A Design for Real-time Neural Modeling on the GPU Incorporating Dendritic Computation
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Abstract. Recent advances in neuroscience have underscored the role of single neurons in information processing. Much of this work has focused on the role of neurons' dendrites to perform complex local computations that form the basis for the global computation of the neuron. Generally, artificial neural networks that are capable of real-time simulation do not take into account the principles underlying single-neuron processing. In this paper we propose a design for a neural model executed on the graphics processing unit (GPU) that is capable of simulating large neural networks that utilize dendritic computation inspired by biological neurons. We subsequently test our design using a neural model of the retinal neurons that contribute to the activation of starburst amacrine cells, which, as in biological retinas, use dendritic computational abilities to produce a neural signal that is directionally selective to stimuli moving centrifugally.

1 Introduction

As with most research topics, neural modeling has broadened into a spectrum of methodologies that sometimes use the terms artificial neural networks, computational neuroscience, and brain models to illustrate methodological differences. The authors of [1] have chosen the terms realistic brain models and simplified brain models to illustrate two sides of the research spectrum. Realistic brain models refer to models that go to painstaking lengths to model the individual components of neurons and their assemblies. In these models, the goal is often directed toward gaining greater insight into actual brain function [2]. Indeed, this is a very active avenue of research in both the neuroscience and computational neuroscience fields.

Unlike their counterpart, simplified brain models, often implemented as artificial neural networks (ANNs), are usually directed toward computing meaningful information. Their simplified nature is both a distinct advantage and disadvantage over realistic brain models, as it is the conceptual and computational intractability that has hindered the use of realistic brain models as functional entities. Despite the large range of successful applications of ANNs, future networks that attempt to solve complex problems such as robust object recognition [3] or modeling complex behaviors [4] will likely require more realistic organization principles.

If neural networks are to be realized in a more biologically realistic manner, the two aforementioned hindrances will need to be overcome. The first, conceptual intractability, is being slowly broken apart by a large number of neuroscientists such
as those previously referenced. Their progress has led to many new ideas and models regarding the functioning of individual neurons. A major insight that has emerged from these studies involves the role of the single neuron in the computational abilities of neural networks—both biological and artificial [2, 5]. In particular, the structural organization of the neuron’s dendrite (the part of the neuron that receives signals from other neurons) has become an important concept in both the theory of biological neuron functioning [2, 5-7] and computational studies [8]. Models that do not take into account the physical structure of the neuron are in effect using point neurons. Point neurons are named as such to illustrate the lack of dendrites where afferent neurons instead synapse directly onto the soma (cell body).

One biological phenomenon that has been attributed to interactions between neurons synapsing at proximal locations on a dendrite is the directionally selective activation of starburst amacrine cells [9]. Euler et al. [9] demonstrated that the signal, which responds vigorously for stimuli moving centrifugally—i.e., away from the soma—, is the result of local computations that take place on the dendrites of the cell. Following this result, Tukker et al. [10] created a realistic computational model that showed the directionally selective signal found at the distal tips of the dendrites could be accounted for by an interaction between a temporally delayed global signal and local synaptic input.

The second hindrance, computational intractability, is a result of the large number of calculations needed to model a realistic neuron. One approach that is being used to overcome computational insufficiencies in highly parallel applications, such as neural modeling, is to use the graphics processing unit (GPU) [11], which is the primary computational unit integrated into present-day computer graphics cards.

In this paper, we present a neural network design that has been crafted for execution on the GPU. The design achieves real-time computational abilities while preserving potentially crucial features of realistic brain models such as dendritic computing. To demonstrate the neural network design, we implement a sample network that simulates the subset of the retinal circuitry responsible for generating the directionally selective signal in the starburst amacrine cells.

2 GPU Processing

In recent years, the computational abilities of certain systems have seen enhanced growth due to the expansion of parallel systems such as cluster computing and distributed computing. Another highly parallel paradigm that has recently been exploited by computationally hungry scientists is the GPU, which is currently being used for image processing, computer vision, signal processing, video encoding, and ray tracing, among others [11]; applications such as these have been given the acronym GPGPU for general-purpose computation using graphics hardware.

