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Abstract: Most audio and video editors employ a representation of music pieces based on the Pulse Code Modulation waveform, from which not much information, besides the energy envelope, can be visually captured. In the present work we discuss the importance of presenting to the user some hints about the melodic content of the audio to improve the visual segmentation of a music piece, thereby speeding up editing tasks. Such a representation, although powerful in the mentioned sense, is of simple computation, a desirable property because loading an audio or video should be done very fast in an interactive environment.

1 INTRODUCTION

When working with audio or video edition, it is natural, if not mandatory, to use the visual representation of the audio track provided by the software as a rough guide to the onset or offset point of the media chunk that has to be edited.

To the best of our knowledge, all general purpose software for audio and video editing make the sound track visible by presenting its Pulse Code Modulation (PCM) waveform.

Supposing the audio is mono-channel (in other cases, all channels can be mixed down to just one), the PCM representation is simply the graph of timestamp versus amplitude, where the samples are taken at equally spaced time intervals, normally at a sample rate of 44100 samples per second. Figure 1 shows an example. The value represented is simply "raw" sound, the Sound Pressure Level (SPL) as it would be measured by a microphone.

In the example shown by Figure 1 it is difficult to point out boundaries of regions with distinct musical content, where by distinct musical content we mean an obvious audible dissimilarity between the region located before and the region located after a specific point in time.

This problem is less evident if the predominant musical information in the audio file is percussive (like in drum sequences, for example). However, first of all the great majority of song files have relevant melodic content, and furthermore even percussive instruments can be classified as of low or high pitch, a melodic property.

The main goal of this work is to show that the melodic content of an audio file is worth noting when implementing visualizers of entire music pieces in audio and video editors. We claim that by using the low level audio descriptors of loudness and chroma, to be defined below, we can build a representation of the audio file which, on the one hand, resembles the waveform, and on the other hand, gives more hints about adjacent regions of the audio file with different musical content.

The rest of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we will talk about what can be found in the literature on this subject. Section 3 describes our proposed mapping between audio and visual content. We will define the above mentioned low level descriptors, as well as discuss some constraints (especially regarding computational cost) that have guided the choice of the method. In section 4 we will be concerned with the question of evaluating the method. Some strategies will be mentioned, and our choices will be justified. Section 5 is the place for results, lined by the conclusions of section 4. This text ends with some final remarks in section 6.
2 RELATED WORK

When speaking about audio visualization, it is worth clarifying what sort of visualization is being treated. We can mention at least three cases where terms like music visualization or audio visualization apply.

First, there are the online visualization algorithms. They show in real-time (or at least as real-time as possible) some feature extracted from a small window around the audio portion being played or sung. The purpose of this kind of visualizer can be of aesthetic nature, enhancing audio playback software (Lee et al., 2007), as a tool for musical training (Ferguson et al., 2005), as a help for the hearing impaired, enhancing the awareness of their surroundings (Azar et al., 2007), or as an interface to control real-time composition parameters (Sedes et al., 2004).

Second, in works like (Kolhoff et al., 2006), a picture representing the entire audio file is rendered with the purpose of facilitate the search in large databases or simply as a personalized icon of the file. In (Verbeek and Solum, 2009) the goal is to present a high level segmentation of the audio data in terms of intro, verse, chorus, break, bridge and coda, the segments being arranged as concentric rings, the order from the center being the same of the occurrence of that part of the music in the piece.

Finally, there are the methods aiming at rendering a picture (matrix) of the entire file in which at least one dimension is time-related with the audio. Perhaps the most important example is (Foote, 1999), where a square matrix of pairwise similarity between small consecutive and overlapping audio chunks is computed. (We will come back to this kind of matrix later, in section 4.) Another very common example consist of plotting time against some 1- or n-dimensional audio feature, like, say, the magnitudes of the Discrete Fourier Transform coefficients of the audio chunk. For n-dimensional features the resulting matrix is normalized so that the minimum value is 0 and the maximum 255, resulting in a grayscale image. Figure 2 shows this kind of plot in the case of the loudness (1-dimensional) and chroma (12-dimensional) features.

3 PROPOSED METHOD

The musical content of a piece can be essentially divided in two categories: the harmonic (or melodic) and the rhythmic (or percussive). Rigorously speaking it is impossible to perform such a division, since melody and rhythm are (sometimes strongly) correlated. There are, however, ways to extract most of the content of one or another category, which is the main issue in the Musical Information Retrieval community. The problem with these methods is that they are usually computationally expensive. In fact, in a previous work (Cicconet and Carvalho, 2009) we have tried to segment the music piece and to perform some kind of clustering. But good clustering requires high computational cost. This means that the user has to wait a long time for the result, which is not desirable.

