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Abstract: In general, a design refinement process of an electronic system including both hardware and software traces a similar process of other systems in requirements analysis and system-level design. It is more true especially when they belong to the same product domains. Therefore, we can reuse various documents easily by making templates of the design refinement. In this paper, we propose a methodology that generates those templates and illustrate that the template made from the design refinement process of Compact-Flash (CF) memory interface controller can actually be used in that of ATM switch. Both of them are typical HW/SW co-designs where most of the control is performed by software. The generated templates can be applied to various designs which have the structure of “IO + intelligent buffers”. We use UML to describe the design templates and prove the efficiency of the use of the templates by showing the similarity of UML diagrams.

1 MOTIVATION

Due to the increased complexity of electronic systems such as SoC, it is essential to have a design methodology where various HW/SW IPs can be easily and precisely reused.Reuse of existing HW/SW designs in all design levels is the key to improve design productivity. A lot of studies have been made on reuse of intellectual property (IP), but most of those deal with the problems involved in RTL or lower design levels, that is, they are discussing about only hardware implementation reuse. Little attention has been given to reuse of designs in requirements analysis and system-level design where both hardware and software components can be reused.

In this paper, we propose a reuse methodology based on design refinement templates by which we can reuse various documents on design decisions easily in higher-level design. In general, a design refinement process of an electronic system traces similar process of other HW/SW combined systems in requirements analysis and system-level design phase. The tendency is more true especially when they belong to the same product domains. Therefore, in order to improve productivity, it is important to create templates of the design refinement processes which contain many essential decisions on system design including decisions on HW/SW partitioning. We use UML (Unified Modeling Language (Booch, 1998)) to describe the design templates since, with UML, we can easily describe the design templates in requirements analysis and system-level design.

2 RELATED WORK

An SoC has one or more microprocessors inside where some parts of the system can be executed as software. Therefore, both hardware and software parts of SoC should be seamlessly designed. As we mentioned in Section 1, a lot of design reuse is being done in SoC design, such as IP reuse. However, at the beginning of the SoC designs, design decisions are made in ad-hoc ways. To decrease the extra tasks of refining designs and shorten the design period, much more systematic design flows are needed. One of the methodologies to realize the requirement is reusing existing designs in earlier design stages. In the field of software design, there is a methodology called design pattern, which categorizes existing designs, generates templates from them, and applies the templates to other similar designs. Some researchers are trying to apply the design pattern to software/hardware co-design. Fernando Rincón et al proposed the design methodology in which data storages and data computing algorithms are handled separately (Rincón et al., 2005). Their design methodology is similar to STL (Standard Template Library) in C++. In the description of algorithms, iterators are used to access...
data storages, so that any kind of data storages can be adopted. When the sufficiently various types of storages and algorithms are provided, the templates can be easily applied to a new system. To apply the design methodology, however, designers have to come up with the combination of the components in the library in ad-hoc ways. Also, it is difficult to design a new system which significantly differs from the existing designs. To avoid these problems, design reuse should be done in earlier stages and in larger scale.

3 OUR BASIC APPROACH

3.1 Specifications of UML

We use UML diagrams to represent our analysis and design. UML is a standardized language that includes nine types of diagrams to realize object-oriented analysis and design. By combining the use of (subset of) these nine diagrams, object-oriented analysis and design methods can be realized, as presented in (Rumbaugh et al., 1990; Booch, 1993; Jacobson, 2000). UML, however, just provides a standardized way to describe various diagrams related to object-oriented analysis and design methodologies, and does not have any particular ways to utilize the diagrams. Therefore, there are many ways to use UML diagrams in analysis and design, although the differences may not be so large.

In our study, we use four types of the diagrams: use-case diagram, class diagram, sequence diagram, and state chart diagram. A use-case diagram is used to analyze how the target system reacts and functions to the environments (Fig. 2 and 6). A class diagram is used to statically describe and analyze the target designs by defining classes and their relationships (Fig. 3, 4, 7, and 8). A sequence diagram gives a snapshot of behaviors of the target system by defining sequences of messages among the objects inside the target system and the environments.

3.2 Basic Approach for Reuse

Our methodology appears in Fig. 1. It consists of two phases as follows:

The First Design Phase. Requirements analysis, decisions about functional structures and how to implement them are performed manually. Some design templates are created based on the design of this phase.

Reused Design Phase. The design refinement templates of the first phase are reused in this phase, and new designs are performed semi-automatically. We try to find similar designs by referring to existing designs (including ones stored in libraries). Once some similar designs are found, we try to apply the same refinement steps for more detailed designs.

An important point to emphasize is the fact that a design refinement process of an electronic system usually traces a similar process of other systems in requirements analysis and system-level design. Therefore, one template is applicable to a lot of designs, which results in the improvement of design productivity.