2.1 Brief Overview of GPU Architecture

The massive computational power underlying the GPU comes from its parallel architecture, which is implemented using a computational unit known as a stream
processor. Essentially, a stream processor is a highly restricted form of a processor core; whereas processor cores are able to perform a wide variety of complex tasks, stream processors use a specialized instruction set to perform the tasks that are repeatedly executed during computer graphics rendering. By performing only a handful of tasks, the GPU can pack hundreds of stream processors into a single GPU, as opposed to the eight processor cores available in modern CPUs at the time of writing.

Given the restricted nature of stream processors, applications that wish to exploit the computational advantages of the GPU must adhere to a narrow flow of execution. This flow is divided into four primary steps: vertex operations, primitive assembly, rasterization, and fragment operations. All programs executed on the GPU must perform all four steps; however, in many GPGPU applications, the first three steps are executed at a bare minimum to support the bulk of the computation, which takes place at the final stage. Those interested in the details of the first three stages are encouraged to visit a community website dedicated to GPGPU programming [12].

The fragment operations that support the bulk of GPGPU computations are performed by a simple program designed to execute on the GPU known as a fragment shader. Fragment shaders perform a series of operations that manipulate one pixel of data per execution. However, since there are hundreds of stream processors, many millions of pixels of data can be processed in a very short period of time.

Data used by GPGPU applications must also conform to computer graphics constructs which use images known as textures to store data. In traditional computer graphics applications, a texture stores visual attributes not suited for—or too computationally expensive for—representation by geometry, such as the clothes of a character or the asphalt of a highway.

2.2 Neural Networks on the GPU

Many ANNs involve a highly parallel design that is well suited for implementation on the GPU. Consequently, a number of researchers have taken advantage of this to achieve notable gains in execution time [13-15]. For instance, Bernhard and Keriven [13] were able to achieve a 5 to 20 fold increase in performance over a CPU implementation while simulating spiking neural networks for image segmentation. Gobron et al. [14] use the GPU to model the retina using cellular automata, and Woodbeck et al. [15] use the GPU to implement a model of the processing that takes place in the primary visual cortex. However, in each of these instances of neural network processing, simple point-neurons were used to perform the pertinent computations, which will likely be insufficient to for complex tasks such as robust object recognition.

3 Neural Network Design

3.1 Single Neuron Model

As mentioned previously, researchers now believe the physical organization of synapses plays a key role in the processing of information by neurons. In the design
presented here, we take into account the organization of afferent synapses to facilitate some of the mechanisms that underlie the computational power of biological neurons. Figure 1 shows two neurons that can be simulated using the present model. The key thing to notice is the labeling of dendrite segments, which permits local computations to take place in individual segments. London and Häusser [5, pp. 509] note, “Because the branch points in the dendritic tree can be seen as summing up the current in individual branches, ... the whole dendrite can implement complex functions.” The addition of this type of organization will allow the use of what London and Häusser refer to as the dendritic toolkit [5]. In the sample network we use this dendritic toolkit to compute a direction selective signal in the dendrites of a simulated starburst amacrine cell.

Fig. 1. Illustration of potential neurons in the present model.

3.2 Single Neuron Creation

The single neuron model described in the previous section allows inputs to be grouped together on a single dendrite segment so that local computations can take place independently. However, it may not be entirely clear how the dendrite segments can be generated or how afferent synapses can be connected to each segment. Consequently, we have included the pseudocode for a recursive function that generates the dendrite branching patterns from a branch code. For example, the branch code that is used to generate the left neuron in Figure 1 is given on the first line of pseudocode, and the branch code to generate the right neuron is 411101110110110110.

