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Abstract: In recent years catadioptric systems, consisting of lenses and mirrors, have gained increasing popularity for the task of environmental perception. However, focusing of such systems is a common problem as it is often not considered during the design process of the optical system.

This paper presents a novel approach to address focus in the design of optics with rotational symmetry. The approach does not only address the construction of catadioptric systems but can also be used to calculate conventional optics. The approach is based on the calculation of the first order approximation of meridional and sagittal focus using differential geometry. Additional conditions like a single-viewpoint can be considered as well. The derived equations are combined to a set of ordinary differential equations that is used to calculate the shape of the optical system via numerical integration. The design concept has been verified by multi-chromatic ray tracing simulations.

1 INTRODUCTION

In the field of computer vision, environmental perception is an important task. For this, the possibility to capture a large field of view is often desirable. This can be performed using either ultra-wide-angle lenses or catadioptric systems consisting of both, lenses and mirrors (Benosman and Kang, 2001). For the task of capturing 360° panoramic images catadioptric systems are more advantageous as their field of view can be influenced in a wider range.

However, a big problem of today’s catadioptric systems is their focusing as this is not considered during system design. Instead common designs only deal with the geometric mapping that describes the relation between image points and corresponding lines of sight (Hicks and Bajcsy, 2000; Stürzl and Srinivasan, 2010).

Neglecting wave effects, the light transport through an optical system can be described using light rays (Malacara and Malacara, 2003). The geometric mapping is defined by the principal rays which pass through the center of the aperture.

To adress focusing we have to deal with beams of rays. The rays of a single beam can be characterized as meridional, sagittal or skew. For systems with rotational symmetry, the rays that lie in the meridional plane spanned by the principal ray and the optical axis are called meridional rays. The sagittal rays are the rays that propagate in the sagittal plane that is perpendicular to the meridional plane and contains the principal ray (see Figure 1). The sagittal plane changes whenever the principal ray is reflected or refracted. Rays that are neither meridional nor sagittal are called skew.

In general the focus of the meridional rays differs from that of the sagittal rays and hence not all rays of a beam focus in one single point. This effect is called astigmatism (see Figure 2).

Baker and Nayar introduce the problem of defocus blur for catadioptric systems (Baker and Nayar, 1999). Swaminathan uses caustics to describe the focus (Swaminathan, 2007). However both approaches only deal with meridional focusing, leaving the sagittal focusing disregarded. Furthermore, the results are not used in a constructive way to improve the shape of the optic.

This paper presents an analytical way to calculate both meridional and sagittal focusing in a first order approximation using differential geometry and Fermat’s principle.

A common approach when designing conventional optical systems is to use an iterative scheme of ray tracing simulations (Glassner, 1989) followed by draft rating using a so called merit function. In doing so, an initial system draft can be optimized until a minimum of the merit function has been found (Smith, 2004). However, this approach is time-consuming and the
2 DESCRIPTION OF FOCUSING AT BOUNDING SURFACES

The analytical description of focusing at refracting and reflecting surfaces (referred to as bounding surfaces) is a central point of this paper. This section shows how the focusing characteristic of a beam of rays can be calculated using Fermat’s principle. A first order approximation of a beam’s focusing characteristic is given by its meridional and sagittal focus point. These focus points describe where rays focus that propagate within the meridional plane and sagittal plane respectively. They can be calculated in closed form using the intersection curve of surface and corresponding plane (see Figure 1).

2.1 Intersection of Bounding Surface and Meridional/Sagittal Plane

In the following, we examine a surface of revolution $\mathcal{R}$ which is defined by rotating a curve $c(t)$ around the $z$-axis (optical axis). To calculate the focusing induced by surface $\mathcal{R}$ using Fermat’s principle, we need its intersection curves with meridional and sagittal plane. Without loss of generality we can assume $x = 0$ for the meridional plane. The intersection point of a principal ray and surface $\mathcal{R}$ can be expressed as $P = c(\tau)$. The normalized direction vectors of the incident and refracted principal ray at this point $P$ are defined as $r_1 = (0, r_{1y}, r_{1z})^T$ and $r_2 = (0, r_{2y}, r_{2z})^T$ respectively. In the following, derivatives with respect to a certain parameter are marked with this parameter as a subscript, arguments are omitted for the sake of legibility, e.g. $\rho : = \frac{\partial r}{\partial \tau} |_{\tau = \tau}$.

