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Abstract: The integration of services into business applications within enterprise services is needed in on-premise settings as well as in upcoming on-demand enterprise settings. Today, it is typically performed in manual integration projects by highly skilled integration experts on the consumer side. As consumers demand flexible and adaptable enterprise systems with lower total cost of ownership (TCO), enterprise system vendors need to provide efficient mechanisms to integrate services within business applications. For this less explored but promising area of service-oriented architecture (SOA) research, a service integration framework with a pattern-based modeling approach is presented that allows for the integration of services into business applications at a later stage in the software-lifecycle - especially after shipment.

1 INTRODUCTION

In the vision of an Internet of Services, organizations in a service ecosystem (Barros and Dumas, 2006) interact in service provider and/or consumer roles to offer, find, trade, and use services like tradable goods. A key challenge for enterprise organisations will be to ensure simple consumption of their offered services via multiple channels (e.g. composite applications, mash-up applications). An important channel handles service consumption within standard business applications running within enterprise systems (e.g. ERP, CRM, or others). Such systems typically realize implemented standard business processes and it is very valuable to be able to extend them with complementary services after the system has been shipped. Such services can be provided by other organizations as service ecosystem partners and offered in service marketplaces or service stores. A less-complex integration of services becomes particular relevant for service/partner ecosystems in upcoming on-demand enterprise software environments (Lo et al., 2009). Two main integration scenarios can be differentiated to achieve the integration of a service into an enterprise system. First, existing interfaces of the enterprise system are used to integrate the service. This is possible, if the system provider has foreseen such interfaces at time of shipment. Prominent examples for this first alternative are standard interfaces for Business-to-Business (B2B) or Application-to-Application (A2A) integration scenarios for Enterprise Application Integration (EAI). Second, if a service cannot be integrated into a business application using foreseen interfaces, the enterprise system has to be extended and/or adapted on affected internal application layers beforehand. For example, new UI elements (presentation layer), process steps (process layer), or business object fields (business object layer) can be created or existing elements adapted in the application. Examples are services offered by multiple providers for different core or niche business domains. In both integration scenarios structural- and/or behavioural mismatches between the service interfaces of the enterprise system and the service provider need to be handled by service mediation components.

Three main deficits are noted for the second integration scenario: (i) The adaptation or extension of an enterprise system usually requires a high manual effort and high level of expertise. (ii) Today, enterprise systems provide adaptation or extension mechanisms which typically are proprietary solutions on low abstraction level (e.g. code-level interfaces). Similarly, for different application layers typically different adaptation and extension techniques are offered. (iii) Typically, deep domain or technical knowledge is required to oversee and implement the integration solution.

In this paper a service integration framework is proposed for unforeseen service integration into core
This remote service is automatically integrated into the core business application (without the need to run a manual integration project). The user interface of the core business application is extended with (1) a new table column (“Eco Value”) in the product components table, (2) a new button (“Calculate Eco Value”) and (3) a new field to display the total eco value for the car seat (“Entire Eco Value”). The service is used by the designer immediately after the integration has been completed. If the total eco value fulfills legal requirements, a certificate is generated for the seat and passed to the consumer application.

3 SERVICE INTEGRATION FRAMEWORK

A discussion of the requirements for the framework development is presented in (Allgaier and Heller, 2009). The service integration framework is based on the following characteristics: (1) A model-based integration approach is introduced to enable the modeling (or design) of the relevant integration aspects on a higher abstraction level. (2) A pattern-based modeling approach is defined that covers typical adaptation- and extension tasks. It allows controlled extensibility of the core business applications, insofar, as only a proven set of adaptation operations can be performed. This approach provides a uniform approach to enable service integrators to design the adaptation and extension of a core business application on multiple layers (e.g. UI- and business process layer). (3) To increase the level of automation for service integration, a seamlessly integrated runtime support for extension and/or adaption of enterprise systems completes the framework. This paper describes the (visual) modelling language, while the internal realization of the framework meta model is described in (Allgaier, Heller, and Weidner, 2010).

3.1 Overview and Main Components

Figure 2 shows the main components of the service integration framework: Integration Modeling Environment (top), Adaptation-/Extension Execution Environment (middle), and Enterprise System (bottom). (1) As a prerequisite, the enterprise system provider creates and delivers an Enterprise System to run different Core Business Applications. These business applications are used by end users. The enterprise system is connected to the Adaptation/Enactment Execution Environment to allow for adaptation and extension of the system after initial shipment at a later stage in the software-
lifecycle. The enterprise system provider publishes an Application Extensibility Description (left) that represents the core business application’s extensibility capabilities within the enterprise system. Similarly, the service provider creates a Service Description (right) for a service that he offers, e.g. on a service marketplace. The Service Description describes multiple aspects of the service’s capabilities.

(2) The service integrator uses the Integration Modeling Environment to model all relevant aspects to define an integration of a selected service into a selected core business application within an enterprise system (based on a loaded application extensibility description and a loaded service description). All modeled information about the integration is stored in an Integration Model data structure within the modeling environment. This model specifies all adaptation and/or extension steps to achieve the desired integration.

