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Abstract. Networks of Evolutionary Processors (NEPs) are one of the currently most used new types of natural computers. This paper briefly describes the model and some developing tools for NEPs. Then, it describes NEPs-Lingua, a new textual programming language for NEPs. Its two main goals are: reducing the size needed by other representations and keeping the syntax as close as possible to the one used to define NEPs in the literature. Some examples and future research lines are also discussed.

1 Motivation

A great deal of research effort is currently being made in the so called “natural computing” realm. “Natural computing” is mainly focused on the definition, formal description, analysis, simulation and programming of new models of computation (usually with the same expressive power as Turing Machines) inspired by Nature. Their bio-inspired nature makes these models specially suitable for the simulation of complex systems.

Some of the best known natural computers are Lindenmayer systems (L-systems, a kind of grammars with parallel derivation), cellular automata, DNA computing, genetic and evolutionary algorithms, multi agent systems, artificial neural networks, P-systems (computation inspired by membranes) and NEPs (or networks of evolutionary processors). This paper is devoted to this last model.

There are two main areas in which these models could be useful: as new architectures for computers, different from von Neumann’s machine; and as modelling tools to simulate complex systems for which “conventional approaches” (usually based on differential equations) are, in practice, difficult to handle.

Two steps are needed in both scenarios: 1) design a particular instance of the considered model able to solve the task under study (this step is equivalent to “programming” the model) and 2) “run” the model.

¹This work was partially supported by the R&D program of the Community of Madrid (S2009/TIC-1650, project “e-Madrid”) as well as by MTM 2007-63422. The authors thank Dr. Manuel Alfonseca for his help to prepare this document.
Several attempts have been made to build hardware devices to support these bio-inspired models. Some research groups are currently implementing in silico the basic components of P-systems [4], [9] describes other examples of hardware implementations of cellular automata, CAM-6 and its derivatives, that have been used for the simulation of complex systems (see [8]). But, unfortunately there are no real computers for almost all bio-inspired models. So, step 2 usually implies the simulation of the model in a “conventional” (von Neumann) computer.

Informally, and assuming that NP (nondeterministic polynomial time) \( \neq P \), NP is a complexity class that includes those problems whose solution by means of algorithms run on conventional computers requires more than polynomial time. We can informally understand more than polynomial as exponential. One of the most interesting features of these bio-inspired computers is their intrinsic parallelism. We can design algorithms for them that could improve the exponential performance of their classic versions. Nevertheless, when the models have to be simulated on conventional computers, the total amount of space needed to simulate the model and to actually run the algorithm usually becomes exponential. This may be one of the main reasons why natural computers are not widely used to solve real problems. Most of the simulators are not able to handle the size of non trivial problems. Grid, cloud computation and clusters offer an interesting and promising option to overcome the drawbacks of both solutions: “specific” hardware, and simulators run on von Neumann’s machines.

There are several research groups interested in programming tools for natural computers. These tools include textual and visual programming languages, compilers, sequential and parallel simulators.

P-Lingua ([5] and http://www.p-lingua.org) is a programming language for membrane computing which aims to be a standard to define P systems. One of its main characteristics is to remain as close as possible to the formal notation used in the literature to define P systems. Once he has formalized his P systems, the programmer does not need any additional effort to describe them with P-Lingua. P-Lingua is also the name of a software package that includes several built-in simulators for each supported model, as well as the compilers needed to simulate P-Lingua programs.

One of the current topics of interest of the authors of this paper is the development of programming tools for NEPs, which will be briefly described in the following sections. The current paper introduces NEPs-Lingua, the first textual programming language for NEPs. It is a first step to extend the P-Lingua approach to other bio-inspired models of computation. Our goal is to provide the researchers with a homogeneous family of languages for programming natural computers. The programmer familiar with a model will not have to learn a very different syntax if he tries to use other models. This is the reason why NEPs-Lingua is designed to be similar to P-Lingua. NEPs-Lingua has two main goals that will also be described in detail later: 1) Like P-Lingua, it aims to provide the researchers with a syntax as close as possible to the one used to describe NEPs in the literature. 2) It tries to ease some usually boring, mechanical and time-consuming tasks needed to describe NEPs with the input formalisms of the available tools.
2 NEPs

A Network of evolutionary processors (NEP [1]) can be defined as a graph whose nodes are processors which perform very simple operations on strings and send the resulting strings to other nodes. Every node has filters that block some strings from being sent and/or received.

NEPs-Lingua also support PNEPs (Parsing NEPs), an extension to NEPs introduced in [7] to handle context free grammars.

