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Abstract: In recent years web applications have evolved from pure data-centric towards complex process-based applications that involve multiple users, organizations and systems. Web applications in the area of healthcare have been particularly affected by this evolution. New process-oriented technologies like business process management systems were used for the development of such web applications. They facilitate the implementation of the processes by providing tools for the process design, execution, administration and integration and guarantee performance and scalability. However, most web applications are implemented conventionally and therefore, cannot take advantage from these new technologies. What they are lacking is a methodology for converting conventionally implemented, intrinsically process-oriented web applications to process-oriented platforms. In the following article, a methodology is introduced that shows how web applications may be re-engineered towards process-oriented platforms. Furthermore, the relevance of this methodology to solve the challenges arising in a concrete web application in the area of healthcare, specifically incident reporting in hospitals, is outlined.

1 INTRODUCTION

The web has become the major platform for business processes involving multiple users, organizations and systems. Healthcare has been particularly affected by this trend because of the extensive and complex nature of the corporation and collaboration required between the employees of hospitals, the pharmaceutical and medical engineering companies and of course patients. The work is intrinsically process-oriented and web applications are a useful technology to integrate participants from different organizations. The main driver for the general trend towards process-orientation is business process management (BPM). Although BPM has already been an IT-related discipline for a long time, the terminology is sometimes confusing. The present paper uses the terms business process and BPM. The slightly differences to the terminology “workflow” or “workflow management (WFM)” are not considered. A discussion and clarification of the various BPM terminologies can be found in (Ko, 2009). BPM is defined as “supporting business processes using methods, techniques and software to design, enact, control and analyze operational processes involving humans, organizations, applications, documents and other sources of information” (van der Aalst, ter Hofstede and Weske, 2003).

According to van der Aalst these steps describe the BPM life cycle as shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Van der Aalst et al.’s BPM life cycle (van der Aalst et al., 2003).
While the first generation of web applications dedicated to e-commerce, content publication and management focused on data-centric user interaction e.g. uploads or searches, process-oriented web applications were developed to create a distinct process, consisting of consecutive system and user activities executed under consideration of a predefined rule-based control flow. During the execution, different user roles claim user activities and different systems are integrated realizing the system activities. The design and implementation of process-oriented web applications make new demands on implementation platforms and methodologies (Brambilla, Ceri and Fraternali, 2006).

Therefore, many process-oriented platforms and frameworks have emerged in the last years. Software tools supporting the management of such operational processes have become known as business process management systems (BPMS) (van der Aalst et al., 2003), (Brambilla et al., 2006).

A BPMS in general consists at least of the following components as depicted in Figure 2 (Strohmeier, 2008), (Chang, 2006).

- Process Designer: Supports the design of the business process and its technical realization by graphical representations. Within the designer it is possible to define user and system activities and to define rules which steer the process flow during execution.

- Process Engine: Execution and steering of the complete process involving all users and systems. The engine uses an executable code that was generated out of the graphical representation of the modeled process.

- Process Analysis: This component is necessary to analyze the process definition and execution. In particular it has the functionality of process simulation, process monitoring and business activity monitoring (BAM).

- Process Application: Different User Interfaces for different user roles e.g. administrators, business analysts, process owners, collaborators. By means of these interfaces it is possible to start, interact, control and analyze processes.

- Process Persistence: The BPMS needs a persistence layer for storing process definitions (process repository) and the current states of the executed processes (process execution).

- Integration Services: The system activities of a process integrate services and transaction from different systems (data bases, legacy systems and so on). BPM is the “killer application” of a service-oriented architecture (SOA). Each service of the SOA can be integrated for the implementation of a business process.

![Figure 2: The core components of a BPMS (Strohmeier, 2008), (Chang, 2006).](image)

The main benefits embedding a BPMS into process-oriented web applications compared to the conventional web application development are:

- Transparency of the processes within the software due to the graphical process designer. Hence, know-how is more easily transferred to new developers. Transparency is the assumption of agility and therefore is the biggest advantage of embedding BPMS over using conventional software development.

- Integration of non-IT end users in the development process. In dynamic web applications new organizations have to be integrated quickly by adapting their processes. This can be done best by involving the end user in the development process. BPMS enable the incorporation of end-users by graphical representations and simulations. A similar approach can be found in Nussbaumer, Freudenstein and Gaedke (2006).

