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Abstract: Today’s dynamic markets demand from companies’ new ways of thinking, adaptation of new technologies and more flexible production. These business drivers can be met effectively and efficiently only if people and enterprise resources, such as information systems collaborate together. The gap between organizational business aspects and information technology causes problems for companies to reach their goals. Information systems have increasingly important role in realization of business processes demands which leads to demand of close interaction and understanding between organizational and technical components. It is critical for enterprise interoperability, where semantic integration of information and technology is the prerequisite for successful collaboration. The paper presents a new semantic framework for better quality of semantic interoperability.

1 INTRODUCTION

Today’s rapid changes in markets force companies to produce their products with better quality and more flexibly, which results in necessity for introduction of new technological solutions. The role of information systems, as support for realization of business process demands, becomes of great importance. Traditionally there is a gap between two communities; business administration professionals and information technology experts. Business people tend to consider technological issues as a subordinate aspect in business process and technology experts consider that business goals issues do not deserve much attention (Weske, 2007).

As goals stated by business experts at the organizational level should fit with the outputs from implementation, it is necessary that all partners involved have a common understanding of both organizational and technical aspects. Growing business enables growing of data. The business will suffer service disruptions if there is no strategy how to manage relevant information.

The fundamental problem with conventional methods for information system development is that they do not take into account some important semantic interdependency types between static and dynamic models, which are crucial for gluing strategic, organizational and technical descriptions into one computation independent and integrated representation (Gustas and Gustiené, 2007). There is a lack of integrated models and systematic methods to support business process modelling across organizational and technical system boundaries. Semantic problems of communication between business analysis and design experts lead to ambiguous and incomplete system requirement specifications as well as causes enterprise interoperability problems (Sarjanoja et al., 2008).

In business modelling is an important to determine how an information system contributes to the objectives of the organization (Bennett, 2002). Traditionally graphical representations of enterprise architectures are constructed fragmentally and not aligned with information system design. It causes difficulties to maintain semantic integrity of multiple enterprise architectural specifications (Gustas and...
The success of enterprise interoperability much depends on how static (data) and dynamic aspects of enterprises are integrated. This integration enables preservation of the meaning of information about the context (Sarjanoja et al., 2008). The description of integrated service architectures should be established before implementation specific solutions are discussed.

The paper proposes an extended framework for improving semantic quality of business processes using service-oriented approach. It enables integration of static and dynamic aspects of business processes, facilitates information integration across organizational boundaries and provides possibilities to check consistency and completeness as well as to track undesirable system qualities (Gustas and Gustienė, 2004; Gustienė, 2003).

2 SEMANTIC ISSUES

Semantics i.e. study of meaning is the central part of communication. We have to understand the meaning of the message unambiguously in order to reach a successful communication. Ambiguity is one of the deficiencies of the natural and system modelling languages, it causes misunderstanding. Ambiguity of concepts in system modelling may occur because a construct, formal expression or natural language sentence has more than one meaning (Dori, 2002), or because of incompleteness or inconsistency of conceptual models.

Ontology captures consensual knowledge in a generic way to be reused and shared across software applications and by groups of people (Gomez-Perez et al., 2005; Gruber, 1995). It defines a common vocabulary for information sharing in a domain (Noy and McGuinness, 2001; Uschold and Gruninger, 1996). Creation of a business ontology which is describing the semantics of the essential concepts of company will offer better possibilities for unified process management and system interoperability. Through business ontologies it is possible to view an integrated view of company’s data (Pollock and Hodgson, 2004).

The most important issue in information system development is how to manage its complexity. According to Dietz (Dietz, 2006), complexity can only be mastered under two conditions: to have a comprehensive theory about the things whose complexity one wants to master and the other condition is that there are appropriate analysis methods and models based on that theory. To manage complexity it is necessary to have an integrated method and a coherent, comprehensive, consistent and concise conceptual model of the enterprise. Semantic interoperability can be ensured by providing contextual knowledge of domain applications (Ram and Park, 2004). Interoperability is comprised of both technical integration and information integration (Peltomaa et al., 2008). The main technical challenge is the lack of interoperability of different systems and data sources thus most of the current solutions are focused on technical integration, to link disparate software systems to become part of a larger system.

Information integration is enabled by semantic interoperability that emphasizes the importance of information inside enterprises and focuses on enabling content, data, and information to interoperate with software systems outside their origin (Pollock and Hodgson, 2004). Yet any moderately complex integration work requires both technical and information integration.

The semantic interoperability research has categorized three broad research areas: mapping-based, intermediary-based, and query-oriented approaches (Park and Ram, 2004). Mapping-based approach attempts to construct mappings between semantically related information sources while the intermediary-based approach may also rely on mapping knowledge established between a common ontology and local schemas. Query-oriented approach is focused on interoperable languages which can be used for formulating queries over several databases.

