# Generation of Daily and Monthly Flows Using the GR4j Method with ERA5 Grilled Data in the Cañete River Basin to the Putinza Hydrometric Station

Edgar Manuel Infante Quispe<sup>1</sup><sup>1</sup>, Gianfranco Massimo Gutiérrez Buitrón<sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup>,

Abel Carmona Arteaga<sup>2</sup><sup>©</sup><sup>c</sup> and Rubén Kevin Manturano Chipana<sup>2</sup><sup>©</sup><sup>d</sup> <sup>1</sup>Universidad Privada del Norte, Sede Cajamarca, Cajamarca, Peru <sup>2</sup>Universidad Privada del Norte, Sede Breña, Lima, Peru

Keywords: Cañete Basin, Putinza, GR4j Hydrological Model, Google Earth Engine.

Abstract: The research is carried out in a sub-basin of the Cañete River, delimited from the Putinza hydrometric station, with the aim of being able to generate the flows at a daily and monthly rate during a period of 39 years (1980 - 2019) and determine the approximate values of maximum flows in the periods that the El Niño phenomenon existed in the aforementioned basin, the methodology used was the GR4j method. On the one hand, the ERA5 grid data belonging to the European Space Agency Satellite was used, using Google Earth Engine (GEE) from which precipitation and average temperature information was extracted. Likewise, from the National Water System (ANA), information was extracted on daily flows from the Putinza hydrometric station between the period 2014-2017, which was used for the calibration and validation of the model. The analysis of results was carried out taking into account the Nash coefficient and the coefficient of determination R2 as efficiency criteria. Finally, the results obtained in the calibration and validation are satisfactory, which indicates that there is a good performance.

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

In recent years, numerous floods have occurred in different basins of Peru. Currently, different studies are being carried out to implement projects that help reduce the danger that affects the population, however, in many projects they do not have satisfactory results because historical records of flow measurement are required in the affected areas.

One of the affected basins is the Cañete river basin, in periods of floods it is in danger due to the recurrent rains where there is the possibility of exceeding its flood threshold, generating overflows, flooding of crop fields; unfortunately, it is not possible to design riparian defenses that are efficient to minimize the danger in these areas due to the lack of historical records of flow measurement (Andean News, 2017).

#### 252

Quispe, E., Buitrón, G., Arteaga, A. and Chipana, R.

In Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Agents and Artificial Intelligence (ICAART 2023) - Volume 3, pages 252-259 ISBN: 978-989-758-623-1; ISSN: 2184-433X

Copyright © 2023 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. Under CC license (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)

Likewise, the Cañete River basin has suffered the natural event known as the El Niño phenomenon; during the last 40 years it was recorded in the periods 1982-1983 (Public Eye, 2017), 1997-1998 (CAF, 2000), 2017-2018 (Government of Peru, 2019), generating flooding of villages, cultivation areas, road overflows, collapse of bridges; because the projects that are designed have little hydrometric and meteorological information for their design, obtaining deficient results that directly affect the population.

Faced with these problems, the present research work aims to generate historical data of flows at the daily and monthly level in the Cañete river basin for a simulation of 39 years (1980 - 2019) and determine the records of the maximum flows that happened the El Niño phenomenon in the periods during the last 40 years in the aforementioned basin; These generated records will serve as a reference for the development

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5913-3711

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8608-2745

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2895-9582

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9685-2886

Generation of Daily and Monthly Flows Using the GR4j Method with ERA5 Grilled Data in the Cañete River Basin to the Putinza Hydrometric Station. DOI: 10.5220/0011648100003393

of the design of the riparian defenses, seeking that they are the most adequate and really comply with the quality standards and the norms provided, in such a way that it becomes a good state investment, which translates into giving a better quality of life to the population.

For this reason it was proposed to use the hydrological model GR4j, which in French means Génie Rural á 4 parameters Journalier, which will be used for the estimation of flows at the daily level of the Cañete river basin to the Putinza hydrometric station, taking the daily flow records (m3 / s) discharged from the National Water Authority (ANA); precipitation (mm) and temperature (°C) data obtained from the Google Earth Engine (GEE) platform.