- Better maintainability, agility and easier extensibility and faster development. Individual processes can be created and integrated faster and with less risk of side effects due to graphical process modeling, less coding and simulation.

- Flexible change management. Due to long running processes, there are situations where the same process has to be supported in different versions. This is a problem to conventionally implemented applications, but not for BPM-based ones because the process definitions are separated from the web application and can exist in different versions at the same time.

- With respect to continual improvement, BPMSs make it easy to administrate and monitor running processes. This is the base for optimizing the web application’s processes.
Despite of this trend and the benefits of BPMSs, most process-oriented web applications are implemented conventionally. That means they are developed using a standard web application programming framework like PHP, ASP.NET or JEE and the processes are implemented directly. Reasons for this are:

- In general, web applications have a history. The development was started several years ago when process-oriented platforms were in their infancy. Therefore, with time and money already invested in their web application, the decision to migrate the application to a BPMS is a big step and associated with new investments.
- BPMS technology is expensive. Many applications do not justify the investment into specialized process-oriented platforms.
- Commercial BPMS technology is complex and new to the casual web application developer. Hence, the company must invest in BPMS knowledge before it can take advantage of the benefits. Only few Open Source products exist.
- There is a lack of methodologies that describe how to migrate a process-oriented web application to a BPMS. There are few experiences to follow for such projects which increase the risk of failure.

Particularly in non-business domains like e.g. healthcare these reasons are even more fatal. Public services are always short on cash and IT-staff capacity. Nevertheless there is the same need for intra- and inter-organizational processes as in business domains. The motivation and need for re-engineering process-oriented web applications is founded in the nature of non-business domains and conventional web development. Together with the success of a web application the number of new requirements of the users is increasing quickly, too. The result is a monolithic system that involves a lot of special process branches. Transparency and maintenance suffer. The web application know-how is distributed among few software experts. The only way out is to re-engineer the application and because of its process-oriented nature the use of BPMSs is preferable.

Gartner reported that as of 2006 the BPMS market had reached nearly $1.7 billion dollar in total software revenue and it was further estimated that the BPMS market will have a compound annual growth rate of more than 24% from 2006-2011 (Hill, Cantara, Deitert and Kerremans, 2007). Fortunately, with the increase of commercial products, open source projects have come along (e.g. JBOSS jBPM by Red Hat Inc. (Red Hat, 2010)). Commercial suites are more powerful but for the adoption in the area of process-oriented web applications, the existing open source platforms are powerful enough. Open source BPMS products are complex. On the other hand, they do rely on open standards and popular programming languages. Hence, the effort for the familiarization with the new technology is justifiable and doable.

There are numerous web design and modeling methods that cover different aspects of designing data-centric web applications, e.g. Schwabe and Rossi (1998), Ceri and Bongio, (2000), Gomez, Cachero and Pastor (2001). None of these methodologies addresses the design and implementation of processes in web applications. The missing link between existing conventionally implemented process-oriented web applications and process-oriented platforms is a methodology that describes how to migrate these web applications to the BPMS target platform. In Brambilla et al. (2006), process modeling and process distribution is incorporated into the design of process-centric web applications. The authors propose to extend the development process of such web applications in line with Boehm’s classic spiral model and modern web and software engineering methods by these two steps. Unfortunately, the resulting method is too abstract, does not cover the implementation of the web application using a BPMS and is therefore not yet complete. Another approach is to look at best practices of BPM (Miers, 2006). The consecutive steps of the BPM life cycle are refined in order to make the procedure more concrete and practical. Following the methodology helps realizing and managing business processes in practice. The approach does not discuss web applications and their conversion to a BPMS and is therefore not sufficient for our problem either.

In the following section, a methodology that combines both approaches is presented. The idea of Brambilla et al. (2006) is picked up and integrated in the best practice approach from Miers (2006) and van der Aalst et al. (2003) BPM life cycle. The result is a methodology that enables the re-engineering of a conventionally implemented, intrinsically process-oriented web application by embedding a BPMS. By means of the combination of a theoretical web engineering method with a best practice BPM method a new method arises that claims to have a solid theoretical fundament. Further, it is realistic enough to meet the requirements of practical use. The potential of the methodology for challenges in healthcare web
applications is sketched in section 3.

