Construction of Environmental Governance Evaluation Index System in Liaoning Province under the Background of Double-carbon

Kun Qian and XiaoNan Yang

Department of Business Administration, Dalian Polytechnic University, Dalian, Liaoning Province, China

- Keywords: Environmental Governance, Carbon Emission, Reduction Carbon Neutral, Entropy Method.
- Abstract: In order to achieve carbon neutral goal, solve the increasingly complex environmental problems, strengthen the construction of ecological civilization, this article uses entropy method, select 2015-2019 Liaoning province environmental governance related data, from environmental governance process, environmental governance results, environmental governance conditions to establish Liaoning province environmental governance evaluation index system, through the comprehensive score and dynamic change trend analyze the problems existing in environmental governance work in Liaoning province. The study found that the main problems in the environmental governance model in Liaoning Province include low social participation in environmental governance, carbon emission reduction and other indicators that need to be improved, and a low digital level of environmental governance. Based on the above problems, this paper puts forward corresponding countermeasures for environmental governance in Liaoning Province to promote the environmental governance capacity of Liaoning Province.

1 INTRODUCTION

On September 22,2020, General Secretary Xi Jinping, for the first time at the General debate of the 75th UN General Assembly, Xi Jinping first proposed to accelerate the formation of a green development mode and way of life and build an ecological civilization and a beautiful earth. China will increase the country's independent contribution, take more powerful policies and measures, strive to peak its carbon dioxide emissions by 2030, and strive to achieve carbon neutrality by 2060.To solve increasingly complex environmental problems and achieve carbon neutral goals, we must establish a more sound and efficient environmental governance model. Under the background of double carbon, the establishment of Liaoning province new thinking, by the construction of environmental governance evaluation index system, from the environmental governance process and environmental governance ability, and analyze the problems existing in Liaoning province, provide countermeasures to improve the environmental governance ability of Liaoning province. The research on environmental governance is mainly in the aspect of environmental governance subjects, the measurement of environmental

governance efficiency and the evaluation of urban environmental governance ability, and the research on environmental governance under carbon emissions and other related backgrounds, which mainly focuses on the relationship between environmental governance policies and environmental governance performance.

Overall analysis, many scholars for environmental governance ability evaluation has not formed a complete system, and in the background of double carbon for specific areas of environmental governance problems discussed less, this paper believes that the environmental particularity of different regions should be considered, through the indicators of the environmental governance ability, and analyze the existing problems, formulate the environmental governance mode in line with the regional development.

Qian, K. and Yang, X.

Construction of Environmental Governance Evaluation Index System in Liaoning Province under the Background of Double-carbon DOI: 10.5220/0011179300003440

In Proceedings of the International Conference on Big Data Economy and Digital Management (BDEDM 2022), pages 383-388 ISBN: 978-989-758-593-7

Copyright © 2022 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

2 CONSTRUCTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE EVALUATION INDEX SYSTEM UNDER THE BACKGROUND OF DOUBLE-CARBONMANUSCRIPT PREPARATION

The basic definition of environmental governance is that a variety of public or private individuals and institutions govern eco-environmental public affairs. This paper builds a process-oriented environmental governance evaluation index system from three aspects: environmental governance process, environmental governance results and environmental governance conditions.

2.1 Index Selection

According to the above contents, three levels of environmental governance process, environmental gover

nance results and environmental governance conditions Standard, seven secondary indicators, environmental governance behavior, environmental governance intensity, annual carbon index improvement degree, annual pollution control improvement proportion, annual ecological and environmental improvement degree, talent and technical conditions and social participation, and 22 three-level indicators drawn therefrom (see Table 1).

2.2 Evaluation Method and Data Sources

2.2.1 Determine the Entropy Weight

Due to the discrete and unit inconsistency of various indicators, the paper first unified the scale by extremal standardization method. Entropy method can avoid the inaccuracy of subjective assignment and data overlap problem, objectively assign various indicators, and determine the weight of each index through the uncertainty of the information provided by each index, and then obtain the information entropy of each index. For a single indicator, the greater the entropy of the information entropy, the greater the degree of disorder, the greater the effect on the comprehensive evaluation. Therefore, this paper uses information entropy to calculate the weight of each index, to provide a basis for the comprehensive evaluation of environmental governance index system in Liaoning Province.

2.2.2 Data Sources

Select the relevant data from 2015 to 2019 to establish an evaluation index system. The relevant data comes from 2015-2019, Liaoning Statistical Yearbook, Liaoning Science and Technology Statistical Yearbook and Liaoning Department of Ecology and Environment in 2019. Some of the real indicators are supplemented according to the difference method.

Table 1: Environmental governance evaluation index system.