## 1.1 Area of Study

The Cañete River basin is located between parallels 11°58'19" and 13°18'55" South Latitude and meridians 75° 30'26" and 76°30'46" West Longitude, having as hydrographic limits: on the north the Cueca of the Mantaro River, on the south with the Q°Topara – Pacific Ocean Interbasin, on the east with the Mantaro and San Juan River Basin and on the West with the Omas and Mala and Mala basins. the Pacific Ocean.

Likewise, for the present study, the Putinza hydrometric station located at the geographical coordinates was used: Latitude:  $12^{\circ}40'05.5''$ , Longitude:  $75^{\circ}57'35.3''$  and at an altitude of 1960 m.a.s.l., for the delimitation of the basin from which we will obtain the average daily flows.

# 1.2 Previous Studies of the use of the GR4j Hydrological Model

Research studies related to the GR4j hydrological model were carried out, in order to make the application for the estimation of daily flows viable.

In the research: Performance evaluation of hydrological models GR4J, HBV and SOCONT for the forecast of average daily flows in the Ramis river basin, Peru: aims to evaluate the performance of three hydrological models for the forecast of daily flows in a basin of the Peruvian highlands; giving as best result despite using only four parameters the hydrological model GR4j, the simulation of the flows of avenue and low water are satisfy (Lujano and others. , 2020).

Also, in the research: Comparison of rain-runoff hydrological models GR2M and GR4J in obtaining average flows in the Subacoche river basin: analyzes the hydrological models GR2M flows at monthly pace and GR4J for flows at daily step with the aim of determining the veracity of these rain-runoff models; providing satisfactory results in calibration and validation, so it is possible to represent the hydrological conditions of the Subacoche river basin (Rodríguez, 2021).

# 1.3 Cartographic Data

The cartographic information was extracted in Shapefile format at a scale of 1:100000 from the National Geographic Institute (IGN). The pages of the National Charter covering the area of study are:

Table 1: Sheets of the national charter.

| Letter No. | Number     |
|------------|------------|
| 26 - k     | Lunahuama  |
| 26 - 1     | Tupe       |
| 25 - 1     | Yauyos     |
| 25 - k     | Huarochiri |
| 24 - 1     | Oroya      |
| 24 - k     | Matucama   |

# 1.4 Rainfall Data

The rainfall information was extracted using the ERA5 datasets generated by the Copernicus Climate Change Service of the European Union through the Google Earth Engine (GEE) platform using the codes provided by Mg. Abel C. (Carmona, 2021).

The average precipitation in the period 1/1/2014 - 30/11/2017 was used for the development of the calibration and in the period 01/12/2015 - 29/10/2017 for the development of the validation.

# 1.5 Climatological Data

For the development of the calibration in the period 1/1/2014 - 11/30/2017, the average temperature that was extracted from the Google Earth Engine (GEE) platform was used using the ERA5 grid data at a daily rate.

# 1.6 Hydrometric Data

The hydrometric information was extracted through the system of the National Water Authority (ANA, 2021), at the Putinza station, the daily flows were considered for the development of the calibration in the period 1/1/2014 - 30/11/2015 and for validation the period 1/12/2015 - 29/10/2017.

#### 1.7 ArcGIS

It is a complete software that allows you to collect, organize, manage, analyze, share and distribute geographic information; as the world's leading platform for creating and using geographic information systems (Pucha et al., 2017). For the present work, this software was used for the delimitation of the sub-basin of the Cañete River, having as its main point the Putinza hydrological station.



Figure 1: Sub-basin of the sugarcane basin delimited from Putinza station.

#### 1.8 **Description of Model GR4j**

It is a model that simulates the precipitation-runoff process on a daily time scale using four parameters. This model has been used as a sequential simulation of soil moisture and flow data in conceptual precipitation-runoff models, obtaining very satisfactory results, which is why it was decided to use it in the development of this article.



Figure 2: GR4j hydrological model (Perrín et al., 2010).

The GR4j model takes the average daily precipitation and evapotranspiration within the basin area as input and the daily flow as the output. Similarly, it uses the Nash - Sutcliffe coefficient as the target function in the calibration phase. In the GR4i model, precipitation and potential evapotranspiration are expressed as and respectively (Rincón, 2019).