2 THE METHODOLOGY

The fundamental difference between the source and the target architecture has first be understood. Conventionally implemented web applications create processes implicitly. That means the process logic is implemented using the programming language of choice and the state of each process is stored in the database used by the web application. Process control- and data-flows are implemented indirectly using the database. For example, the logic of a XOR-Gateway can be controlled by an if-clause that stores a data set or attribute in dependence of the evaluation of a condition in different database tables.

In contrast, embedding a process-oriented platform separates the processes from the rest of the web application. The processes are controlled explicitly. Using the wording of object-oriented programming, process models can be regarded as classes that can be instantiated. Equivalent to objects of classes, each process instance hides an internal state. The state can be manipulated by user and system activities (corresponding to methods in the object-oriented scenario) until the process terminates. Process models are designed using a special process notation like OMG’s Business Process Modeling Notation (BPMN) (OMG, 2010), (White and Miers, 2008). The BPMS translates the process model into an executable format like e.g. BPEL (Alves, 2006) or XPDL (WfMC, 2010) that can be executed by the embedded process engine (Ouyang, Dumas, van der Aalst, ter Hofstede and Mendling, 2009). The persistence of the internal state of the processes is guaranteed by the BPMS and its internal database.

Hence, the main difference between the two architectures is in the way the processes are implemented. While in the conventional case the processes are implemented implicitly, the new architecture implements them explicitly by embedding a process engine. The methodology for re-engineering consists of four major steps that are executed iteratively until the migration is successfully finished. Each major step consists of several minor steps that describe the concrete activities more precisely and generate important artifacts for a successful re-engineering. In the following, the methodology will be explained in detail and is depicted in Figure 3.

Discover an Understand: the re-engineering starts with an analysis of the underlying web application. The existing processes have to be unsheathed. This step is an analogy for the work of a business analyst in a company who tries to understand and model a concrete business process. The difference is that the process is not hidden in the minds of the company’s employees, but in the present web application. Due to the iterative nature of the methodology, it is possible to start with a subset of the embedded processes. In each iteration, more and more processes can be added until the complete set of processes is addressed. The best practice is to start small but think big. Within this step, several artifacts have to be generated. First of all, a non-technical model of the considered processes must be created documenting control and data-flow complete with non-technical iteration to iteration process map. This is an important output of the step. Furthermore, the existing user roles and their activities within the processes have to be stated.

Design and Implementation: the next step addresses the technical process model. In it an executable model of the analyzed processes is build. While the first step can be done by business analysts, the second step is handled by process engineers using general software. The process engineers have to work tightly together with the original web application developers. They augment the non-technical business process model by implementing the details. They have to design and embed the forms to recognize for the user tasks. They have to define and implement system activities such as database accesses or web service calls. Further, they implement business rules for the control flow of the processes. By separating the processes from the rest of the web application, a
redesign of the underlying data base scheme of the web application is necessary doing such things as eliminating redundant tables and attributes. The state of the processes is considered in the present data base scheme and is no longer needed. Moreover, an architecture has to be build that describes how the integration of the process engine in the web application is arranged. Following this the technical processes are integrated following this architecture.

In addition, the authentication and authorization concept of the web application has to be mapped to the BPMS. Ideally, it is possible to use the BPMS of choice for the first two steps. Unfortunately, in practice it is often necessary to use different software tools for both steps. Hence, a lot of discipline and manual work is necessary to align the non-technical and the technical process model.

Deployment and Test: following the development and implementation, the technical processes have to be deployed to the process engine and. Besides the casual test scenarios for software applications, there are several aspects that have to be tested because of the special target architecture. The processes must be tested using the administration console of the BPMS and the web application as well. This test gives information about the quality and correctness of integration. The tests have to prove that the control- and the data-flow of the process are implemented correctly. The process analysis tools (e.g. business activity monitoring) of the BPMS employed is very helpful. Such tools allow an activity based debugging.

Further, user and system activities can be tested individually. The functionality of forms and correctness of service calls using the integration services of the BPMS are subject to these tests. Another special test affects the mapping of authentication and authorization rules of the web application to the defined user roles and activities in the designed processes. The tests have to verify that user activities are only claimed by the designated users of the web application.