Thus, we decided to use two simple audio descriptors, each one related with a different component of the audio (rhythm or melody), and combine them in such a way that the corresponding visual features complement each other. Another important point behind this decision relies on the segmentation and clustering capabilities of the human visual system. It consists of billions of neurons working in parallel to extract features from every part of the visual field simultaneously (Ware, 2004).

Therefore, instead of spending computational time segmenting and clustering the audio data, we moved to the direction of taking advantage of the human visual capabilities in doing that, and facilitating the analysis by presenting significative audio information.

The visualization algorithm we propose is as follows.

We assume that the audio file is mono-channel and sampled at 44100 frames per second; otherwise we apply some processing (adding the channels and/or resampling) to obtain audio data with the desired features. These properties are not critical; the computations could be done for each channel separately and the sample rate could be a different one.

At regular intervals of 2048 frames, an audio chunk of length 4096 frames is multiplied by a Hann window, and the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) of it is computed. We choose 2048 as hop size to obtain
good resolution of feature extraction even for songs with high tempo (say, 200 beats per second). Also, the window size of 4096 allows good precision in estimating the energy of the waveform corresponding to low frequencies (those below 100 Hz).

The loudness audio descriptor was chosen to represent the rhythm. Loudness is the average of the magnitudes of the DFT coefficients, a measure highly related with the energy of the audio, which usually has a good response to bass and snare drums, and also resembles the PCM representation, making familiar the visualization we are describing. The vector of loudness values is then normalized to fall in the range [0, 1], and warped logarithmically according to the equation

\[ x \rightarrow \log(x + c) - \log c \]

\[ \log(1 + c) - \log(c) \]

where \( c > 0 \) is an offset parameter. (The results presented here were obtained using \( c = 0.1 \).) The logarithmic warp is important because the human auditory and visual systems are roughly logarithmically calibrated.

As melody feature we use the chroma vector, as described in (Jehan, 2005). First, the magnitudes of the DFT coefficients, after normalization, are warped logarithmically as expressed above, then the 84 amplitudes corresponding to MIDI notes ranging from 24 to 107 are captured and a 12-dimensional vector is obtained by summing the amplitudes corresponding to musical notes of the same key in different octaves. The elements of this vector are normalized to the range \([0, 1]\), to avoid taking into account differences of loudness in different windows, and squared, to give more importance to the peak value, highlighting the melodic line. Chroma vectors roughly represent the likelihood of a musical note (regardless of its octave) being present in the audio window under analysis.

We arrange the chroma vectors \( c = (c_1, ..., c_{12}) \) as columns side by side in a matrix, the bottom corresponding to the pitch of C. The entries of each vector are associated with a color \((h, s, v)\) in the HSV color space, where the value \( c_i \) controls the hue component

\[ h \text{ as follows: supposing the hue range is } [0, 1], \text{ we make } h = \frac{a}{c} \] where \( c_i \) ranges from 0 to 1. We set \( s = 1 \) and \( v = l_i \), \( i \) the loudness value corresponding the chroma vector \( c_i \).

Each vector (column) is then warped vertically (in the sense of image warping), having the middle point as pivot. The warping is such that the height \( h_c \) of the vector ranges from \( \alpha \) to \( 2\alpha \), where \( \alpha \) is some positive constant not smaller then 12 pixels. More precisely, \( h_c \) is given by \( h_c = (1 + l_i)\alpha \).

Figure 3 shows our method at work. The waveform, as well as the audio features used to build the proposed visualization, is presented.

In Figure 4, top (respectively, bottom), the visualization method we have just described is applied to a test song where only the loudness (respectively, the chroma) feature changes over time.

The question of how such a visualization looks like when we look closer is answered in Figure 5, where a 30 seconds excerpt of a song is zoomed in. Such a zoom allows seeing beat onsets, generally good cutting points.

4 EVALUATION

A possible way of evaluating the importance of including melodic information in audio visualization methods would be making some statistical measurement of preference in a group of people working with audio or video editing. The problem with this idea is the difficulty of having access to a significative number of such people.

Other option, more feasible, would be to manually segment audio files based on the PCM and the proposed representation, then comparing the results.
Despite being an indirect measure, the rough number of segments that can be seen in the visual representation of an audio file leads to a reasonable evaluation method, especially when the task is editing audio or video, where finding separation points between regions with distinct musical content is of great importance. We have conducted an experiment to that end, where five viewers were asked to perform the segmentation.