At each intersection point $P$ we can define the meridional intersection curve and the sagittal intersection curve. The meridional intersection curve is equivalent to the curve $c(t)$ itself. Its second order Taylor approximation at $P$ with curve parameter $u$ is given as

$$M(u) \equiv P + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \rho_1 u + 1 \rho_2 u^2 \\ u^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

The second order Taylor approximation of the sagittal intersection curve can be calculated using its mirror symmetry to the meridional plane and the Taylor series of $c(t)$ at the intersection point. This yields:

$$S(u) \equiv P + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \rho (r_{1y} \zeta + r_{1z} \xi) u^2 \\ \frac{1}{2} \rho (r_{1y} \zeta + r_{1z} \xi) u^2 \\ \frac{1}{2} \rho (r_{1y} \zeta + r_{1z} \xi) u^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

A detailed derivation is omitted due to lack of space.
2.2 Determination of Focus

Given the meridional and sagittal intersection curves, the corresponding focus points can be calculated using the laws of geometrical optics. Fermat’s principle is a compact formulation of these laws which states that rays of light traverse the path of stationary optical path length (Hecht, 2001). The optical path length is defined as the product of geometrical length and refractive index of the surrounding medium.

In a first order approximation a beam of meridional rays neighboring the principal ray focuses in one point. This point is called the meridional focus point and lies on the straight line given by the principal ray. In an equivalent way a beam of sagittal rays focuses and lies on the straight line given by the principal ray. Thus, given the principal ray, the focus points can be expressed in terms of their distances to the surface.

\[
\text{For the principal ray to pass though point } F_2, \text{ Fermat’s principle says that the corresponding optical path length must be stationary, so}
\]

\[
w_u|_{u=0} = 0. \quad (5)
\]

For the neighboring rays to pass through point \( F_2 \), additionally condition (5) must be satisfied in a small neighborhood which is equivalent to

\[
w_{uu}|_{u=0} \neq 0 \quad (6)
\]

2.2.1 Meridional Focus

As the meridional focus points \( F_{1m} \) and \( F_{2m} \) lie on the principal ray, their positions can be expressed as

\[
F_{1m} = P + \frac{1}{k_{1m}} r_1 \quad \text{and} \quad F_{2m} = P + \frac{1}{k_{2m}} r_2 \quad (7)
\]

with \( k_{1m} \) and \( k_{2m} \) denoting their inverse Euclidean distance to the intersection point.

Given the local quadratic approximation of the meridional intersection curve \( M(u) \) the optical path length for the neighboring meridional rays can be written as

\[
w(u) = n_1 R - n_1 |M(u) - F_{1m}| + n_2 |M(u) - F_{2m}|, \]

where \( |.| \) denotes the Euclidean norm, i.e.: \n
\[
|M(u) - F_{1m}|^2 = \left( \rho_u u + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{uu} u^2 - \frac{r_{1u}}{k_{1m}} \right)^2 + \left( \rho_{uu} u^2 - \frac{r_{1u}}{k_{1m}} \right)^2 \quad (9)
\]

With (7) the focus points lie on the principal ray. As the principal ray fulfills the laws of geometric optic condition (5) is satisfied by definition. To satisfy condition (6) we have to evaluate the second order derivative of (8) with respect to \( u \) at \( u = 0 \). After some longer arithmetic computation this yields:

\[
n_1 \left[ k_{1m} (\rho_u r_{1u} - \zeta_{uu} r_{1u})^2 - (\rho_{uu} r_{1u} + \zeta_{uu} r_{1u}) \right] - n_2 \left[ k_{2m} (\rho_u r_{2u} - \zeta_{uu} r_{2u})^2 - (\rho_{uu} r_{2u} + \zeta_{uu} r_{2u}) \right] = 0. \quad (10)
\]

This description of meridional focus is equivalent to the one gained using caustics (Swaminathan, 2007).

2.2.2 Sagittal Focus

As in the meridional case the sagittal focus points \( F_{1s} \) and \( F_{2s} \) lie on the principal ray. With the inverse Euclidean distances \( k_{1s} \) and \( k_{2s} \) their position can be expressed as

\[
F_{1s} = P + \frac{1}{k_{1s}} r_1 \quad \text{and} \quad F_{2s} = P + \frac{1}{k_{2s}} r_2. \quad (11)
\]
Design of Focusing Catadioptric Systems using Differential Geometry

Given the local quadratic approximation of the sagittal intersection curve $S(u)$, the optical path length for the neighboring sagittal rays can be expressed equivalent to (8). With the sagittal plane spanned by $r_1$ and $e_x$ condition (6) yields:

$$n_1 \left[ \frac{\zeta}{p(r_1, p_1 - r_1, \zeta)} - k_{11} \right] \quad (12)$$

$$- n_2 \left[ \frac{\zeta}{p(r_2, p_1 - r_2, \zeta)} - k_{22} \right] = 0.$$  

A full derivation is omitted due to lack of space. If we use the sagittal plane spanned by $r_2$ and $e_x$ to calculate the intersection curve and focusing, we get:

$$n_1 \left[ \frac{\zeta}{p(r_3, r_2 + r_1, \zeta)} - k_{11} \right] \quad (13)$$

$$- n_2 \left[ \frac{\zeta}{p(r_2, p_2 - r_2, \zeta)} - k_{22} \right] = 0.$$  

Using the law of geometrical optics, the equations (12) and (13) can be converted into each other by some arithmetic computation.

Note that $p_0$ and $\zeta_0$ do not influence the sagittal focusing directly, but only its change dependent on $t$.

3 CONSTRUCTION SCHEME FOR OPTICAL SYSTEMS

The analytical description of the change of focus induced by a bounding surface given by (10) and (12) will now be used to design optical systems.

3.1 Parametric Description

In analogy to the last section, systems with rotational symmetry can be described with a set of planar curves $C^i(t)$ with $i = 1, \ldots, N$ corresponding to $N$ bounding surfaces. One can choose a parametrization with a common curve parameter $t$ for all curves $C^i$ that is defined in a way that a certain value of $t$ is related to a certain principal ray (see Figure 4). Such a parametrization is the basic step to describe the shape of an optical system using differential equations.

The parameterization gets unique by the definition of the incident principal rays. Here we use two planar curves $O(t)$ and $A(t)$, where the rays emanate from $O(t)$ and pass through $A(t)$.

To ensure the validity of parameterization the tangent vectors $C^i_t(t)$ have to be chosen according to laws of geometrical optics. Each tangent vector depends only on the direction vectors of incident and reflected/refracted ray at the corresponding bounding surface. With functions $g^i(t)$ and $h^i(t)$ dependent on preceding, current and subsequent intersection point and scaling functions $p^i(t)$ we can write the tangent vectors $C^i_t(t)$ as

$$C^i_t(t) = (0, \rho^i_t, \zeta^i_t)^T = p^i \cdot (0, g^i, h^i)^T. \quad (14)$$

To determine the meridional intersection curve (2) we need to know the second order derivative of $C^i(t)$ with respect to $t$. If we derive (14) w.r.t. $t$, we get:

$$C^i_{tt}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \rho^i_{tt} \\ \zeta^i_{tt} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p^i g^i \rho^i_t + p^i g^i_t \\ p^i g^i h^i + p^i_h h^i_t \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

From a physical point of view, it is obvious that focusing is directly related to the curvature $\kappa^i(t)$. With (14) and (15) the curvature can be written as:

$$\kappa^i = \frac{\rho^i_{tt} \zeta^i_t - \rho^i_t \zeta^i_{tt}}{\left( \rho^i_t \zeta^i_t \right)^2 + \left( \zeta^i_t \right)^2} = \frac{g^i h^i_t - \rho^i g^i_t}{p^i \left[ (g^i)^2 + (h^i)^2 \right]^2}. \quad (16)$$

So in fact, the curvature is independent on $p^i_t$ and we can set it to zero in (15). Note that $g^i_t$ and $h^i_t$ are dependent on $p^i(t)$, with $j \in \{ i - 1, i, i + 1 \}$.

The scaling function $p^i(t)$ is related to the curvature of curve $A(t)$ and hence cannot be chosen freely. The functions $g^N$ and $h^N$ are related to the principal ray’s exit angle $\phi(t)$ and hence $\kappa^N$ is influenced by $\phi(t)$ as well. In summary, we now have a consistent description of a set of parametrized curves $C^i(t)$ with common parameter $t$ describing the path of the principal rays and a parameter vector

$$p(t) = (p^2, \ldots, p^N, \phi_t) \quad (17)$$

that influences the curvatures $\kappa^i$ at every position $t$.  

Figure 4: A set of planar curves $C^i(t)$ can be used to describe the shape of an optical system with rotational symmetry. The curves $O(t)$ and $A(t)$ are used to describe the incident principal rays. $\phi(t)$ denotes the exit angle of the principal rays.
3.2 Propagation of Focusing Parameters

With (10) and (12) we are able to calculate the change of focusing at a single bounding surface. As the parameters \( k_m \) and \( k_s \) are defined relative to a certain intersection point \( P \) they have to be remapped to the next intersection point \( P^{+1} \) before applying these equations for the next surface. In the following \( k^{+} \) denotes the position of a focus point (meridional or sagittal) after this remapping. With the Euclidean distance \( s \) between the two reference points the propagation rule for the focus point description is:

\[
\frac{1}{k^{+}} = \frac{1}{k} - s. \tag{18}
\]

In order to propagate the change of sagittal focusing dependent on \( t \), which is influenced by the surfaces’ curvatures, we need the first order Taylor approximations for the next surface. In the following \( k^{+} \) denotes the position of a focus point (meridional or sagittal) after this remapping. With the Euclidean distance \( s \) between the two reference points the propagation rule for the focus point description is:

\[
\frac{1}{k^{+}} = \frac{1}{k} - s. \tag{18}
\]

In an alternating manner of calculation of focusing at a bounding surface and propagation of the corresponding parameters to the next surface, we can calculate the object sided focusing parameters \( k_m \), \( k_s \) and \( k_{e,x} \). As already mentioned, \( k_e \) cannot be influenced directly via the surfaces’ curvatures.