**NEPs and PNEPs: Definitions and Key Features.** Following [1] we introduce the basic definition of NEPs.

**Definition** A Network of Evolutionary Processors of size $n$ is a construct:

$$
\Gamma = (V, N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_n, G),
$$

where:

- $V$ is an alphabet and for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$,
- $N_i = (M_i, A_i, P_I_i, P_O_i)$ is the $i$-th evolutionary node processor of the network.

The parameters of every processor are:

- $M_i$ is a finite set of evolution rules of just one of the following forms:
  i. $a \rightarrow b$, where $a, b \in V$ (substitution rules),
  ii. $a \rightarrow \varepsilon$, where $a \in V$ (deletion rules),
  iii. $\varepsilon \rightarrow a$, where $a \in V$ (insertion rules),
  iv. $a \rightarrow s$, where $a \in V$, $s \in V^*$ (context free rules applied to change a symbol by a string) PNEPs replace substitution rules by this kind of rules.

- $A_i$ is a finite set of strings over $V$. The set $A_i$ is the set of initial strings in the $i$-th node.

- $P_I_i$ and $P_O_i$ respectively representing the input and the output filters. These filters are defined by the membership condition, namely a string $w \in V^*$ can pass the input filter (the output filter) if $w \in P_I_i(w \in P_O_i)$. In this paper we will use two kind of filters:
  * Those defined as two components $(P, F)$ of Permitting and Forbidding contexts (a word $w$ passes the filter if (alphabet of $w \subseteq P) \land (F \cap$ alphabet of $w = \emptyset)$).
  * Those defined as regular expressions $r$ (a word $w$ passes the filter if $w \in L(r)$, where $L(r)$ is the language defined by the regular expression $r$).

- $G = (\{N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_n\}, E)$ is an undirected graph called the underlying graph of the network. The edges of $G$, that is the elements of $E$, are given in the form of sets of two nodes. The complete graph with $n$ vertices is denoted by $K_n$.

Further formal details on the way in which NEPs evolve can be found in [1].
3 Programming Tools for NEPs

The authors of this paper have proposed a development environment for programming NEPs that includes a Java NEP simulator (jNEP), a Java graphical viewer of its simulations (jNEPview), and a domain specific visual language for NEPs designed with AToM³ (NEPVL). In the following paragraphs we will briefly introduce these modules.

NEPs-Lingua and its compilers will be integrated in this environment as its textual programming language.

jNEP and jNEPview. jNEP [3] reads the definition of the NEP from an XML configuration file that contains special tags for any relevant components in the NEP (alphabet, stopping conditions, the complete graph, every edge, the evolutionary processors with their respective rules, filters and initial contents). Despite the complexity of these XML files, the interested reader can see that the tags and their attributes have self-explaining names and values.

We show below, as an example, the configuration file of a very simple NEP. It has two nodes that, respectively, delete and insert the symbol B. The initial word AB travels from one node to the other. The first node removes the symbol B from the string before leaving it in the net. The other node receives the string A and adds again the symbol B. The resulting string comes back to the initial node and the same process takes place again.

```xml
<NEP nodes="2">
  <ALPHABET symbols="A_B"/>
  <GRAPH> <EDGE vertex1="0" vertex2="1"/> </GRAPH>
  <EVOLUTIONARY_PROCESSORS>
    <NODE initCond="A_B">
      <EVOLUTIONARY_RULES>
        <RULE ruleType="deletion" actionType="RIGHT" symbol="B" newSymbol=""/>
      </EVOLUTIONARY_RULES>
      <FILTERS> <INPUT type="2" permittingContext="A_B" forbiddingContext=""/>
        <OUTPUT type="2" permittingContext="A_B" forbiddingContext=""/>
      </FILTERS>
    </NODE>
    <NODE initCond="">
      <EVOLUTIONARY_RULES>
        <RULE ruleType="insertion" actionType="RIGHT" symbol="B" newSymbol=""/>
      </EVOLUTIONARY_RULES>
      <FILTERS> <INPUT type="2" permittingContext="A_B" forbiddingContext=""/>
        <OUTPUT type="2" permittingContext="A_B" forbiddingContext=""/>
      </FILTERS>
    </NODE>
  </EVOLUTIONARY_PROCESSORS>
  <STOPPING_CONDITION>
    <CONDITION type="MaximumStepsStoppingCondition" maximum="8"/>
  </STOPPING_CONDITION>
</NEP>
```

(XML configuration file for a simple NEP with just two processors that send the words A and B back and forth)
Figure 2 contains, as an example, the windows used by jNEPview to show the network topology of one of the NEPs under study. Further details on jNEPview can be found in [2].

