AN AUGMENTED REALITY SYSTEM BASED ON LIGHT FIELDS
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Abstract: The development of augmented reality systems that combine virtual elements with the real world is currently increasing. This paper presents an augmented reality system that renders the virtual elements from models based on the light fields. The use of these models allows us to obtain higher level of photorealism than representations that render polygons. Using light fields also allows us to keep constant the rendering time. The presented system has been implemented using the Open Source library ARToolKit and a spherical model of the light field based on the direction-and-point parameterization (DPP) with some associated depth information. The system has been validated using different light fields, and it has been compared its performance with a classic version of the ARToolKit library based on VRML files. The presented augmented reality system can be applied to the visual inspection of synthetic objects of great complexity or based on real images.

1 INTRODUCTION

Augmented Reality (AR) is a technique that extends the real-world environments with some virtual objects that appear in the user views. AR allows 3-D virtual objects to be integrated into a 3-D real environment in real time. Its application is very common in some fields such as computer-assisted surgery, education or entertainment (Azuma, 1997). The main problem of this technique is to achieve a low response time of the system while ensuring a good integration between the real and virtual elements. The real-virtual integration improves by using models with a high level of realism, however the high geometric complexity of this type of models does not allow to achieve a satisfactory response time.

The recent advances in the field of information technology and communications have enabled the development of mobile devices with sophisticated features such as the iPhone, or some PDAs, usually equipped with a small camera. These devices allow to run augmented reality applications that superimpose on the real image some very simple virtual objects, such as annotations, images and 3D objects modeled with very few polygons (Wagner and Schmalstieg, 2009).

As an alternative to geometry-based models, image based rendering techniques (IBR) provide a high realism having a constant rendering time, independent of the geometric or structural complexity of the represented virtual elements. The Light Field is an image-based modeling technique that represents the objects as a 4D function from the plenoptic function (Gurrea, 2001). Models based on Light Fields allow us to render objects both synthetic and real, with a high degree of realism and a constant time, which makes it suitable for use in augmented reality.

Taking this modeling technique into account, this paper presents an augmented reality system based on a open source library (ARToolKit) (ARToolKit, 2011) that uses the light field as augmentation model. This article is organized as follows. It starts reviewing the current state of augmented reality techniques and the light field model. Next, it is described the implementation of the presented system. Finally, the obtained results are evaluated and some works are proposed to be done in the future, extending this work.

2 PREVIOUS WORK

In this section we will briefly review the current state of augmented reality techniques and the light field model. Some comprehensive reviews have been published for both techniques (Azuma, 1997) (Shum et al., 2003), so this section focuses on the aspects directly related to the work presented in this article.
2.1 Augmented Reality

The term Augmented Reality was developed in 1990 by Thomas Caudell to refer to a system used in Boeing to assist the cable assembly of aircraft. In 1997 Azuma (Azuma, 1997) gave the first definition of augmented reality as a system that:

- combines reality with virtual elements,
- is interactive, and
- renders 3D objects.

One of the most important aspects to be considered in the design and implementation of an augmented reality system is the environment that it is going to represent. This consideration will determine its features and complexity. In the literature, several systems have been developed that manage both indoor and outdoor environments. Indoor environments are much less restrictive, allowing the use of more powerful computers and fixed systems based on a previous training of the environment. In outdoor environments, the user has to transport the entire system, which limits the available processing capacity. Moreover, the impossibility of preparing or controlling a hostile environment, subjected to extreme magnetic phenomena, light or weather changes and other natural phenomena appears.

Depending on the environment that is represented and the future application, an augmented reality system is composed of the following elements:

- A processing system.
- A visualization device.
- A monitoring system.

The processing system can be a fixed or portable computer, PDA or mobile phone. The choice depends on the work environment and the process capability required by the application.

The display device is the part of the system responsible to render the augmentation. The potential devices can be divided into two groups: the ones based on optical technologies and the ones based on video. On one hand, in the systems based on optical technologies, the user directly observes the real scene, overlapped by the synthetic image by means of optical combiners. These devices are usually mounted on the user’s head, such as virtual reality helmets. They usually present some problems such as ghosting, eye strain or fatigue. On the other hand, the video-based systems, by contrast, combine a video sequence from the actual scene, captured with one or more cameras, with synthetic images by mixing video techniques. The advantages of this kind of systems are their simplicity and cost, since they only require a personal computer and some USB or Firewire video cameras. Furthermore, the availability of a sequence of real-world video allows us to use tracking systems based on the detection of characteristic traits using computer vision techniques.

