Prediction of DASH Price Based on Machine Learning

Xinze Wu

Department of Economics and Statistics, University of Toronto, Woodsworth College, Toronto, Canada

Keywords: Cryptocurrency Prediction, Machine Learning, LightGBM, DASH.

Abstract: Contemporarily, cryptocurrency attracts lots of investors on account of its high volatility. This study investigates the use of machine learning models to predict the price of DASH, a leading cryptocurrency known for its focus on privacy and speed. By applying a range of models, including Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression, Random Forest, and LightGBM, this paper aims to determine the most effective approach for forecasting DASH prices. The data set consists of daily DASH prices over a four-year period, from January 2020 to August 2024, with technical indicators such as the 50-day Simple Moving Average (SMA_50), MACD, and RSI_14 serving as the independent variables. The findings indicate that while OLS regression provides a basic benchmark, its predictive accuracy is limited. In contrast, the Random Forest model showed better performance, but it was the LightGBM model that delivered the highest accuracy, effectively capturing the non-linear relationships in the data While the results are encouraging, the study recognizes several limitations, such as the omission of sentiment indicators and intraday data. Future investigations could benefit from incorporating these elements to improve the accuracy of predictions. These results contribute to the growing literature on cryptocurrency price prediction, provides practical insights for investors and traders seeking to leverage machine learning in their decision-making processes in the meantime.

1 INTRODUCTION

The nascent phase of cryptocurrencies spanned from late 2008 to 2013, during which the audience for cryptocurrencies was very limited, primarily consisting of small, insider circles of enthusiasts. In 2008, Satoshi Nakamoto published the Bitcoin white paper, which laid the foundation for cryptocurrencies by proposing a decentralized digital currency system based on blockchain technology (Nakamoto, 2008). Essentially, cryptocurrencies are digital or virtual assets that utilize cryptographic techniques to ensure secure issuance and transactions (Narayanan et al., 2016). Blockchain technology serves as the backbone of cryptocurrencies, enabling the recording of all transaction histories. Each transaction is documented in a block, which is linked to the preceding block, forming a continuous chain that ensures transparency and security in transactions. A fundamental characteristic of cryptocurrencies is decentralization, meaning that no central authority controls the issuance and transactions of the currency, which reduces the time and financial costs associated with transactions (Catalini & Gans, 2016, Tapscott, 2016).

Cryptocurrencies have the potential to significantly impact the traditional financial system by offering more convenient, faster, and low-cost payment methods (Yermack, 2015). Additionally, when used for international remittances, cryptocurrencies can bypass traditional banks or remittance service providers, thereby reducing the costs associated with cross-border transactions. While Bitcoin was the first and remains the most well-known cryptocurrency, the emergence of other digital currencies such as Ethereum, DASH, and Litecoin has broadened the scope of blockchain applications and introduced new features and functionalities. These include smart contracts, privacy enhancements, and faster transaction processing. Among these, DASH stands out for its focus on privacy and speed, offering features such as InstantSend and PrivateSend, which facilitate rapid and private transactions. Since its launch in 2014, become one DASH has of the leading cryptocurrencies, widely recognized for its utility in fast and low-cost payments.

Bitcoin, as the most well-known cryptocurrency, could largely reflect the price characteristics of the entire virtual currency market. Since its launch in 2009, Bitcoin has exhibited extreme price volatility,

164

Wu, X. Prediction of DASH Price Based on Machine Learning. DOI: 10.5220/0013208500004568 In Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on E-commerce and Artificial Intelligence (ECAI 2024), pages 164-169 ISBN: 978-989-758-726-9 Copyright © 2025 by Paper published under CC license (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) characterized by periods of speculative bubbles and sharp corrections (Corbet et al., 2018). Initially trading at negligible values, Bitcoin first crossed the \$1,000 mark in 2013, fueled by growing interest and media coverage (Baur & Dimpfl, 2018). However, the years following saw sharp declines due to regulatory concerns and market skepticism, with prices dropping below \$300 by 2015. Bitcoin rebounded significantly, reaching nearly \$20,000 in December 2017 during a speculative frenzy and increased institutional interest. After a correction phase, where prices stabilized around \$3,000 to \$4,000, Bitcoin surged again, surpassing \$60,000 in 2021. This increase was driven by factors such as fears of inflation, broader institutional adoption, and retail participation during the COVID-19 pandemic.

