FORECASTING WITH ARTMAP-IC NEURAL NETWORKS
An Application Using Corporate Bankruptcy Data

Anatoli Nachev
Information Systems, Dept. of Accountancy & Finance, National University of Ireland
Galway, Ireland

Keywords: Financial diagnosis, data mining, neural networks, ARTMAP-IC.

Abstract: Financial diagnosis and prediction of corporate bankruptcy can be viewed as a pattern recognition problem. This paper proposes a novel approach to solution based on ARTMAP-IC - a general-purpose neural network system for supervised learning and recognition. For a popular dataset, with proper preprocessing steps, the model outperforms similar techniques and provides prediction accuracy equal to the best one obtained by a backpropagation MLPs. An advantage of the proposed model over the MLPs is the short online learning, fast adaptation to novel patterns and scalability.

1 INTRODUCTION

For a financial institution it important to evaluate correctly the risk profile of a debtor. Wrong credit decisions can have important consequences: the refusal of a good credit can cause the loss of future profit margins and the approval of a bad credit can cause the loss of the interests and the principal money. To estimate credit risk, banks usually apply scoring systems, which takes into account factors, such as leverage, earnings, reputation, etc. Due to lack of metrics and subjectiveness in estimates, sometimes decisions are unrealistic and not consistent. Financial research has lead to numerous studies and a variety of formal techniques for classification of potential debtors into different groups in terms of solvency.

1.1 Previous Research

Kumar and Ravi (2007) outline techniques for financial diagnosis and bankruptcy prediction, grouped into two broad categories - statistical and intelligent. The statistical techniques include: linear discriminant analysis; multivariate discriminate analysis; quadratic discriminant analysis; logistic regression (logit); and factor analysis. The group of intelligent techniques include different types of neural networks, most popular of which is the multilayer perception (MLP); probabilistic neural networks; auto-associative neural network; self-organizing map; learning vector quantization; cascade correlation neural network; decision trees; case-based reasoning; evolutionary approaches; rough sets; soft computing (hybrid intelligent systems); operational research techniques including linear programming; data envelopment analysis; quadratic programming; support vector machine; fuzzy logic techniques, etc.

In their study, (Balcaen and Ooghe, 2004) found many difficulties in performance of the statistical techniques due to data anomalies, inappropriate sample selection, matters related to non-stationarity and instability of the data, unreasoned faith and trust on the truth reflected within the financial statements of the firms under consideration, inappropriate selection of independent variables and wrong consideration of the influence of time in the modelling.

(Zhang et al., 1999) use neural networks to model bankruptcy prediction and they illustrate links to traditional Bayesian classification theory. The study considers initially the five financial ratios proposed by Altman (1968), joining later on additional ones. The study also compares the accuracy of neural networks against that of logistic regression. The authors suggest that the neural networks outperform the logistic regression. Atiya (2001) concluded in his research that, in general, the neural networks outperform statistical techniques and suggested to try to improve the predictive ability of the networks.

This paper proposed a novel approach to bankruptcy prediction based on the ARTMAP-IC neural
networks, a member of the family of neural networks based on the adaptive resonance theory (ART). The paper is organized as follows:

Section 1 introduces the bankruptcy prediction problem and outlines previous research in that area.

Section 2 presents the ART neural networks and discusses the ARTMAP-IC algorithm and features.

Section 3 describes the experimental data and the preprocessing steps needed to transform data into a form proper for submission to the neural network.

Section 4 discusses the experimental results and outlines advantages of the proposed model.

2 ARTMAP-IC NEURAL NETWORK CLASSIFIER

In an ART-based network, information reverberates between the network’s layers. Learning is possible in the network, when resonance of the neuronal activity occurs. ART1 was developed to perform clustering on binary-valued patterns. By interconnecting two ART1 modules, ARTMAP was the first ART-based architecture suited for classification tasks. ARTMAP-IC adds to the basic ARTMAP system new capabilities designed to solve the problem with inconsistent cases, which arises in prediction, where similar input vectors correspond to cases with different outcomes, (Carpenter, Grossberg, and Reynolds, 1991), (Carpenter and Markuzon, 1998). It modifies the ARTMAP search algorithm to allow the network to encode inconsistent cases (IC).

