# Real Time Orbital Object Recognition for Optical Space Surveillance Applications

<sup>1</sup>Computer Science Department, Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Memorandumului 28, Cluj-Napoca, Romania <sup>2</sup>Astronomical Observatory Cluj-Napoca, Romanian Academy, Ciresilor 19, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Keywords: Space Surveillance, Real Time Target Identification, Low Earth Orbit.

Abstract: Artificial objects in various orbits surround the Earth, and many of these objects can be found within the low Earth orbit region (LEO). This orbital zone also contains a significant amount of space debris, which pose a tangible threat to space operations, necessitating close monitoring. Various sensors can be used for either tracking, knowing the target's orbital parameters and observing it for updating them, or for surveillance, which can also discover new targets. Real time identification of the satellite as it is detected by the surveillance systems provides a mechanism for selecting the targets for stare and chase applications, to decide if a new satellite has been discovered, or to identify a satellite that has outdated orbital elements. This paper describes a system capable of real time surveillance and satellite identification using limited computing power. The system relies on detecting trajectory endpoints at discrete time intervals, and then using these endpoints for frame by frame trajectory prediction, which is then matched with detected tracklets. This way, the tracklets are identified in real time. The system has been tested by surveying real satellites, in real time, and the identification mechanism proved to work as expected.

## **1** INTRODUCTION

Artificial objects in various orbits surround the Earth, and many of these objects can be found within the low Earth orbit region (LEO), typically at altitudes below 2000 km. Besides useful satellites, this orbital zone contains space debris, which pose a tangible threat to space operations, necessitating close monitoring. Many of the LEO satellites' orbital parameters are stored in the Space-Track catalogue (SpaceTrack, 2023), which is maintained by the Space Fence Radar system (Haimerl, 2015, and LockheedMartin, 2022).

While the radar sensors are highly accurate and can track small objects even in adverse weather conditions, they are expensive to set up and operate. Optical sensors, on the other hand, are cheaper, have a highly accurate angular resolution, and can be easily deployed all over the world. The sensor can be used either for tracking, knowing the target's orbital parameters and observing it for updating them, or for surveillance, which can also discover new targets. The sensors used for tracking have narrow fields of view (FOV) and large focal lengths, while the sensors used for surveillance have wider field of view and shorter focal lengths.

Some algorithms rely on a priori knowing the expected trajectory of the satellite, such as the approach presented in (Levesque, 2007), which relies on background modelling and subtraction using a polynomial intensity model, combined with star removal and matched filters for streak detection, or a similar approach, presented in (Vananti, 2015), employs background modelling and subtraction, and convolution with shaped kernels for streak detection.

When the trajectory is not known, the algorithms try to detect generic linear shapes in the image. Such generic structures can be detected using the Radon transform, which can be combined with matched filters, as in (Hickson, 2018), or combined with an intensity profile along the emphasized line (Ciurte, 2014). The linear shape of the streak can be highlighted also by the Hough transform, a popular

#### 562

Danescu, R., Fuzes, A., Itu, R. and Turcu, V.

Real Time Orbital Object Recognition for Optical Space Surveillance Applications.

DOI: 10.5220/0012158800003543

In Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Informatics in Control, Automation and Robotics (ICINCO 2023) - Volume 1, pages 562-569 ISBN: 978-989-758-670-5; ISSN: 2184-2809

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4515-8114

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8156-7313

Copyright © 2023 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. Under CC license (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)

line matching technique. This approach is used in (Wijnen, 2019) and in (Diprima, 2018), where it is combined with morphological operations. RANSAC, a method for stochastic fitting of lines, was also used in combination with the Hough transform by (Wijnen, 2019). The streaks can also be identified through thresholding and connected components analysis for specific geometric properties, as in (Virtanen, 2016), (Kim, 2016). Another characteristic of the satellite streaks is that they tend to have a colinear trajectory in successive frames. This property can be used for increasing the accuracy and robustness of the results, as shown in (Do, 2019) and (Danescu, 2022).

The algorithms can be used with specialized systems set up on vast areas all over the world, such as TAROT (Boer, 2017), a network of fast acting telescopes distributed worldwide and coordinated by France, the OWL Net network (Park, 2018), a South Korea coordinated array of telescopes offering fully robotic operation for observing LEO and GEO orbits with high accuracy, or the FireOpal network (Bland, 2018), which consists of multiple all sky observation stations in the Australian desert, equipped with onsite image processing and astrometric reduction capabilities.

As alternatives to complex and centralized solutions, low-cost solutions that can be easily set up anywhere are presented in (Langbroek, 2023) and (Danescu, 2022). As shown in (Langbroek, 2023), an informal network of low-cost systems can be used to discover and track objects that are not found in official catalogues, and informal catalogues of classified objects can be maintained (McCants, 2023).

The surveillance systems can discover new satellites, or can adjust the parameters of satellites that have outdated parameters, such as those that will soon re-enter the atmosphere. Real time capabilities for detection and identification are essential in these types of applications. The accuracy of the surveillance process can be enhanced by combining the detection power of the wide FOV systems with the accuracy of the telescopes using the "stare and chase" strategy. The initial wide FOV detection will be used for short time trajectory prediction, and a telescope will be locked in for precise tracking (Hasenohr, 2016), (Danescu, 2023).

Real time identification of the satellite as it is detected by the surveillance system is valuable, as it provides a mechanism for selecting the target in stare and chase applications, to decide if a new satellite has been discovered, or to identify a satellite that has outdated orbital elements. This paper describes a system capable of real time surveillance and satellite identification using limited computing power. The system relies on detecting trajectory endpoints at discrete time intervals, and then using these endpoints for frame-by-frame trajectory prediction, which is then matched with detected tracklets. This way, the tracklets are identified in real time.

### **2** SOLUTION OVERVIEW

The real time detection and recognition system runs three processes in parallel, as shown in Figure 1. The detection process handles every newly acquired image, detects the moving streak-like structures, and connects them into tracklets (sequences of detected streak positions).

The calibration process runs the automatic star identification methods provided by Astrometry.net (Lang, 2010), and generates calibration parameters  $P_{\rm i}$ , relating every image pixel to a pair of astrometrical coordinates Right Ascension (RA) and Declination (DEC). The coordinates can be used to maintain a catalog or to compute the orbit of newly discovered orbiting objects. The calibration parameters  $P_i$  can also be used to map a pair of astrometrical coordinates to image pixels, so that we can draw a predicted satellite trajectory on top of the acquired image. Due to the fact that the calibration algorithm takes more time than the frame-by-frame detection, the calibration is invoked only for selected frames (one out of every 20 frames, in our experiments described here).

The prediction process starts at the moment of the first successful calibration, and predicts the positions of all the satellites in the *space-track.org* catalog for the next two hours. The time stamps of the prediction points start from the time of the first successful calibration ( $TC_0$ , which will be denoted also as  $T_P$ ), and are incremented by 30 second amounts. Therefore, we will not predict the positions of all satellites for every second of the two hours, but we only predict trajectory endpoints.

The prediction process runs only once, builds the prediction data structure for all satellites, and then stops. The system reaches full functionality after about 4 minutes since the first frame is acquired. After this time interval, which includes the first calibration and the subsequent building of the prediction database, the satellite positions can be interpolated in real time for every frame, and the detected tracklets can be matched with the predictions and can be identified in real time.



Figure 1: The solution is organized as three parallel processes: detection, calibration and prediction. Once the prediction process finishes, the prediction data is used to interpolate the predicted satellite positions in real time.

## **3** SOLUTION DESCRIPTION

### 3.1 Batch Prediction

In order to estimate the position of the satellites relative to the ground station observer, the following steps are taken:

1. The latest orbital information data for known objects is downloaded from Space-Track.org, in the form of a list of Two-Line Elements (Kelso, 2022) (TLEs).

2. The object detection application prepares the prediction input, in the shape of a file containing the GPS coordinates of the observation station, and the time moments in the ISO 8601 format (Complete date plus hours, minutes, seconds and decimal fraction of a second) for which the estimations should be performed.

3. For performing the specific orbital calculations, the Orekit (Orekit, 2023) Java-based library is used. For propagating the orbital TLE data, the library implements a specific propagation class based on the SGP4/SDP4 (Vallado, 2008) (Simplified General Perturbation Version 4/ Simplified Deep-space Perturbation Version 4) models. With this model, there is a relatively low margin of error (Dong, 2010).

The propagator takes the UTC date and time provided as input, and outputs the Position / Velocity / Acceleration triplet, within the ECI system (Earth Centred, Inertial). Based on these results, and factoring in the coordinates of the observation station, the library can compute the topocentric equatorial coordinates of the target, the Right Ascension and the Declination. The 3D coordinates of the satellite are also computed in the ECEF (Earth Centred, Earth Fixed) reference frame, using the ITRF IERS 2010 convention. These coordinates are used for computing the height of the satellite above the ground surface, so that we can exclude the satellites outside of the LEO orbit.

In order to increase the processing throughput, we use the Java Collection API's parallelStream method, which by default uses the Spliterator API that is responsible for partitioning and traversing through the given list of elements. The API's documentation provides a clear explanation as to how it stores the order of the elements in an encountered order, which it will preserve in terms of the results, even if the time it takes to process some of the data within the different elements differs. This means that there is no need to additionally implement a mechanism for ordering the results. The calculations for the Position, Velocity, Acceleration triplets for the whole time interval are done in this fashion. By using parallel processing, the prediction for the whole catalogue, for two hours of look ahead time, takes less than 4 minutes on a MacBook Pro 2020 laptop.

### 3.2 Real Time Prediction

The result of the Orekit based prediction system is a list of topocentric astrometric (equatorial) coordinates, organized on two dimensions: the discrete time intervals and the satellite numbers. The time intervals are spaced at an interval of Q seconds (we have experimented with Q = 60 seconds, and Q = 30 seconds. The experiments shown in this paper will use Q = 30 seconds). The satellite number is the

position of its orbital information in the 3le.txt file downloaded from space-track.org, which maintains a catalogue of more than 25000 orbital objects.

For each combination of discrete time and satellite number, the following information is stored: the Right Ascension and the Declination coordinates, the 3D coordinates in the ECEF coordinate system, the satellite name, and the satellite's NORAD ID. These data will be loaded into 2D arrays as soon as the Orekit based prediction process is completed.

In order to project the astrometric coordinates into the image space, we need to know their relation to the image pixels. This calibration is based on identification of the background stars, which are catalogued and their coordinates are known. The tools available from Astrometry.net are able to detect and recognize the stars from any image, without prior knowledge about the orientation of the camera or the pixel's angular size. The main drawback of these tools is that they can be slower than our real time requirement, and, at least when concerned about real time operation, we cannot afford to calibrate each acquired image.

The calibration will be performed in a parallel process, for every one in 20 acquired frames. For a time interval of 4 seconds between frames, this means that the process will calibrate every 1:20 minutes. The latest calibration results will be timestamped with the time of the image used for the calibration. We'll denote this timestamp as  $T_{\rm C}$ , the time of the latest successful calibration.

For every acquired frame that will be processed in real time, we'll have the current timestamp *T*. The time difference between the current time and the time of the latest successful calibration will be denoted as  $\Delta T_C$ .

$$\Delta T_C = T - T_C \tag{1}$$

As the Earth rotates between observations, the stars will change their position in the image. For a successful association between astrometrical coordinates and the image pixels, the time difference between the current frame and the calibration frame has to be taken into consideration.

For predicting the position of the satellites for the currently acquired image, we first need to identify the discrete time intervals in the prediction table that encompass the current time. First, we compute the difference between the current time T and the time of the first prediction,  $T_{\rm P}$ .

$$\Delta T_P = T - T_P \tag{2}$$

Then, we compute the relative time ratio between the current timestamp and the initial prediction time:

$$k = \frac{\Delta T_P}{Q} \tag{3}$$

The past and the future discrete time ratios will be computed as:

$$k_L = [k] \tag{4}$$

$$k_H = k_L + 1 \tag{5}$$

The indices  $k_{\rm L}$  and  $k_{\rm H}$  are used to identify the rows in the prediction arrays that show the position of the satellites for the nearest past discrete time ( $k_{\rm L}$ ) and for the nearest future discrete time ( $k_{\rm H}$ ). Using these two time instances, the endpoint coordinates for the satellite's trajectory can be read, for each satellite *s*:

$$RA_L(s) = RA_TABLE(k_L, s) \tag{6}$$

$$RA_{H}(s) = RA_{T}ABLE(k_{H}, s)$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

$$DEC_L(s) = DEC_TABLE(k_L, s)$$
 (8)

$$DEC_H(s) = DEC_TABLE(k_H, s)$$
 (9)