Finally, the tracking system is in charge of estimating the position and orientation of the real-world view to augment. The type of system to be used is determined by the environment in which the application is going to be applied and by the utilized display system. In an optical-technology-based system, some sensors have to be used to implement the monitoring system. However, in a video-based system can be applied computer vision techniques that complement the use of sensors, such as GPS receivers, magnetic sensors (bars), inertial sensors (accelerometers and gyroscopes) among others.

In recent years, some augmented reality systems based on video have been proposed that perform the monitoring by detecting characteristics or features of the image. The insertion of markers in known positions in the real environment facilitates the monitoring, but requires prior preparation of it.

Since the initial proposals at the beginning of the 90’s, augmented reality systems have been applied in fields such as medical visualization, repair, assembly and maintenance of machinery, planning of actions for robots, entertainment, education or construction, among others (Azuma, 1997). Most of these applications require very complex and expensive systems and they usually operate in interior environments. Alternatively, in recent years, some applications have been implemented that use a personal computer or mobile device, a webcam and a set of low cost sensors. Among them, we highlight the animated baseball cards for sale in the United States, or Wikitude World Browser for the iPhone (Wikitude, 2011).

Many of the proposals about low cost applications use open source libraries, such as ARToolKit (ARToolKit, 2011). ARToolKit includes a tracking system based on markers that allows us to show three-dimensional objects superimposed on the real image captured by a camera. Its main advantages are the availability of the code and the few requirements on devices (a computer and a video camera), so it becomes an ideal platform for developing augmented reality applications. Its main drawback is the need to prepare the work environment by placing markers, which makes it difficult to use in outdoor environments. However, this library has been used in numerous applications indoors (Kwon and Park, 2005) (Asai et al., 2004) (Nischelwitzer et al., 2007), and in some outdoor applications combined with other monitoring techniques (Guo et al., 2008).
In ARToolKit, objects superimposed on the markers can be displayed using the OpenGL library or loading a VRML model. In both cases, these representations are adapted for rendering on specialized graphics hardware. However, it should be noted that photorealistic rendering involves a considerable loss in rendering speed (Kang et al., 2000).

2.2 Light Fields

The image-based rendering techniques (IBR) are traditionally proposed as an alternative to geometry-based rendering for generating images of both real and synthetic objects. These techniques are independent of the geometric complexity of the represented objects. Moreover, they are really efficient in rendering images of a scene from different viewpoints by combining samples of available images.

Different approaches have been proposed to this technique: from the point-based representation (Levoy and Whitted, 1985) to the most innovative proposals in which a large number of images are used to render different viewpoints, interpreting these images from a 4D function obtained from the plenoptic function (Adelson and Bergen, 1991).

Light fields were firstly introduced by Levoy and Hanrahan (Levoy and Hanrahan, 1996) and Gortler et al. (Gortler et al., 1996). The light field allows us to represent complex geometric objects defined as the visible radiance in a point in one determined direction. From this data, it is possible to obtain the representation of the light flow of all lines passing through the point of view of a scene. This method allows us to synthesize non-existent images through a filtering process and the interpolation of some available images.

The plenoptic function was initially defined in (Adelson and Bergen, 1991) as the intensity of the light rays passing through the center of the camera for any point \((V_x, V_y, V_z)\) in all possible angles \((\theta, \phi)\) for each wavelength \(\lambda\) and in every time \(t\), as it is expressed in Equation 1.

\[
P_t = P(V_x, V_y, V_z, \theta, \phi, \lambda, t) \tag{1}
\]

Adelson and Bergen (Adelson and Bergen, 1991) considered as an essential task to achieve a useful and compact description for the local properties of this function. This idea was echoed by Wong et al. (Wong et al., 1997) who introduced \((\theta, \phi)\) as the light direction to ensure control of the lighting. McMillan and Bishop (McMillan and Bishop, 1995) introduced the concept of full plenoptic modeling from a 5D function considering the static environment (Equation 2).

\[
P_5 = P(V_x, V_y, V_z, \theta, \phi) \tag{2}
\]