**NEPVL.** AToM³ is a python platform to develop domain specific visual languages. We have used it to design NEPVL.

![Fig. 1. NEPVL program for the NEP with two processors.](image)

Figure 1 shows the NEPVL program that defines the same NEP with two processors previously described. Further details about AToM³ and NEPVL can be found in [6].

### 4 The NEPs-Lingua Syntax

In the following paragraphs we describe, mainly by examples, the syntax of NEPs-Lingua. A full ANTLR² description of the complete grammar may be asked from the authors. The main components of a NEPs-Lingua program are atomic data, comments, nodes, the alphabet, the initial contents of the nodes, evolutionary rules, filters, the connections of the NEP graph and stopping conditions.

**Atoms.** There are two classes of atomic data: alphanumeric strings of symbols (they have to start with an alphabetic character); and integer arithmetic expressions, with the usual mathematical notation, that include the operators in the set \{∧(power), +, −, *, /\}

**Comments.** The typical C++ comments are also available in NEPs-Lingua.

² ANTLR is a Java tool to design top-down parsers and language processors, developed by Terence Par. Further information can be found at [http://www.antlr.org/](http://www.antlr.org/)
**Line Comments.** For example `// Comment`. The comment includes every symbol until the end of the line.

**Multi Line comments.** For example
```
/* ... Comment ... */
```
Where the comment includes everything (even the *end of line* markers) between the symbols `"/*"` and `"*/"`.

**Alphabet.** It is the alphabet of the NEP, a set of strings of symbols. The expression `@A={X, S, a, b, o, O}` defines an alphabet that contains the elements "X", "S", "a", "b", "O", and "o".

**Nodes.** This is the most complex type of NEPs-Lingua data. There are two classes of nodes: with and without indexes. There are two kinds of indexes: numeric (defined by a range) and symbolic (defined by a set of strings of symbols). The syntax of indexes with numeric ranges is borrowed from P-Lingua.

- **Non Indexed Nodes.** The expression `{initial, final}` defines two nodes without indexes with names `initial` and `final`.

- **Indexed Nodes.** The example defines a family of nodes with two indexes. One of them (i) takes its values from the interval `[0, 10]`. The values of the other (j) are taken from the set `{o, a, b}`.

  `{m(i,j): 0<=i<=10, j->{o,a,b}}`

The explicit set of the 33 defined nodes is `{m0,a, m0,b, m0,c, ..., m10,a, m10,b, m10,c}`.

**Initial Content.** It describes the set of strings that a given node initially contains. Notice that the node is written as a parameter of the content directive `@c`. The expression `@c{n(X)} = {X, S}` sets the initial content of the node `nX` to `{X, S}`.

**Rules.** Each type of rule has a different notation. Notice that, as in P-Lingua, the symbol `#` stands for the empty string and the string `-->` separates the left and right sides of the rule. The sentences `# -->a, a -->#` and `S-->aSb` are examples of respectively insertion, deletion, and substitution (or deriving) rules.

All the rules for a given node are given together in the same sentence. The sentence `@r{n(S)} = {S-->aSb, S-->ab}` assigns two deriving rules to the node `nS`.
Filters. Each processor needs an input and an output filter. Different papers previously mentioned define three components in the filters: their type and the permitting and forbidding contexts. We have grouped the different filters of the literature in six types (depending on the way in which they are applied): types from 1 to 4 and filters defined by means of regular expressions or by means of sets of strings. Both contexts are just sets of symbols described by means of regular patterns or explicit sets of strings. The following examples define several filters:

\[
\text{@pif}\{n(S)\} = \{1, \{abc, oo\}\}
\]
\[
\text{@fof}\{\text{initial}\} = \{\text{regular_pattern}, ( ((a[]b)+) [] (c+ ) ) [ # ] \}
\]
\[
\text{@pif}\{n(2,a)\} = \{\text{set}, \{a, ab, aabb\}\}
\]

where \text{@pif} and \text{@fof} stand respectively for permitting input and forbidding output filter (the same for forbidding input and permitting output filters). In regular expressions [], [], +, * , # represent intersection, union, + and *, and the empty string.