demonstrates cryptocurrency This that experiences significantly higher volatility, surpassing even some of the most volatile assets globally, such as crude oil. According to Sebastião et al., the standard deviation of Bitcoin's returns is 3.91%, which is 69% greater than crude oil's volatility (Sebastião et al., 2021). Furthermore, Bitcoin's returns are more than seven times as volatile as the USD/EUR exchange rate. The daily fluctuations in Bitcoin ranged from -23.78% to 22.51%, whereas crude oil exhibited a more restrained range of -11.13% to 14.18%. Other assets typically displayed only single-digit variations in their daily returns (Li & Wang, 2017). The high-risk, high-reward trading method has garnered significant attention from speculators. Consequently, there has been research conducted by technical experts using machine learning to predict Bitcoin prices. These techniques, ranging from linear regression models to more sophisticated neural networks, aim to provide traders and investors with valuable insights, potentially enhancing their decision-making processes (McNally et al., 2018). For instance, Bayesian Neural Networks have been used to incorporate blockchain-specific data, further refining the predictive accuracy of these models (Jang & Lee, 2018).

The first attempts to use machine learning for the prediction of cryptocurrency price appeared shortly after the launch of Bitcoin in 2009. During the early 2010s, most of these efforts were exploratory, focusing on simple models such as linear regression and basic time series forecasting methods like ARIMA(Bakar & Rosbi, 2017). The main challenge was that cryptocurrencies, unlike traditional financial assets, lack fundamental economic indicators (such as earnings reports or interest rates) that could be used to inform predictions. As the field of machine learning advanced, so did the sophistication of

models used in cryptocurrency price prediction. By the mid-2010s, researchers began applying more advanced techniques such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), Decision Trees, and ensemble methods like Random Forests. In recent years, there has been a trend towards using hybrid models that combine multiple machine learning techniques to improve prediction accuracy. For example, models may combine traditional statistical methods with deep learning or ensemble methods. Hybrid models can leverage the strengths of different techniques, making them more robust and capable of handling the complexities of cryptocurrency markets.

Despite the extensive research on Bitcoin, there remains a significant gap in the literature regarding the prediction of other cryptocurrencies like DASH (Li & Wang, 2017). While some studies have explored machine learning methods for cryptocurrency prediction, there is limited research focusing specifically on DASH, highlighting the need for further investigation (Chen & Qiu, 2020). This study aims to bridge this gap by applying a range of machine learning models to forecast DASH prices, offering a comparative analysis of their performance and providing insights into their practical implications for traders and investors.

This paper is structured as follows. The Secl 2 discusses the data and methodologies employed, including the machine learning models used. The Sec. 3 presents the results, followed by a discussion of the findings and their implications. The Sec. 4 concludes the study, highlighting the limitations and suggesting areas for future research.

2 DATA AND METHOD

Cryptocurrency data was extracted from the website: https://ca.investing.com/crypto/dash/historical-data, collecting 4 years daily prices of DASH cryptocurrency in US dollar starting from 01/30/2020 to 08/27/2024. This dataset includes various fields such as the date, open price, high price, low price, close price, volume, and percentage of change. The daily price of DASH is the target variable, which is also the dependent variable, while the independent variable are the features that will be used to predict the dependent variable.

