# Analysis of Trading Security of Cryptocurrencies: Evidence from the DAO Hack

#### Chang Liu

Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing, 100044, China

#### Keywords: Cryptocurrencies, Blockchain, Trading Attack, Computer Technology.

Abstract: Contemporarily, cryptocurrencies (e.g., Bitcoin and Ether) gained more public attention in recent years, which also has financial influences on trading on the blockchain platform. Similar to other emerging digital technologies, safety especially trading and transaction security becomes a significant issue, with the increasing number of users. In this article, it will mainly focus on the cryptos trading security on the blockchain, accompanied by a real attacking case, the DAO hack happened in 2016, to analyze current security strategies on the blockchain platform and the vulnerabilities within the trading and transaction process. Although there are many strategies such as hash function used for digital signature and decentralization to protect the security of users' privacy and trading's normal operation, double-spending and multiple withdrawal attack still happen because of the immature mining technology, caused by a long time for miners to validation and add blocks onto the blockchain. To address the issue, some new ways, using emerging computer information technology, to validate transactions can be used to shorten the time and energy consumption, while the users' actions still considerably contribute to the trading security on the blockchain. These results shed light on avoiding and improving the future environment of blockchain and its development.

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

The development of blockchain can be mainly divided into three stages, which are blockchain 1.0 from 2008 to 2013, blockchain 2.0 from 2013 to 2015, and blockchain 3.0 from 2015 to 2018. In 2008, Satoshi Nakamoto published a white paper on Bitcoin (Nakamoto, 2008), and blockchain entered a new epoch. An electronic payment system was deployed with cryptographic proof compared with the traditional transaction strategy based on trust, which allows no third party to be needed or get involved when two groups want to transact, leading to a direct and convenient transaction, solving the decentralized difficulties on currency and transaction. After that period, one of the most significant invent was Ethereum. Vitalik Buterin, a top developer, recognized the limitation of Bitcoin and brought in another kind of cryptocurrency which is Ethereum, making it possible to have a programmable currency through a smart contract, which can be executed automatically when the condition is satisfied, without third parties intervene. When it comes to after 2015, blockchain and cryptocurrency meet an era of a full application. Lots of kinds of new implementations of blockchain appears such as NEO and IOTA, and more area, e.g., finance, science, and art, uses blockchain and cryptocurrency to record information and solve public affairs.

While with the development of blockchain and cryptocurrency, security becomes a major threat for users when having transactions with each other. Research, done by Fröhlich, supported that key management meets a difficulty for users when transactions (Fröhlich, 2020). Fröhlich also provided a model based on CMT, allowing researchers and developers to understand more users' actions on the blockchain with the security issue (Fröhlich, 2020). Losing currency is a common situation in the cryptocurrency area, which is always experienced by holders rather than some freshmen possessing currency. As Svetlana analyzed in 2021, trying to find the risk by observing users' choice of crypto-wallet and some kinds of security practices used for protecting crypto-assets, there is some gap between users in their security perceptions, which affects their decision on choosing wallet and transaction platform (Abramova, 2021). While Banerjee, Utsav, and Anantha developed a new way for encrypting transactions by a kind of low-power processor for

#### 504

#### Liu. C.

Analysis of Trading Security of Cryptocurrencies: Evidence from the DAO Hack.

DOI: 10.5220/0012035700003620

In Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Economic Management and Model Engineering (ICEMME 2022), pages 504-510 ISBN: 978-989-758-636-1

Copyright © 2023 by SCITEPRESS – Science and Technology Publications, Lda. Under CC license (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)

secure embedded blockchain, which implemented elliptic curve pairing (Banerjee, 2021). In addition, a detailed analysis was developed by Chao Yu on the security of cryptocurrencies with the domain of support from blockchain platforms and technology, suggesting that data authenticity and recording are used to ensure the security of blockchain technology (Yu, 2022). However, Baraković, Sabina, and Jasmina organized some interesting statistics about what current cryptocurrency meets with security challenges (Baraković, 2022). Attacks including network attacks, user wallet attacks, and smart contract attacks are the most prominent influence on cryptocurrencies. To summarize, blockchain and cryptocurrencies are now with security threats on both users' side and during the transaction such as encrypting and various kinds of attacks.

