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Abstract: This paper presents two groups of synchronizers, namely the Carrier Phase Synchronizer and the Symbol Phase Synchronizer. In the first group the VCO (Voltage Controlled Oscillator) synchronizes with the input periodic signal and in the second the VCO synchronizes with a no periodic signal. Each group is studied under four topologies, namely the analog, hybrid, combinational and sequential. The objective is to evaluate the two groups with their four topologies and to observe the jitter-noise behaviours.

1 INTRODUCTION

This work study two groups of synchronizers, namely the carrier phase synchronizers and the symbol phase synchronizers (Jazwinski, 1966), (Imbeaux, 1983).

The difference between the two groups is inside of the phase comparator. So, the carrier phase comparator synchronizes its VCO with an input periodic deterministic wave, whereas the symbol phase comparator synchronizes its VCO with an input no periodic random symbol data sequence (Rosenkranz, 1982), (Witte, 1983), (Hogge, 1985).

The carrier synchronizer recovers the carrier and the base band electric signal that is carried. The symbol synchronizer recovers the clock and uses it to sample and to retiming the data (Simon and Lindsey, 1977), (Carruthers, Falconer, Sandler and Strawczynski, 1990), (Huber and Liu, 1992), (D’Amico, D’Andrea and Regianni, 2001), (Dobkin, Ginosar and Sotiriou, 2004), (Noels, Steendam and Moeneclaey, 2006).

Each group is studied under four topologies, namely the analog, the hybrid, the combinational and the sequential.

Also the difference between the four topologies is inside of the phase comparator. Each phase comparator uses its type of components (Reis, Rocha, Gameiro and Pacheco, 2007).

The synchronizer consists of the phase comparator (phase Detector), loop filter, VCO and amplification gain (Fig.1)

![Figure 1: Aspect of the carrier and symbol phase synchronizer.](image)

Kf is the phase comparator gain, F(s) is the loop filter, Ko is the VCO gain, and Ka is the loop amplification gain that acts in the locus root determining the desired characteristics.

Next, we present separately the two synchronizers groups, beginning with the carrier group with their four topologies and after the symbol group with their four topologies.

Then, we present the design and tests of the two groups with their four topologies.

After, we present the results with some comparisons.

Finally, we present the conclusions.

2 FOUR CARRIER PHASE SYNCHRONIZERS

We will present the four carrier phase synchronizers, namely the analog, the hybrid, the combinational and the sequential. The difference between them is inside of the phase comparator (Reis, Rocha, Gameiro and Pacheco, 2007).
2.1 Analog Carrier Topology

The analog type has a carrier phase comparator based on the ideal multiplier (analog component) (Fig. 2)

![Figure 2: Analog carrier phase synchronizer.]

The phase comparator two inputs (main input and VCO output) are both analog (full-analog).

2.2 Hybrid Carrier Topology

The hybrid type has a carrier phase comparator based on the real switch (hybrid component) (Fig. 3)

![Figure 3: Hybrid carrier phase synchronizer.]

The phase comparator main input is digital but the input coming from VCO output is still analog (semi-analog).

2.3 Combinational Carrier Topology

The combinational type has a carrier phase comparator based on an exor gate (combinational component) (Fig. 4)

![Figure 4: Combinational carrier phase synchronizer.]

The phase comparator two inputs (main input and VCO output) are both digital, but the phase comparator output is only function of the inputs (digital combinational).

2.4 Sequential Carrier Topology

The sequential type has a carrier phase comparator based on the flip flop (sequential component) (Fig. 5)

![Figure 5: Sequential carrier phase synchronizer.]

The phase comparator two inputs (main input and VCO output) are both digital, but now the phase comparator output is simultaneously function of the inputs and of its state (digital sequential - with intern memory).

3 FOUR SYMBOL PHASE SYNCHRONIZERS

We will present the four symbol phase synchronizers, namely the analog, hybrid, combinational and sequential. The difference between them is inside of the phase comparator (Reis, Rocha, Gameiro and Pacheco, 2007).

3.1 Analog Symbol Topology

Fig. 6 shows the analog type, whose phase comparator is based on analog ideal switches.

![Figure 6: Analog symbol phase synchronizer.]

