

# The State-of-The-Art Price Prediction Scenarios: From Time Series Methods to Deep Learning

Yulin Tang <sup>a</sup>

*The School of Finance, Shanghai University of International Business and Economics, Shanghai, China*

**Keywords:** Price Forecasting, Time Series Analysis, Deep Learning, ARIMA, LSTM.

**Abstract:** As a matter of fact, stock price prediction is always one of the most challenge topics in finance fields. Contemporarily, thanks to the rapid development of computer science, the prediction approaches have been upgraded from time series models to deep learning scenarios. With this in mind, this study explores the latest research progress in stock price prediction, particularly the application of time series analysis and deep learning in this field. By comparing the autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) model with the long short-term memory (LSTM) model, this study analyses advantages and limitations of both models in stock price prediction. Based on the evaluations, the research results show that the ARIMA model performs stably in short-term prediction, while the LSTM model demonstrates strong long-term prediction ability in complex market environments. At the same time, this study also discusses the challenges facing stock price prediction and looks forward to the research direction of integrating multiple models to improve prediction accuracy in the future.


## 1 INTRODUCTION

China Stock index fund has developed gradually since 2002 and experienced many market ups and downs and product innovations. Nowadays, diversified products such as ETFs, general index funds and index enhanced funds coexist, forming a relatively complete investment system. However, problems such as product homogeneity, vicious competition, and insufficient investor education still exist. Combined with the current development trend of the financial market, it is predicted that index funds will continue to optimize and innovate, while strengthening supervision and investor education to promote the healthy and stable development of the market (Lai, 2023).

Considerable advancements have been made in the realm of financial research pertaining to the forecast of the price of stocks in the past few years, especially driven by artificial intelligence technology. On the basis of predecessors, scholars such as Ma innovatively applied linear regression and random forest model to the prediction of stock price trend and proved the high efficiency of these methods in the

tasks of regression and classification through empirical analysis (Ma, 2024). This research not only<sup>2</sup> enriches the theoretical system of financial forecasting, but also provides scientific basis for actual investment decision-making, and shows the broad application prospect of artificial intelligence in the financial field.

In the dynamic evolution of financial markets, price forecasting becomes a key part of investment strategy (Asghar et al., 2019). The objective of this study is to investigate the potential applications of time series analysis and deep learning techniques in the domain of price prediction, with the ultimate goal of enhancing the accuracy of prediction. Time series method, with its solid statistical foundation, can capture the temporal characteristics of market data. Deep learning technology, with its powerful nonlinear modelling ability, provides a new possibility for price prediction in complex market environment. This research framework considers the two as complementary tools to build price forecasting models together in order to achieve more accurate forecasting in complex and volatile financial markets.

<sup>a</sup>  <https://orcid.org/0009-0005-6035-8463>

## 2 DESCRIPTIONS OF MODELS

This study focuses on two models that have attracted much attention in the field of stock prediction: the autoregressive integral Moving average model (ARIMA) based on time series analysis and the Long short-term memory network (LSTM) model using deep learning technology. With their unique advantages, these two models show different application potential and value in the volatility prediction of stock market.

ARIMA Model (Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Model) is a classical method for predicting and analysing non-stationary time series data in the field of time series analysis. In stock prediction, ARIMA model transforms non-stationary stock price time series into stationary series through difference technology, and then uses autoregression (AR) and moving average (MA) parts to capture autocorrelation and random error terms in the data. Among them, the dependent variable is usually the stock price or the rate of return, while the independent variable includes the historical price data and its difference, lag term, etc. The advantage of ARIMA model is that it has a solid theoretical foundation and good short-term prediction effect, but it may be limited by the assumptions of stationarity and linear relationship of data (Wu & Wen, 2016).

The LSTM model, or Long Short-Term Memory network, is a special type of recurrent neural network (RNN), which solves the gradient disappearance or gradient explosion problem that traditional RNNs are prone to when dealing with long sequences by introducing a "gate" mechanism (forgetting gate, input gate, output gate). In stock forecasting, LSTM model can capture the long-term dependence of stock price time series and effectively deal with the nonlinear characteristics of the market. The inputs to the model typically encompass market indicators such as stock price, volume, opening price, closing price, and so forth. In contrast, the output represents the stock price or yield forecast at a specified future point in time. The LSTM model offers significant advantages in terms of its capacity for nonlinear modelling and long-term information memory. However, it is a highly computationally complex model, and the parameters are challenging to adjust (Peng, 2019).

In conclusion, the ARIMA and LSTM models each possess distinctive advantages in the context of stock forecasting. The former is more appropriate for short-term scenarios with evident linear trends, whereas the latter is better at addressing long-term, non-linear and intricate market dynamics. According

to information characteristics and prediction needs, the best model or mix of models can be chosen in practice.