Furthermore, we implemented an automatic algorithm to evaluate the importance of including melodic features in audio visualization systems. The automatic procedure consists in counting the approximate number of visible segmentation points in an audio file when it is represented via two distinct audio features: the loudness and the chroma vector. Since the loudness feature represent the energy envelope of the song, which is roughly the visible shape of the PCM representation, this strategy allows a quantitative measurement of the chroma vector importance in audio information visualization.

We found such method of finding segmentation points in the literature of audio summarization. It is based in what is called the novelty score of the audio data (Cooper and Foote, 2003).

The novelty score is defined upon a structure known as self-similarity matrix (SSM) (Foote, 1999). Supposing we have a time-indexed array of audio features, say $v_1, ..., v_n$, the self-similarity matrix of the audio relatively to this feature is the $n \times n$ matrix $S$ such that $S_{i,j} = s(v_i, v_j)$, where $s$ is some similarity measure. In this work we have used $s(v_i, v_j) = 1 - \|v_i - v_j\| / M$, where $M = \max_{k,l} \|v_k - v_l\|$.

Figure 6 shows an example, where an important property of this kind of matrices can be seen: the checkerboard pattern.

In fact the novelty score takes advantage of this property. The idea is that convolving the main diagonal of such a matrix with a checkerboard kernel will result in a curve with peaks corresponding to segment boundaries in the song, with respect to the feature used to build the SSM. The checkerboard kernel is defined as $f \cdot g$, where $f(x) = +1$ for $x$ in even quadrants and $f(x) = -1$ otherwise; and $g(x) = e^{-\|x\|^2}$. Figure 7 shows the appearance of the kernel, as well as illustrates the process of computing the novelty score and the novelty score itself for the chroma-SSM shown in Figure 6.

We have used a kernel of width 64. Considering that the audio features are computed each 2048 frames, this corresponds to about 3 seconds of audio, which means that transitions between music parts (regarding the chosen audio feature) happening in such an interval of time will be captured. The resulting curve is smoothed to eliminate high-frequency noise, and then normalized to the range $[0, 1]$. Peaks above some threshold (0.1 for the examples presented here) are considered to be good segmentation points.

Figure 8 shows an example of what is obtained in our evaluation method, for the song Fireflies, by Owl City. Note that in our method the rough shape of the PCM representation is kept, and more possible segmentation points are provided by the color differences between chroma vectors.
5 RESULTS

To evaluate the importance of including melodic information when visualizing audio data for edition purposes (where hints about segmentation points are desirable), we have counted, for each test song, the number of novelty score significant peaks for the loudness- and chroma-SSM, according to the method described in the previous section.

As song database we chose to take the top 10 most popular songs for the month October of 2009, according to the website top10songs.com. Results are shown in Table 1. Note that in all of the songs there are more chroma peaks than loudness peaks. In fact the average ratio between the number of chroma and loudness peaks is about 3.4.

The same songs were presented to five viewers, who were asked to segment them using, first, the waveform representation, and then the representation described in Section 3 (see Figure 9). Table 2 shows the obtained results. Note that, except for the song Down, the number of segments found using the waveform representation is always smaller. In fact the average quotient between the values in the column SP and WF is about 1.34.

The mentioned database was also used to measure the computational cost of the algorithm. We have seen that the total time spent to decompress a mp3 file, compute the representation and show the result is about 1.01 seconds per minute of audio. Regardless of the time spent for decompressing the file, the algorithm takes about 0.39 seconds per minute of audio to execute. We have used a Macintosh machine, with a 2GHz Intel Core 2 Duo processor and 2GB of RAM, running Mac OS X Version 10.6.2 (64-bits).

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have proposed a new method for visualizing audio data for edition purposes, which is an alternative to the ubiquitous PCM representation.

Our method is of fast computation, and is based on the loudness and chroma audio features. By using loudness, the representation resembles the traditional PCM curve shape. The presence of chroma information adds more hints about good segmentation points, and can even highlight parts of the music piece that are similar each other.

We have measured the importance of adding melodic information (the chroma vector) in audio visualizers by counting the number of significative peaks in the novelty score corresponding the chroma-SSM for 10 different songs, and comparing with the results corresponding to the use of the loudness-SSM. The result is that the average ratio between the number of chroma and loudness peaks is about 3.4.

Also, five viewers were asked to segment those songs using the PCM representation and our proposed visualization method. In average, using our method
the number of segments found is about 1.34 times the number of segments found when using the PCM representation.

We believe an audio visualization method including melodic information, like the one presented here, could speed up the task of audio and video editing, since the user would have more hints about boundaries of segments with different musical content.

The reader can test the proposed visualization method by downloading the software we have developed for this project at www.impa.br/~cicconet/thesis/songpicture.
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