(3) When all details have been completely specified, the Integration Modeling Environment creates an Integration Description (middle) that can be loaded into the Adaptation/Extension Execution Environment. This component orchestrates all necessary steps for the adaptation and extension of the core business applications and enterprise system.

An Enterprise System (e.g. ERP system) is described with a single overall abstracted model that spans across four abstraction layers. Basically an enterprise system consists of multiple (service-based) Business Applications that leverage a common service and business configuration layer. The Service Layer contains all services offered by the enterprise system. Core services provide access to business objects and they can be composed into larger bundles to provide advanced higher-value business functionality or application logic. The Business Configuration Layer contains the configuration data for the business applications with all of its available customization options.

For a business application, the Presentation Layer comprises all artifacts and components for the user interface part of the business application (for example, UI components for a dedicated UI platform with all interrelations). Likewise, the Process Layer contains models of the business processes which are realized within a business application. Modeling elements for business processes can contain references to elements on other layers such as presentation or service layer.

To adapt standard business applications to customer specific needs, enterprise systems typically provide a large set of proprietary extensibility resp. adaptability features (as e.g. in SAP’s ByDesign On-Demand platform). The features support a wide spectrum of use cases and address various stakeholders for flexibility requirements like customers (e.g. extensibility or flexibility as customer self-service), verticalization and globalization, or partners in software eco systems.

3.2 Layered Modeling Approach

The mentioned central model artefacts in the framework are detailed as follows: (a) The Application Description contains all possible extension points where the application can be extended or adapted. Such extension points model the offered extensibility features of the application on top of the underlying enterprise system. For example, extension points denote places in the application that can be used to add a process step to a core process or a new UI, and so on. (b) The Service Description contains meta-data information about the service and all information needed for its integration into business applications (such as service operations with input and output interfaces, supported data types, messaging choreographies, offered default UI descriptions). (c) The Integration Description contains as a dominant part a set of connections between elements the application extensibility description and the service description as well as additional parameterization data. It can additionally reference any combinations of software artifacts needed for the Adaptation/Extension Execution Environment to perform the service integration.

Application description, integration description, and service description (shown horizontally in Figure 3 in the columns from left to right) are modeled on two different modeling layers (shown vertically). The Technical Model Layer (bottom...
part) contains models to describe applications or services with respect to their extensibility support. Standard Models (like e.g. XAML, BPMN) lack necessary modeling elements (for example, to model extension points). Therefore, they need to be enhanced on the technical model layer with new extensibility concepts. The Enhanced Application Model represents a complete description of an extensible application. It comprises all kinds of models describing the extensibility support of the application on different application layers. Presentation or process models (XAML, BPM, etc.) are enhanced with additional new elements to model extension points and extension connection models (e.g. as in the BPMN 2.0 proposal draft). The Enriched Service Model (e.g. in USDL) contains modeling elements from a service model (like WSDL) plus new elements to describe further service capabilities like supported business application details. The Technical Integration Model holds all connections between elements from Enhanced Application Model and Enriched Service Model with parameterizations.

The Semantic Model Layer (top part) contains ontology representations of the models from the Technical Integration Layer. For this purpose, relevant elements from models on the Technical Model Layer are transformed into ontological representations on the Semantic Model Layer. A Semantic Application Model semantically captures important model concepts from the Technical Application Model (with extensibility support). Likewise, the Semantic Service Model and the Semantic Integration Model are constructed from the models on the Technical Layer.

![Figure 3: Technical and semantic modeling layers.](image)

Some of the models on both layers can reference entities of further ontologies (top part) that model important business domain concepts, like in Business Domain Ontologies (BDO), e.g. from the SUPER research project. Within the framework, the Technical Models (as Ecore models) are used together with the Semantic Models (as OWL ontologies) which primarily serve for reasoning over the model semantics for searches in model data and advanced modeling guidance support. In the rest of this paper, the semantic models are used. They are valuable for advanced semantic search functionality to recommend best fitting application extension points or service elements for ports of used pattern instances in the modeling environment.

### 3.3 Pattern-based Modeling

The integration modeling language is based on the notion of adaptation pattern. They allow to restrict which integration steps are performed to control the flexibility of the enterprise system. (Atomic) Adaptation Patterns define typical fine-grained adaptation or extension tasks that can be performed on the application layers. Adaptation Patterns represent parameterizable connection links between extension points of the application description and elements of the service description. The patterns have a set of application or service reference ports and a set of further parameterization attributes. An application reference port links the pattern to an application extension point (of a predefined type), while a service reference port links the pattern to a service description element (of a predefined type). Parameterization attributes store further key-value pairs within the pattern context.