Connections. This element makes it possible to get a compact representation of NEPs. There are two ways of defining connections: the directive \text{@complete}, that stands for a complete graph; and an explicit set of connections defined by means of pairs of nodes. The next examples show both options:

\[
\text{@C} = \text{@complete}
\]
\[
\text{@C} = \{ (\text{final}, n(X)), (n(X), m(9,a)) \}
\]

Stopping conditions. The stopping conditions are written in a set after the directive \text{@S}. Each kind of condition is represented by its name and its required parameters. Both names and parameters are easy to identify in the following example:

\[
\text{@S} = \{ \text{@no\_change}, \text{@max\_steps} = 3 + 4, \text{@non\_empty\_node}\{n(O), n(X)\} \}
\]

where \text{@no\_change} stands for two consecutive equal configurations; \text{@max\_steps} requires an expression to define the number of steps (the NEP stops after taking the given number of steps); and \text{@non\_empty\_node} includes a set of nodes whose contents are initially empty (the NEP stops when one of these nodes receives some string).

5 Examples

In this section we will show some complete NEPs-Lingua programs. Our main goal is to highlight the two main characteristics of NEPs-Lingua: reducing the size and keeping close to the formal notation. For this purpose we will compare several NEPs-Lingua programs with NEPs examples taken from the literature. For space reasons, we refer to the original papers for the detailed definition of the examples.

Reducing the Size of the Representations. First we show the NEPs-Lingua program for the example with two processors previously described. We can appreciate with this simple example that the NEPs-Lingua program is more compact than the other two representations (see the corresponding XML source and figure 1).
The reduction in size is greater as the complexity of the NEP increases. NEPs usually have complete graphs.

Figure 2 shows the jNEPview window for a NEP with a complete graph with 9 nodes.

The XML configuration file for this NEP is forced to explicitly contain all the nodes and connections (see the XML sample previously shown) while the NEPs-Lingua source has to contain just the following two sentences:

@N={ n(i): 0 <= i <= 8}
@C=@complete

[1] shows a NEP able to solve a small instance of the well known graph coloring problem with three different colours. It needs a complete graph with more many nodes than in the previous example.
The jNEPview window for this NEP is not shown in this paper because it is difficult to handle: it looks like a ball of yarn. Once again the NEPs-Lingua program needs just the following two sentences:

@N={ n(i): 0 <= i <= 50 }  \ Definition of 51 nodes
@C=complete

Keeping NEPs-Lingua as Close as Possible to the Formal Notation used in the Literature. The interested reader can easily see in the references for the last two examples (3-SAT and 3 coloring) that NEPs-Lingua syntax is mainly inspired by the formal notation used in the literature to describe NEPs.

[7] contains another example: a NEP associated with the context free grammar for axiom X with the derivation rules \{X \to SO, S \to aSh, S \to ab, O \to a, O \to aO, O \to Oo\}

It is easy to see that the following NEPs-Lingua program for this NEP is quite similar to its formal definition.

@A={X,S,a,b,o,O} // Alphabet
@N= {final}+ {n{symbol}:symbol->{X,S,O}} /* Nodes associated with non terminal symbols */
@c{n{X}}={X} // Initial content of the axiom node
@r{n{X}}= {X-->SO} // Deriving rules for the axiom
@r{n{S}}= {S-->aSh, S-->ab}
@r{n{O}}= {O-->o, O-->oO, O-->Oo}
@C=complete // The graph is complete
@S={ @non_empty_node={final} } // Stopping conditions

6 NEPs Lingua Semantics

The semantic constraints that every NEPs-Lingua program has to satisfy are outlined below:

- It has to contain exactly one alphabet and one set of node declarations.
- It needs at most one of the following elements:
  - Connection declaration set. By default, the graph is considered complete.
  - Set of stopping conditions.@no_change is assumed by default.
- Filters, rules and initial contents are optional.
- Nodes have to be defined before their use.
- Each symbol representing rules, filters and initial contents has to be included in the alphabet.

NEPs-Lingua compilers should ensure these conditions. The usual way of controlling the last one is by means of a symbol table that is filled while processing the declaration sentences and is consulted by the sentences that use nodes and symbols.

We have used different Hashtable Java objects to check these constrains.

The following example shows some semantic mistakes:
- The third, fourth and fifth lines contain the symbol $B$, which is not in the alphabet.
- The second line defines the index $j$, while the declared one is $i$
- The fifth line defines the rules for the node $n_2$, but the value for index (2) is invalid

7 Further Research Lines

Code Generators. In the future we intend to provide our system with some code generators. The first one will translate NEPs-Lingua programs into the XML configuration files that jNEP uses as input. It could also be used to generate the same python programs that the NEPVL software uses.

Extensions. Although this simple syntax seems to be expressive enough for the NEPs we have found in the literature, we are considering the following extensions, usually present in programming languages, global variables and parametrized sub-NEPs.
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