Essentially, each digit in the branch code, which can be stored in string form, represents the number of new segments that are to be generated from the current location. For example, ‘2’ represents a binary split, ‘1’ represents a single segment, and ‘0’ represents the end of a segment. When a split is encountered, the subsequent digit(s) is used to generate the first segment of the split until that branch is terminated with a ‘0’ at which point the following digit(s) is used to generate the second segment of the most recent split, and so on until all segments have been terminated.

```
branchCode = “5210102101012101021010110”
SetupDendriteSegment(int codeIndex)
    if branchCode[codeIndex] equals ‘0’
        delete digit from branchCode at codeIndex and return
```

DendriteSegment d = new DendriteSegment
while SynapsesNeeded() equals true
    Add GetNextSynapse() to d
for i = Integer of branchCode[codeIndex] to 0
    SetupDendriteSegment(codeIndex+1)
    delete digit from branchCode at codeIndex and return
End SetupDendriteSegment

The SetupDendriteSegment function can generate a complex branching pattern from a string of digits; however, it still must be decided how afferent inputs will be connected to the individual dendrite segments. Since this is different for each type of neuron, a general procedure is described which invokes two undefined functions, SynapsesNeeded and GetNextSynapse which are left to the reader to implement as needed by their application.

One final item needs to be handled before the segments are complete, which is the fact that the local membrane potentials of neighboring segments should be part of the local computation that takes place on each individual dendrite segment. This can be solved by simply treating the neighboring segments as synapses and identifying these with a unique synapse type (described in the following section).

3.3 Data Storage

In the present model, functionally identical neurons are arranged into a single layer which forms the functional unit of the network. Each layer of simulated neurons in the network requires three textures to be maintained throughout execution: a neuron texture, a dendrite texture, and a synapse texture. Individual pixels in the neuron texture store the activation (i.e., membrane potential; $A_N$) for each individual neuron as well as an index to the first dendrite segment of the neuron ($I_D$) stored in the dendrite texture. Pixels in the dendrite texture store the local membrane potential of each dendrite segment ($A_D^i$), an index to the first synapse of the segment ($I_S^i$) stored in the synapse texture, and a weight used to moderate how much local potential is transferred from the segment ($W_D^i$). Finally, each pixel in the synapse texture stores an index to the afferent membrane potential that is being transferred by the synapse ($I_A^i$); for instance, bipolar cells in the sample network receive input from the photoreceptor neurons, which will be indexed by the synapse texture of the bipolar cell’s synapses. However, as with biological neurons, synapses in the model can also receive their input from a dendrite segment of another neuron. The synapse texture also contains a weight used to modify the synapse strength ($W_S^i$) and the type of the synapse (T) which determines the texture that is indexed by the synapse. Figure 2 illustrates a summary of the data model described above.

3.4 Model Execution

As mentioned in the previous section, functionally identical neurons are grouped into layers. Each layer is executed by two fragment shaders each time a neuron’s activations are calculated. The first fragment shader computes the local activation
function of individual dendrite segments. This shader executes by beginning at the first indexed synapse in the synapse texture and iterating over subsequent synapses until a blank synapse is encountered—denoted by a -1 in \( i^s \). The membrane potential calculated in this way is then stored in the G component of the dendrite texture. The second shader computes the global activation function of the neurons in the network. This shader works in a manner very similar to the first shader by iterating over the dendrite segments directly connected to the neuron soma, and the activation of the neuron is stored in the G component of the neuron texture.

![Fig. 2. Data model for the neural network design. Each pixel (grey square) is used to store the various indexes and parameters used to compute the activations of the neurons and dendrites in the network.](image)

4 Sample Network

The sample network presented hereafter is used to demonstrate how the organization principles previously described can be implemented to achieve both function and efficiency. To this end, the following network will be used to model a subset of the retinal circuitry responsible for the directionally selective signal found in the distal dendrite branches of the starburst amacrine cells. In particular, we model the photoreceptors (short-, medium-, & long-wavelength cones; implemented in the model using individual red, green, and blue color channels), horizontal cells (H1 and H2), on-center bipolar cells (short-, medium-, & long-wavelength cells), and starburst amacrine cells. The local membrane potentials of the network demonstrate that the computation of the centrifugal direction selective signal is very similar to that of biological neurons [9, 10].
4.1 System Overview

Input to the network is achieved using a standard webcam setup that captures video at 30 frames per second (FPS). After each frame is captured, it is transferred to video memory on the graphics card using the OpenGL API. Fragment shaders, which encompass nearly all of the network processing and implementation, are implemented using OpenGL’s high level shading language, GLSL. The neuron, dendrite, and synapse textures are created prior to execution using an extension of the methods detailed in previous sections. All computations were executed on a desktop computer running Windows XP with a 2.6 Ghz AMD 64 bit dual-core processor with 4 GBs of RAM and a Nvidia GTX 280 graphics card.