Evaluation and Review: this last step of the iteration collects the results of the testing step. While little errors are fixed directly in step 3, conceptual problems are evaluated and reviewed in step 4. These can either be technical or non-technical problems such as where testing has detected performance problems with a process. This technical problem can be addressed by performance measurements. Alternative implementations can be inspected and simulated. It may be discovered that some of the original processes of the web application emerge to be (non-technically) suboptimal. These processes can be optimized and alternative process models can be simulated.

In terms of the continual re-engineering approach the next iteration is started for missing processes or processes that have to be optimized according to step 4. If all processes of the web application have been re-engineered and if the evaluation and review step has been finished without any open issues then the migration can be finished successfully. The new process-oriented web-application can replace the old production system. It is important to manage and improve the resulting production system with a concrete BPM life cycle as defined in Miers (2006).

3 RELEVANCE FOR HEALTH CARE WEB APPLICATIONS

In many countries errors in medicine are estimated to be among the ten leading causes of death (WHO, 2005), (Kohn, 2006). The number of adverse events is about tenfold higher. Errors with no negative outcome (incidents, near-misses) are much more frequent. Cases with patient harm are only the tip of the iceberg. If we look at “errors in medicine” as a serious diagnosis, we do not know enough about the methods of preventing, diagnosing and treating this “illness”. Clearly, most errors are not due to a basic lack of medical knowledge of health care professionals, but problems of applying that knowledge under the imperfect real world conditions of patient care (Rall and Gaba, 2005). The IOM (Institute of Medicine) concludes that identifying and learning from errors by developing a nationwide public mandatory reporting system and by encouraging healthcare organizations and practitioners to develop and participate in voluntary reporting systems. Based on Lucian Leape’s recommendations for incident reporting systems the World Health Organization (WHO) published the guidelines for safe and effective reporting systems as shown in Table 1 (WHO, 2005).

“If reporting is safe and provides useful information from expert analysis, it can measurably improve safety.” (Leape, 2002)

The Tübingen Center for Patient Safety and Simulation (TüPASS) has the task to improve patient safety in hospitals and was founded in 1997 and pursued several strategies. Besides simulation training of clinical personnel, one of the main topics is the web-based collection and analysis of incidents and critical incidents (incident reporting system; IRS). Our IRS PaSIS (Patient-Safety Information System) is a web-application written in PHP that is used by more than 70 hospitals and over 30 rescue
Table 1: Characteristics of Successful Reporting Systems; Adapted by Leape (2002) from Cohen, Conell.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-punitive</th>
<th>Reporters are free from fear of retaliation against themselves or punishment of others as a result of reporting.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Confidential</td>
<td>The identities of the patient, reporter, and institution are never revealed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>The reporting system is independent of any authority with power to punish the reporter or the organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expert analysis</td>
<td>Reports are evaluated by experts who understand the clinical circumstances and are trained to recognize underlying systems causes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timely</td>
<td>Reports are analysed promptly and recommendations are rapidly disseminated to those who need to know, especially when serious hazards are identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems-oriented</td>
<td>Recommendations focus on changes in systems, processes, or products, rather than being targeted at individual performance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsive</td>
<td>The agency that receives reports is capable of disseminating recommendations. Participating organizations commit to implementing recommendations whenever possible.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

helicopter bases in central Europe and has more than 3000 reports. All reports undergo an active professional four-eye anonymization and de-identification process by domain experts trained in incident reporting and using checklist protocols to prevent any lapses in de-identification.

After de-identification, most reports can be read in full text by all employees. This is meant to sensitize all by reading all the cases and to stimulate discussion about patient safety in the department and to report your own cases. All reports are manually tagged with key words for meaningful search results; they are classified according to the U.K. NHS NPSA contributory factors framework (Vincent, Taylor-Adams and Chapman, 2000), (Vincent, 2004),(Vincent, 2003) and also categorized with the CRM (Crisis Resource Management) key points(Howard, Gaba and Fish, 1992), (Rall and Dieckmann, 2005). The nature of an IRS is truly process-oriented, and therefore faces several challenges:

- PaSIS is characterized by long running processes (several weeks or months) that involve various process participants in different organizations, e.g. the report author, medical experts, employees of medical engineering or pharmaceutical companies. Even patients report incidences to the system. Finally, reports and solutions can be made public after de-identification, analysis and approval.
- Furthermore, the application does not consist of one standard process. Because of different structures, the requirements of the participating hospitals are very different and individual processes have to be implemented. Moreover, the system is open to new client hospitals and grows continuously. At the moment, customizing the system for new hospitals is a complex and time-consuming task, because the processes have to be implemented conventionally.
- Another challenge is to open the system for new types of clients like e.g. medical practices. Together with these new client types, new processes with new user roles have to be implemented and integrated from scratch in a transparent and user-centric manner.
- In this sense, it is profitable to involve new clients in designing the individual processes. In general, contact persons of new clients are medical experts and not software engineers. Therefore, a business process modeling notation has to be used that is understandable by medical employees.
- Another aspect of the application is that different versions of the processes have to be executed simultaneously. This is necessary, because processes change and process instances of older versions are still in the system. The challenge is to guarantee that the instances of the old versions still run correctly and are able to terminate. Sometimes the update of instances to newer versions is preferable.
- Because of the big variety of incident cases, the analyzing process is very complex, extensive and has to be open to new techniques. Even the number of stakeholders connected to an incident case change with the underlying and contributing factors.

Conventional web application development is not capable of managing these requirements satisfactorily. In contrast, embedding modern process-oriented software architectures or platforms following the introduced methodology has many
benefits for the system’s implementation. Applying the methodology assumes the choice of a process-oriented target platform.

4 A PROCESS-ORIENTED ARCHITECTURE

As stated earlier, the various BPMSs are complex and diverse. Due to the embedding of the process engine within the web application it is advisable to choose a rather lightweight system. Hence, the open source system jBPM is adequate. jBPM is a java based tool, which is easy to integrate into an existing java based environment and it is also a framework, that allows the user to implement the main stages of BPM. Its focus is to provide a bridge between non-technical business users and developers. To reach this goal, jBPM consists of a powerful process engine as well as a modeler provided by the company Signavio (Signavio, 2010). jBPM also offers an eclipse plug-in based tool to describe processes in a formal language called jPDL. In Version 4.3, the user can choose between jPDL and BPMN 2.0 in order to model the processes. The plug-in does not as yet provide BPMN 2.0. The process engine also offers a configurable environment to execute the predesigned processes. In addition, it provides tools to analyze and audit the history of process executions in order to improve processes and make more accurate business decisions (Salatino, 2009).

The jBPM target platform can be divided into three main components. First, there is the development environment. Here the developer designs the business processes with designing tools in a graphical notation (BPMN 2.0 or jPDL), creates the required forms and embeds them into the process. The second component is the administration interface. It is an administration and monitoring console that allows inspection and manipulation of runtime instances and management of the deployed processes. For these reasons, the JBoss-provided GWT-based jBPM console will be used. Figure 4 sketches the resulting process-oriented architecture.

The main component of the architecture is still the actual IRS web application where the IRS-process is initiated and where incident reports are composed, anonymized, analyzed and handled. But this is done in a different way. The original web application is extended by the communication with the jBPM-engine. The jBPM-engine itself is transparent to the user. The process-oriented part of the web application is made visible and transparent within the development process by realizing it graphically with jPDL. Unfortunately, jBPM does not yet provide an official, generic interface for non-java environments (e.g. a rest interface); therefore, the interaction of the web application with jBPM is realized using JEE-technology. The PHP application sends requests to a JEE web application that realizes a seamless integration of jBPM into the PHP application. The JEE web application interacts with jBPM and responds to the PHP application. In our architecture the XForms standard of the W3C (W3C, 2010) is used to design the different forms. The forms are completed with process variables using FreeMarker, a java template engine (FreeMarker, 2010). The forms are rendered by the java web application Orbeon (Orbeon, 2010). Process data is managed by the process instance. Access to data or the state of running processes is possible using the jBPM-API or using the management console of jBPM. Before process termination, the relevant process data (in our case the incident report, the analysis, and so on) have to be stored in the original data base of the IRS web application.

5 TOWARDS A PROCESS-INTEGRATED IRS

The detailed realization of the IRS processes for more than 70 hospitals is a time consuming venture. In order to verify the presented methodology and process-oriented architecture, a default IRS process was implemented successfully on our process-oriented architecture using the suggested
methodology. This process features no exceptional conditions, error conditions or special cases. Although, the default process is not that complex as the actual implementations, it is meaningful enough and can be used as a proof of concept for the architecture and the methodology. The methodology allows refining the process step by step. Hence, the default process can be used as a starting point for the real processes of the several participating hospitals. Only one iteration of our methodology was necessary to create the default process. In the following, we will outline some aspects of this iteration.