For our case, the average rainfall values recorded by remote sensing and provided in a set of ERA5 gridded climates are calculated by spatial interpolation. It should be noted that all quantities, whether inputs, outputs or internal variables are expressed in mm / day, for this reason, the volumes of water must be divided by the area of the basin when necessary.

#### Mathematical Description of the 1.9 GR4j Model

Determination of precipitation and net potential evapotranspiration:

The main components of the model include: first, subtracting evapotranspiration E from precipitation P, determining a net precipitation Pn or a net evapotranspiration capacity E<sub>n</sub>.

The net precipitation equation is:

If 
$$P \ge E$$
 then  $P_n = P - E$  and  $E_n = 0$  (1)

The net precipitation clearance equation is:

If 
$$P \ge E$$
 then P n=0 and  $E_n = E-P$  (2)

Production storage: In the case where P<sub>n</sub>, is not zero, a part P<sub>s</sub> of Pn, enters the production tank: The production storage equation is:

$$P_{s} = \frac{x_{1} \left[ 1 - \left(\frac{S_{1}}{x_{1}}\right) \right] \tanh\left(\frac{P_{1}}{x_{1}}\right)}{1 + \left(\frac{S_{1}}{x_{1}}\right) \tanh\left(\frac{P_{1}}{x_{1}}\right)}$$
(3)

P<sub>s</sub> is determined as a function of the level S in the tank, where  $x_1$  (mm) is the maximum capacity of the production tank When En is not zero, an actual evaporation rate is determined as a function of the level in the production storage in order to calculate the amount of water that will evaporate from the tank. The real evaporation rate equation is:

$$E_{s} = \frac{S\left[2 - \left(\frac{S}{x_{1}}\right)\right] \tanh\left(\frac{E_{1}}{x_{1}}\right)}{1 + \left(\frac{S}{x_{1}}\right) \tanh\left(\frac{E_{1}}{x_{1}}\right)}$$
(4)

In this way, the water content in the production tank is updated as the equation of amount of water collected:

$$S = S - E_s + P_s \tag{5}$$

It is important to note that S can never exceed  $x_1$ . A quantity  $P_{erc}$  escapes as production storage percolation. This value is calculated by the percolation equation in the production tank:

$$P_{\rm erc} = S \left[ 1 - \left( 1 + \left( \frac{4s}{9_{x1}} \right) \right)^1 \right]^{-0.25}$$
(6)

From the above expression it concludes that percolation does not contribute much to the flow rate for this reason it is important mainly for the simulation of minimal events. The percolation value is always less than S. The new level in the tank is defined as:

$$S = S - P_{erc} \tag{7}$$

Linear distribution with unit hydrographs. The total amount of water  $P_r$  which reaches the distribution functions is given by:

$$P_r = P_{erc} + (P_n - P_s)$$
(8)

The value of the P r is divided into two flow components: 90% of P r is distributed by means of a UH1 unit hydrograph and then by a non-linear distribution tank. The remaining 10% of Pr is distributed by means of a UH2 unit hydrograph. With UH1 and UH2, the lag time between the rain event and the resulting peak flow can be simulated. The ordinates of both hydrographs are used in the model to distribute the effective rainfall over several successive time intervals. UH1 and UH2 depend on the same parameter x 4 expressed in days, however, UH1 has a base time of x 4 days, while UH2 has a base time of  $2x_4$  days . The parameter x<sub>4</sub> can take real values and should be May 0.5 days. In their discrete form, UH1 and UH2 unit hydrographs have n and m ordered respectively, where n and m are the smallest integers exceeding x 4 and 2x4 respectively. The ordinates of both hydrographs are derived from the corresponding Scurves (cumulative proportion of input over time) denoted by SH1 and SH2 respectively.

## 2 METHODOLOGY

This section details the procedures used for the development of this work.