## 3 ARIMA

The ARIMA model is famous in time series analysis and has several stock pricing prediction applications. Officially, ARIMA is the Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Model. The formal representation of the statistical model is  $ARIMA(p, d, q)$ , whereby  $p$  represents the quantity of autoregressive parts,  $d$  denotes the degree of distinction, and  $q$  signifies the quantity of moving average terms (Narendra & Eswara, 2015; Zheng et al., 2016).

The model is ideal for non-stationary time series data management. Data is converted into stationary sequences using differencing techniques, allowing predictive analysis. Practical ARIMA model construction follows a disciplined process. A stationarity test on the dataset using the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test is a first step. If the variables are non-stationary, differencing is used until they become stationary. The model orders  $p$ ,  $d$ , and  $q$  are determined by graphing the autocorrelation function (ACF) and partial autocorrelation function (PACF) or using information criteria like AIC and BIC for model selection. Using historical data, the model parameters are computed, and the fit is assessed. The application of residual analysis enables the evaluation of the model's capacity to successfully record the latent information within the dataset. The ARIMA model can predict stock prices using previous stock price data. An ARIMA model using historical closing price data for a corporation illustrates this notion. The model predicts future price values and confidence intervals within a given timeframe. The projected results may help investors make informed investment strategy decisions.

Upon examination of the data shown in Table 1 and Table 2, it is evident that the  $ARIMA(3,1,1)$  model exhibits the most minimal P-value, which is below the preset significance threshold of 5%. Furthermore, upon conducting a comparative examination of various statistical indicators, it becomes apparent that the  $ARIMA(3,1,1)$  model has greater performance in comparison to the other three models. Significantly, it demonstrates the greatest F-statistic of 9.814915 in comparison to the other two, accompanied by the lowest P-value. Therefore, within the framework of generating short-term predictions for the Huatai Securities Index, this research utilized the  $ARIMA(3,1,1)$  model as the

Table 1: Comparison of P-values of equations.

P value	C	ar(1)	ar(3)	ar(6)	ma (1)	ma (3)
ARIMA (1,1,1)	0.0800	0.6667		---	0.6206	---
ARIMA (3,1,1)	0.0420	---	0.0232	---	0.0001	---
ARIMA (3,1,1)	0.0194	---	0.0049	---	---	0.0521
ARIMA (6,1,1)	0.1068	---	---	0.0287	0.0008	

Table 2: Comparison of precision indexes of various models.

Metrics	ARIMA (1,1,1)	ARIMA (3,1,1)	ARIMA (3,1,3)	ARIMA (6,1,1)
AIC	1.980981	1.969110	2.014602	1.982976
SC	2.024360	2.012748	2.058229	2.027008
F-statistic	7.327459	9.814915	4.130716	5.316455
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000816	0.000080	0.017248	0.006008

selected predictive modelling approach (Zhou et al.2018). The findings reported in this study demonstrate the efficacy of ARIMA models in precisely forecasting short-term market trends, effectively capturing the volatile nature of stock values. Nevertheless, it is crucial to acknowledge that ARIMA models must account for significant disparities in long-term forecasts for stock markets due to their inherent intricacy and uncertainty. In this particular scenario, the experiment was only based on the Huatai Securities Index as a fundamental benchmark. Hence, in practical situations, it is imperative to integrate supplementary analytical methodologies and techniques to enhance the accuracy and reliability of forecasts.

In conclusion, ARIMA models are widely recognized as extremely efficient instruments for conducting time series analysis and possess considerable significance within the domain of stock prediction. By meticulously constructing models and subsequently adapting them to real-world scenarios, it is possible to provide investors with comprehensive decision-making support.

## 4 LSTM

When discussing stock price prediction, while the ARIMA model is widely employed due to its simplicity and effectiveness in short-term trend forecasting, modern deep learning technologies, especially Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks, have introduced a new perspective into this domain. As a variant of Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs), the LSTM model excels in addressing long-term dependencies within time series data, rendering it a highly promising tool for stock price prediction (Yao, 2024). The LSTM model effectively avoids the

problems of gradient vanishing or gradient explosion that usually happen with RNNs during long sequence training by using memory cells, forget gates, input gates, and output gates, among other things. In stock prediction, The LSTM has the ability of tracking the long-term dependencies in stock price movements and providing precise predictions while incorporating recent market dynamics (Lahboub & Benali, 2024).

To apply LSTM for stock price prediction, the following process is typically followed: Firstly, stock price data is collected and preprocessed, including cleaning and normalization, to ensure data quality. Secondly, a predictive feature set is constructed through technical analysis or automatic feature extraction methods. Next, the LSTM model architecture is designed, involving the determination of the quantity of network layers and neurons, as well as the selection of activation functions and optimization algorithms. The model is then trained using the training set data, with iterative optimization enhancing prediction performance. Subsequently, the model's accuracy is evaluated using the test set, often through metrics such as Mean Squared Error (MSE) and Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE). Finally, investment strategies are formulated based on the model's predictions, with their effectiveness verified in actual trading. The LSTM model uses MSE as a loss function to evaluate its performance during training. MSE is a widely employed technique for quantifying the difference between the anticipated values of a model and the actual outcomes, with a lower MSE indicating more accurate predictions.