The two inputs (main input and VCO output) at the symbol phase comparator are both analog (full-analog).

3.2 Hybrid Symbol Topology

Fig. 7 shows the hybrid type, whose phase comparator is based on an hybrid real switch.

![Figure 7: Hybrid symbol phase synchronizer.]

The two inputs (main input and VCO output) at the symbol phase comparator, the first is digital, but the second is still analog (half-analog).

3.3 Combinational Symbol Topology

Fig. 8 shows the combinational type, whose phase comparator is based on an exor gate.

![Figure 8: Combinational symbol phase synchronizer.]

The two inputs (main input and VCO output) at the symbol phase comparator are both digital (full-digital).
The two inputs (main input and VCO output) at the symbol phase comparator are both digital (digital-combinational). The output is only function of the inputs (circuit without memory).

### 3.4 Sequential Symbol Topology

Fig. 9 shows the sequential type, whose phase comparator is based on a flip flop and additional logic gates.

![Sequential symbol phase synchronizer](image)

The two inputs (main input and VCO output) at the symbol phase comparator are both digital (digital-sequential). The output is simultaneously function of the inputs and of the state (circuit with memory).

### 4 DESIGN, TESTS AND RESULTS

We will present the design, tests and results of the referred synchronizers (Reis, Rocha, Gameiro and Pacheco, 2001).

#### 4.1 Design

To get guaranteed results, it is necessary to dimension all the synchronizers with equal conditions. Then it is necessary to design all the loops with identical linearized transfer functions.

The general loop gain is $K_l = K_d K_o = K_a K_f K_o$ where $K_f$ is the phase comparator gain, $K_o$ is the VCO gain and $K_a$ is the control amplification factor that permits the desired characteristics.

For analysis facilities, we use a normalized transmission rate $t_x = 1$ baud, what implies also normalized values for the others dependent parameters. So, the normalized clock frequency is $f_{CK} = 1$ Hz.

We choose a normalized external noise bandwidth $B_n = 5$ Hz and a normalized loop noise bandwidth $B_l = 0.02$ Hz. Later, we can disnormalize these values to the appropriated transmission rate $t_x$.

Now, we will apply a signal with noise ratio $\text{SNR}$ given by the signal amplitude $A_{ef}$, noise spectral density $N_0$ and external noise bandwidth $B_n$, so the $\text{SNR} = A_{ef}^2/(2\pi N_0 B_n)$. But, $N_0$ can be related with the noise variance $\sigma_n$ and inverse sampling $\Delta t = 1$ Samp, then $N_0 = 2\pi \sigma_n^2 \Delta t$, so $\text{SNR} = A_{ef}^2/(2\pi \sigma_n^2 \Delta t B_n) = 0.5/(2\pi \sigma_n^2 \Delta t B_n) = 25/\sigma_n^2$.

After, we observe the output jitter $U_t$ as function of the input signal with noise $\text{SNR}$. The dimension of the loops is

- 1st order loop:

  The loop filter $F(s)=1$ with cutoff frequency $0.5$ Hz ($B_p=0.5$ Hz is 25 times bigger than $B_l=0.02$ Hz) eliminates only the high frequency, but maintain the loop characteristics.

  The transfer function is

  $$H(s) = \frac{G(s)}{1+G(s)} = \frac{KdK_o F(s)}{s + KdK_o F(s)} = \frac{KdK_o}{s + KdK_o}$$ (1)

  the loop noise bandwidth is

  $$B_l = \frac{KdK_o}{4} = \frac{KdK_o}{K_f K_o} = 0.02 \text{Hz}$$ (2)

  Then, for the analog synchronizers, the loop bandwidth is

  $$B_l = 0.02 = (K_a K_f K_o)/4 \text{ with } (K_m = 1, A = 1/2, B = 1/2; K_o = 2\pi)$$

  $$(K_a K_m A B K_o)/4 = 0.02 \Rightarrow K_a = 0.08*2/\pi$$ (3)

  For the hybrid synchronizers, the loop bandwidth is

  $$B_l = 0.02 = (K_a K_f K_o)/4 \text{ with } (K_m = 1, A = 1/2, B = 0.45; K_o = 2\pi)$$

  $$(K_a K_m A B K_o)/4 = 0.02 \Rightarrow K_a = 0.08*2.2/\pi$$ (4)