Contemporarily, blockchain has more and more real use in both people's daily life and advanced technologies such as recording important information, especially personal privacy and ensuring compulsory consensus with currency transactions. This article mainly analyzes current trading security on blockchain and cryptocurrencies with some evidence. In the beginning, a description of the blockchain and trading processing for cryptocurrencies will be given with a simple way and some flow image to help, followed by security design for transactions in recent years. Then, the article will show several possible vulnerabilities in blockchain to demonstrate the security flaw in the crypto transaction. In addition, certain cases and examples of transaction security will be explained for the happening reason. Finally, the limitation of current security policies and strategies on the crypto transaction will be listed, which also includes possible outlook in the future.

### 2 BLOCKCHAIN CONCEPTS AND TRADING PROCESSING FOR CRYPTOS

#### 2.1 Terms and Concepts

Blockchain is normally known as a chain connecting lots of blocks, which contains certain information, sorted by the creation time, which the whole chain will be saved at all the blockchain servers, standing for a safety issue that only one server can maintain the work of the whole blockchain (Eskandari, 2018). It leads to the two main features of blockchain as temper (i.e., resistant and decentralization), which account for difficulties in changing all data in all the servers of blockchain at one time. A transaction is that when there is a new block, the owner of the block will use the former transaction's hash code and the public key of the latter owner, which would be added at the end of the coins, thus, transferring the current coin to the next owner (Nakamoto, 2008). In this situation, the ledger, also called blockchain, is maintained and updated by a decentralized network using a novel method to reach a consensus that involves incentivizing nodes in the network with the ability to generate new Bitcoin and collect transaction fees, which can be recognized as mining.

Cryptocurrency, an electronic coin, is always used for a chain of digital signatures, recording the whole trace for the chain (Fang, 2022). There are three mainstream cryptocurrencies, which are Bitcoin as mentioned before, Ethereum, and Litecoin. Bitcoin is a kind of digital currency described by Nakamoto in 2008 (which also introduces a peer-to-peer electronic cash system) (Nakamoto, 2008). Different from most of the currencies, Bitcoin was created by a specific algorithm with vast computing, handed out to pay miners' effort, which supports cryptography as a design to ensure security in the process of currency circulation. Ethereum is an open-source common blockchain platform providing smart contracts, at which solving by Ethereum Virtual Machine to support decentralized and peer-to-peer services (Fang, 2022). Ether is the main transaction coin, which is also a kind of cryptocurrency used for trading such as deploying smart contracts and transactions a with smart contract. In addition, Litecoin is treated as a leading rival for Bitcoin currently, which is designed to foster the transaction of small value on the blockchain. Compared with Bitcoin, Litecoin needs less energy consumption, which means that it can be mined by just a normal computer or laptop (Bhosale, 2018).

#### 2.2 Cryptocurrency Trading Process

The definition of cryptocurrency trading is an activity in which people buy and sell cryptocurrencies to make a profit (Fang, 2022). Technical and fundamental trading are two main trading strategy categories, with similarity in the reliance on vast information to verify their performance. Cryptocurrencies transaction always happens in two main situations, which are transaction with a smart contract and transaction with a user. ICEMME 2022 - The International Conference on Economic Management and Model Engineering



Figure 1: A simple process for transaction validation in the blockchain. [Owner-draw].

As shown in Fig. 1, a flow chart of a cryptos transaction, the basic trading process for cryptocurrency begins with users' requests, followed by broadcasting the transaction message among the peer-to-peer network such as Ethereum. After the miner or smart contract would validate the transaction with a specific algorithm to compute the correctness. Then, one comes the final step of the trading and the new block with the transaction will be added to the blockchain. This would be a recycle and every time a user wants to transact will do this process. After all the update is completed, the whole changes sign in to the blockchain server.

