# Coordination for Complex Road Conditions at Unsignalized Intersections: A MADDPG Method with Enhanced Data Processing

Ruo Chen<sup>1</sup>, Yang Zhu<sup>1,2,\*</sup> and Hongye Su<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ningbo Innovation Center, Zhejiang University, Ningbo, 315100, China
<sup>2</sup>College of Control Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, China {22360415, zhuyang88}@zju.edu.cn, hysu@iipc.zju.edu.cn

Keywords: Deep Reinforcement Learning, MADDPG, Data Processing, Sliding Control.

Abstract: In this paper, we use deep reinforcement learning to enable connected and automated vehicles (CAVs) to drive in a intersection with human-driven vehicles. The multi-agent deep deterministic policy gradient (MADDPG) algorithm is improved to be more efficient for data processing, so that it can solve the problem of learning bottlenecks in complex environments, and use sliding control to execute control strategies. Finally, the feasibility of the method is verified in the simulation environment of CARLA.

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

Intersections are often considered to be the main source of traffic congestion and energy waste (Shirazi and Morris, 2017). Based on the existing traffic system and human driving environment, many methods have been proposed to increase the operation efficiency of traffic lights, like (Feng and Head, 2015). Besides, traffic flow fundamental diagram (FD) is viewed as the basis of traffic flow theory and been used in many cases, like (Zhou and Zhu, 2020). In addition, deep learning methods (Zhang and Ge, 2024), (Zhang and Li, 2023) and tree search based algorithm (Li and Wang, 2006), (Xu and Zhang, 2020) have been gradually applied in the transportation field. In recent years, the rapid development of autonomous vehicles is expected to solve the problem of intersection congestion. The intersection management system based on global information can better deal with the data of automatic driving vehicles and the environment, so as to replace the traditional traffic light system to improve traffic efficiency. Autonomous intersection management (AIM) is tailored for CAVs, aiming at replacing the conventional traffic control strategies (Wu and Chen, 2019). Graph-based modeling is often used to solve traffic congestion (Chen and Xu, 2022). Meanwhile, some methods focus in reducing energy consumption (Malikopoulos and Cassandras, 2018).

At present, most methods can only deal with the

#### 294

Chen, R., Zhu, Y. and Su, H.

Coordination for Complex Road Conditions at Unsignalized Intersections: A MADDPG Method with Enhanced Data Processing. DOI: 10.5220/0013133100003941

Paper published under CC license (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)

In Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Vehicle Technology and Intelligent Transport Systems (VEHITS 2025), pages 294-300 ISBN: 978-989-758-745-0; ISSN: 2184-495X

Proceedings Copyright © 2025 by SCITEPRESS – Science and Technology Publications, Lda

control strategy problem in a single environment, such as all vehicles are driven by humans, and control facilities are traffic lights (Shobana and Shakunthala, 2023), or all vehicles are autonomous vehicles without traffic lights (Wang and Gong, 2024). However, real-world environments are often more complex and diverse, such as pedestrians and human-driven vehicles at intersections, which can only be detected but not controlled by AI systems. Secondly, a method that simply generates an overall policy for passing through an intersection have difficulties to cope with sudden disturbances, and errors in the control process can greatly affect the robustness of this policy. Due to the high dynamics and randomness of unsignalized control intersections, how to design efficient and safe multi-vehicle cooperative motion planning methods is still a challenging problem.

As a deep reinforcement learning method (Lowe et al., 2020), MADDPG can adapt to the input changes and make corresponding responses to the changing environment. And the action of the agent is given by the neural network, which can ensure the real-time action. Compared to traditional exhaustive methods, MADDPG is less complex, but it also has a performance impact (Xu and Liu, 2024).

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

## 2 SYSTEM FORMULATION

### 2.1 Intersections Crossing Mode

Set the environment as a 20m x 20m, two-lane intersection. The traffic rules follow the People's Republic of China Traffic Law (drive on the right). Humandriven vehicles follow the right-hand driving and other traffic laws principles by default, and they are not controlled by the intelligent system, but they can be observed by other intelligent agent vehicles. The intelligent agent vehicles share information among themselves, including position, speed, and actions taken(including throttle and steering wheel steering). There is no error in the shared information.

## 2.2 Vehicle Model

The dynamic model of the car is defined (Li, 2024). In the simulation, this dynamic model is used to limit the speed, angular speed and acceleration of the car. The dynamic model of the car can be expressed by Figure 1 and Equation 1:



Figure 1: Vehicle model.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{v} = \frac{sn\beta}{m} (F_{sf} cos\delta_{f} + F_{lf} sin\delta_{f} + F_{sf}) \\ + \frac{cos\beta}{m} (F_{lf} cos\delta_{f} - F_{sf} sin\delta_{f} \\ + F_{lf} - C_{air}A_{L}\frac{\rho}{2}v^{2}) \\ \dot{\beta} = \frac{cos\beta}{mv} (F_{sf} cos\delta_{f} + F_{lf} sin\delta_{f} + F_{sf}) \\ - \frac{sin\beta}{mv} (F_{lf} cos\delta_{f} - F_{sf} sin\delta_{f} \\ + F_{lf} - C_{air}A_{L}\frac{\rho}{2}v^{2}) \\ \dot{\omega} = \frac{1}{I_{z}} (F_{lf} l_{f} sin\delta_{f} + F_{sf} l_{f} cos\delta_{f} - F_{sr} l_{r}) \end{cases}$$
(1)

 $F_{lf}$ ,  $F_{lr}$  are the longitudinal forces of the front and rear wheels, respectively.  $F_{sf}$ ,  $F_{sr}$  are the lateral forces of the front and rear wheels, respectively. v,  $v_x$ ,  $v_y$ are the vehicle speed, the longitudinal speed, and the lateral speed.  $\beta$ ,  $\psi$ ,  $\omega$  are the body side slip Angle, the yaw Angle and the yaw speed.  $\delta_f$  is the steering input Angle of the front wheel.  $l_f$  is the distance from center of gravity to front axle.  $l_r$  is the distance from center of gravity to the rear axis. m,  $I_Z$  are the total mass of the vehicle and yaw inertia, respectively.  $C_{air}$ is the air drag coefficient.  $A_L$  is the windward area.  $\rho$ is the density of air.

The vehicle model will play a role in the later simulator validation section. Indicates that the planned path given by the algorithm can be executed.

## **3 FILTER-FORGET MADDPG**

## 3.1 Algorithm Flowchart and Introduction

In this section, the FF-MADDPG diagram as given in Algorithm 1:

 $\theta^{\mu}$  and  $\theta^{Q}$  denote policy network and critic network parameters in respect.  $\tau$  is the soft update parameter.

Traditionally the MADDPG algorithm is a reinforcement learning algorithm used for multi-agent systems, extending the DDPG algorithm from singleagent environments. MADDPG allows multiple agents to learn optimal strategies in cooperative or competitive environments.

The algorithm consists of Critic networks with their target networks and Actor networks with their target networks. The Critic network provides a Q function for each agent, evaluating a combination of global state, actions of all agents, and the next state of itself. The Actor network provides a policy for each agent, directly reflecting the actions observed by the agent.

The critic network is updated by the loss function, as shown in Equation 2:

$$Loss = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j} (q_i - Q_i^{\mu}(x, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_N))^2 \quad (2)$$

 $q_i$  represents the target object Q value of the agent i , and its calculation formula is defined as Equation 3:

$$q_i = r_i + \gamma Q_i^{\mu'}(x', a_1', a_2', a_3', \dots, a_N')$$
(3)

The actor network is subsequently updated by the following policy gradient as shown in Equation 4:

$$\nabla_{\theta_i} J \approx \frac{1}{M} \sum_j \nabla_{\theta_i} \mu_i(s_i) \nabla_{a_i} Q_i^\mu(x, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N) \quad (4)$$

MADDPG also includes an experience replay buffer (run policies in the environment, collect the state, action, reward, and next state for each agent).

Algorithm 1	<b>FF-MADDPG</b> in Each	n training time
I MCOHUMN I		1 $uanne une.$

igoritanin 1. 11 MixaDDI O in Each training time.			
<b>for</b> agent $i = 1$ to N <b>do</b>			
$  \hspace{0.1in}  heta^{\mu'} \leftarrow  heta^{\mu}, \hspace{0.1in}  heta^{\mathcal{Q}'} \leftarrow  heta^{\mu}$			
end			
while do			
<b>for</b> $t = 1$ to max_episode <b>do</b>			
<b>for</b> agent $i = 1$ to N <b>do</b>			
Obtain current environment state			
$s_t$ . Select action $a_t$ according to			
policy net, $a_t = \mu(s_t) + N_{noise}$ .			
end			
Execute actions $a_t$ and calculate			
reward $r_t$ as the formulas (8)			
according to concrete situation and			
acquire the new state $s_{t+1}$ . Through			
the data filter, and store $s_t$ into			
experience replay memory.			
end			
if Triggering the forget operation then			
Delete previously stored data by			
forgetting ratio.			
end			
for agent $i = I$ to N do			
Sample a random mini-batch samples			
$s_t$ from experience replay memory.			
Calculate $Q$ value on the base of (3)			
minimizing the loss by (2) Undete			
actor network using the compled			
actor network using the sampled			
policy gradient by (4)			
for a continuity I to N do			
In agent $i = 1$ to N uo			
$\theta^{\mu'} \leftarrow \tau \theta^{\mu} + (1 - \tau) \theta^{\mu'}$			
$0^{0'} = 0^{0'} + (1 - 1)^{0'}$			
$   \nabla^{\sim} \leftarrow \tau \forall^{z} + (1 - \tau) \forall^{z}$			
епа			

Similar to DDPG, MADDPG uses an experience replay buffer to break the correlation of training data, thus enhancing training stability.

However, due to the complexity of training environment, traditional methods are difficult to adapt. Therefore, this paper improves upon the traditional MADDPG approach to better adapt to experimental environments.

There are two main changes:

Firstly, introducing a data filter: The bottlenecks during learning in experiments caused a large amount of collision data or extreme action data. These data reduced the efficiency of data utilization. In order to solve this problem, this paper designs a data filter. It adjusts the proportions between various types of data (such as collision data, extreme action data, normal driving data) by setting a probability parameter to determine whether to record the data.

Secondly, adopting phased forgetting for the data pool: This paper introduces phased forgetting operation for the buffer, taking action to delete some data when a certain amount is reached or when learning bottlenecks are detected.

### **3.2** Contrast with Traditional Methods

The traditional method lacks the part of data processing, including the storage and filtering of data. It just stores all the data in the buffer and randomly extracts it during training. As the amount of data continues increasing, the information entropy of the extracted data will significantly decrease, in other words, the similarity of the data will be improved. This makes it difficult for the neural network to learn more important samples, resulting in a learning bottleneck problem.



Figure 2: Block diagram of the filter forgetting part.

Previously, the importance sample method has been proposed, which adjusts the sampling probability of some relatively important data. This method is increases the efficiency by improving the learning intensity of key information. The method proposed in this paper is showed by Figure 2.

As the Figure 2 shows, data enters the buffer through a filter. The input state through with different probabilities depending on the conditions set. In order to feel the benefits brought by this method more intuitively, the 3AI1 human scene is used as the ex-



Figure 3: Information entropy comparison.



Figure 4: Flowchart of algorithm.

perimental object to record its information entropy. Histogram method was been used for the calculation of information entropy.

The formula for information entropy is given by Equation 5.

$$\begin{cases} H(X) = -\sum_{j}^{M} s_{ij} \log_2 s_{ij} \\ bins = 10 \end{cases}$$
(5)

 $s_{ij}$  denotes the *j*th bit of the state array of the *i*th vehicle.

It is clear in Figure 3, The (a) is about the traditional approach, due to the continuous accumulation of unfiltered data.

The operation of random fetching will increase the probability of repeated data, as a result the information entropy will be significantly reduced. The (b) shows by data processing, the diversity of the data within the buffer is ensured.

The flowchart of this paper's algorithm is as shown in Figure 4:

 $Q_i^{\mu}$  is denoted as the critic value in policy  $\mu$ .  $Q_i^{\mu'}$  is denoted the target critic network. Superscript / denote the next time. *a* is denoted as the actions of each agents. where *N* is the number of all agents, and *M* is the number of mini-batch experiences extracted during training. *x* is the state of each agents  $(s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots, s_N)$ .

## 3.3 Constraints and Completion Conditions

The vehicle motion constraints are specified as the table 1:

Table 1: Vehicle constraints.

Variable	Minimum value	Maximum value
Path offset	0 <i>m</i>	1 <i>m</i>
Speed	0 <i>m/s</i>	6 <i>m/s</i>
Acceleration	$0m/s^2$	$2m/s^2$
Xoffset	0 <i>m</i>	2 <i>m</i>
Yoffset	0 <i>m</i>	2 <i>m</i>
Dsafe	5 <i>m</i>	$+\infty m$

Schematic of the vehicle trajectory as shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5: Path diagram.