3.3 Design Flow for First Design

In the first design phase, designers extract requirements of the design target by use-case analysis, then, describe class diagrams which realize the required behavior. At the beginning of the flow, designers start with simple and abstracted diagrams. Along with the refinement steps explained in the following, designers make them into detailed ones taking characteristic of the design target into account. UML diagrams and associated notes about design decisions in each refinement step are stored into the database and used as templates for other designs later. Note that the diagrams/documents that are not finally adopted are also stored into the database, since these alternatives can be used for other designs in future. The detailed explanation of the design flow for the first design is explained in Section 4.

3.4 Design Flow for Designs with Reuse

When there are existing designs available as design template, designers search for designs which are similar to a new design, and design it by reusing the refinement process of existing designs. Existing designs are used in two ways in general. The first way is applied when the new design and the existing design are similar to each other. In this case, the new design is designed quickly by tracing the refinement process of the existing design. In the second way, the existing
design is used in part. The existing design is used to find classes and use-cases which can be used in the current design. For example, from documents of existing designs, designers can find that classes of interfaces most likely use a class of serial/parallel conversion, a class of error collection, and so on. To detect similarity or find typically used elements effectively, existing UML diagrams have to be stored in the format which is easy to handle with computers. In this paper, we use XMI (XML Metadata Interchange) (Keienburg and Rausch, 2001) as a format to handle UML diagrams, because most of the UML drawing tools are able to use XMI format. The detailed explanation of the design flow for reused design is explained in Section 5.

4 CASE STUDY OF A NEW DESIGN

Object-oriented design methodologies (OODMs) have been widely adopted for better IP reuse and also easier changes of specifications. With this in mind, we try to apply object-oriented analysis and design methodology for HW/SW co-design process. Our OODM can generate these behavioral descriptions from the start of the analysis of the target design. We applied our OODM for HW/SW co-designs to the design of a Compact-Flash (CF) memory interface controller, a typical example of HW/SW co-design.

4.1 Use-Case Analysis and Scenario Generation

So far, requirement analysis for the target system have been made by designers in rather ad-hoc ways especially for hardware part. In our design methodology, we apply object-oriented analysis to this requirement identification. We use use-case diagrams to list up the required functionalities paying enough attentions to interactions between inside and outside of the target designs. The goal is to clarify what functionalities should be realized by the target designs.

In our OODM, the following two points must be well taken care of for proper use of use-case diagrams. The first point is that appropriate system boundaries and actors should be identified. What are inside the target designs and what are not must be clarified. Actors are basically either users of the target designs or the things that can directly be manipulated by users. The other point is that use-cases must be extracted considering only functionality of the target designs.

The required performance for the target designs is not included in the use-cases.

The next step is to describe scenarios for the use-cases identified. The required behaviors to realize each use-case should be analyzed. With the use-cases and their related scenarios, rough specifications of the target designs can be made. Use-case scenarios should be generated with the following points in mind.

- The words available for use-case scenario, the contents to describe should be defined as rules beforehand. Use-case scenario should be written by the rules. It is required to generate use-case scenarios and make them understood by other designers easily.
- When generating behaviors, alternative behaviors should also be listed up and well considered. This is good for reuses and redesigns as well.
- The results must be reviewed enough by other designers as well.

In the case of CF-memory interface controller, one of the generated use-case diagrams is shown in Figure 2.

4.2 Class Diagram in Requirements Analysis

In order to realize the functionality defined in use-case diagrams, we need to group together them and identify a good set of problem domains. This is done by using class diagrams. One way to do this is to extract common “nouns” and “verbs” from the use-case diagrams and scenarios, and assigning classes to “nouns” and methods for classes to “verbs”. This method is very effective especially in early phases of analysis phases, although the quality of the extracted class diagram pretty much depends on the quality of the use-case scenarios.

With this class diagram for analysis, design reuses as IPs and also changes of specifications can be relatively much easier to be accommodated. Class diagrams for analysis phases should be generated with the followings in mind.
When generating candidates of classes, similar words/concepts to the one shown in use-case scenarios should also be included.

- Each class should have clear responsibility in terms of the functionality of the target designs. It should also be considered whether each class generated can be reused easily or not.
- It is not good at all to directly map each use-case scenario to a flow in class diagrams. If we do this way, there will be too many relationships among classes, and the resulting class diagrams will not be easy to understand. Instead it is essential to categorize the functionality shown in the scenarios.

4.3 Class Diagram in System-Level Design

Following the analysis phase, our OODM has the second phase for system-level design considerations. In this phase, based on the results of the analysis, classes that should be implemented in hardware are recognized and refined to have clear interfaces. After this phase, each class in class diagrams can be mapped into a function in SpecC (Gajski et al., 2000) or SystemC (Accelera Organization, 2004) descriptions, and they can be further processed for implementations.

When generating class diagrams for system-level design, the following points must be considered:

- Sufficient sets of methods for each class to realize the required functionality must be extracted.
- Things to be stored after each method should be clarified. In the case of hardware implementations, they will be actual hardware facilities. Since how they can be used and also shared are critical for hardware implementation costs, lifetime analysis of the stored data is applied.
- Mainly for hardware realization, instances of classes should also be generated, if multiple instances of the classes are necessary. This is based on hardware resource / performance trade-offs.
- For each class or instance of class, interfaces must be clearly defined including what are actually transferred: pointers or actual data themselves. These interfaces are derived as new classes in class diagrams for system-level design. This is essential for hardware implementation of the classes and should be well considered.