#### **3** SECURITY DESIGN

In this section, current technologies, and strategies for protecting transaction security will be demonstrated, which are based on two main platforms, Bitcoin and Ethereum.

#### 3.1 Decentralized and Anonymity

In the blockchain, the consensus mechanism is the precondition of the whole transaction. As Saveed, Sarwar, and Hector Marco-Gisbert said that it ensures that the transaction comes from a legal and correct resource by informing all participants in the transaction to have an agreement on the state of distributed ledger (Sayeed, 2019). Therefore, the consensus can be seen as a bank in the real world. with the difference that there are no third parties and human factors. The trading on the blockchain does not need a third party, who can control users' processes and assets leading to huge losses when being attacked, while all records and transactions are maintained by the distributed system in each node participating, and each node has entire transaction records, which means tiny influences when meets

attacks (Banerjee, 2021). In addition, when two users transact on the blockchain, what they use is their public keys, which are unreadable. Moreover, users can have more than one account, leading to an unpredictable procession. This increases the privacy of users enormously, and trading security as well.

## **3.2 The Hash Function and Digital Signature**

A digital signature is used to validate the accuracy and security of a data string, which is a mechanism in the blockchain. Each block contains a string, a unique digital signature, according to what that block includes especially information and data stored in the block. Fig. 2 illustrates three blocks that chain together, which have a hash header, accounting for a digital signature, e.g., Merkle hash to validate whether the data is integrated and not changed or not manipulated. Nevertheless, a digital signature cannot always represent the next block correctly and validate it, as is the difficulty of different hash functions. On this basis, the Bitcoin blockchain sets a level with certain difficulty for calculating and computing the creation time for a block. Thus, if there are fewer data in a block, that does not represent a faster calculation to create a new block. If the difficulty level requires that there are exactly sixteen zeros at the front of a digital signature, the miner should and must satisfy this requirement to verify one block.

This mechanism protects the data in the block to some degree, as if there is an attacker who wants to manipulate information within a block that is in the middle of the whole blockchain, once the data changes, leading to a changing of the digital signature, standing for an error for the validation and verification of the next block, which is caused by a not match with the hash value, the attacker needs to create new digital signatures for each of the following blocks in the blockchain to solve the unmatching and verifying problems, which is expensive and nearly impossible for either a single person or an attacking teams (Sun, 2021). Besides, the attacker does not just need to create new digital signatures for the damaged blocks but also needs to act for the regular adding blocks, generating new digital signatures. The reason is that miners add blocks with digital signatures according to primary blocks which are not manipulated by attackers, on the contrary, those primary blocks have been changed with different digital signatures.



Figure 2: A sketch to describe the basic structure of how blocks are connected on the blockchain, like a linked list [Owner-draw].

## 4 SECURITY FLAW

This section will focus on Ethereum security, which is the most famous cryptocurrency platform, with some common attacks happening on Ethereum such as smart contract leakage.



Figure 3: When a sender sends two same transactions to different receivers during the mining time, double-spending happens [Owner-draw].

#### 4.1 Double-Spending

In blockchain trading, double-spending is a common problem, which means using a one-time transaction two or three times, due to the consensus delay (Saad, 2020). To show this threat, consider a scenario, with a sender and two receivers in the blockchain. In the common blockchain, when a sender wants to have transactions with the receiver, it basically transports the assert with a sender's public key to the receiver's address, which needs the sender's private key to sign for the transaction. Once the transaction is signed, it will broadcast to the whole blockchain network to find the receiver. At the time that the receiver gets the transaction, the receiver would validate the address and the private key, along with an unspent transaction, waiting for miners to calculate and put the block onto the blockchain as a valid block. While the time for mining cannot be confirmed with different block computation times. However, when the receiver is positive and wants to end the transaction quickly, it would not wait for the validation and mine of the block by miners, who send the products back to the sender. On this basis, the sender can re-sign the transaction and send the same transaction to another receiver, which means that the sender sends two same transactions to two different receivers during the mining time of the transaction block. In this case, which receivers will get the real transaction depending on the mining time, as exhibited in Fig. 3.