There are 3 major parts of the independent variables, technical indicators, market indicators and sentiment indicators.For technical indicators, moving averages, Exponential Moving Averages, MACD and Relative Strength Index (RSI) are essential parameters for the prediction. For market indicator,

Price -	1	0.99	1	1	0.96	0.91	0.97	0.098	0.96	0.95	0.91	0.98	0.96	0.31	0.31		1.0
Open -	0.99	1	1	0.99	0.95	0.9	0.97	0.093	0.95	0.94	0.9	0.98	0.96	0.3	0.3		
High -	1	1	1	0.99	0.95	0.9	0.97	0.095	0.95	0.95	0.9	0.98	0.96	0.31	0.31		- 0.8
Low -	1	0.99	0.99	1	0.96	0.91	0.97	0.096	0.96	0.95	0.91	0.98	0.96	0.3	0.3		
SMA_20 -	0.96	0.95	0.95	0.96	1	0.97	1	-0.072	1	0.99	0.95	0.99	1	0.11	0.18		
SMA_50 -	0.91	0.9	0.9	0.91	0.97	1	0.97	-0.12	0.97	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.98	-0.094	0.053		- 0.6
EMA_20 -	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	1	0.97	1	-0.036	1	0.98	0.95	1	1	0.14	0.19		
RSI_14 -	0.098	0.093	0.095	0.096	-0.072	-0.12	-0.036	1	-0.072	-0.067	-0.075	0.0045	6 0.056	0.49	0.35		
BB_Mid -	0.96	0.95	0.95	0.96	1	0.97	1	-0.072	1	0.99	0.95	0.99	1	0.11	0.18		- 0.4
BB_Upper -	0.95	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.94	0.98	-0.067	0.99	1	0.89	0.98	0.98		0.21		
BB_Lower -	0.91	0.9	0.9	0.91	0.95	0.94	0.95	-0.075	0.95	0.89	1	0.94	0.95	0.056	0.13	-	- 0.2
EMA_12 -	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.99	0.95	1	0.0045	0.99	0.98	0.94	1	0.99	0.21	0.26		
EMA_26 -	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	1	0.98	<i>1</i>	-0.056	1	0.98	0.95	0.99	1	0.093	0.15		
MACD -	0.31	0.3	0.31	0.3	0.11	-0.094	0.14	0.49	0.11	0.13	0.056	0.21	0.093	1	0.94		- 0.0
MACD_Signal -	0.31	0.3	0.31	0.3	0.18	-0.053	0.19	0.35	0.18	0.21		0.26	0.15	0.94	1		
	Price -	- uədo	High -	- wol	A_20 -	A_50 -	A_20 -	- 14 -	- Mid -	pper -	wer -	- 21_	A_26 -	ACD -	gnal -		
	-	U			SMJ	SMJ	EM/	RS	BB	BB_U	BB_LG	EM/	EM/	Σ	CD_Si		
								1							MA		

Figure 1: Correlation analysis for factors (Photo/Picture credit: Original).

this valuation will only consider the effect that the price of Bitcoin might brought to the DASH daily prices. As for sentiment indicators, since the models, parameters and analysis this research will be applied do not need such type of data, there will not be sentiment indicators applied.

To prepare the data for analysis, several preprocessing steps were performed. Using "pd.read_csv(file path)" to load the file downloaded from the website source, and convert the 'Date' in the original file into date time format. The dataset was then enriched by calculating various technical indicators that have been mentioned above, such as SMA, EMA, RSI, Bollinger Bands, and the MACD. These indicators serve as independent variables in the subsequent regression models.

Correlation analysis was performed to identify relationships between the independent variables (technical indicators) and the dependent variable (DASH closing price). This analysis helps in selecting the most relevant features for the regression models. The correlation matrix shown in Figure. 1 revealed that certain indicators, such as the 50-day SMA and the MACD, have a strong correlation with the DASH closing price, making them suitable candidates for inclusion in the predictive models. Conversely, indicators with very low correlation might be excluded from further analysis.

For the regression analysis, Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression was selected as a benchmark model due to its simplicity and interpretability. This was complemented by more sophisticated models, including Random Forest and LightGBM, which are capable of capturing more complex relationships in the data (Chen & Qiu, 2020). The OLS regression model was fitted using the selected independent variables. The performance of the model was evaluated using R-squared (R²), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), and Mean Squared Error (MSE). The results of the OLS regression provide a baseline against which the performance of more complex models can be compared (Zhao & Zhang, 2018).