If we want to focus to infinity, we have to satisfy

\[
k_m = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad k_{e,x} = 0 \tag{20}
\]

and in addition initially ensure that \( k_s = 0 \).

3.3 Single-viewpoint Condition

Additional conditions can be satisfied if the optical system design has enough degrees of freedom. For example we can demand a single-viewpoint, which means that all object sided principal rays intersect in one point \( V = (0, 0, v)^T \) on the optical axis. A single-viewpoint is necessary if we want to remap a captured image to other projection models like the cylindrical projection without knowledge of scene depth. In order to satisfy the single-viewpoint condition, the exit angle \( \varphi(t) \) must satisfy

\[
\varphi(t) = \arctan \left( \frac{\zeta^N}{\rho^N} \right). \tag{21}
\]

So we have to demand

\[
\varphi(t) - \rho^N \frac{\zeta^N}{\rho^N} \left( \frac{\rho^N - \zeta^N}{\rho^N} \right) = 0. \tag{22}
\]

3.4 Combined Root Finding Problem

With (20) and (22) we have three root finding problems that share a common parameter vector (17). The task of finding the corresponding parameter vector can be formulated as a multi-dimensional nonlinear least squares problem. To do so, we simply sum up the squared conditions. Such a nonlinear least squares problem can be solved using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. Starting with an initial guess, this algorithm combines the Gauss-Newton algorithm with gradient descent to robustly find a local minimum. This local minimum should also be the global minimum with a sum of squared errors equal to zero. If the value at the local minimum is non-zero the initial root finding problem was not solved properly and we have not found a valid solution.

In general there exists more than one global minimum. A different minimum corresponds to a different shape of the final system and often comes along with a flipped inside-outside characteristic.

3.5 Final ODE System

The parameter vector (17) and the equations (14) and (22) define a set of differential equations for \( \rho^i_t, \zeta^i_t, \) and \( \varphi(t) = 0, i = 2,...,N \). Given appropriate initial values, this system of ordinary differential equations can be solved via numerical integration with standard methods like the Runge-Kutta methods.

It has to be mentioned that a valid solution cannot be found for all sets of initial values. Sometimes it is simply not possible to satisfy the conditions or the solution’s range of validity is not sufficiently large. However, for appropriate initial values the calculation of the optical system is very fast.

4 SIMULATION RESULTS

In the last section we presented a construction scheme for optical systems that directly considers meridional and sagittal focus as well as a single-viewpoint. This sections shows ray tracing results for a system draft that was calculated using this scheme. Ray tracing was performed using the spline interpolated numerical ODE solution. Material characteristics leading to the chromatic dispersion are considered as well.

As the construction scheme currently does not consider chromatic aberration we limited the refracting entry and exit surface in a way that the principal rays traverse these perpendicularly. To bundle the beams of rays on the image sensor we use two standard achromatic lenses (see Figure 5).
An additional aperture controls the amount of incoming light and higher order defocus blur.

The optical system was calculated for a 1/2.5" sensor with 8.8 MP. This sensor has a pixel pitch of 1.55 µm. The partially mirrored main lens has a diameter of approximately 55 mm and was calculated to be manufactured from PMMA. The vertical field of view is approximately 17°.

5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we presented a novel approach to design focusing optics based on differential geometry. For this, an analytical description of meridional and sagittal focusing was derived using Fermat’s principle. The approach considers focusing as well as geometric constraints. These are combined to a multidimensional root finding problem, whose solution is found using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. In an iterative manner of solving the root finding problem and numerical integration the shape of the optical system is calculated.

A big advantage of this design approach compared to common approaches based on numerical parameter optimization is that the shape of the bounding surfaces is not restricted by a fixed number of parameters used to describe them.

An exemplary optical draft was analyzed by means of a multi-chromatic ray tracing simulation. Future research will focus on the consideration of chromatic effects arising from refracting surfaces. This would overcome the limitation that refracting surfaces have to be traversed perpendicularly to avoid chromatic aberration.
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