Note that from this class diagram it is fairly easy to generate system-level design descriptions.

4.4 Design Period and Design Refinement Template

From the real and previous design experience on similar hardware designs, we know that it needs about 12 man-months from behavior or RTL codings to the implementation for this design. Also, the time spent for analysis phase in the previous designs is about a couple of man-months although that was a very informal process. Our proposed analysis and design method took 3 man-months, and so we could say the time spent is pretty much similar to the traditional informal ones. Our method, however, generates lots of documents on design information including design decisions. The documents can be utilized in other design processes following our design methodology.

Figure 5 shows the design refinement process of CF-memory interface controller using the simplified class diagrams. In the phase 1, most primitive diagram of the design is shown. In the phase 2, essential factors of interface protocols for interfaces on each side are reflected on the design. Then in phase 3, the classes which represent address conversion and required operation for using the flash memory are added. Finally in phase 4, the classes to realize address conversion are added into the diagram.

From these four diagrams, we can see that the series of works represent a generic design refinement process of ‘IO + intelligent buffers’ system. Therefore, we can expect that some of the interface circuits are designed quickly by tracing this design refinement process. In the next section, we proof this notion by applying the design refinement process of CF-memory interface controller into the design refinement process of ATM switch.
5 CASE STUDY OF DESIGN WITH REUSE

5.1 Design Refinement Process of ATM Switch

ATM switch has two inputs and two outputs of data packets with control signals, and some functionalities for routing the packets. The result of the requirement analyses is shown in Fig. 6.

Comparing Fig. 7 with Fig. 3, we can say the two diagrams are alike in the four points below.

- Both designs receive the data from a certain port and send them to other ports without modifying.
- In both designs, the addresses are modified: address conversion in CF-memory interface controller and packet routing in ATM switch.
- A command is sent via particular port along with data: via PCMCIA interface in CF-memory interface controller and in the header attached to an ACM packet in ATM switch.
- Input/output operations include serial/parallel conversion.

From these four points, we apply the design refinement process of CF-memory interface controller to that of ATM switch. First, we related Fig. 6 to the phase 1 in Fig. 5. Then, we refined the design of ATM switch referring to the process from the phase 1 to the phase 2 in Fig. 5, and lead to Fig. 7. Fig. 3 and Fig. 7 look similar to each other, although some classes have different name, attributes and methods, reflecting the differences between requirements of the two design targets.

5.2 Evaluation of Reuse Methodology

We refined the design further in the same way. The refinement processes correspond to the phase 2, 3, 4 in Fig. 5. We decided an abstract functional structure, concrete implementation, and function modules in the processes. Not only class diagrams but also sequence diagrams are created at the same time to check the behavior of the system in each design refinement step.

Figure 8 shows the most refined version of the class diagram for ATM switch. This diagram is used as input for C-based design.

Classes whose Name, Attributes, Methods are not Modified. They are the ones that are not modified in the design refinement process of ATM switch.

Classes whose Name, Attributes or Methods are Modified. In this category, classes that have the corresponding classes in the other class diagram are included, according to their functions or their placements in the diagram. They are the same classes as the counterparts in abstract diagrams, and get apart in the design refinement process.

Classes Deleted or Newly Created. These classes are specific to each target design. In designing ATM switch, some classes are newly generated and refined in the process explained as the first design phase.
Table 1 shows how many classes correspond to each category. If we define the reused classes as the classes which categorized into the first and the second categories, we can say that 80% of the classes in CF-memory interface controller are reused in ATM switch. Therefore, it seems reasonable to conclude that our proposed methodology can shorten the period for requirements analysis and system-level design. In fact, the analysis and design of the ATM switch was completed in only two man-weeks.

In addition, we strongly believe that reusing IPs and changing specifications can be much easier to accommodate in our methodology, since we finally have various documents in UML diagrams for not only final designs but also alternative designs.

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we proposed a design methodology which reuses the process of requirement analysis and system-level design refinement both for hardware and software designs. We used UML to describe each step of design refinement process, which resulted in that designers could easily describe design decisions and communicate to other designers. Also, applying the object oriented analysis, we can pick up requirements thoroughly, accommodate to changes of specifications, and carry out IP reuse easily.

In our design methodology, documents such as UML diagrams and notes in natural languages are reused as design templates in later designs. At the first design, our design methodology requires almost the same amount of works as conventional design flows. From the next similar design, we can significantly decrease design period by reusing the design process of the previous designs. In the experiment, we designed CF-memory interface controller and found that the design process can be categorized into “IO + intelligent buffer”. Then, based on this observation, we designed ATM switch tracing the design process of CF-memory interface. The design of ATM switch took only 2 man-weeks, and reused 80% of the design from CF-memory interface controller design.

As our future work, we will implement and automate our methodology such as detecting similarity between diagrams and searching for the related classes. Also, we should consider to define how to describe notes on design decisions in some formal way.
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