Complex adaptation patterns are composed of atomic and/or other complex adaptation patterns and they model more coarse-grained extension tasks for typical multi-step integration scenarios, e.g. across different application layers. Atomic and composite patterns are stored in a knowledge base called adaptation pattern catalog and they can be reused within many integration designs by different service integrators (best-practice sharing). The set of stored adaptation patterns can be collected, extended, and revised based on other related work, e.g. (Weber, 2008) for possible pattern candidates or by creating previously unknown patterns (e.g. an adaptation pattern editor tool is currently under development). During the modeling phase, an integration model instance is created in the modeling environment by the service integrator and extended step-wise by first choosing an (complex or atomic) adaptation pattern from a catalog and adding it to the current integration model. Second, the pattern’s ports are connected to chosen application extension points or service description elements.

An example from the Eco Calculator scenario in Section 2 illustrates the modeling approach.

The service integrator wants to define the integration of the Eco Calculator service (found on the service marketplace) into a business application.
Figure 4: Example Integration Model for the Integration of the EcoCalculator service into the PLM business application.

No interface was foreseen for this use case in the enterprise system at shipping time. The service integrator identifies the following requirements (e.g. from a business department): The service should be integrated into the Product-Lifecycle-Management (PLM) part of the enterprise system. The service should be used before the product (car seat) is shipped. The eco values returned from the service should be displayed on the user interface in the existing table to display the bill of material elements for the car seat. The returned eco values should only be displayed on the user interface.

Figure 4 shows integration model (middle), application description (left) for the PLM application and service description (right) for the EcoCalculator service. The model contains one complex adaptation pattern instance and another atomic adaptation pattern instance with their parameterizations. The complex pattern “Stateless Service Integration without data persistency” was chosen because it technically seems to fit to the given business requirements to integrate the service on UI layer and service layer: It allows for adding a stateless service (with one operation), adding a UI button and display labels into UI panels. The result data of the service is not persisted in the enterprise system. The modeled complex pattern contains four atomic adaptation patterns: (i) addButton, (ii) addTableColumn, (iii) addDataMediator and (iv) addDataMediator. The complex adaptation pattern carries four application reference ports (A1, A2, A3, and A4) and six service reference ports (A5, A6, A7, A8, A9, and A10) that are internally linked to the ports of the contained atomic adaptation patterns. Some ports are parameterized with application’s extension points or service description elements. For example, for the chosen application “Product Engineering”, some extension points of the UI component “UC1” are connected to the application ports (A1, A2, A3, and A4) of the shown adaptation patterns and some service elements are connected to the service ports (A5, A6, A7, A8, A9, and A10).

The adaptation pattern “addButton” is connected via the application reference port B1 with the port type Extension Point Type – Button Panel. This port is parameterized with the value BP-EP#1. The text for the button is taken from the Default User Interface section of the service description (service reference port B2). The information for the button’s event handler (service operation that is called when the button is pressed) is taken from the Operations section of the service description (service reference port B3). The pattern “addDataMediator” models a data mediation problem which is resolved via externally executed data mediation tools. Finally, a new integration description (referencing application description and service description) is generated from the modeling environment.

3.4 Runtime Extensibility Support

An implemented prototype demonstrates the feasibility of the proposed approach to service integration for the application scenario of Section 3. The PLM business application is implemented based on Microsoft Silverlight, the EcoCalculator Web
Service uses the AXIS framework. The prototype addresses the presentation layer. Figure 5 shows its architecture. An integration description is loaded into the Adaptation/Extension Execution Environment (Java). It analyzes each adaptation step in the description and forwards it to a layer-specific adaptation manager, here for UI- and service-layer. They adapt the PLM application, for example, for the UI-layer adaptation by calling a sequence of commands at the native extensibility features API (MS Silverlight API) at runtime. The screenshot in Figure 1 on page 4 shows the prototype after extension with a new button, a new table column, and a new output label. Not visible in the UI, two data mediators are added to map data between the UI context and the service interface.

4 RELATED WORK

This work addresses the controlled extensibility of enterprise systems for unforeseen service integration, similar to related B2B Integration and Enterprise Application Integration, e.g. (Hohpe and Woolf, 2003). Structural or behavioural interface mediation techniques, e.g. (Studer et al., 2007), are leveraged in the framework, e.g. for data mediation. Work on adaptive software systems typically addresses self-adaptive systems for mobile, pervasive computing (e.g. MADAM, http://www.ist-madam.org). Plug-in techniques for development and installation of (downloaded) components into component-based core application frameworks, e.g. (Birsan, 2005), or for runtime adaptation of ERP systems, e.g. (Wolflinger et al., 2008), do not target unforeseen service integration. Extensions on the presentation layer leverage adaptive user interface modeling approaches (e.g. XIML, UIML). Process change patterns, e.g. by (Weber et al., 2008), provide a conceptual basis for the process layer adaptation pattern catalog in this paper.

5 SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

A model-based service integration framework for the unforeseen integration of services into extensible enterprise systems has been presented. In the author’s opinion, the promising service integration area should be further investigated. The modeling approach with adaptation patterns and runtime support is demonstrated with a UI integration prototype in the automotive domain. The Process layer support is currently developed.
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