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Feature Engineering

In the initial phase of the analysis, correlation analysis was conducted to identify the relationship between various technical indicators and the DASH closing price. The indicators included moving averages, momentum indicators, and volatility measures. The correlation matrix revealed that some indicators, such as the 50-day Simple Moving Average (SMA_50), the Moving Average Convergence Divergence (MACD), and the 14-day Relative Strength Index (RSI 14), had significant correlations with the DASH further То refine the feature price. set,

multicollinearity was assessed using the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF). High VIF values indicate multicollinearity, which can distort the results of regression models. Indicators with VIF values greater than 10 were considered for removal to improve the stability and interpretability of the models.

On this basis, it helped refine the feature set, ensuring that the final model inputs were both informative and independent, thus improving the predictive accuracy of the models. The heat map (Figure. 2) shows the correlation between the selected features and the DASH price. Darker shades indicate stronger correlations, either positive or negative. The selection of indicators for the regression models was based on their correlation strength and VIF values.



Figure 2: Correlation analysis after selection (Photo/Picture credit: Original).



Figure 3: Correlation analysis after selection (Photo/Picture credit: Original).

3.2 Models Performance

The selected features were used to train various models, including Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression, Random Forest, and LightGBM. The performance of these models was evaluated using Rsquared (R²), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), and Mean Squared Error (MSE). The OLS model, as a benchmark, provided a basic understanding of the linear relationships between the features and the DASH price. However, the simplicity of the model limited its predictive accuracy, especially in capturing the non-linear dynamics of the market. The Random Forest model, with its ability to handle non-linearity and interactions between features, showed improved performance compared to OLS. The model was particularly effective in reducing the prediction errors (MAE and MSE) and provided a higher R² value, indicating a better fit to the data. The LightGBM model, known for its efficiency and performance in gradient boosting tasks, provided the best results among the tested models. The R² value was significantly higher, suggesting that LightGBM was able to explain a larger portion of the variance in DASH prices. The error metrics (MAE and MSE) were also the lowest, indicating that the model was highly accurate in its predictions.

To visualize the model's performance, the predicted prices were plotted against the actual prices shown in Figure. 3. This comparison helps in understanding the accuracy of the model's predictions. To have a better visualization of the difference, the data used change from daily to monthly averages. Table 1 summarizes the performance metrics for each model, highlighting the R², MSE, and MAE values.

Table 1: Model performances.

Model	R ²	MSE	MAE
OLS Regression	0.45	12.34	2.56
Random Forest	0.78	6.78	1.85
LightGBM	0.82	5.23	1.62

3.3 Explanation and Implications

The results from the LightGBM model suggest that the DASH price is strongly influenced by a combination of trend-following indicators (e.g., SMA 50) and momentum indicators (e.g., MACD and RSI 14) which capture different aspects of market behavior. The 50-day Simple Moving Average was identified as a crucial predictor, indicating the importance of long-term trends in DASH price movements. The MACD's ability to capture momentum shifts allowed the model to anticipate changes in price direction, enhancing prediction accuracy. The 14-day RSI contributed to predicting price reversals by identifying overbought or oversold conditions. For traders and investors, the findings suggest that integrating these indicators into trading strategies could improve decision-making. Specifically, using machine learning models like LightGBM can enhance the prediction of price movements, providing a competitive edge in the volatile cryptocurrency market.

3.4 Limitations and Prospects

While the OLS model provided a baseline, its simplicity limited its effectiveness in capturing the complex, non-linear relationships present in the data.

And the actual price of DASH, and all other cryptocurrencies can be relatively strongly affected by sentiment indicators, which cannot be considered using the models in this research. In the meantime, the analysis was conducted using daily data from a specific period. The inclusion of additional data, such as intraday prices could potentially improve model accuracy. Future research could explore the integration of sentiment analysis using data from social media platforms like Twitter and Reddit. Additionally, experimenting with other advanced machine learning models, such as LSTM networks, could further enhance the accuracy of price predictions. The success of machine learning models in this analysis opens the door for developing and testing algorithmic trading strategies. By automating the trading process based on predictive analytics, investors could capitalize on short-term price movements with greater precision.