#### 2.1 Calibration of the GR4j Model

The objective of this stage is to identify the values of the model parameters in order to optimally adjust a system as close to the real system that the model represents. The efficiency criteria considered at the calibration stage are detailed below:

#### 2.1.1 Nash - Sutcliffe Coefficient

Evaluation criterion that determines the efficiency between a simulated model and another observed by measuring the variability of observations. It is expressed as follows:

$$E=1-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q_{sim,i}-Q_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q_{i}-\overline{Q})^{2}}$$
(9)

Where:

Qsim – Simulated flow rates in m3/s

Qi – Observed flow rates in m3/s

 $\overline{Q}$  – Average flow rates observed in m3/s

The following is a table with the reference values of Nash's criterion:

Table 2: Referential values of the Nash – Sutcliffe Criterion (Molnar, 2011).

| Nash      | Adjustment   |
|-----------|--------------|
| < 0.2     | Insufficient |
| 0.2 - 0.4 | Satisfactory |
| 0.4 - 0.6 | Well         |
| 0.6 - 0.8 | Very good    |
| > 0.8     | Excellent    |

#### 2.1.2 Criterion Nash - Sutcliffe

It is used when the values of the simulated variable are very large. It is defined as follows:

$$E=1-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\log(Q_{sim,i}))-\log(Q_{i})\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\log(Q_{i})-\overline{\log(Q)}\right)^{2}}$$
(10)

Where:

Qsim,i – Simulated download in a time I in m3/s Qi – Discharge observed at a time i in m3/s

 $\overline{Q}$  – Average discharges observed in the period of

Q – Average discharges observed in the period of time considered in m3/s

#### 2.1.3 Coefficient of Determination (R<sup>2</sup>)

It is the chart of the correlation coefficient, which varies from 0 to 1. It is expressed as follows:

$$R^{2}=1-\frac{\operatorname{Cov}(Q_{0},Q_{s})}{\operatorname{Sd}(Q_{0}).\operatorname{Sd}(Q_{s})}$$
(11)

Where:

Cov(Q0, Qs) – Covariance of observed and estimated flows.

Sd(Q0) – Standard deviation of observed values.

Sd(Qs) – Standard deviation of the estimated heats.

## 2.2 Validation of the Hydrological Model

The objective of this stage is to verify the quality of the calibration settings. For model validation, the same efficiency criteria are used for results analysis.

Also, in both stages, the verification of the fit is used to visually compare the duration curve of actual and estimated flows.

# **3 RESULTS**

#### 3.1 Calibration

The analysis period is 699 days from 01/01/2014 to 11/30/2015, with a trial period of 10 days. Likewise, it should be noted that this period of analysis was used due to the lack of data offered by the ANA in said hydrometric station.

Table 3 shows that the efficiency criteria are within the evaluation range. According to Table 2, the fit is excellent when the Nash coefficient is greater than 0.8. In this case, the value of Nash is 86.5 %. Therefore, the adjustment made is interpreted to be excellent.

Table 3: Efficiency criteria (%) in the calibration stage.

| Efficiency criteria (%) |      |  |
|-------------------------|------|--|
| Nash(Q)                 | 86.5 |  |
| Nash(VQ)                | 78.8 |  |
| Nash(ln(Q))             | 45.2 |  |
| Balance sheet           | 96.3 |  |

#### 3.2 Validation

For this stage, the analysis period is 699 days from 01/12/2015 to 10/29/2017 with a trial period of 10 days. Likewise, it should be noted that this period of analysis was used due to the lack of data offered by the ANA in said hydrometric station. Table 6 shows that the Nash coefficient = 84.4% is higher than the

coefficient obtained in the calibration. Therefore, the adjustment made is interpreted to be excellent.

Table 4: Parameters of the GR4j model in the calibration stage.

| Name of the basin       |   |  |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| Area of the basin (km2) |   |  |
| Initial values          |   |  |
| Initial fill rate S0/x1 |   |  |
| Initial fill rate R0/3  |   |  |
| Unit                    | Transf.   |  |
| mm                      | 5.68  |  |
| mm                      | -4.88   |  |
| mm                      | 6.45  |  |
| days                    | -13.62  |  |
|                         | e basin<br>sin (km2)<br>Initial values<br>te S0/x1<br>te R0/3<br>Unit<br>mm<br>mm<br>mm<br>days |  |

Table 5: Averages of the hydrometric data used in the calibration stage.

| Average observed rainfall (mm/day)         | 4.409  |
|--|--------|
| Average observed ETP (mm/day)              | 1.234  |
| Observed mean flow rates (mm/day)          | 1.113  |
| Average of the roots of the observed flows | 0.974  |
| Average logarithm of observed flows        | -0.161 |



Figure 3: Comparison of measured flow rates (ANA) with the flows generated with the GR4j model using ERA5 grid data for the period 01/01/2014 to 30/11/2015.