The requirement for the first step of the methodology was an instance of the original system serving as a reference. By that we were able to survey the view of the several process participants to the system. Within this step, we had several meetings together with the developers and users of the system. We decided to use the BPMN. First, we had to create a common understanding of the system. The result is what we call a strategic process model. This model describes the main participants (user roles and systems) and the order of their main activities (see Figure 5). In this phase, it is advisable to disregard all the special cases and exceptional or error conditions of the real process.

After creating a common understanding of the IRS process, we started to model its operative details. The result is an operational process model that is needed for several reasons:

1. It helps process participants to orientate during daily work.
2. Process analysts can use it as a base for improvement.
3. The model is the starting point for the process implementation.

The operational model even includes the role of the process engine (see Figure 6). At this point, you have to decide which degree of accuracy you want to achieve in the first iteration of our methodology. Although we had more detailed models as results of our meetings, we decided to use the operational model of the default process (also called “happy path”) as input for the design and implementation step. This is reasonable, because we wanted to use the first iteration as a proof of concept for our approach.

In the design and implementation step, we turn the operational process model into a technical process model that can be executed by the process engine. We decided to realize the technical model using jPDL. Unfortunately, the current version of jBPM was not robust enough using BPMN 2.0.

Besides creating the technical process model using jPDL, the following work had to be done:

1. Design of the forms for user interaction using the XForms standard of the W3C.
2. Implementation of data base access tasks.
3. Integration of jBPM in the PHP application using JEE technology.

After testing the resulting implementation thoroughly in step 3 of our methodology, we discussed the actual implementation in step 4. It is obvious that the implemented process has to be improved in further iterations, because we started with a simplified default IRS process. Hence, we can skip step 4. In the first iteration, most time was spent for the first two steps of the methodology. Obviously, with an increasing number of iterations, the portion of time per iteration that is spent for step 3 and 4 will increase, while the portion for step 1 and 2 will decrease.

The resulting system is a web application with a seamless integration of the default IRS process. The task to realize the accurate IRS processes requires just diligence in order to complete it.
6 CONCLUSIONS

More and more, web applications are controlling business processes. They have major advantages in the field of healthcare where the work is typically based on collaboration and cooperation between employees of hospitals, companies, and patients. However, most web applications are implemented conventionally using a typical web programming language like PHP. As such, the necessary transparency and agility for managing business processes is limited by the implementation technology and the surrounding classical software engineering process. New process-oriented technologies like BPMSs promise to overcome these limitations. The present paper showed a methodology to embed process engines of BPMSs into conventionally implemented, intrinsically process-oriented web application. Applying the methodology separates the implicitly implemented processes from the rest of the web application allowing these processes to be controlled explicitly by an integrated BPMS. Of course, a final judgement of the proposed methodology cannot be done until the new process-oriented implementation has been deployed completely. Despite of this, the methodology is promising and the whole web application can benefit from the advantages of process-oriented platforms and can then be managed according to the BPM life cycle. This paper sketches out how process-oriented techniques can solve the distinctive challenges arising in healthcare web applications, particularly in incident reporting for hospitals. Moreover, a process-oriented target architecture for embedding into web applications was presented and used to verify the methodology by controlling the main process of our incident reporting system. Further iterations of the methodology will lead to a process-integrated web application that benefits of the advantages of BPM, like e.g. to involve the hospital employees in the modeling of the IRS processes within the software engineering process. Ongoing research in the area of BPM is very alive and dynamic. Especially the adaption of the new version 2.0 of BPMN by the several BPMS projects and vendors are very promising for the future. Besides the mentioned advantages, we also have to verify thoroughly if the resulting process-oriented system with its increased complexity (additional technologies and servers) will still remain controllable like a classical web application.

After defining and verifying the methodology for migrating web applications to process-oriented platforms presented in this paper, we will address the methodology for managing the migrated web applications. The support of both methodologies by software tools will be surveyed. This is directly related to technical issues like a suitable, complete tool-chain and a reference architecture for the integration of BPMS in web applications. Finally, the complete incident reporting system will be migrated to the process-oriented platform and afterwards will replace the actual production system.

REFERENCES