Seconda ry index	Tertiary indicators	Unit
Environ mental	Cultivated land occupation tax	Hundred million yuan
	Resource tax	Hundred million yuan
0	Investment in urban	Hundred
	environmental	million
benavior	infrastructure	yuan
		Hundred
	Forestry investment	million
		yuan
	Investment in	
Environ	environmental	0/
mental		%
governan	/GDP	
0	Environmental	
		%
	1	
Improve		%
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
0		%
annual carbon		/0
index		%
	1 2	/0
		%
Increase		/0
	1	
n of	wastewater	%
annual	freatment canacity	
annual nollution	treatment capacity	
pollution	Increase proportion	
	Increase proportion of annual industrial	%
pollution	Increase proportion of annual industrial wastewater	%
pollution	Increase proportion of annual industrial wastewater treatment capacity	%
pollution	Increase proportion of annual industrial wastewater	%
	ry index Environ mental governan ce behavior Environ mental governan ce intensity Improve ment degree of annual carbon index	ry indexTertrary indicatorsry indexCultivated land occupation taxEnviron mental governan ce behaviorResource taxInvestment in urban environmental infrastructureForestry investmentEnviron mental governan ce behaviorForestry investment in environmental pollution control governan ce expenditure /GDPImprove ment degree of annual carbon indexImprove ment degree of annual carbon indexIncrease proportion of annual domestic waste removal and transportation volumeIncrease proportionIncrease <b< td=""></b<>

		of industrial solid	1	
		waste in		
	Annual	Reduction ratio of		
	improve	annual air pollution	%	
	ment	days	,,,	
	degree of	Optimization of		
	ecologica	surface water quality	%	
	1	Increase proportion		
	environm	of annual greening	%	
	ent	coverage	,,,	
		Number of R & amp;		
		D personnel		
		(ecological	P	
		protection and	Person	
		environmental		
	Talent technical condition s	treatment)		
		Investment of		
		scientific research		
		funds		
		(environmental	TT1 1	
		protection,	Thousand yuan	
		ecological		
Environ		construction,		
mental		pollution control and		
governan		other activities)		
ce		Construction of		
condition s		digital	Score	
		environmental	Score	
		governance platform		
		Number of citizen		
	Degree of social participat	opinions solicited on	Piece	
		government	Tiece	
		websites		
		Influence of		
		government media	Score	
	ion	platform		
	1011	Number of		
SCIE		environmental	Piece	
		governance	TICCC	
		documents issued		

3 ANALYSIS OF ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE EVALUATION RESULTS IN-LIAONING PROVINCEEUNDER THEBACKGROUND OF DOUBLE-CARBON

The comprehensive score and ranking of the environmental governance indicators in Liaoning Province from 2015-2019 were calculated according to the entropy method (see Table 2).

Overall analysis of environmental governance evaluation results According to the first-level index score in the environmental governance evaluation of Liaoning Province from 2015 to 2019, it can be seen that the level of environmental governance work in Liaoning Province is showing a rising trend in the recent five years, and the environmental governance achievements are remarkable, and its upward trend is obvious. Although the environmental governance process has fluctuated in the past five years, it is still on the rise. Environmental governance conditions have basically changed horizontally.

Through the analysis of the scores of various indicators, it is found that Liaoning Province should not only pay attention to the indicators of the environmental governance achievements, but also strengthen the attention to the environmental governance process and strengthen the control of theenvironmental governance process. In addition, Liaoning province should also pay attention to the improvement of environmental governance conditions (see Figure 1).



Figure 1: Comprehensive score chart of each level index.

According to the second-level index score in the environmental governance evaluation of Liaoning Province from 2015 to 2019, it can be seen that the environmental behavior governance and intensity environmental governance change synchronously with the degree of annual ecological environment improvement, which shows that the environmental governance behavior and environmental governance intensity have a strong correlation with the annual ecological environment improvement.

Table 2: Environmental governance evaluation index system.

Primar y index	Seconda ry index	Tertiary indicators	System composi te score	Ran k
		Cultivated land occupation tax	0.6220	2
Environ	Environ	Resource tax	0.5723	4
mental governa nce process	mental governan ce behavior	Investment in urban environmental infrastructure	0.3231	11
		Forestry investment	0.1242	22

				r
	Environ mental governan	Investment in environmental pollution control / GDP	0.3578	9
	ce intensity	Environmental protection expenditure /GDP	0.2508	16
		Annual carbon emission reduction ratio	0.3884	8
	Improve ment degree of annual carbon index	Reduction ratio of energy consumption per unit GDP	0.3148	12
		Proportion of annual carbon productivity increase	0.3544	10
		Increase proportion of annual domestic waste removal and transportation volume	0.6560	1
		Increase proportion of annual		
Environ mental	Increase proportio	domestic wastewater treatment capacity	0.5961	3
governa nce achieve ments	n of annual pollution control	Increase proportion of annual industrial wastewater treatment capacity	0.2442	17
		Increase proportion of comprehensive treatment capacity of industrial solid waste in	0.1693	19
	Annual improve ment degree of ecologica l environm ent	Reduction ratio of annual air pollution days	0.4949	6
		Optimization of surface water quality	0.1453	20
		Increase proportion of annual greening coverage	0.5271	5

The average score of annual pollution control proportion is the highest, but the degree of fluctuation

is large. Therefore, there is a very low value in 2017. Therefore, effective measures are still formulated to strengthen the annual pollutant controlin the future environmental governance, so as to ensure the steady increase of the increasing proportion of annual pollutant control. The degree of improvement of annual carbon indicators and social participation are steadily increasing, but both scores are low.