Semantic architectures for information integration are divided within the methodologies into three groups which are one-to-one mapping, single shared ontology and ontology clustering (Alexiev et al., 2005). These methodologies use differently global ontology together with local ontology. Either local ontologies are used alone (one-to-one paradigm), or a global ontology exists either without (single-shared ontology) or with local ontologies (mix of single-shared and one-to-one mapping) (Alexiev et al., 2005; Bruijn and Feier, 2005).

3 SEMANTIC FRAMEWORK

Enterprises need more effective way to manage information related to their business. The management of information includes communication between personnel and the integration of information in separate information systems.
The ambition of service orientation is to provide system designers with a constructive way of integrating business as a set of linked services. It is a way of designing an integrated business process as a set of loosely coupled services. Service architectures can be used for specifications of business processes in terms of organizational and technical services (Gustas and Gustiené 2007; Gustas and Gustiené, 2008).

Service-oriented approach presented for analysis and design process (SOAD) (Gustas and Gustiené 2007; Gustas and Gustiené, 2008; Gustiené and Gustas, 2008) has semantic power to conceptualize organizational and technical system components, by distinguishing intersubjective and objective views, that facilitates better semantic integrity control between static and dynamic aspects. The advantage of such modelling is that it integrates semantics of different aspects in one type of diagram. Conceptual representation of service architectures define computation independent aspects that are not influenced by any implementation solutions and are more comprehensible for business people as well as system designers.

Sebi-framework (Peltomaa et al., 2008) defines framework for information integration using semantic technologies. Interoperability between separate information systems is achieved by developing a shared information model for the information. Different views of information are available for other information systems or humans through shared information model which can be called integration ontology.

In figure 1 proposition of combining these two approaches is presented. The most important part of the Sebi-framework is the development of integration ontology, which is developed in close collaboration with business experts to determine correct concepts and their relationships. As a method for integration ontology development Sebi-process (Sarjanoja et al, 2008) is used. Completing Sebi-process with SOAD the process of business modelling is included in the framework and more accurate integration ontology can be developed. Integration is implemented by connecting concept models formed from information in separate information systems to the developed integration ontology using mappings.

Extension of Sebi-framework with SOAD approach will contribute to a better quality of semantic interoperability, because this approach has more semantic power in comparison with other methods, to identify and control undesirable semantic characteristics such as inconsistency and incompleteness that lowers the quality of data.

Being computation neutral service-oriented analysis facilitates better involvement of stakeholders without deep technical knowledge in the area of information system. In the following chapters the components of this approach are introduced more closely.

4 ANALYSIS

The primary goal of Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA) is to align the business design with the information technology (IT) innovations in order to make both organizational and technical system parts more effective (Gustas and Gustiené, 2007). Business and IT solutions can be expressed using graphical representations of Enterprise Architecture that provides possibilities to understand and determine the continual needs for changes.

To understand how and why technical system components are useful and fit to the overall organizational system, then at least three levels of information system models are necessary to take into consideration for maintenance of a systematic change. Three levels are represented in Figure 2.
Pragmatic level is the businesses-oriented analysis level, which is the most abstract one. This level provides motivation behind new business solutions. Goals stated at this level will be specified further at semantic level. These specifications drive and guide the activities at the semantic level.

At semantic level service-oriented analysis is done. This level has capacity to describe clearly static and dynamic structures of business processes across organizational and technical system boundaries. At this level semantic dependencies are used for conceptual modelling, which provide possibility to identify and overcome such undesirable system characteristics as inconsistency, incompleteness, redundancy of data, ambiguity and incoherence (Gustas and Gustiené, 2004; Gustiené, 2003).

At syntactic level technology-oriented analysis is done. This level defines implementation-oriented details, which explain the data processing need of a specific application or software component.

All three levels are interrelated as they define the same artefact. The framework of three levels provides the natural view to understand the modelling artefact as a whole. It provides with a way for semantic traceability via all three levels and enables interplay between business needs and technical solutions.

One of the advantage of the concept of service is that it can be applied equally well to the organizational as well as software components which can be viewed as service requester and service provider. Enterprise system can be defined as a set of interacting loosely connected components, which are able to perform specific services on request.

Conceptual representations of service architecture are defined by using one or more interaction loops between enterprise actors that can be viewed as organizational or technical components. Modelling method using service as an interaction loop or a composition of loops provides the holistic view of an enterprise as a system.

The core elements of the service-oriented modelling are actors, communication flows, and actions. Actors (service requesters or service providers) are the active elements of an enterprise, the ones who initiates the actions. The structure of a service as an interaction loop could be defined by five phases or steps necessary for modelling of service structure. They are as following:

1. Identification of interaction flows
2. Identification of actions
3. Identification of transition dependencies
4. Identification of attribute dependencies
5. Semantic integration

Identification of interaction flows and actions represent intersubjective perspective of the communication action, which is represented by interaction dependency link. Identification of transitions and attribute dependencies define the objective perspective. It defines the state changes that objects overcome when actions take place (Gustas and Gustiené, 2008). Modelling of data can not be done separately from process. These steps are important for integration of static and dynamic aspects, which facilitate reasoning and define the holistic understanding of enterprise architecture.