This new definition of the plenoptic function was reduced to a 4D function in (Levoy and Hanrahan, 1996) by considering an occlusion-free space as a result of the no-variable behavior of the radiance along a line unless it is blocked. The analysis concludes with a space-oriented line function, parameterized by two planes at an arbitrary position. The formulation is shown in Equation 3.

\[
P_4 = P(u, v, s, t) \tag{3}
\]

where \((u, v)\) and \((s, t)\) are the coordinate systems of the foreground and background plane respectively. This type of parameterization is characterized by introducing distortions when performs a representation of the light field. Other types of representations present an isotropic parameterization, resulting in a uniform light field (Camahort et al., 1998).

The image-based rendering techniques offer a simple acquisition capability and a very realistic representation of complex lighting conditions. Among their advantages, we can highlight their low rendering complexity, which depends only on the resolution of the used images. Moreover, we can use some compression and simplifying image algorithms, more efficient than those applicable to geometric data. Finally, there is a possibility of using pre-acquired images of both real and synthetic objects, or even a mixture of both (Levoy and Hanrahan, 1996).

Different systems have been designed to allow the acquisition of a light field from a real object or scene (Liang et al., 2007). The light field model used in this work is based on a direction and point parameterization (DPP) with depth information associated to the light field radiance. This model can represent multiple objects with geometric information associated. The light field is represented as the sampling radiance data of the lines that intersect the convex hull of the object. The implementation of the DPP parametrization is based on a quasi-uniform discretization of the set of directions in the 3D Cartesian space that converts it to a 2D space. The use of depth information ensures a higher quality image and low requirement storage (Escriva et al., 2006).

3 GOALS

The main goal of this work is to design an augmented reality system that previews a virtual object using a light-field model. The most important advantages of using light fields are:

\[
P_5 = P(V_x, V_y, V_z, \theta, \phi) \tag{2}
\]
The visualization time is constant and does not depend on the object’s geometric complexity.

They allow the visualization of synthetic and real objects.

These advantages led us to propose an augmented reality system capable of handling scenes with a high level of complexity and/or real objects. This developed system will be very useful in many applications related to cultural heritage or virtual museum visualization, for instance. As a secondary goal, we propose the use of a low cost system consisting of a laptop computer or a mobile device, a web-cam, and an open-source library.

4 IMPLEMENTATION

In order to implement the proposed system, the ARToolKit library has been used as augmentation tool and a DPP-based light-field model with associated depth information. ARToolKit’s tracking system gives back a transformation matrix with the information about real camera position and orientation. Using this information, the virtual camera position can be established to ensure that the virtual object maintains a proper alignment with the marker detected in the image.

The system has been implemented on a DELL workstation with an Intel Xeon 2.8 GHz preprocessor and 1 GB of memory. Regarding the graphics hardware, it has been used an NVIDIA GeForce 7800 GTX graphic card with 512 MB of memory.

ARToolkits tracking system runs frame by frame. For each frame the visible marker in the image is detected. Then, the marker with the highest confidence is selected and it is generated a transformation matrix. The transformation maps the camera coordinate system to the coordinate system of the marker selected. This transformation is stored as a 4x4 matrix (\(M_T\)) and it is returned to the application for processing (see Figure 3). The system uses matrix \(M_T\) as the OpenGL modelview matrix to render the virtual objects.

That way the objects are rendered using a synthetic camera that has been registered with the real camera and the marker \(M_T\) matrix.
camera. We consider extracting the camera coordinate system from matrix $M_T$ directly. Note that $M_T$ is a coordinate system transformation, and its first three rows contain the director vectors $\text{Forward}$, $\text{Up}$ and $\text{Side}$. The fourth column is related to the viewing position. These relationships between $M_T$ and the camera coordinate system are illustrated in the following equation:

$$M_T = \begin{bmatrix}
S_X & S_Y & S_Z & T_X \\
U_X & U_Y & U_Z & T_Y \\
F_X & F_Y & F_Z & T_Z \\
- & - & - & -
\end{bmatrix}$$

(4)

Moreover, we extend this library by including a spherical light-field rendering algorithm, a version of the Lumigraph algorithm (Gortler et al., 1996). The validation of the system has been made by testing different light-fields and comparing the response time of the new algorithm versus the response time of ARToolKit’s original algorithm with VRML objects.

5 RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The new algorithm has been validated using several synthetic light-fields created in a previous research project. Figure 1 shows a capture for each one of them. The rendering of these light-field models is interactive: moving the markers makes it possible to visualize the objects from different viewpoints. Figure 2 shows the user’s interaction with a light-field and the ARToolKit’s markers.

As it has been mentioned before, the time necessary to render a light-field is geometrically independent. It just depends on the number of images used to build the model and on their resolutions. Table 1 illustrates some parameters of the used models, and the time needed to visualize them (in seconds). The resolution of the images used to render the models was $256 \times 256$. It is important to highlight the results relating to the rendering time: all the models reach a frame rate between 10 and 15 frames per second, very close to real time, when the camera moves around the object. The high level of photo-realism of the images and the constant visualization time, independent of the geometry, makes it possible to use this system in the visual inspection of complex virtual models, or even in light-fields of real objects.

Although the rendering cost of a light-field model is constant, if the object to represent is geometrically simple, we will get better rendering time with classical polygonal representations. In order to determine the geometric complexity of a model and to decide what kind of modeling should be used, some tests have been developed. Our experiments compare the rendering time of visualization a light-field representation with the one obtained with a classical ARToolKit version that uses VRML as representation format. The object to render is Brian Curless’ Dragon, available in VRML format at the Stanford repository and its light-field model, also acquired in a previous project. We evaluated the response time of our system by averaging the visualization time (for every 100 process cycles) and comparing the result with the values returned by ARToolKit when different levels of detail (LoD) are rendered. Table 2 shows the geometric characteristics of the different levels of detail involved in the experiment of the VRML model.

The light-field of Brian Curless’ Dragon was rendered from 27,300 images with a resolution of $256 \times 256$, obtained from 47,895 views. Table 3 compares the rendering time of all the models and Figure 5 shows a comparative chart of these results. The blue line represents the visualization time, in milliseconds, as a function of the number of polygons. The red line represents the visualization time of the light-field model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>Polygons</th>
<th>Images</th>
<th>Time (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gargoyle</td>
<td>478,950</td>
<td>27,300</td>
<td>0.04281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lion</td>
<td>1,311,956</td>
<td>1.700</td>
<td>0.03297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>umma</td>
<td>226,705</td>
<td>27,300</td>
<td>0.002969</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Characteristics of the different levels of detail.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LoD</th>
<th>Polygons</th>
<th>Vertices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>871,414</td>
<td>437,645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,742,828</td>
<td>875,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3,485,656</td>
<td>1,750,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6,971,312</td>
<td>3,501,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>13,942,624</td>
<td>7,002,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>27,885,248</td>
<td>14,004,640</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Rendering time of the different levels of detail.
in the developed augmented reality system is better than the one given by the geometric representation, as Figure 4 shows. Moreover, as the Artoolkit library was used, it is guaranteed in the developed system an accurate tracking process, preserving a real sensation on an augmented environment from different points of view.

Analyzing the results shown in Table 3 and in Figure 5, it can be concluded that the use of a light-field model is more suitable as a way of representation for geometric models with more than 3 million triangles. However, if the model to represent is formed by less than this number of polygons, it is better to render the model using a geometric representation.

Finally, we can conclude that the use of light-field models offers a higher visual quality than those that use geometrical representations, with a stable response time. This advance allows us the use of complex synthetic objects or those acquired from real objects.

6 FUTURE WORK

In order to render light-field models from real objects, a big amount of images from different viewpoints is needed. Nowadays, there exist many systems that capture spherical light-fields, but they are limited by the size of the object to be represented, or are even restricted to acquire the images in the lab, which is sometimes impossible. It is necessary to define some unstructured acquisition techniques that enable the acquisition of an object with a hand-held camera.

Another problem is the big amount of images needed to render the actual light-field models; this implies a high cost of storage. For this reason, it is necessary to devise new representation techniques involving a smaller amount of images, thus improving the response time.

Finally, the use of markers reduces the system’s capabilities only to indoor space. To consider another tracking system, based on low cost sensor technology, and the detection of singular features, could be a better solution. These modifications allow the use of this kind of application in outdoor space with mobile devices, depending of the graphic and processing capabilities.
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