  For the combinational synchronizers, the loop bandwidth is

  $$B_l = 0.02 = (K_a K_f K_o)/4 \text{ with } (K_f = 1/\pi; K_o = 2\pi)$$

  $$(K_a*1/\pi*2\pi)/4 = 0.02 \Rightarrow K_a = 0.04$$ (5)

  For the sequential synchronizers, the loop bandwidth is

  $$B_l = 0.02 = (K_a K_f K_o)/4 \text{ with } (K_f = 1/2\pi; K_o = 2\pi)$$

  $$(K_a*1/2\pi*2\pi)/4 = 0.02 \Rightarrow K_a = 0.08$$ (6)

  The jitter depends on the RMS signal $A_{ef}$, on the power spectral density $N_0$ and on the loop noise bandwidth $B_l$.

  For analog PLL the jitter is

  $$\sigma_{\phi^2} = B_l N_0 / A_{ef}^2 = B_l 2 \pi N_0 \Delta \tau = 0.02*10^{-6}$$

  For the others PLLs the jitter formula is more complicated.

  - 2nd order loop:

    The second order loop is not shown here, but the results are identical to the ones obtained above for the first order loop.
4.2 Tests

The following figure (Fig. 10) shows the setup that was used to test the various synchronizers.

![Figure 10: Block diagram of the test setup.](image)

The receiver recovered clock with jitter is compared with the emitter original clock without jitter, the difference is the jitter of the received clock.

4.3 Jitter Measurer (Meter)

The jitter measurer (Meter) consists of a RS flip flop, which detects the random variable phase of the recovered clock (CKR), relatively to the fixed phase of the emitter clock (CKE). This relative random phase variation is the recovered clock jitter (Fig. 11).

![Figure 11: The jitter measurer (Meter).](image)

The other blocks convert this random phase variation into a random amplitude variation, which is the jitter histogram.

Then, the jitter histogram is sampled and processed by an appropriate program, providing the unit interval root mean square jitter (UI-RMS) and the unit interval peak to peak jitter (UI-PP).

4.4 Results

We present firstly the results (RMS jitter - SNR signal to noise ratio) of the carrier group with its four topologies and after the symbol group with its four topologies.

![Figure 12: Jitter-SNR curves of the four carrier synchronizers (a,h,c,s).](image)

Fig. 12 shows the jitter-SNR curves of the four carrier phase synchronizers, namely the analog (ana-c), the hybrid (hib-c), the combinational (cmb-c) and the sequential (seq-c).

We verify, that for high SNR, the synchronizers without input limiter (ana) is disadvantageous over the others with input limiter (hib, cmb, seq). However for low SNR the synchronizer with intern memory (seq) is slightly disadvantageous over the others without intern memory (ana, hib, cmb). This disadvantage can be minimized with a prefilter.

![Figure 13: Jitter-SNR curves of the four symbol synchronizers (a,h,c,s).](image)

We verify that for high SNR, the synchronizers without input limiter (ana) is disadvantageous over the others with input limiter (hib, cmb, seq). For low SNR, the synchronizer with intern memory (seq) is slightly disadvantageous over the others without intern memory (ana, hib, cmb). This disadvantage can be minimized with a prefilter.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We studied two groups of synchronizers, which are the carrier phase synchronizers and the symbol phase synchronizers, depending on their phase comparator.

Each group was studied under four topologies, namely the analog (ana), the hybrid (hib), the combinational (cmb) and the sequential (seq).

The two groups present a similar results relation between their four topologies (analog, hybrid, combinational and sequential).

For high SNR, the synchronizer without input limiter (ana) is disadvantageous over the others with input limiter (hib, cmb, seq), since the limiter ignores low noise spikes, diminishing the jitter.

For low SNR the synchronizers without input
limiter (ana) becomes advantageous over the others with input limiter (hib, cmb, seq), since the limiter provokes random gate commutation, increasing the jitter. Also, in low SNR the synchronizer with intern memory (seq) is the worst case since the state memory is scrambled by noise spikes increasing the jitter. This disadvantage can be minimized with a prefilter which reduces the noise spikes. Anyway, the sequential topology, due to its intern memory, has more project potentialities, what is useful.
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