#### 4.2 **Multiple Withdrawal Attack**

An attack that always happens in ERC20 tokens called a multiple withdrawal attack is also common in blockchain trading. This attack comes from two methods in the ERC standard, used for approving and transferring tokens (Rahimian, 2019). A method called approve, allows a spender to withdraw a certain amount of token in the token pool of the approver, and if the method is called for several times, it will override the previous modification and update the newest change of the allowance. In addition, the method TranferFrom is to transfer tokens from one user to another without limitation. Imaging a scenario where there is an approver and a spender, the approver allows the spender to transfer N tokens on his balance. Conversely, the approver wants to change N to M tokens instead, while the new transaction of this change has not been added to the blockchain because of the mining time, which is invalid at that time. The spender transfers N tokens by front running. When the approver's transaction is executed on the blockchain, the spender has another chance to transfer M tokens to his account, which means there are (M+N) tokens totally transferring from the approver. This attack can be avoided by the approver if he waits for the execution of the first transaction, compared with what the assumption is

just operated by the spender at one time (Rahimian, 2019).

#### 5 **CASES OF SECURITY ISSUES**

This section will analyze a smart contract attack happening in the real world, e.g., the DAO hack caused huge economic losses and made a big influence on blockchain development. A famous attack, the DAO hack, also a kind of reentrancy attack, leading to a furcation of ETC and ETH, will be discussed in this section as a case, to show a real flaw in the blockchain. In June 2016, the DAO hack happened with more than 3.6 million Ethers stolen by attackers (Dhillon, 2017). The attacker found a vulnerability in DAO.sol, which is mainly used for the deposit and withdrawal balance of users. The method withdraw was used for having a recursive withdrawal when a contract calls this function until the balance of the target contract decreases to zero. The underlying reason is that the attacker created an attacking contract with a method fallback, a default function in Ethereum, which will be called at the time that the withdraw method was called with payment. Due to this mechanism, the fallback method can call the withdraw function within it, which will withdraw the balance continuously.

contract DAOSample {	import "./DAOSample.sol";
mapping(address => uint) public bal;	contract Attack {
function deposit() public payable {	DAOSample public daoAcc;
bal[msg.sender] += msg.value;	constructor(address daoAddr) {
}	daoAcc = DAOSample( daoAddr);
function withdraw() public {	}
uint bal = bal[msg.sender];	fallback() external payable {
require(bal > 0, "Not enough");	if(address(daoAcc).bal >= 1 ether)
(bool send, )=msg.sender.cal}("");	daoAcc.withdraw();
require(send, "Failed to send");	}
bal[msg.sender] = 0;	<pre>function attack() external payable {</pre>
}	require(msg.value >= 1 ether);
	<pre>daoAcc.deposit{value: 1 ether}();</pre>
	daoAcc.withdraw();
	}
	1

Table 1: A target contract and an attacking contract to show how the attack happens [Owner-draw].

Here is a sample code for the target smart contract DAOSample.sol and the attack smart contract Attack.sol (seen from Table. 1) used by the attacker, which extends the target smart contract. The first step is to deposit some ethers to the target smart contract to have a balance in the account by attack method in

the attack contract. Then, the attack begins, with a call function of withdraw in the target contract, which, as mentioned before, will execute fallback method in the attack contract during the action of msg.sender.call in the target contract after transferring Ethers to the attack contract. However, here comes a circulation in the fallback method, as there is also a call of withdraw method in the target contract, while the balance of this account has not been modified from the primary balance, which leads to another time for withdrawing balance from the target contract. When the balance Ether on the target contract comes to zero, the fallback method ends and begins to return, making no sense anymore as the Ethers of the target contract has been transferred to the attack contract.