4 CONCLUSIONS

To sum up, this study explored the application of various machine learning models, including Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression, Random Forest, and LightGBM, to predict the price of DASH cryptocurrency. The analysis revealed that while OLS provided a basic understanding of the linear relationships between technical indicators and DASH prices, more sophisticated models like Random Forest and LightGBM significantly outperformed it in terms of accuracy and predictive power. LightGBM, in particular, demonstrated superior performance, effectively capturing the complex, nonlinear dynamics of the DASH market. The model's ability to integrate trend-following indicators such as the 50-day Simple Moving Average (SMA 50) and momentum indicators like MACD and RSI 14 allowed it to provide accurate price predictions, offering valuable insights for traders and investors. However, the study also highlighted certain limitations. The exclusion of sentiment indicators and intraday data, as well as the focus on a specific time period, may have constrained the model's predictive accuracy. Future research should consider incorporating these factors to enhance the robustness of predictions. Additionally, the development of hybrid models that com-bine machine learning with sentiment analysis could offer further improvements. Overall, this research contributes to the literature on cryptocurrency price prediction by filling a gap in the analysis of DASH and demonstrating the efficacy of machine learning models in this domain. The findings

underscore the potential of these models to inform trading strategies, ultimately helping investors navigate the volatile cryptocurrency market with greater precision.

REFERENCES

- Bakar, N. A., Rosbi, S., 2017. Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) Model for Forecasting Cryptocurrency Exchange Rate in High Volatility Environment. Research in International Business and Finance, 42, 1407-1415.
- Investing.com, n.d. *DASH Historical Data*. Available at: https://ca.investing.com/crypto/dash/historical-data [Accessed 27 September 2024].
- Baur, D. G., Dimpfl, T., 2018. Asymmetric Volatility in Cryptocurrencies. Economics Letters, 173, 148-151.
- Catalini, C., Gans, J. S., 2016. Some Simple Economics of the Blockchain. NBER Working Paper No. 22952.
- Chen, L., Qiu, M., 2020. Research on Cryptocurrency Price Prediction Method Based on Ma-chine Learning. Information and Computer Security, 28(2), 257-270.
- Corbet, S., Lucey, B., Yarovaya, L., 2018. Datestamping the Bitcoin and Ethereum Bubbles. Finance Research Letters, 26, 81-88.
- Jang, H., Lee, J., 2018. An Empirical Study on Modeling and Prediction of Bitcoin Prices with Bayesian Neural Networks Based on Blockchain Information. IEEE Access, 6, 5427-5437.
- Li, X., Wang, C. A., 2017. The Technology and Economic Determinants of Cryptocurrency Exchange Rates: The Case of Bitcoin. Decision Support Systems, 95, 49-60.
- McNally, S., Roche, J., Caton, S., 2018. Predicting the Price of Bitcoin Using Machine Learning. 2018 26th Euromicro International Conference on Parallel, Distributed and Network-based Processing (PDP), 11.
- Narayanan, A., Bonneau, J., Felten, E., Miller, A., Goldfeder, S., 2016. Bitcoin and Crypto-currency Technologies: A Comprehensive Introduction. Princeton University Press.
- Nakamoto, S., 2008. Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System. SSRN Electronic Journal, 3440802, 10-2139.
- Sebastião, H., Cunha, C., Godinho, P., 2021. Understanding Bitcoin Returns and Volatility: Evidence from Valueat-Risk Forecasting. Finance Research Letters, 19, 12.
- Tapscott, D., Tapscott, A., 2016. Blockchain Revolution: How the Technology Behind Bitcoin Is Changing Money, Business, and the World. Penguin, 11.
- Yermack, D., 2015. Is Bitcoin a Real Currency? An Economic Appraisal. NBER Working Paper, 19747.
- Zhao, Y., Zhang, H., 2018. Comparison of Cryptocurrency Forecasting Using Deep Learning Models. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems, 18.