4.2 Network Organization

The wiring of photoreceptors, horizontal cells, and on-center bipolar cells is modeled directly from the biological wiring described in [9, 10, 16, 17]. Figure 3 (left) illustrates the connections that exist between a single starburst amacrine cell and the cells that contribute to its activation; essentially, the neurons shown for the classic receptive field for a single starburst amacrine cell. Dendritic branching of horizontal and bipolar cells incorporates only single dendrite branch segments – e.g., a branch code of 410101010. Bipolar cells compute a contrast modulated activation through an antagonistic center-surround organization of its afferent synapses from cones (center) and horizontal cells (surround). For the sake of brevity, the equations used to compute neural activations are not presented. However, the neural activations follow very closely those of their biological counterparts as described by Dowling [17], and are similar in effect to those of [18].

Starburst amacrine cell dendrites follow the basic design present in Figure 1. This dendrite branching pattern, though highly simplified, still preserves the necessary geometric relationship that allows the computation of the centrifugal-selective signals as described by Tukker et al. [10]. As dendrite segments of the starburst amacrine cell move progressively farther from the soma, inputs to these segments are selectively received from bipolar cells that are more distant from the starburst cell.
Fig. 3. Connections and data flow in the sample network. (left) A 3-dimensional rendering of the actual connections that contribute to the activation of a single starburst amacrine cell in the sample network. Illustrated neurons represent the classic receptive field of the starburst neuron. (right) Source image and the activations of each layer in the network. Lighter portions represent higher activations whereas the color represents the relative contribution by red, green, and blue components of the source signal.
4.3 Network Results

The sample network consists of nine layers of neurons, more than 225,000 individual neurons, and more than 2 million synapses. Despite its size, the activations of every neuron in the network can be computed in 7 ms or, put another way, the network operates at roughly 142 pulses per second (1 pulse = 1 computation of all neuron activations). In preliminary versions of the network, the GPU version outperformed an analogous, multi-threaded CPU version by a factor of approximately 20 when executed on a 2.4 Ghz quad-core processor.

The activations of the individual layers in the network are illustrated in Figure 3 (right). The activations of all layers, with the exception of the starburst amacrine layer, are illustrated during a single, similar pulse step. The activations of the starburst amacrine layer, however, are from a pulse that is many steps into the future relative to the other layers, which demonstrates the motion of the robot pictured. Although the starburst amacrine cells are directionally selective, their highly overlapped nature instead results in activations similar to image subtraction from traditional image processing techniques. This result in itself could be achieved with a simpler network [c.f., 18]; however, starburst amacrine cells form a crucial input for the computationally more complex directionally selective ganglion cells [9]. As such, this network represents a significant first step in the creation of a larger, more complex network dedicated to modeling the complex visual processes that contribute to our own remarkable visual abilities.

5 Discussion

In the present work we have demonstrated a novel neural network design that combines biologically realistic—and potentially computationally crucial—mechanisms that can be executed in real-time by taking advantage of the highly parallel organization of modern GPUs. This design was then demonstrated by modeling the subset of the retinal neural circuitry that is responsible for computing a directionally-selective signal in the starburst amacrine cell. As in its biological form, the signal computed in the cell is the result of the physical organization of the afferent input on a starburst cell’s dendrites.

The sample network, which consists of more than 225k neurons, can be computed at a rate that is nearly 5x the frame rate of the incoming signal. As such, this sample network could already be extended to include much more sophisticated motion processing by including layers subsequent to starburst cells such as directionally selective ganglion cells and neurons in extra-striate areas and the middle temporal area, which are known to be very important to motion processing in primate vision [19]. Additional cortical mechanisms important for primate vision have been proposed to directly rely on computations that take place in the dendrites [e.g., 20, 21]. The single neuron model that is introduced here could be used directly to implement such proposed complex neural mechanisms in a computationally efficient manner. Future work will be directed toward building more complex visual abilities of the network, and, when necessary, utilizing the dendritic toolkit that is supported by the current neural network design to implement such abilities.
References