For solving this attack immediately, the Ethereum official tried to send plenty of transactions to block the blockchain and came to the idea that having a soft branch between the hacked Ethers and the main blockchain Ethereum of (Dhillon, 2017). Nonetheless, the reason that users act on the blockchain is mainly because of the decentralization and privacy. Whereas, the action of the official accounts that there is still a third party to supervise and control the whole blockchain ledgers, and having the ability to modify users' transaction and action, mechanism disobeying to the consensus (Praitheeshan, 2019). After this soft branch and hard branch in the next few months, there are two main blockchains, ETC and ETH, operation by the original users who believe in and preserve the consensus mechanism and by the official Ethereum respectively.

#### 6 LIMITATIONS & PROSPECTS

During several years of development of blockchain, there are some experienced strategies to solve trading security vulnerabilities. Whereas, the limitations are also obvious, especially in cryptocurrencies transaction which is connected with users closely, as listed in following:

• Protocol limitation. Blockchain depends on a consensus mechanism protocol to keep the platform working, while this mechanism is quite different on different blockchain platforms, standing for a weak consensus (Sayeed, 2020). Therefore, when an attack happens on the blockchain, the blocks will be removed, and then, damage the blockchain fully. In addition, another protocol Pow, Proof of Work, is a disadvantage in blockchain, which accounts for huge energy consuming for proofing and validating transactions, limiting the mining time to add a block onto the blockchain as a low efficiency on operations. For this limitation, multiple withdrawal attacks and also selfish mining attacks were designed to attack this weakness.

• Transaction time limitation. As shown in Fig. 1, when users want to transact on the blockchain, miners need to validate the transaction by calculating.

Conversely, this time is always long and depends on various issues such as the block size and the gas fee which is used as a reward for miners' work (Gebraselase, 2021). Although this long-time validation provides some security indeed, it leads to lots of attacks such as double spending and multiple withdrawal attack, which are caused by the time delta between sending and validating. The other disadvantage is that most of the users have no intention to wait for such a long time, while their time can also be regarded as money.

• Smart contract limitation. This always happens on an application tier, as after deploying the smart contract with an application. Once the smart contract has vulnerabilities, the attackers can make use of them and steal lots of cryptocurrencies and destroy the security and also the blockchain. This attack always occurs when smart contract developers fail to find and identify the code bugs, and when the smart contract is deployed, it is static on the blockchain, which means that the developers cannot modify it anymore despite deploying a new smart contract, causing finance loss if the previous threat smart contract has been used for a long time. Just like the DAO hack (Dhillon, 2017), the smart contract has collected lots of Ethers from the blockchain, but, at that time, the underlying threats appeared, leading to a huge influence such as the furcation of the two kinds of cryptocurrencies.

It is undeniable that the appearance of blockchain and cryptocurrencies makes a significant influence on trading and finance, while they have not gotten into a mature way, especially in cryptocurrency trading and transactions. PoA, Proof of Activity, can be considered to have wider use in the future to having less energy consuming by miners and shortening the time for validating the transaction, which can avoid some attacks such as multiple withdrawal attacks that depending on the time difference, and increasing the enthusiasm on mining (Sayeed, 2020). In addition, another aspect of the strength of security is that more work can be done on smart contract. It is obvious that lots of attacks on the blockchain are caused by some vulnerabilities on smart contracts, for example, the DAO hack due to a reentrance attack by contract threat and the multi-sig wallet attack, which is also an attack caused by attackers hacking on smart contracts. It is possible that the blockchain platforms can give more instructions on smart contract generation and deployment, together with forbidding unsafe methods in smart contracts to avoid developers' misusing when applying. While the last aspect can be users' actions. The protection of private keys should be considered, with more secure ways to use them such

as QR codes or NFC (Saad, 2020). Additionally, gaining experience from double spending attacks, users need to ensure their transaction before taking the following steps.

#### 7 CONCLUSION

In summary, this paper investigates trading security based on the DAO attack that happened in 2016. Contemporarily, more people own cryptocurrencies, such as Bitcoin and Litecoin, on the blockchain, leading to security issue which is common in every domain around the world. The safety of blockchain is mainly protected by the immutability using hash functions, which cause expensive spending when attackers change date within one block, and its decentralization, with no third-parties operation, while some attacks, like double-spending and multiple withdrawal, even reentrance, still threat users trading security currently as the long mining time for validating transactions and update the blockchain. In addition, the DAO hack shows an opposite view, which, to retrieve the loss, the official of Ethereum changed the blockchain compulsively, leading to a puzzle on the consensus mechanism with the basic trust in the blockchain. In the future, new proof ways such as proof of activity and users' privacy should be considered more in security trading. This article only centralized on two main blockchain platforms, Bitcoin and Ethereum, giving a simple analysis of current trading security, without emerging platforms like Cordas. Although threats still exist, users and developers will have a positive view of the development of blockchain, to solve current trading security issues and have a safer way to store information, especially that sensitive. In the future, blockchain will provide a more guaranteed platform for people to trade and save sensitive information without being supervised. Overall, these results offer a guideline for learning for blockchain implementation to realize trading security.

#### REFERENCES

- Abramova, S., et al.: "Bits under the mattress: Understanding different risk perceptions and security behaviors of crypto-asset users." Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. (2021).
- Banerjee, U., and Anantha P. C.: "A low-power elliptic curve pairing crypto-processor for secure embedded blockchain and functional encryption." 2021 IEEE

Custom Integrated Circuits Conference (CICC). IEEE, (2021).

- Baraković, S., and Jasmina B. H.: "Digital Transformation Challenges: The Cyber Security Threats of Cryptocurrency Technology Use." FIRST INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ADVANCES IN TRAFFIC AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES. (2022).
- Bhosale, J., and Sushil M.: "Volatility of select cryptocurrencies: A comparison of Bitcoin, Ethereum and Litecoin." Annu. Res. J. SCMS, Pune 6 (2018).
- Dhillon, V., David M., and Max H.: "The DAO hacked." Blockchain Enabled Applications. Apress, Berkeley, CA, 67-78 (2017).
- Eskandari, S., et al.: "A first look at the usability of bitcoin key management." arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.04351 (2018).
- Fang, F., et al.: "Cryptocurrency trading: a comprehensive survey." Financial Innovation 8.1: 1-59 (2022).
- Fröhlich, M., Felix G., and Florian A.: "Don't lose your coin! Investigating Security Practices of Cryptocurrency Users." Proceedings of the 2020 ACM Designing Interactive Systems Conference. (2020).
- Gebraselase, B. G., Bjarne, E. H., and Jiang, Y.: "Effect of Miner Incentive on the Confirmation Time of Bitcoin Transactions." 2021 IEEE International Conference on Blockchain (Blockchain). IEEE, (2021).
- Nakamoto, S.: "Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system." Decentralized Business Review: 21260 (2008).
- Praitheeshan, P., et al.: "Security analysis methods on Ethereum smart contract vulnerabilities: a survey." arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.08605 (2019).
- Rahimian, R., Shayan E., and Jeremy C.: "Resolving the multiple withdrawal attack on erc20 tokens." 2019 IEEE European Symposium on Security and Privacy Workshops (EuroS&PW). IEEE, (2019).
- Sayeed, S., and Hector M. G.: "Assessing blockchain consensus and security mechanisms against the 51% attack." Applied sciences 9.9: 1788 (2019).
- Sayeed, S., Hector M. G., and Tom C.: "Smart contract: Attacks and protections." IEEE Access 8: 24416-24427 (2020).
- Saad, M., et al.: "Exploring the attack surface of blockchain: A comprehensive survey." IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials 22.3: 1977-2008 (2020).
- Sun, G., et al.: "Research on blockchain transaction security." Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications (Natural Science Edition) 41.02:36-48 (2021).
- Yu, C., et al.: "Technology and Security Analysis of Cryptocurrency Based on Blockchain." Complexity 2022 (2022).