Figure 1, adapted from (Carpenter and Markuzon, 1998), shows the architecture of an ARTMAP-IC network. It consists of fully connected layers of nodes: an M-node input layer F1, an N-node competitive layer F2, an N-node instance counting layer F3, an L-node output layer F3L, and an L-node map field F3b that links F3 and F3L. In ARTMAP-IC an input a=(a1, a2, ..., aM) learns to predict an outcome b=(b1, b2, ..., bL), where only one component bj=1, placing the input a in class K. With fast learning, β=1, ARTMAP-IC represents category K as hyper-rectangle Rb that just encloses all the training set patterns a to which it has been assigned. A set of real weights W={wij: j=1,...,N; i=1,...,M} is associated with the F1 - F2 layer connections. Each F2 node j represents a category in the input space, and stores a prototype vector wj=(w1j, w2j, ...,wMj). The F2 layer is connected, through associative links to F3, which in turn is connected to the map field F3b by associative links with binary weights Wab=wij:j=1,...,N; k=1,...,L. The vector wj=(w1j, w2j, ...,wLj) relates F2 node j to one of the L output classes. Instance counting biases distributed predictions according to the number of training set inputs classified by each F2 node. During testing the F2->F3 input yj is multiplied by the counting weight cj to produce normalized F3 activity, which projects to the map field F3b for prediction.

2.1 ARTMAP-IC Algorithm

The following algorithm describes the operation of an ARTMAP-IC classifier in learning mode:

1. Initialisation: Initially, all the neurons of F2 are uncommitted, all weight values wij are initialised to 1, and all weight values wjk of F3b are set to 0.

2. Input pattern coding: When a training pair (a,b) is presented to the network, a undergoes preprocessing, and yields pattern A={(Aij,A2j,...,A2Mj)}. The vigilance parameter ρ is reset to its baseline value.

3. Prototype selection: Pattern A activates layer F1 and is propagated through weighted connections W to layer F2. Activation of each node j in the F2 layer is determined by the choice function Tj(A)=|A ∧ wij|/(α+|wij|). The F2 layer produces a winner-take-all pattern of activity y=(y1,y2,...,yN) such that only node j=J with the greatest activation value remains active (yJ=1). Node J propagates its prototype vector wj back onto F1 and the vigilance test |A ∧ wij|≥ρM is performed. This test compares the degree of match between wj and A to the vigilance parameter ρ ∈ [0,1]. If this test is satisfied, node J remains active and resonance is said to occur. Otherwise, the network inhibits the active F2 node and searches for another node J that passes the vigilance test. If such a node does not exist, an uncommitted F2 node becomes active and undergoes learning (step 5).

4. Class prediction: Pattern b is fed directly to the map field F3b, while the F2 activity pattern y is propagated to the map field via associative connections Wab. The latter input activates F3b nodes according to the prediction function

Sj(y) = ∑ N j=1 yj wjk

and the most active F3b node K yields the class prediction (K=k(J)). If node K constitutes an incorrect class prediction, a match tracking signal raises vigilance just enough to induce another search among F2 nodes (step 3). This search continues until either an uncommitted F2 node becomes active (learning ensues at step 5), or a node J that has
previously learned the correct class prediction $K$ becomes active.

5. Learning: Learning input $a$ involves updating prototype vector $w_J$, and if $J$ corresponds to a newly-committed node, creating a permanent associative link to $F^{ab}$. A new association between $F2$ node $J$ and $F^{ab}$ node $K$ ($K=k(J)$) is learned by setting $w_{ab}^{ab}=1$ for $k=K$, where $K$ is the target class label for $a$. Once the weights ($W$ and $W^{ab}$) have converged for the training set patterns, ARTMAP can predict a class label for an input pattern by performing steps 2, 3 and 4 without any testing. A pattern $a$ that activates node $J$ is predicted to belong to the class $K=k(J)$.

3 DATA AND PREPROCESSING

For experiments we used data taken from the Moody’s Industrial Manual. The dataset contains financial information for a number of years for a total of 129 firms, of which 65 are bankrupt and the rest are solvent. The data entries have been randomly divided into two subsets: one for training, made up of 74 firms, of which 38 bankrupt and 36 non-bankrupt; another set for testing, made up of 55 firms, of which 27 bankrupt and 28 non-bankrupt.

The dataset was used in other studies, e.g. (Odom and Sharda 1993), (Rahimian et al. 1993), (Serrano-Cinca 1996), (Wilson and Sharda 1994), which allows comparing our results with those from other techniques.