In Experiment E, the research team directly employed Mean Squared Error (MSE) as the loss function, combining historical market data with corresponding technical indicators to train the Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) network. Seen from Table 3, the results indicated that the LSTM model utilizing MSE as the loss function demonstrated high

accuracy and stability in reproducing common trading signals such as crossover strategies and Moving Average Convergence Divergence (MACD) strategies. In practical applications, the LSTM model has showcased robust predictive capabilities (Troiano, 2019). Through deep mining and learning of historical stock data, the model can capture intricate patterns of stock price movements and exhibit high accuracy in forecasting future stock prices (Galegale & Shimabukuro, 2024).

In summary, the LSTM model serves as an effective tool in the field of stock prediction within deep learning, with broad application prospects. By continuously optimizing the model structure and training strategies, LSTM is poised to provide investors with more precise and efficient stock prediction services.

Table 3: Analysis of Accuracy and Training Methods in Experiment E.

1—DIRECT USEFUL INFORMATION							
Output	Loss	Crossover			MACD		
		Train	Test	Epochs	Train	Test	Epochs
C	MSE	0.9892	0.9895	5798	0.9724	0.7948	4884
		± 0.36%	± 0.91%	± 1267	± 1.00%	± 12.33%	± 1953
U	MSE	0.9877	0.9907	5769	0.9931	0.8907	5998
		± 0.56%	± 0.79%	± 1133	± 0.36%	± 5.52%	± 1776
U	CE	0.9896	0.9889	5254	0.9966	0.9264	6563
		0.0037	± 1.00%	± 1046	± 0.22%	± 4.25%	± 1804
2—DIRECT USEFUL INFORMATION AND UNRELATED INDICATORS							
C	MSE	0.9922	0.9596	2984	0.9835	0.781	3507
		± 0.41%	± 2.14%	± 354	± 0.66%	± 8.68%	± 707
U	MSE	0.9925	0.9557	3107	0.9917	0.7679	2862
		± 0.44%	± 1.99%	± 531	± 0.41%	± 11.00%	± 222
U	CE	0.9917	0.9609	2973	0.9966	0.7744	2924
		± 0.53%	± 1.98%	± 357	± 0.27%	± 10.52%	± 305
3—CORRELATED INFORMATION							
C	MSE	0.9738	0.6817	3194	0.9675	0.6664	3972
		± 0.35%	± 14.27%	± 933	± 1.09%	± 15.21%	± 1051
U	MSE	0.9739	0.6939	3781	0.9747	0.6941	3588
		± 0.38%	± 13.36%	± 1296	± 0.95%	± 11.66%	± 1430
U	CE	0.9741	0.7965	4184	0.984	0.731	3322
		0.0038	± 12.06%	± 1401	± 0.59%	± 12.53%	± 839
4—CORRELATED INFORMATION AND UNRELATED INDICATORS							
C	MSE	0.9899	0.8967	2774	0.9751	0.6199	3405
		± 0.42%	± 5.34%	± 126	± 0.890	± 17.19%	± 709
U	MSE	0.9896	0.9061	2959	0.9828	0.6394	2933
		± 0.31%	± 3.65%	± 424	± 0.79%	± 11.48%	± 408
U	CE	0.9911	0.8877	3173	0.9899	0.6032	2934
		± 0.49%	± 7.33%	± 737	± 0.58%	± 16.27%	± 295

## 5 LIMITATIONS AND PROSPECTS

The landscape of stock forecasting has undergone significant transformations, yet it remains a complex and multifaceted domain fraught with obstacles. Among these hurdles, overfitting remains a paramount challenge, plaguing even the most sophisticated forecasting models. Traditional tools of time series analysis, notably the ARIMA model, have long been the stalwarts of financial forecasting, adept at unravelling the intricacies of linear and stationary time series patterns. However, their efficacy wanes in the face of the highly nonlinear and turbulent nature of financial markets, where they often struggle to encapsulate the full spectrum of dynamic behaviours, thereby inviting the spectre of overfitting and compromising predictive accuracy.

Conversely, the emergence of the LSTM model has ushered in a new era of possibilities in financial forecasting. Its prowess in capturing long-term dependencies and retaining memory across data sequences grants it a unique advantage in unravelling patterns that would otherwise be elusive to traditional methods. This capability, coupled with its effectiveness in processing sequential data, positions the LSTM as a formidable contender in the realm of stock predictions. Nevertheless, even the LSTM is not immune to the perils of overfitting, particularly when confronted with limitations in training data or suboptimal feature selection. Moreover, the intricacies of its architecture and the substantial computational resources it demands can present barriers to its widespread deployment.