When the camera is fixed with respect to the ground, the Declination coordinate corresponding to a pixel in the image is fixed, but the Right Ascension changes as time passes. Due to the fact that the calibration happens at time  $T_c$ , the current frame is acquired at time T, and the endpoints of the predicted satellite trajectories have their own timestamps (integer multiples of Q added to the initial prediction time  $T_P$ ), we need to correct the Right Ascension coordinates of the two endpoints, to match their coordinates to the pixels of the current frame:

$$RA'_{L}(s) = RA_{L}(s) + ((k_{L} - k)Q + \Delta T_{C})\omega$$
(10)

$$RA'_{H}(s) = RA_{H}(s) + ((k_{H} - k)Q + \Delta T_{C})\omega \qquad (11)$$

$$\rho = \frac{15 \times 1.0027379043}{3600} \ degrees/s \tag{12}$$

In equations 10, 11 and 12,  $\omega$  denotes the angular rotation speed of the Earth around its own axis, in degrees per second. All times are expressed in seconds, and all angles are expressed in degrees.

ú

$$(x_L, y_L) = wcs_r d2xy(RA'_L, DEC'_L)$$
(13)

$$(x_H, y_H) = wcs_r d2xy(RA'_H, DEC'_H)$$
(14)

In equations 13 and 14, the function *wcs\_rd2xy* is provided by Astrometry.net, and will map the astrometrical coordinates to the image coordinates based on the existing calibration parameters. The satellite index *s* has been removed from the equations for the sake of readability.

Now that the endpoints of the satellite trajectory are known, we can also approximate the position of the satellite in the current frame. For that, we will assume that, at least for a limited amount of time Q, the satellite will have a linear trajectory in the image, with constant speed. Therefore, we will use linear interpolation for obtaining the instantaneous image position (x, y):

$$x = x_L(k_H - k) + x_H(k - k_L)$$
(15)

$$y = y_L(k_H - k) + y_H(k - k_L)$$
(16)

The predicted trajectories and positions can now be superimposed on the acquired image, as we can see in Figure 2. Not all satellites in the catalogue are used for prediction: first, based on the 3D coordinates produced by Orekit, we exclude the satellites that have an altitude of more than 2500 km above the Earth surface, because they will not be visible anyway. Then we exclude those satellites that have the astrometric coordinates of the trajectory endpoints too far from the astrometric coordinates of the image centre. This way we exclude the satellites that do not have any chance of passing through our field of view.



Figure 2: Multiple satellite predictions superimposed on the acquired image. The line denotes the trajectory for a 30 seconds time interval, and the cross denotes the satellite's current predicted position. The satellite name and the altitude above the ground are also displayed.

#### 3.3 Detection of Satellite Streaks

The purpose of the system is Space Surveillance, which means observation of a wider field of view, mainly for discovering new objects or to update the orbital parameters of some objects that have their orbit altered periodically (newly launched objects, or decaying objects pending re-entry in the atmosphere). Our system relies on a Canon lens of 85 mm and F/1.8 aperture, which ensures a field of view of 15x10 degrees. The camera is a Canon EOS 800 D DSLR camera, acquiring images of 2400x1600 pixels. The camera is set to operate in external trigger, pulse width exposure control mode, so that we can precisely timestamp the images and also control the exposure time from the acquisition control program.

The camera is fixed with respect to the ground, an observation setup known as "staring". A more precise observation strategy is the sidereal tracking approach, where the camera is mounted on a system that compensates the Earth's rotation and makes the stars appear fixed in the image. Such a mount is more expensive, and reduces the portability of the system.

The exposure time is set at 1.5 seconds. A larger exposure will generate longer satellite streaks, but will also cause the stars to appear elongated due to the Earth's motion. The images are captured and transferred to a host PC via the USB connection. Each image file is assigned a timestamp from a GPS based synchronization system.

The image processing algorithm for detecting the satellite tracklets has the following steps:

- 1. Converting the images to grayscale.
- 2. Estimating the background using a large median filter.
- 3. Performing background subtraction.
- 4. Computing the differences between successive frames, with the background removed.
- 5. Applying thresholding.
- 6. Labelling connected components.
- 7. Estimating elongated shapes.
- 8. Forming and validating tracklets.

Connected components having elongated shape are identified as potential satellite streaks. The presence of clouds, atmospheric turbulence, or the faint motion of brighter stars can cause false positives. Most of the false positives will be eliminated by the process of tracklet formation.

The satellite candidates are connected to form tracklets based on collinearity criteria. Only when a minimum of three streak candidates are combined into a tracklet the tracklet is assumed to be a valid detection. A more detailed description of the satellite detection process can be found in (Danescu, 2022). Figure 3 illustrates the tracklet formation process. Initially, the first streak becomes visible. As the second streak, which is collinear with the first, is detected, the two initial results are shown as a tracklet in phase 1 (potential but not yet valid). When three streaks are detected, the tracklet is considered valid, with the orientation depicted by arrows. Each valid tracklet contains a sequence of points  $(x_i, y_i)$ , with timestamps  $T_i$ . A tracklet is considered active if it has not yet passed out of the field of view. For example, the red tracklet of figure 3 is active, while the pink one is not. Only the active tracklets are considered for matching with the predicted trajectories.



Figure 3: Detection of satellites in image sequences. Top: initial streak becomes visible. Middle: the tracklet is initiated, but not yet validated. Bottom: valid tracklet showing the orientation of the motion.

#### 3.4 Matching the Detections with the Predicted Trajectories

For each predicted satellite *s*, the trajectory is defined by the two endpoints ( $x_L(s)$ ,  $y_L(s)$ ) and ( $x_H(s)$ ,  $y_H(s)$ ), and its current position is defined by the points (x(s), y(s)). For an active track, we'll take into consideration the latest two detection points (middle of the streak), ( $x_i$ ,  $y_i$ ) and ( $x_{i-1}$ ,  $y_{i-1}$ ).

First, the distances between the tracklet points and the predicted trajectory line will be computed:

$$d_{i} = \frac{|(x_{H} - x_{L})(y_{L} - y_{i}) - (x_{L} - x_{i})(y_{H} - y_{L})|}{\sqrt{(x_{H} - x_{L})^{2} + (y_{H} - y_{L})^{2}}}$$
(17)

In order to accept a match between the tracklet and the predicted trajectory, both  $d_i$  and  $d_{i-1}$  should be below an acceptable threshold.

Another test is the angle between the predicted trajectory and the tracklet trajectory. The angle should be zero, as the two trajectories should be parallel. The cosine of the angle between the trajectories can be computed as:

$$=\frac{(x_i - x_{i-1})(x_H - x_L) + (y_i - y_{i-1})(y_H - y_L)}{\sqrt{(x_i - x_{i-1})^2 + (y_i - y_{i-1})^2}\sqrt{(x_H - x_L)^2 + (y_H - y_L)}}$$
(18)

The  $\cos \varphi$  value should be close to 1 for parallel trajectories. A value of 0.99 is used as a threshold.

The matching process is depicted in Figure 4.  $_{(x_n(s),y_n(s))}$ 



Figure 4: Comparison between a tracklet and a predicted trajectory.

#### 4 TESTS AND RESULTS

#### 4.1 Testing Setup

The testing process is performed online, during live acquisition. The testing process has the following steps:

- 1. The latest files containing updated orbital information are downloaded from Space-Track.org before the acquisition starts.
- 2. The hardware system is set up.
- 3. The acquisition and processing software is started, and will produce in real time the files containing the detection results and the matching result.
- 4. The acquisition process is stopped.
- 5. The detection and matching results are analysed offline for validation.

The most recent version of the system described in this paper was tested on May 22, 2023. The acquisition started at 22:00, and ended at 24:18.

#### 4.2 Results

The system was able to detect a total of 231 tracklets. Out of these tracklets, 218 were identified in real time as belonging to known satellites, with altitudes ranging from 400 km to 1500 km.