Figure 4: R2 correlation between daily flows (m3/s) generated with the GR4j method and daily flows (m3/s) recorded for the Cañete basin to Putinza station.

| Efficiency criteria (%) |       |
|-------------------------|-------|
| Nash(Q)                 | 84.4  |
| Nash(VQ)                | 82.5  |
| Nash(ln(Q))             | 74.9  |
| Balance sheet           | 105.9 |

Table 6: Efficiency criteria (%) in the validation stage.

Table 7: Parameters of the GR4j model in the validation stage.

| Name of the basin       |      | Cañete  |
|-------------------------|------|---------|
| Area of the basin (km2) |      | 3139.60 |
| Initial values          |      |         |
| Initial fill rate S0/x1 |      | 0.30    |
| Initial fill rate R0/3  |      | 0.70    |
| Parameters              | Unit | Transf. |
| X1                      | mm   | 6.74    |
| X2                      | mm   | -4.05   |
| X3                      | mm   | 5.78    |
| X4                      | days | -13.62  |

Table 8: Averages of hydrometric data used in the validation stage.

| Average observed rainfall (mm/day)         | 4.642  |
|--|--------|
| Average observed ETP (mm/day)              | 1.228  |
| Observed mean flow rates (mm/day)          | 1.255  |
| Average of the roots of the observed flows | 0.989  |
| Average logarithm of observed flows        | -0.189 |
| 350  |        |
| 300<br>© 250                               |        |
| mi   |        |



Figure 5: Comparison of measured flows (ANA) and those generated with the GR4j model using ERA5 grid data for the period 01/12/2015 to 29/10/2017.

# 4 ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

In the calibration and validation sections, NASH efficiency criteria are shown 86.5% and 84.4% respectively; Figure 4 shows that R2 = 0.9096 is greater than 0 and close to 1, Figure 6 shows that R2 = 0.8973; therefore, it can be deduced that the GR4j method is effective for the study of the Cañete River basin.



Figure 6: R2 correlation between daily flows (m3/s) generated with the GR4j method and daily flows (m3/s) recorded for the Cañete basin to Putinza station.



Figure 7: Daily flow rates generated with the GR4j method, in the period 1980 - 2019.



Figure 8: Monthly flow rates generated with the GR4j method, in the period 1980 - 2019.



Figure 9: R2 correlation between daily flows (m3/s) generated with the GR4j method for the period 1980-2019 years and daily flows (m3/s) recorded for the Cañete basin to Putinza station.

For the simulation of the 39-year period, the values  $x_{1,x2,x3,x4}$  of the validation were used; of these results a revalidation was carried out to improve the data by accommodating in a quadratic equation of second degree, obtaining Figure 7 the record of flows at the daily level, in Figure 8 the registration of flows at the monthly level, in Figure 9 the correlation R2 = 0.8805 is shown; then, it follows that the GR4j method was properly adjusted, since the correlation is very close to 1; There is also little variability between measured and recorded flows.

Figure 7 shows the maximum flows generated with the GR4j method during the periods that the El Niño phenomenon occurred in the last 40 years, these being in the periods: i) 1982-1983, a maximum flow of 132.50 m3/s on the date 02/10/1982, ii) 1997-1998, a maximum flow of 276.51 m3/s was recorded on the date 02/8/1998 and iii) 2017-2018, a maximum flow of 305.71 m3/s was recorded on 03/15/2017; from which it can be deduced that in the Cañete River basin the El Niño phenomenon had the greatest impact in the period 2017-2018 and the least impact in the period 1982-1983.

### **5** CONCLUSIONS

It can be concluded that the GR4j model was properly applied for the estimation of daily and monthly flows in the Cañete River basin to the Putinza hydrometric station resulting in a satisfactory representation of the series of daily flows. Also, allowing to reconstruct past historical records using the grid data of precipitation and temperature ERA5 for the period 1980 – 2019.