The vehicle's motion planning is mainly based on a predetermined trajectory, At the same time, in order to make the vehicle more skillfully deal with complex road conditions, the vehicle is allowed to make some degree of offset relative to the trajectory.  $X_{offset}$  represents the offset on the X-axis relative to the planned route.  $Y_{offset}$  represents the offset on the Y-axis relative to the planned route. For the collision judgment part, it is specified that within 5*m* from the vehicle center point. Reaching the intersection where the plan is reached is regarded as task completion. And  $D_{safe}$ denotes the safe distance.

### 3.4 Parameter Setting

State is designed as shown in Equation 6:

$$s_{i,t} = \{P_{self}, A_{self}, P_{other}, A_{other}, D_{other}, R_{other}\}$$
(6)

 $s_{i,t}$  denote the state space of the *i*th vehicle at time *t*.  $P_{self}$  is stand for the position of the  $car_i$ ,  $A_{self}$  is stand for the action of the  $car_i$ ,  $P_{other}$  is stand for the position of the other cars,  $A_{other}$  is stand for the action of the other cars,  $D_{other}$  is stand for the distance between the  $car_i$  and other cars,  $A_{other}$  is stand for the action of the other cars.  $R_{other}$  is stand for the relationship between the  $car_i$  and other cars. The relationship is as shown in Equation 7:

$$R_{other} = \begin{cases} -1, & D_{other} \text{ is smaller} \\ 0, & D_{other} \text{ is same} \\ 1, & D_{other} \text{ is bigger} \end{cases}$$
(7)

The smaller, larger and the same in judgment condition, all compared to the  $D_{other}$  at the time point before the moment.

Action is designed as shown in Equation 8:

$$a_{i,t} = \{X_{offset}, Y_{offset}, A_{self}\}$$
(8)

 $a_{i,t}$  denote the action space of the *i*th vehicle at time *t*.

The reward function is designed State is designed as shown in Equation 9:

$$r = \begin{cases} K_c * (D_{other} - D_{safe}) \\ +K_a * (A_{self}), & D_{other} \le D_{safe} \\ K_a * (A_{self}), & D_{other} > D_{safe} \end{cases}$$
(9)

 $K_c, K_a$  denotes the Gain coefficient.

Forgetting ratio: it refers to a certain proportion of data forgetting work when a certain data capacity is reached, see the flow chart

### **4** SIMULATION EXECUTION

#### 4.1 Simulation Environment

In this paper, CARLA is used as the simulation platform, the vehicle model in the experiment is "Audi", and the control method is sliding mode control.

#### 4.2 Design of Sliding-Mode Surface

Sliding Mode Control (SMC) is a nonlinear control method, have strong robustness and fast response.

The method of sliding control was derived from (Feng and Han, 2014). Define  $S_a$  as the actual direction of the vehicle,  $S_t$  as the target direction,  $x_1$  as the direction error,  $V_a$  as the actual speed of the vehicle,  $V_t$  as the target speed, and  $x_2$  as the speed error. T is the throttle force of the vehicle and S is the steering Angle of the steering wheel. t is the control time.  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $k_3$ ,  $k_4$  are proportional coefficients.

The sliding-mode surface is designed as shown in Equation 10:

$$s = k_1 T + k_2 sign(x_2) |x_2|^{\frac{9}{16}} + k_3 x_1^{\frac{9}{23}}$$
(10)

Aiming at the problem of chattering in sliding mode control, the control signal of throttle is designed as shown in Equation 11:

$$\begin{cases} u_{eq} = -x_2^3 - k_2 sign(x_2) |x_2|^{\frac{9}{16}} - k_3 x_1^{\frac{9}{23}} \\ u_n = k_4 e^{-t} + 10 * sign(s) \\ T = u_{eq} + u_n \end{cases}$$
(11)

The control signal of the steering wheel Angle is derived from  $x_1$ ,  $k_5$  is proportional coefficient and the formula is as shown in Equation 12:

$$S = k_5 * x_1 \tag{12}$$

## **5 RESULT AND DISCUSION**

#### 5.1 2AI and 1Human Situation

This scenario involves 2 AI vehicles and 1 human driving vehicle.

Figure 6 shows the spatio-temporal relationship diagram of the spatial locations of the three vehicles, where the z-axis representing time and the X and Y axes are the location coordinates of the intersection. The red line (car 3) represents the human driving vehicle, and the two figures show the perform of the AI vehicle when the human driving vehicle is under different driving strategies. The results meet all previous constraints and completion conditions.



Figure 6: Trajectories in space-time of 2AI 1human.

### 5.2 3AI and 1Human Situation

This case is 3AI vehicles with one human driving vehicle.

The Figure 7 shows the spatio-temporal relationship diagram of the spatial position of the four cars. The red line (car 4) represents the human driving vehicle, and the two figures show the performance of the AI vehicle when the human driving vehicle is under different driving strategies. The results meet all previous constraints and completion conditions.



Figure 7: Trajectories in space-time of 3AI 1human.

#### 5 AI vehicles in this case.

The Figure 8 shows the spatio-temporal relationship diagram of the spatial locations of the five vehicles. The results meet all previous constraints and completion conditions.



Figure 8: Trajectories in space-time of 5AI.

The result of CARLA can be seen from the Figure 9, at t=0s the car departs from the prescribed initial point. Then the control strategy is executed according to the speed and position plan given by the algo-



rithm. At t=2s, t=5s, t=7s and t=9s, it can be clearly seen that the autonomous vehicle responds to other vehicles approaching for potential collisions. When

vehicles approaching for potential collisions. When t=13s, it can be seen that the vehicles have completed their assigned route and reached their respective destinations.

## **6** CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, an improved MADDPG algorithm is proposed and applied to solve the intersection planning problem of autonomous vehicles and human vehicles. The effectiveness of the algorithm is verified using sliding mode control in CARLA.

The main contributions of this paper are as follows:

(1) A more effective MADDPG algorithm for data processing is proposed to address the issue of deep reinforcement learning frequently encountering bottlenecks in complex environments.

(2) A reinforcement learning framework is built to handle the intersection scene with involving human driving vehicles, so that the autonomous driving vehicle can not only deal with the full AI-controlled environment but also handle the complex environment with human driving vehicles.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is supported by Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. LQ23F030014), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 62303410), and Open Research Project of State Key Laboratory of Industrial Control Technology, Zhejiang University, China (Grant No. ICT2024B46).

## REFERENCES

- Chen, C. and Xu, Q. (2022). Conflict-free cooperation method for connected and automated vehicles at unsignalized intersections: Graph-based modeling and optimality analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 23(11):21897–21914.
- Feng, Y. and Han, F. (2014). Chattering free full-order sliding-mode control. Automatica, 50(4):1310–1314.
- Feng, Y. and Head, K. L. (2015). A real-time adaptive signal control in a connected vehicle environment. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 55:460–473.
- Li, A. (2024). Intelligent Vehicle Perception, Trajectory Planning and Control. Chemical Industry Press, Beijing, 1 edition.
- Li, L. and Wang, F. (2006). Cooperative driving at blind crossings using intervehicle communication. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 55(6):1712– 1724.
- Lowe, R., Wu, Y., Tamar, A., Harb, J., Abbeel, P., and Mordatch, I. (2020). Multi-agent actor-critic for mixed cooperative-competitive environments.
- Malikopoulos, A. A. and Cassandras, C. G. (2018). A decentralized energy-optimal control framework for connected automated vehicles at signal-free intersections. *Automatica*, 93:244–256.
- Shirazi, M. S. and Morris, B. T. (2017). Looking at intersections: A survey of intersection monitoring, behavior and safety analysis of recent studies. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 18(1):4– 24.
- Shobana, S. and Shakunthala, M. (2023). Iot based on smart traffic lights and streetlight system. In 2023 2nd International Conference on Edge Computing and Applications (ICECAA), pages 1311–1316.
- Wang, B. and Gong, X. (2024). Coordination for connected and autonomous vehicles at unsignalized intersections: An iterative learning-based collision-free motion planning method. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 11(3):5439–5454.

- Wu, Y. and Chen, H. (2019). Dcl-aim: Decentralized coordination learning of autonomous intersection management for connected and automated vehicles. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 103:246–260.
- Xu, H. and Zhang, Y. (2020). Cooperative driving at unsignalized intersections using tree search. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 21(11):4563–4571.
- Xu, J. and Liu, C. (2024). Resource allocation in multi-uav communication networks using maddpg framework with double reward and task decomposition. pages 371–376.
- Zhang, J. and Ge, J. (2024). A bi-level network-wide cooperative driving approach including deep reinforcement learning-based routing. *IEEE Transactions on Intelli*gent Vehicles, 9(1):1243–1259.
- Zhang, J. and Li, S. (2023). Coordinating cav swarms at intersections with a deep learning model. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 24(6):6280–6291.
- Zhou, J. and Zhu, F. (2020). Modeling the fundamental diagram of mixed human-driven and connected automated vehicles. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 115:102614.