Not all predicted trajectories are matched with detected tracklets, as we can clearly see from Figure 5. The matched predictions are shown as thick white lines, while the predictions that have no tracklets associated with them are shown with thinner white lines and text.



Figure 5: (a) Two satellites are detected and matched in real time, while some predicted trajectories have no associated detections; (b) Unidentified tracklet (Track 2210, bottom of image, pink). It was later identified as a Japanese military satellite; (c) Unidentified tracklet (Track 747, bottom left corner, purple). It was later identified as a US military satellite.

Of special interest are the situations when the satellite is detected, but there is no predicted trajectory to match it with. We had 13 such situations for our test sequence, and they were analysed closely offline. The offline analysis showed that:

- 8 tracklets were caused by passing planes, which cause streaks that are similar to those of LEO satellites;
- 2 tracklets were caused by Starlink satellites with incorrect or outdated orbital information;
- 3 tracklets had no explanation.

The three tracklets that still had no explanation were tested against unofficial orbital data found at (McCants, 2023), gathered as part of a distributed effort to keep an eye on "classified" satellites. Based on this data, we have identified them as military satellites (2 belonging to the USA, and 1 belonging to Japan). Two of them are shown in Figure 5(b) and Figure 5(c).

### 5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have described the solution for real time detection and identification of satellites in the LEO region, for wide field of view surveillance. The solution is based on real time frame by frame detection, and look ahead prediction of satellite trajectories for the duration of the observation period. The system has been tested by surveying real satellites, in real time, and the identification mechanism proved to work as expected.

Real time identification of surveyed satellites is important for associating the results with the satellite ID, for the purpose of orbital parameters refinement and catalogue maintenance, but also for deciding which satellites are worth a more in-depth look. A satellite that is not matched with a predicted trajectory can be a new, previously unknown orbital object, and early results can lead to first estimations of its orbit. A satellite that is on a re-entry path may also have its orbital parameters altered, and its mismatch with the predicted trajectory indicates that refinement of its orbital parameters is in order.

Perhaps the most useful use of these results is in the case of the "stare and chase" scenario. A more accurate instrument can be oriented to better observe a promising target, and provide more accurate results. The integration of the real time satellite identification within a stare and chase mechanism will be part of the future work.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research was supported by a grant from the Ministry of Research and Innovation, project number PN-III-P2-2.1-SOL-2021-2-0192.

#### REFERENCES

- Bland, P., Madsen, G., Bold, M., Howie, R., Hartig, B., Jansen-Sturgeon, T., Mason, J., McCormack, D.& Drury, R. (2018). FireOPAL: Toward a Low-Cost, Global, Coordinated Network of Optical Sensors for SSA. Proceedings of the 19th AMOS (Advanced Maui Optical and Space Surveillance Technology Conference), USA, Sept. 5-18, 2018.
- Boër, M., Klotz, A., Laugier, R., Richard, P., Dolado Perez, J.-C., Lapasset, L., Verzeni, A., Théron, S., Coward, D.& Kennewell, J.A. (2017). TAROT: A network for Space Surveillance and Tracking operations. Proceedings of 7th European Conference on Space Debris, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Ciurte, A., Soucup, A. & Danescu, R. (2014). Generic method for real-time satellite detection using optical acquisition systems. 2014 IEEE 10th International Conference on Intelligent Computer Communication and Processing (ICCP), Cluj-Napoca, Cluj, Romania, 2014, pp. 179-185.
- Danescu, R., Itu, R., Turcu, V. & Moldovan, D. (2023). Adding Surveillance Capabilities To A Leo Tracker Using A Low-Cost Wide Field Of View Detection System. 2nd NEO and Debris Detection Conference, Darmstadt, Germany, 24-26 January 2023.
- Danescu, R.G., Itu, R., Muresan, M.P., Rednic, A.& Turcu, V. (2022). SST Anywhere – A Portable Solution for Wide Field Low Earth Orbit Surveillance. *Remote Sensing*, 14 (8), art no. 1905.
- Diprima, F., Santoni, F., Piergentili, F., Fortunato, V., Abbattista, C., Amoruso, L. (2018). Efficient and automatic image reduction framework for space debris detection based on GPU technology. *Acta Astronautica* 145, 332–341.
- Do, H.N.; Chin, T.J.; Moretti, N.; Jah, M.K.; Tetlow, M. (2019). Robust foreground segmentation and image registration for optical detection of geo objects. *Advances in Space Research*, 64, 733–746.
- Dong, W. and Chang-yin, Z. (2010). An accuracy analysis of the sgp4/sdp4 model. *Chinese Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 34(1):69–76.
- Haimerl, J.A. & Fonder, G.P. (2015). Space Fence System Overview. Proceedings of the 16th Advanced Maui Optical and Space Surveillance Technology Conference, USA, Sept. 5-18, 2015, 1-11.
- Hasenohr, T. (2016). Initial Detection and Tracking of Objects in Low Earth Orbit. *Master Thesis, German Aerospace Center Stuttgart*, University of Stuttgart, Institute of Applied Optics, 2016.

