p_voltage_thresh ) ,2,-18) ) = '0' then
      sv_voltage_v_temp_2 := resized( p_voltage_reset ,2,-24);
    else
      sv_voltage_v_temp_2 :=
        sv_voltage_v_temp_1;
    end if;
    if reset_model = '1' then
      sv_voltage_v_next <= resize
        ( p_voltage_reset , 2,-24 )
    else
      sv_voltage_v_next <=
        sv_voltage_v_temp_2;
    end if;
  end process;
```

3 RESULTS

This section compares the simulation accuracy of neuron models in LEMS and FPGA hardware, and presents Xilinx XC6SLX16 FPGA resource usage for each model. Prior to conversion to VHDL each LEMS model is simulated for 100 ms (10,000 steps of 0.01 ms). For all simulations, input spike stimulus, neuron variable exposures and neuron output spikes are recorded. Identical parameter configuration is applied to LEMS and VHDL models. The converted VHDL neuron model is simulated using the Xilinx ISE Simulator (ISIM) using identical stimulus and the outputs are once again recorded. In the next step the VHDL design is inserted into the vicilab testbed system for synthesis and FPGA configuration and simulation. The same stimulus is applied to the FPGA model and all outputs are recorded using the vicilab ethernet interface. The paper presents only LEMS and FPGA simulation results, ISIM simulation results were used solely for design purposes and VHDL verification.

Table 1 illustrates the average absolute difference between the FPGA simulated neuron potential and its LEMS equivalent for the three neuron models. Table 1 also presents FPGA slice and Digital Signal Processing (DSP) utilisation (excluding slices used for vicilogic FPGA core component).

Figure 1 compares the membrane potential (a) and conductivity traces (b and c) for the iafRefCell neuron model with two synapses for the LEMS and FPGA simulation. The iafRefCell model expresses a leak current and an absolute refractory period. The synapse model is the NeuroML2 core type expOneSynapse model which immediately rises to a base conductance and decays exponentially to zero. The iafRefCell model does not express a hyperpolarization during its refractory period and the spike threshold is set at -55 mV. During the simulation in figure 1 synapse 1 is stimulated with a spike train of period 12 ms and synapse 2 is stimulated with a spike train of period 13 ms which leads to the iafRefCell model spiking when two input spikes arrive close in time. The purpose of this simulation is to demonstrate the consistency of the FPGA simulation compared to the reference LEMS implementation.

The deviation between LEMS and FPGA hardware simulated membrane potential is very low, and results from using fixed point logic in the hardware implementation. The deviations are most pronounced when the current from a synapse is very small and the neuron has exited its refractory period. This may lead to spike times that are not strictly the same as those of more accurate
Table 1: Average absolute difference between the FPGA simulated neuron potential and its LEMS equivalent for the three neuron models. FPGA slice and DSP utilisation (excluding slices used for vicilogic FPGA core component). LEMS models are described in the reference library at https://github.com/NeuroML/NeuroML2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neuron and Synapse Model</th>
<th>Average Error</th>
<th>Slice Utilisation Count</th>
<th>DSP Utilisation Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>iafTauCell</td>
<td>0.81 mV</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iafTauRefCell</td>
<td>0.78 mV</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iafRefCell and 2 expOneSynapse</td>
<td>0.12 mV</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

floating point simulations. However, numerical inaccuracies cannot be completely excluded with a finite range of representation, even with floating point numbers a limited dynamic range can lead to a loss of information. Another source of error is the integration scheme itself as discussed in Section 2.2.

The hardware resource utilisation described here refers to the number of Spartan-6 FPGA slices used which contain four Look Up Tables (LUTs) and eight flip-flops each (Xilinx, 2011) and the number of DSP48A1 slices used which contain an 18 x 18 multiplier, an adder, and an accumulator each (Xilinx, 2011). Table 1 illustrates the requirement of an additional 26 slices for the iafTauRefCell model implementation compared to the iafTauCell model implementation due to the addition of refractory period support. The use of the iafRefCell (which has similar functionality to the iafTauRefCell) together with two synapses requires 23 additional DSP slices. This indicates that synapse conductance and current calculations require the most logic. When using more synapses this logic utilisation will grow considerably as all synapse contributions must be summed together. Resource reduction may be obtained in future iterations of the LEMS2HDL application by time multiplexing synapse models.

The VHDL neuron models produced by the LEMS2HDL application have not been compared to manually designed HDL neuron models. It is expected that if a hand written model were to use the same accuracy of fixed point logic then it would require similar logic resources on a FPGA. Some inefficient logic utilisation by the converter may be identified by a HDL designer and corrected. For example, an unused regime may be removed by hand but would currently not be identified by the LEMS2HDL application. It is expected that over time the LEMS2HDL application will mature to find such cases and convert LEMS to VHDL more efficiently.

4 DISCUSSION

The automated conversion of high level neuron model descriptions to FPGA hardware implementations can potentially enable researchers to accelerate the testing of new and novel neuron models in hardware, while also enabling faster simulations of existing neuron models.

Simulation results of standard neuron models demonstrate agreement between LEMS simulated model and converted hardware model behaviour within the limits of fixed point logic. In addition FPGA resource usage is reasonably low indicating that conversion and synthesis of much larger and more complex neuron models is feasible. Future models will include Hodgkin–Huxley type models and multi-compartmental conductance-based models (W. Gerstner, 2002). This work demonstrates the viability of the LEMS2VHDL and vicilogic platform in supporting the general neuroscience community in practical hardware neuron and neural network implementation and testing, using FPGA hardware.

In the current FPGA testbed implementation one neuron model occupies one FPGA. While this allows for faster than real time simulations, this also results in very large FPGA requirements even for small networks of neurons. An alternative solution using virtual neurons or time-division multiplexing is more desirable for the simulation of large neural networks. By time multiplexing the evaluation pipeline for a neuron model and switching in and out state data for different virtual neurons it is possible to simulate more neurons efficiently using just one hardware implementation of a neuron. The approach of time-division multiplexing has been adopted by hardware platforms such as Spinnaker using ARM processors (Furber et al., 2013) and has been reviewed extensively by Glackin et al. (Glackin et al., 2005).

Lastly, many challenges remain to fully supporting the entire LEMS feature set in VHDL designs. These include the development of efficient exponential and natural logarithm calculators required by many neuron models. Fixed point logic restrictions will also continue to be a challenge to achieving highly accurate numeric simulations in hardware.
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