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Abstract: There have been diverse works demonstrating that ensembles can improve the performance over any individual solution for time series forecasting. This work presents an extensible environment that can be used to create, experiment and analyse ensembles for time series forecasting. Usually, the analyst develops the individual solution and the ensemble algorithms for each experiment. The proposed environment intends to provide a flexible tool for the analyst to include, configure and experiment with individual solutions and to build and execute ensembles. In this paper, we describe the environment, its features and we present a simple experiment on its usage.

1 INTRODUCTION

A time series is a time-ordered sequence of observation values of a variable made at equally spaced time intervals $D_t$, represented as a set of discrete items $x_1, x_2, \ldots$, etc (Palit and Popovic, 2005). Time series prediction idea is to forecast (with the best accuracy possible) future unknown data values based on historical patterns in the existing data. There are several approaches to time series forecasting, such as moving average, exponential smoothing, ARIMA (Box and Jenkins, 1970), and fuzzy logic (Wang and Mendel, 1992).

It is generally accepted that individual models for time series forecasting are usually unable to produce satisfactory results (Wang et al., 2005). Thus it is natural to consider combining multiple models to generate better data forecasting. Such a combined system is commonly referred as an ensemble (Bouchachia and Bouchachia, 2008).

There are several ways to combine base models predictions in an ensemble. However, the majority of ensemble applications use several variations (configurations) of only one base model method. The ensemble combination method is used to bring about diversity in the base models’ predictions. There has been little work (e.g., (Merz, 1999)) on creating ensembles that use many different types of base models. This paper presents an environment for ensemble configuration and execution. It allows the analyst to use several base model methods to make a forecast.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Sections 2 and 3 respectively present de DMEE and its prototype. Section 4 shows an usage example and section 5 concludes this paper.

2 THE DMEE

This section describes a flexible environment for the configuration and execution of ensembles, called Data Mining Ensemble Environment (DMEE). The DMEE is designed as a framework to allow extensibility. Figure 1 shows the DMEE general architecture. It allows the selection of time series; the configuration (inclusion/exclusion and selection) of base model methods; the execution of configured base model methods; the configuration of combination model methods, and; the selection of metrics to evaluate the performance of the devised ensemble. The following subsections describe the general process underlying the DMEE.

2.1 Time Series Selection

The analyst should begin by selecting the time series. Thus the analyst indicates the database with the time series and she selects the attributes (one to be predicted and another to indicate the time ordering). Then, the available data are divided into...
three possible sets: training set, validating set, and testing set. This feature allows the analyst to define the sets that will be used by the ensemble (meta) method. The base model methods will use the same sets which were defined for the ensemble. The DMEE does that to avoid biasing for either a base model method or for the ensemble method.

Figure 1: The DMEE general architecture.

2.2 Base Model Method Execution and Selection

After selecting the time series and the dividing its data into the appropriate sets for the ensemble, the analyst should configure and execute the base model methods chosen from the repository to be used in the ensemble. The DMEE core functionality executes each base model method each one at a time and it stores the prediction results in a database. These results will be used as input for the ensemble combination method.

It is interesting to mention that, since the DMEE stores a base model method result, this result can be used anytime later. The execution of a method is very time consuming and, in the current version of the DMEE, there is no concurrency. The idea behind this feature is to save time by trying to reuse the results of a particular method execution (i.e., a specific base model method configuration).

After executing the base model methods, the analyst can verify their performance (based in whichever criteria the analyst desires). To improve the ensemble results, she can select which base model methods will build the ensemble. The DMEE provides five approaches for base model methods selection: (i) all methods: the results from all the base model methods executed will be used in the ensemble combination method; (ii) individual selection: the analyst can choose which base model method results will be used in the ensemble combination method; (iii) selection by maximum index: the analyst indicates a maximum error value for the base model method results. The DMEE will select those base model methods that present a prediction error smaller than the value provided by the analyst; (iv) selection by percentage index: this approach is similar to the above. The difference is that the metric used to analyze the base model method performance does not indicate an absolute error, but rather a percentage error; (v) automatic selection (based on simple averaging combination): this approach allows the DMEE to automatically select the set of base model method results to be used in the ensemble by executing a series of simulations to evaluate the combination of the obtained results.

2.3 Combination Method Selection and Execution

After selecting which base model methods will be used in the ensemble, the analyst should select the combination method. The DMEE provides two types of combination methods: linear and non-linear. The available linear combination methods are simple averaging and weighted averaging. If the analyst chooses to use non-linear combination, she can use any method (from the DMEE repository) to combine the base model method results. In the DMEE, the analyst can configure two strategies for the combination method: combination and training. The idea is to let the analyst execute several possibilities for the same ensemble method.

The combination strategies are: (i) simple combination: only the results of the base model methods are used as input for the combination method; (ii) compound combination: besides the results of the base model methods, the original time series is used as input for the combination method. The training strategies are: (i) single phase training: the composition method uses only the training set; (ii) two phase training: the composition method uses both the training and the validation sets.

The DMEE executes the combination method and stores its results for analysis purposes. The execution of the combination method has a particular issue when the base model methods use the prediction window concept (e.g., regression methods). The prediction windows of the base model methods should be aligned to indicate the initial time index to be used in the training phase of the combination method. If the combination method
itself uses a prediction window, this window should be considered in the alignment.

2.4 Result Analysis

The analyst can make a result analysis. The analyst selects metrics to view the performance of the base model methods and of the composition method. The results are shown graphically to the analyst.

3 THE DMEE PROTOTYPE

The current version of the DMEE prototype was developed using Java. To start using the DMEE tool (including the base model methods already imported) for forecasting, the analyst must select a time series. The original data of the time series must be stored in a database.

After selecting the time series to forecast, the analyst should configure the training, validation and testing subsets (figure 2). This configuration will be used by the DMEE to execute the code for each selected base model method. As mentioned, the methods are executed one at a time and their results are stored for future reuse.

Then, the analyst can select the base model methods results that will be used as input for the ensemble method. This selection can be done using one of the five approaches listed in section 2.2. Currently, the metrics that can be used in the selection by maximum index and selection by percentage index are: U-Theil, mean square error, root mean square error, sum of squares error, mean absolute error and mean absolute percentage error.

The analyst must configure the ensemble method (figure 3). The DMEE prototype executes the ensemble method and stores its results in a database. These results can be analyzed to compare the performances of the individual base model methods with the ensemble method.

4 A SAMPLE STUDY

This section presents an experiment on using the DMEE environment for the prediction of the Mackey-Glass (Wan, 2009) time series. The data used encompassed 1500 records, the lowest series value was 0.212559300 and the highest series value was 1.378507200.

In this study, all available base model methods were used. The configurations were:

i. Naive Forecasting: no configuration needed
ii. Exponential Smoothing: smoothing factor = 0.9
iii. Moving Average: prediction window = 3
iv. Wang-Mendel: prediction window = 7; number of fuzzy sets = 7
v. Backpropagation: learning rate = 0.6; momentum factor = 0.3; number of epochs = 5.000
   a. Input layer: # of neurons = 9; activation function = linear
   b. Hidden layer: # neurons = 9; activation function = sigmoid
   c. Output layer: # neurons = 1; activation function = linear

Table 1 shows the results for the base model methods executions, using the configurations depicted above. The backpropagation method gave the best results while the Moving Average presented the worst results. Figure 4 shows the results for an ensemble using a non-linear combination configured with a backpropagation method. The ensemble used all base model method results. In this scenario (all
Table 1: Base model methods results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Method/Parameters</th>
<th>Results: Validation and Testing Sets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V/T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Naive</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Exponential Smoothing (α = 0.9)</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Moving Average (window = 3)</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Wang-Mendel (w = 7, window = 7)</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>backpropagation (SL-95-11) (E = 5000, T = 0.6, M = 0.3)</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Ensemble results.

base model methods and backpropagation) the ensemble results were only slightly better than the results achieved by the base model methods.

5 CONCLUSIONS

This paper has presented an extensible environment for experimentation in time series forecasting using ensemble approaches in conjunction with popular forecasting methods. The idea is to provide a tool to configure and test several ensemble options. The DMEE allows for base model methods extension, ensemble configuration and base model method results persistence. The diversity of information required to configure base methods and ensembles were summarized for simplicity.

It was not our intention in this work to run experiments to compare single base model method results with ensemble results. The main purpose of this work is to show an environment to help analysts try ensemble approaches for time series forecasting.
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