As the raw data contains many features that describe financial health of firms, it is important to reduce their number by using few financial ratios, or variables, instead. Using few variables allows a prediction technique to reduce the effect of overfitting and to improve its ability to generalize and predict. The variables have to be some linear or nonlinear combinations of features. For our experiments we adopted the proposed by Altman (1968) set of five variables, namely:

1) Working Capital / Total Assets (WC/TA). In general, a firm’s liabilities consist of current liabilities and long term debt. The current liabilities include short term loans (less than one year due), accounts payable, taxes due, etc. The working capital is current assets minus the current liabilities. The current assets can or will typically be turned into money fairly fast. The working capital is an indication of the ability of the firm to pay its short term obligations. A firm’s total assets are sum of the firm’s total liabilities and shareholder equity (capital raised in share offerings and the retained earnings). It can be viewed as an indicator of its size and therefore can be used as a normalizing factor.

2) Retained Earnings / Total Assets (RE/TA). The retained earnings is the surplus of income compared to expenses, or total of accumulated profits since the firm commencement.

3) Earnings Before Interest and Taxes / Total Assets (EBIT/TA). The firm’s earnings before interests and taxes is also an important indicator. Low or negative earnings indicate that the firm is losing its competitiveness, and that endanger its survival.
4) Market Capitalization / Total Debt (MC/TD). Market capitalization relative to the total debt indicates that a firm is able to issue and sell new shares in order to meet its liabilities. A large market capitalization indicates a high capacity to perform that.

5) Sales / Total Assets (S/TA). Total sales of a firm, relative to the total assets, is an indicator of the health of its business, but without certainty as it can vary a lot from industry to industry.

3.1 Data Preprocessing

A problem with the dataset is that there are significant differences between the typical variable values. They differ by several orders of magnitude due to the different units in which each of these is expressed. Such an inconsistency would worsen the prediction accuracy as the variables with large values would dominate over those with small values. In our case, the variables MC/TD and S/TA have larger typical values than WC/TA, RE/TA, and EBIT/TA. To reduce the effect of the inconsistency we applied z-score transformation that returns a centered and scaled version of the datasets. In fact, the z-scoring returns the deviation of each variable from its mean, normalized by its standard deviation. The transformation considers each variable as independent and uses the formula:

\[
\hat{x}_i^n = \frac{x_i^n - \bar{x}_i}{\sigma_i},
\]

where \(\hat{x}_i^n\) is the new value, \(x_i^n\) is the original one,

\[
\bar{x}_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_i^n
\]

\[
\sigma_i^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_i^n - \bar{x}_i)^2
\]

Another problem with the original dataset or its z-scored version is that both cannot be used directly as an ARTMAP-IC input as the input patterns have to be M-dimensional vectors of floating point numbers in the interval \([0, 1]\). The second preprocessing step, called normalization maps the dataset values into \([0, 1]\). The formula uses:

\[
\hat{x}^n_i = \frac{(\hat{x}_i^n - \hat{x}^{\min}_i)}{\hat{x}^{\max}_i - \hat{x}^{\min}_i}
\]

where \(\hat{x}^{\max}_i\) and \(\hat{x}^{\min}_i\) are the max, and min values of the variable \(x_i\), respectively. The normalization additionally reduces the differences between values preserving the dataset information.

4 EXPERIMENTS

The experiments explored how an ARTMAP-IC performs as a predictor of bankruptcy. The first goal was to see if a further reduction of the dimensions would improve the ability to predict and how. The second goal was to identify the role of the network parameters. Another goal was to measure the training and testing times on order to estimate its efficiency.

A further reduction of the dataset dimensions has a potential to improve the predictions, as the Altman’s set of five financial ratios does not guarantee the best discrimination between the output classes (solvent / insolvent). This is due to the fact that a set of variables can overfit or overtrain the network reducing or destroying its ability to generalize. There are various techniques to estimate discriminatory power of variables. Using univariate F-ratio analysis, Serrano (1996) ranked the Altman’s ratios and suggested that the second and third variables have a greater discriminatory power in contrast to the fifth one. The analysis, however, does not provide information about the discriminatory power of combinations of variables and possible dependencies.

It is also the case that the optimal variable selection is specific for each particular prediction technique. There is no guarantee that the optimal set for one technique would perform well with another. Ideally, the optimal subset for a model can be found by the exhaustive search approach that explores each possible subset. If there are \(d\) possible variables, then since each can be present or absent, we have a total of \(2^d\) possible subsets. The five Altman’s variables yield thirty one subsets, (all zeroes is ignored), which is not too much in terms of possibility to be explored. Taking into account the above, we decided to adopt the exhaustive search to analyze the variable subsets and figure 2 shows the results. Each bar presents a subset. The x axis shows the subset indexes: 1 to 5 correspond to subsets of individual variables; 6 to 15 – for pairs of variables; 16 to 25 – for triples; 26 to 30 – quartets; and 31 is the whole set. Individual sub-bars within a bar present the prediction accuracies with different vigilance parameter values from 0 to 1 with an increment of 0.025. The figure shows that the subset with highest prediction accuracy is the 11-th one, which consists of the variables \{RE/TA, MC/TD\}. The figure also shows that these two variables are best individual performers for the ARTMAP-IC (see bars 2 and 4), so that when joined in a pair, the resulting subset provides a greater discriminatory power.
Figure 2: Prediction accuracy of each of the variable subsets using 41 values of the vigilance parameter.

An additional explanation of this fact can be found from the correlation matrix of the dataset. If a correlation value for two variables is close to 0, they are uncorrelated, or independent, and combined together provide a greater ability for discrimination between the classes. The calculations show that the two variables have correlation 0.11, which is one of the lowest.

The experiments show that the prediction accuracy of the 11th subset with certain values of the vigilance parameter $\rho$ is 83.6% (see figure 3).

Figure 3: Prediction accuracy of subset \{RE/TA, MC/TD\} varying the vigilance parameter from 0 to 1 with increment 0.025.

This accuracy is equal to the best one obtained by an MLP neural network in (Serrano 1996). Both techniques used the same dataset. A comparison between the ARTMAP-IC model and other prediction techniques that have used the same dataset can be seen in table 1. The ARTMAP-IC and Serrano’s MLP misclassify 9 firms, all other techniques – 10, except the Odom & Sharda’s Linear Discriminant Analysis, which misclassifies 14.

Another group of experiments aimed to determine the optimal network parameters. The results show that regardless of the subset, the optimal parameter values are: baseline vigilance parameter $\rho_{\text{rel}}=0$; signal rule parameter $\alpha=0.01$; and learning fraction parameter $\beta=1.0$. The vigilance parameter $\rho$ (Rhobar), which determines the level of details and granularity of the classes encoded into the system, has different optimal value for different subsets. The winning subset obtains best accuracy with $0<\rho<0.4$ and $0.5<\rho<0.575$.

The experiments also showed that the network training and testing time do not exceed 0.02 sec for any variable subset and parameters’ values, which is an indication that the model is efficient and responds in a real time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>ARTMAP-IC</th>
<th>Other studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>35 $%$</td>
<td>35 $%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>36 $%$</td>
<td>36 $%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>39 $%$</td>
<td>39 $%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>40 $%$</td>
<td>40 $%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>45 $%$</td>
<td>45 $%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>46 $%$</td>
<td>46 $%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>49 $%$</td>
<td>49 $%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>50 $%$</td>
<td>50 $%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>54 $%$</td>
<td>54 $%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Misclassified patterns by the ARTMAP-IC model (€) and those from other models, all applied to the test dataset.

* Misclassified by Odom and Sharda LDA – 14
* # Misclassified by Odom and Sharda MLP – 10
* % Misclassified by Rahimian et al MLP – 10
* & Misclassified by Perceptron Model – 10
* @ Misclassified by Athena Model – 10
* $ Misclassified by Serrano MLP – 9
* € Misclassified by our ARTMAP-IC – 9
5 CONCLUSIONS

This paper proposes a novel approach to the bankruptcy prediction problem based on a supervised ARTMAP-IC neural network. An advantage of using that type of neural network over the most popular MLPs is that it provides fast, one-pass online learning, and it retains already acquired knowledge while learning from novel patterns. In contrast, the backpropagation MLP requires numerous iterations, or epochs, to learn a new pattern. This makes the ARTMAP-IC model efficient and scalable for a continuously changing input space, such as the bankruptcy prediction domain.

Another advantage of the proposed model is the high prediction accuracy. Compared with different techniques over the same experimental data, the model achieves the highest accuracy obtained by an MLP, and outperforms all other techniques.

In conclusion, we find that ARTMAP-IC neural network is suitable for application areas, such as the financial diagnosis and bankruptcy prediction.
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