- Hickson, P. (2018). A fast algorithm for the detection of faint orbital debris tracks in optical images. *Advances* in Space Research 62, 3078–3085.
- Kelso, T. S. (2022). Celestrak: Norad two-line element set format. URL https://celestrak.org/NORAD/ documentation/tle-fmt.php (Accessed May 31, 2023).
- Kim, D.-W. (2016). ASTRiDE: Automated Streak Detection for Astronomical Images. URL https://github.com/dwkim78/ASTRiDE. (Accessed May 24, 2023).
- Lang, D., Hogg, D.W., Mierle, K., Blanton, M., Roweis, S. (2010). Astrometry.net: Blind astrometric calibration of arbitrary astronomical images. *Astronomical Journal*, 139, 1782–1800.
- Langbroek, M., Bassa, C. & Molczan, T. (2023). Tracking The Dark Side On A Shoestring Budget. 2nd NEO and Debris Detection Conference, Darmstadt, Germany, 24-26 January 2023.
- Levesque, M.P.; Buteau, S. (2007). Image Processing Technique for Automatic Detection of Satellite Streaks. Technical Report; Defence Research and Development: Valcartier, QC, Canada, 2007.
- LockheedMartin (2022). Space Fence. URL https://www.lockheedmartin.com/en-us/products/spa ce-fence.html (accessed 15 February 2022).
- McCants, M. (2023). Mike McCants' Satellite Tracking TLE ZIP Files. URL https://www.mmccants. org/tles/index.html (accessed May 24, 2023).
- Orekit (2023). Orekit An accurate and efficient core layer for space flight dynamics applications. URL orekit.org (accessed May 24, 2023).
- Park, J.H.; Yim, H.S.; Choi, Y.J.; Jo, J.H.; Moon, H.K.; Park, Y.S.; Roh, D.G.; Cho, S.; Choi, E.J.; Kim, M.J.; et al. (2018). OWL-Net: Global Network of Robotic Telescopes for Satellites Observation. Advances in Space Research, 62, 152–163.
- SpaceTrack (2023). Space Track. URL space-track.org. (Accessed May 24, 2023).
- Vallado, D. and Crawford, P. (2008). Sgp4 orbit determination. AIAA/AAS Astrodynamics Specialist Conference and Exhibit, 2008.
- Vananti, A., Schild, K., Schildknecht, T. (2015). Streak Detection Algorithm For Space Debris Detection On Optical Images. Proceedings of the 16th Advanced Maui Optical and Space Surveillance Technology Conference, USA, Sept. 5-18, 2015, 15–18.
- Virtanen, J., Poikonen, J., Säntti, T., Komulainen, T., Torppa, J., Granvik, M., Muinonen, K., Pentikäinen, H., Martikainen, J., Näränen, J. and Lehti, J. (2016). Streak detection and analysis pipeline for space-debris optical images. *Advances in Space Research*, 57(8), pp.1607-1623.
- Wijnen, T.P.G.; Stuik, R.; Redenhuis, M.; Langbroek, M.; Wijnja, P. (2019). Using All-Sky optical observations for automated orbit determination and prediction for satellites in Low Earth Orbit. *Proceedings of the 1st NEO and Debris Detection Conference*, Darmstadt, Germany, 22–24 January 2019, 1-7.