Service-oriented constructs used for service-oriented modelling is based on three events: creation, termination and reclassification events (Gustiené and Gustas, 2008). Composition of three types of basic constructs provides possibility to conceptualize the lifecycle of objects in a service.
interaction loop. Objects define the data from business environment which is necessary to integrate and which is critical for forming an integrated ontology. The example is represented in Figure 3.

Intersubjective and objective perspectives are important to distinguish for conceptualization of organizational as well as technical parts of the system. Ability to integrate these aspects in one modelling notation provides possibility to control and integrate static and dynamic aspects of the system. Enterprise ontology models should clearly define the semantic details about the state of attribute values when creation, termination or reclassification action takes place.

5 SEBI-FRAMEWORK

The Sebi-framework (Peltomaa et al., 2008) uses semantic technologies to enable information sharing among separate information systems. The developed framework enables the combination of all three different approaches if interoperability: mapping-based, intermediary-based, and query-oriented. As ontology architecture mixed paradigm with one global and several local ontologies is used. By using semantic technologies, an integrated view for heterogeneous data sources can be provided through integration ontology.

The definition of integration ontology is the most important part of using Sebi-framework in the semantic integration. The most significant results are achieved, when the integration ontology is broad enough. Including the whole enterprise in integration ontology is not possible; the integration ontology covers one or several domain areas. The integration ontology can be expanded and further specified when new information systems are included in the integration. The integration ontology has to include all the important concepts, but not be too detailed. It should not be too simple in order to enable integration and to provide semantic consistency. On the other hand too detailed integration ontology wastes time and resources without providing additional benefit.

In order to succeed in integration ontology development the communication gap between business, domain and IT-experts has to be eliminated. Pure technical framework is not enough for achieving the mutual understanding, and therefore a process for using Sebi-framework is built. This Sebi-process consists of four sub-processes: Case Envisioning, Business, Expertise and IT Domains. In Case Envisioning the basis for integration ontology development is created. The foundation of Case Envisioning is on Solution Envisioning with Capability Cases - approach (Polikoff et al., 2005). Solution Envisioning provides means for definition of common vocabulary between different parties and to make right technology selection from the constantly growing mass of available IT solutions.

The process does not specify any method for business process modelling. In semantic framework presented in figure 1, the Pragmatic level could be seen as a part of the Case Envisioning process, where business needs and possibilities are defined. Semantic level relates closely to the development of integration ontology and syntactic level is connected to Business, Expertise and IT Domains where implementation dependent work is done.

The technical implementation of Sebi-process is started by defining the integration ontology. Data in various information systems is stored in heterogeneous sources and formats. Using ontology engineering tools a concept model can be automatically formed from data sources. This requires that the data is stored in suitable forms including common relational database structures, ontology files, XML-documents and xls-files. If data is not in suitable form manual data processing is required.

Integration ontology is physically created with ontology building tool. Mappings are used to connect automatically generated concept models and manually build integration ontology. Mappings between the concepts in integration ontology and the concepts in source concept models are done manually. The purpose of mappings is to connect concepts which have the same semantics. When direct correspondence between concepts is not found the mappings are done using reasoning. The reasoning may be based on similarity of concepts and the meaning of concepts.

Integration ontology operates as a link between different information systems by offering access to the source information. The information is requested by executing queries into integration ontology by using middleware tool. The requested information can be delimited according to application’s or person’s needs so the information obtained is just the information needed.

6 CONCLUSIONS

Enterprise interoperability becomes a prerequisite for successful business accomplishment and requires
both technical and information integration. This integration greatly depends how well the communication among different stakeholders involved in the information development process succeeds. Lack of integrated methods and implementation bias are examples of the problems.

This paper presents a semantic framework for increasing the quality of enterprise interoperability by means of effective information integration. The paper contributes with a semantic framework, where Sebi-framework is extended with SOAD approach.

The advantage of SOAD is that by combining intesubjective and objective aspects in one modelling notation it facilitates to better understanding and reasoning about service architecture across organizational and technical system boundaries. It combines business data and business process dimensions and integrates static and dynamic aspects in one diagram type. Being computation neutral, service-oriented approach used for analysis and design is more comprehensible for business experts.

The Sebi-framework uses semantic technologies to enable information sharing among separate information systems. Interoperability between separate information systems is achieved by developing a shared information model of the information.

The presented semantic framework will facilitate to maintain a holistic representation of the enterprise which is necessary for systematic analysis of service architectures as well as to enterprise interoperability where internal and external views are visualized together.

REFERENCES
Alexiev, V. et al., 2005. Information Integration with Ontologies: Experiences from an Industrial Showcase, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK.