Application of LSTM Machine Learning to Prediction Precipitation in Beijing Area

Jincheng Yuan and Zhongmu Li Institute of Astronomy, Dali University, Dali, 671003, China

Keywords: Machine Learning, EEMD-LSTM, Precipitation Prediction.

Abstract: Machine learning plays a vital role in climate prediction. In this study, we apply a mixed model (EEMD-LSTM) combining Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD) and Long Short-Term Memory Network (LSTM) to predict precipitation in Beijing. EEMD divides the input precipitation data into multiple subseries. We use the LSTM to predict the subsequences separately and combine the forecasting of each subsequence to acquire the end results. By establishing the conventional LSTM model, EEMD-BP model, and BP model for comparison, Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), and Coefficient of Determination (R^2) are used as evaluation indicators. The RMSE, MAE, and R^2 of the EEMD-LSTM model are 1.3337mm, 0.7221mm, and 0.8170, respectively. The EEMD-LSTM model optimizes RMSE by 53.22% and MAE by 56.72% comparing to the conventional LSTM model. It optimizes RMSE by 53.72% comparing to the BP model. R^2 of EEMD-LSTM is closest to 1. The study outcomes confirm that the EEMD-LSTM model can obtain the precipitation forecast result of Beijing with higher prediction accuracy.

1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years, machine learning has evolved. Researchers have found that machine learning can process earth system data more efficiently, opening up new directions for predicting precipitation (Sachindra et al., 2018; Reichstein et al., 2019). World Meteorological Organization (WMO) pointed out that in 2018, about 108 million people worldwide were affected by floods and other disasters, and this number will increase by nearly 50% in 2030 (Wei et al., 2020). Flooding caused by extreme precipitation has become an essential factor affecting urban development and safety (Zuo, 2022). As the capital and cultural center of China, Beijing has a large population. We should pay more attention to preventing disasters caused by extreme precipitation (Li, 2022).

As an important algorithm in machine learning, LSTM is widely used in time series prediction. LSTM can store information for a long time, connect different time points, and it is suitable for dealing with problems with multiple variables. LSTM was first proposed in 1997 (Hochreiter et al., 1997), and it has many advantages. Many types of research have

shown that LSTM can predict time series data well. For example, Cheng et al. (2022) constructed a bidirectional multi-scale LSTM model to predict short-term temperature. Ban et al. (2022) predicted tide levels based on LSTM model. Shen et al. (2020) predicted summer precipitation in China. Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD) is considered to be a useful tool for analyzing highly complex and irregular data (Huang et al., 2010). EEMD combined with LSTM can predict time series more accurately (Chen et al., 2022). In the field of climate prediction, EEMD method is rarely used (Wu, 2019). EEMD method can combine with the LSTM to expand the application in the field of climate prediction. We should take advantage of EEMD. This paper combines EEMD with machine learning (LSTM) to predict better Beijing precipitation data that fit the time series. The experimental results demonstrate that machine learning can successfully predict the precipitation in Beijing and provide a reference for establishing the Beijing climate model.

2 DATA

2.1 Study Area

In this paper, we choose Beijing (China's capital) as the research area. The center of Beijing is located at longitude 116°20' East and latitude 39°56' North. Beijing has an essential position in Chinese history. The amount of precipitation will straightforwardly affect the manufacturing and livelihood of residents and affect the economic development of China seriously. Therefore it is imperative to forecast the precipitation in Beijing.

2.2 Data

The data of this study are selected from the daily meteorological data set of basic meteorological elements (V3.0) of the National Meteorological Information Center, with the starting time of January 1951 and the ending time of January 2020. The data are obtained from the field measurement of the weather station, and the following quality control is carried out: Station extremum inspection; Climate limit value check; Check of the internal consistency between fixed time value and daily average value, etc. After quality control, the completeness and quality of the data are notable improvements compared to parallel data products published in the past. The completeness of the data for per element item is usually above 99%, and the correct rate of data is close to 100%. The data are real and reliable and can represent the actual precipitation. We exclude the interference caused by observation errors in the results (Xu et al., 2019). Precipitation is one of the most important climatic factors. Climate is defined as the average condition of the weather and its extremes in a particular region of the earth during a specific time period due to the interaction of radiation and the climate system. We use the definition of climate to select precipitation data. We calculated the 10-day average rainfall of Beijing as the data set (Figure 1). Ten days is a relatively short time scale in the climate. We choose the "10-day average" to get as much precipitation data as possible. In this study, the training and test sets are divided into 85% and 15% (Chen et al., 2022).



Figure 1: Ten-day average precipitation sequence.

3 METHODS

3.1 LSTM

LSTM improves RNN without gradient dissipation and long-term dependence problems (Guo et al., 2019). LSTM has the following three gates. The role of the input gate is to identify essential inputs. The forget gate commands how much info will stream from memory. The role of the output gate is to determine the value of the next hidden state. When the time series is processed by the first two gates, the LSTM structure can decide whether the information is retained or forgotten.

At time t, the input is x_t . h_{t-1} is the output at the previous moment. c_t and c_{t-1} are the states of the current moment and the previous moment, respectively. w_i is the parameter matrix. In this paper, z, i, f, and o specify the state value, input gate, forget gate, and output gate, respectively. The output values and input values are calculated by 1-6.

$$z = \tanh(w_z [h_{t-1}, x_t])$$
(1)

$$i = sigmoid(w_i [h_{t-1}, x_t])$$
(2)

$$f = sigmoid(w_f [h_{t-1}, x_t])$$
(3)

$$o = sigmoid(w_o [h_{t-1}, x_t])$$
(4)

$$c_t = f * c_{t-1} + i * z \tag{5}$$

$$h_t = o * \tanh(c_t) \tag{6}$$

3.2 Principles of Ensemble Empirical Modal Decomposition

EEMD is based on Empirical Mode Decomposition (EMD). EMD method was constructed by Huang et al. (1998) to deal with non-smooth nonlinear signals. It obtains a range of Intrinsic Mode Functions (IMFs) and a signal residual by decomposition. The results of decomposition are as follows.

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{imf}_{i}(t) + \operatorname{res}(t)$$
(7)

In equation 7, t is time. x(t) and res(t) are the raw data and residuals, respectively.

Mixing the results of decomposition is the defect of EMD. EEMD solves this problem and preserves the decomposition effect on nonlinear and nonstationary time series data. It has been gradually applied to time series forecasting in recent years. The EEMD decomposition steps are as follows.

- Step 1, add white Gaussian noise to the primitive input signal.
- Step 2, implement EMD decomposition to acquire each IMF subsequence.
- Step 3, repeat steps 1 and 2 using altered noise every time.
- Step 4, the final value is the average of all IMF.
 After the EEMD, we can accurately restore the

fluctuation characteristics of the time series on different periods and improve the prediction accuracy (Wu et al., 2019).

3.3 Model Construction

Pre-processing of original precipitation time series data is performed normalization. EEMD decomposes the processed data into 8 IMF subsequences and 1 residual. The decomposition results are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: EEMD decomposition results.

We established LSTM models for each subsequence. The EEMD-LSTM model is built based on Keras and python3.9. EEMD-LSTM with 50 neurons in per layer is used to predict the high and low-frequency subsequences obtained after decomposition. The activation function is tanh. Epochs are 100, and batch size is 16. The lookback window is 6. This means that we use the rainfall data for the past 6 days to predict the rainfall for day 7. The final result is the prediction result of combining each subsequence. Figure 3 shows the flow chart of the model applied in this paper.



Figure 3: Model Flowchart.

3.4 Evaluation Criteria

Three evaluation indicators are selected to judge the forecast capacity of the model. They are RMSE, MAE, R^2 . The greater the value of R^2 , the higher the forecast accuracy, while the opposite is true for RMSE and MAE.

RMSE=
$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\hat{y}_{t} - y_{t})^{2}}$$
 (8)

$$MAE_{-n}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\hat{y}_{t} - y_{t}|$$
 (9)

$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (\hat{y}_{t} - y_{t})^{2}}{\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (\bar{y}_{t} - y_{t})^{2}}$$
(10)

In equation 8-10, n, y_t , \hat{y}_t , and \bar{y}_t specify the number of samples, actual value, forecast value, and average value of sample, respectively.

4 COMPARISON OF MULTIPLE METHODS

In this paper, we get the forecast result of EEMD-LSTM model for January 2017 to January 2020 (Figure 4). We use the LSTM model, EEMD-BP model, and BP model to compare with the EEMD-LSTM model. We define the prediction error as the prediction value minus the true value. Figure 5 shows the prediction errors obtained by different models.



Figure 4: Forecast result of the EEMD-LSTM model.



Figure 5: Error comparison graph.

According to Figure 5, we can see that the EEMD-LSTM model has the slightest prediction error at multiple moments and the error value is closer to 0. The prediction results of EEMD-LSTM are significantly better than the three remaining models. The RMSE, MAE, and R^2 of different models are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Experimental results of model error.

	EEMD- LSTM	EEMD- BP	LSTM	BP
RMSE/mm	1.3337	1.5742	2.8509	2.9058
MAE/mm	0.7221	0.8617	1.6684	1.5603
R ²	0.8170	0.7452	0.1570	0.1242

According to table 1, we can see that compared with BP model, EEMD-LSTM model optimizes 54.10% RMSE and 53.72% MAE. Compared with conventional LSTM model, EEMD-LSTM model optimizes 53.22% RMSE and 56.72% MAE. Compared with EEMD-BP model, EEMD-LSTM model optimizes 15.28% RMSE, and 16.20% MAE. R^2 of EEMD-LSTM is closest to 1. In summary, the EEMD-LSTM model is optimal in all three evaluation indicators and has higher prediction accuracy.

5 CONCLUSION

In this study, we apply the mixed model (EEMD-LSTM) to predict precipitation in Beijing. Firstly, we use EEMD decompose the processed data into 8 IMF subsequences and 1 residual. Secondly, we establish LSTM models for each subsequence and combine the prediction results of each subsequence. Finally, we get the forecast results of precipitation in Beijing. We used three models for comparison with the EEMD-LSTM model. The results of the experiment show that the forecast error of EEMD-LSTM model is the smallest, RMSE is 1.3337mm, MAE is 0.7221mm, and R^2 is 0.8170. The EEMD-LSTM model can predict the trend of precipitation time series well with the best results. We compare the model using EEMD with the model not using EEMD, and we know that the prediction accuracy can be greatly improved with EEMD. The results prove machine learning method (EEMD-LSTM) applied in this paper can successfully predict precipitation in Beijing. EEMD-LSTM model can obtain more accurate prediction results. The applied model in this paper can be extended to predict spatially distributed precipitation data and contribute to the establishment of climate prediction models.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by Yunnan Academician Workstation of Wang Jingxiu (202005AF150025), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11863002), and Sino-German Cooperation Project (No. GZ 1284).

REFERENCES

- Ban, W., Shen, L., Lu, F., et al., 2022. Research on tide level prediction based on neural network LSTM model. *Journal of Zhejiang Ocean University (Natural Science Edition)*, 41(04):315-321+365.
- Chen, W., Li, X., Shi, L., et al., 2022. Research on integrated RF module temperature prediction based on LSTM Network. *Computer Measurement & Control*, 30(07):84-90.
- Chen, Z., Yuan, C., Wu, H., et al., 2022. An improved method based on EEMD-LSTM to predict missing measured data of structural sensors. *Applied Sciences*, 12(28):9027.
- Cheng, P., Zhao, J., Han, L., et al., 2022. The short-term temperature prediction based on bidirectional multiscale LSTM. *Journal of Jiangxi Normal University* (*Natural Science Edition*), 46(02):134-139.
- Gao, R., Huo, Y., Bao, S., et al., 2019. Distanced LSTM: time-distanced gates in long short-term memory models for lung cancer detection. *International Workshop on Machine Learning in Medical Imaging*, 2019:310-318.
- Hochreiter, S., Schmidhuber, J., 1997. Long short-term memory. *Neural Computation*, 9(8):1735-80.
- Huang, N., Shen, Z., Long, S., et al., 1998. The empirical mode decomposition and the Hilbert spectrum for nonlinear and non-stationary time series analysis. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 454(1971): 903-995.
- Huang, N., Wu, M., Qu, W., et al., 2010. Applications of Hilber-Huang transform to non-stationary financial time series analysis. *Applied Stochastic Models in Business & Industry*, 19(3):245-268.
- Li, Y., 2022. "Beijing Cultural Industry Development White Paper (2022)" released. *Beijing City Deputy Center News*, 1(2):11-314.
- Reichstein, M., Camps-Valls, G., Stevens, B., et al., 2019. Deep learning and process understanding for datadriven earth system science. *Nature*, 566(7743):195-204.
- Sachindra, D., Ahmed, K., MamunurRashid, M., et al., 2018. Statistical downscaling of precipitation using machine learning techniques. *Atmospheric Research*, 212(2018):240-258.
- Shen, J., Luo, Y., Zhao Z., et al., 2020. Research on summer precipitation prediction in China based on LSTM network. *Progress in Climate Change Research*, 16(03):263-275.
- Wei, K., Ouyang, C., Duan, H., et al., 2020. Reflections on the catastrophic 2020 Yangtze River Basin flooding in southern China. *The Innovation*, 1(2):1-2.
- Wu, Y., Wu, Q., Zhu, J., 2019. Improved EEMD-based crude oil price forecasting using LSTM networks.

Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 516:114-124.

- Xu, W., Sun, C., Zuo, J., et al., 2019. Homogenization of monthly ground surface temperature in China during 1961–2016 and performances of GLDAS reanalysis products. *Journal of Climate*, 32(4):1121-1135.
- Zuo, H., 2022. Scientific understanding of urban rainstorm and flood disasters system construction of flood control and disaster reduction system. *China Water Resources*, 2022(13):30-31.

195