The GR4j method can serve as a basis for other studies in other basins to generate extensive flow records over time, since it uses four main variables.

The flows generated by this method can be used in the planning of various hydraulic and civil projects, such as irrigation works for agricultural land, construction of bridges, taking into account the Putinza hydrometric station.

The area surrounding the sub basin of Cañete towards the Putinza station, has been roughed 3 times in the last 40 years by the El Niño phenomenon, this phenomenon has caused structural havoc to the population, this because there is no hydrological study that can serve as a basis for a correct design of riparian defense, That is why it is expected that the present work will serve as a reference for the compilation of necessary information to be able to plan projects that meet the needs of the population. The values of the Nash efficiency criterion for calibration and validation are 86.5% and 84.4% respectively. Both values are within an excellent range demonstrating that the model was adjusted properly.

Bilan's criteria values for calibration and validation are 96.3% and 105.9% respectively, showing optimal model performance.

The graph for monthly flows will also allow us to estimate the monthly prorated distribution over an extended period of the year, which will give us a better idea of the monthly profile distribution.

#### REFERENCES

- Andina Peruvian News Agency. (2017). Cañete River could exceed its flood threshold in the following days. https://andina.pe/agencia/noticia-rio-canete-podriasobrepasar-su-umbral-inundacion-siguientes-dias-744688.aspx
- Public Eye. (2017). El Niño phenomenon: three decades of death and destruction in Peru. Public Eye. https://ojopublico.com/404/las-cifras-historicas-del-fenomenodel-ni%C3%B1o-en-peru
- Development Bank of Latin America. (2000). *The lessons* of El Niño. Peru. CAF. http://scioteca.caf.com/ handle/123456789/676
- Government of Peru. (2022). El Niño phenomenon. https://www.gob.pe/9297-fenomeno-el-nino
- Lujano, E., Sosa, J. D., Lujano, R., & Lujano, A. (2020).
   Performance evaluation of hydrological models GR4J, HBV and SOCONT for the forecast of average daily flows in the Ramis River basin, Peru. UC ENGINEERING Magazine, 27(2): 189-199.
- Rodríguez Cárdenas, F. E., & Rodríguez Villalba, A. J. (2021). Comparison of rain-runoff hydrological models GR2M and GR4J in obtaining average flows in the Subachoque river basin. https:// repositorioslatinoamericanos.uchile.cl/handle/2250/34 30054
- Carmona A. (2021). Code Google Earth Engine. https://code.earthengine.google.com/ce29e6d1d05079 dfac0063043b3be4c5
- National Water Authority (2021). Water Observatory. National Water Resources Information System. https://snirh.ana.gob.pe/observatorioSNIRH/
- Pucha-Cofrep, F., Fries, A., Cánovas-García, F., Oñate-Valdivieso, F., González-Jaramillo, V., & Pucha-Cofrep, D. (2017). GIS fundamentals: Applications with ArcGIS.
- Carvajal, L. F., & Roldán, E. (2007). Calibration of the rain-runoff model added GR4J application: Boring river basin. Dyna, 74(152), 73-87.
- Molnar, P. (2011). Calibration. Watershed Modelling, SS 2011. Institute of Environmental Engineering, Chair of Hydrolgy and Water Resources Management, ETH Zurich, Switzerland.

Generation of Daily and Monthly Flows Using the GR4j Method with ERA5 Grilled Data in the Cañete River Basin to the Putinza Hydrometric Station

- Rincón Achury, L. V. (2019). Application of the GR2M and GR4J rain-runoff models in the Gualt River basin for the management of water resources. https://repository.usta.edu.co/bitstream/handle/11634/ 16704/2019laurarinc%C3%B3n.pdf?sequence=8&isA1 lowed=y
- Perrin, C., Oudin, L., Andreassian, V., Rojas, C., Michael, C. & Mathevet, T. (2010). Impact of limited streamflow data on the efficiency and the parameters of rainfall—runoff models. *Hydrological Sciences*, 52(1):131-151.