To overcome these limitations and propel the field of stock forecasting forward, a more holistic approach is imperative. This necessitates the fusion of diverse methodologies, harnessing the strengths of each to create a robust hybrid forecasting framework. By blending the stability and reliability of traditional models like ARIMA, which excel in handling stationary time series, with the adaptability and pattern recognition capabilities of the LSTM, researchers can forge a forecasting system that is both precise and resilient. This hybrid approach has the potential to enhance predictive accuracy while mitigating the risk of overfitting, thereby broadening the model's generalization capabilities. Furthermore, as the realms of big data and intelligent systems continue to expand, the inclusion of diverse data sources and the development of innovative algorithms offer unprecedented opportunities for advancing stock predictions. By leveraging these advancements, researchers can delve deeper into the

complexities of financial markets, incorporating real-time information, historical trends, and even external factors to create more nuanced and accurate forecasts. The adoption of advanced regularization techniques and data augmentation strategies, which go beyond conventional methods, is also crucial in safeguarding against overfitting and ensuring the robustness of forecasting models.

Basically, the trajectory of stock prediction hinges upon the amalgamation of various approaches, the application of cutting-edge technologies, and a constant dedication to innovation. By embracing this holistic approach, researchers can anticipate significant breakthroughs in predictive accuracy, empowering investors with the knowledge and insights they need to navigate the intricate landscape of financial markets with greater precision and confidence.

## 6 CONCLUSIONS

To sum up, this study rigorously scrutinizes the effectiveness of time series analysis and deep learning technologies in the field of stock market forecasting. It contrasts the ARIMA model, which demonstrates proficiency in short-term predictions under stable market conditions, with the LSTM model, an advanced neural network variant renowned for its ability to handle complex, non-linear dynamics, making it particularly suitable for longer-term forecasts. Despite their distinct advantages, both models encounter common challenges, including the risks of overfitting to historical data and the computational strain associated with complex algorithms. To address these limitations, the paper calls for future research to focus on integrating the unique strengths of multiple models, such as combining the stability of ARIMA with the adaptability of LSTM and broadening the scope of data sources to include macroeconomic indicators and investor sentiment analysis, thereby improving overall prediction accuracy and reliability. This study not only contributes to the theoretical understanding of stock market dynamics but also offers practical insights and methodological guidance for investors seeking to navigate the complexities of financial markets, highlighting its significant implications for informed decision-making.

## REFERENCES

- Asghar, M. Z., Rahman, F., Kundi, F. M., Ahmad, S., 2019. *Development of stock market trend prediction system using multiple regression*. Computational and Mathematical Organization Theory, 19, 3.
- Galeale, N., Shimabukuro, C. I., 2024. *Deep Learning Applied to Stock Prices: Epoch Adjustment in Training an LSTM Neural Network*. International Journal of Business and Management, 19(4).
- Lahboub, K., Benali, M., 2024. *Assessing the Predictive Power of Transformers, ARIMA, and LSTM in Forecasting Stock Prices of Moroccan Credit Companies*. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 17(7), 293.
- Lai, X. P., 2023. *The development status, problems, and countermeasures of China's stock index funds*. Banker, 12.
- Ma, J. J., 2024. *Research on regression and classification in stock price trend prediction*. Computer Knowledge and Technology, 12.
- Narendra B. C., Eswara, R., B. 2015. *Prediction of selected Indian stock using a partitioning-interpolation based ARIMA-GARCH model*. Applied Computing Informatics, 112.
- Peng, Y., Liu, Y. H., Zhang, R. F., 2019. *Modeling and analysis of stock price prediction based on LSTM*. Computer Engineering and Applications, 11, 7.
- Troiano, L., Mejuto V. E., Loia, V., 2018. *Replicating a trading strategy by means of lstm for financial industry applications*. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 3226-3234.
- Wu, Y. X., Wen, X., 2016. *Short-term stock price prediction based on ARIMA model*. Statistics and Decision, 23.
- Yao, X., 2024. *LSTM Model enhanced by Kolmogorov-Arnold network: improving stock price prediction accuracy*. Trends in Social Sciences and Humanities Research, 4, 19.
- Zheng, T., Farrish, J., Kitterlin, M., 2016. *Performance trends of hotels and casino hotels through the recession: An ARIMA with intervention analysis of stock indices*. Journal of Hospitality Marketing Management, 251, 49-68.
- Zhou, X., Pan, Z., Hu, G., Tang, S., Zhao, C., 2018. *Stock market prediction on high-frequency data using generative adversarial nets*. Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 4, 1-11.