Table 3: Environmental governance evaluation index system (connect up).

Primar y index	Second ary index	Tertiary indicators	System composi te score	Ran k
Talent technica l conditio ns Environ mental governa nce conditi ons Degree of social		Number of R&D personnel (ecological protection and environmental treatment)	0.4112	7
	technica 1 conditio	Investment of scientific research funds (environmental protection, ecological construction, pollution control and other activities)	0.1386	21
	y Pí	Construction of digital environmental governance platform	0.2678	15
	of	Number of citizen opinions solicited on government websites	0.2016	18
		Influence of government media platform	0.2843	13
	ation	Number of environmental governance documents issued	0.2691	14

Therefore, Liaoning Province still needs to take further measures to strengthen the control of carbon indicators, in order to achieve carbon neutrality; environmental governance work still needs to strengthen social participation, and build an environmental governance model involving the government, enterprises and citizens. The technical condition score of talent is also low and shows a downward trend. This paper believes that this trend is compared with talents in Liaoning Province. The serious flow and the low level of scientific and technological development are related. The further improvement of the environmental governance level in Liaoning Province needs to be based on highquality talents and supported by advanced scientific and technological conditions (see Figure 2).



Figure 2: Comprehensive score chart of each level index.

4 CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

Based on the index analysis of Liaoning Province environmental governance evaluation system, this paper puts forward the following conclusions and suggestions for the future environmental governance work in Liaoning Province:

4.1 Strengthen the Disclosure of Government Information, Environmental Protection Departments Solicit Citizens 'Opinions on Environmental Governance and Ecological Protection Issues to Improve Citizens' Participation

The environmental protection department should improve the policies and laws on environmental protection and environmental assessment, improve the reward system for environmental problems, establish and improve a sound environmental protection system, enhance the influence of platforms government related media to environmental governance, use media platforms to strengthen the publicity and education in environmental protection, guide citizens to enhance and environmental awareness mobilize the enthusiasm of environmental participation.

4.2 Combine Environmental Governance with Internet Technology

Increase investment in the introduction of scientific and technological talents and scientific research funds, develop environmental governance-related technologies, and integrate Internet technology with environmental governance technology, to improve the innovation and convenience of environmental governance. At the same time, the combination of environmental governance and Internet technology can better establish a model of coordinated governance between the government, citizens and enterprises, and promote social subjects to more effectively participate in environmental governance activities.

4.3 Establish a Platform for Carbon Emission Monitoring and Control

In order to balance carbon emission reduction and economic benefits. Through digital twin technology data modeling, environmental governance related environmental data and economic activities for realtime monitoring, through big data technology for carbon emissions and carbon peak, carbon neutralization process prediction, finally through digital twin technology for carbon reduction path and policy planning scenario simulation simulation, found problems and optimization, combined with artificial intelligence technology to adjust the implementation of planning.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper is the research achievement of Liaoning social science planning fund project. (Project number: L20BGL042)

REFERENCES

- Chen Xiaohong, Hu Dongbin, Cao Wenzhi, Liang Wei, Xu Xuesong, Tang Xiangbo, Wang Yangjie. The path of digital technology to boost the carbon neutralization goal in China's energy industry [J]. Proceedings of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, 2021, 36 (09): 10191029.
- Eric Sjoberg. An empirical study of federal law versus local environmental enforcement[J]. Journal of Environment al Economics and Management, 2016, 76.

- Hondula, Kuras, Longo, Johnston. Toward precision governance: infusing data into public management of environment al hazards[J]. Public Management Review,2018,20(5).ASCE, T. C. (1963). Friction factors in open channels. J. Hydraulic Div. 89 (HY2), 97–143.
- Li Weihan, Feng Junhua. Research on the Construction of Ecological Environment Evaluation Index System in Shaanxi Province [J]. Environmental Ecology, 2021,3 (01): 72-76.
- Ren Bingqiang. Local government environmental governance capacity and its path selection [J]. Inner Mongolia Social Sciences (Chinese edition), 2016,37 (01): 25-30.
- Sun Zhenqing, Li Huan Huan, Liu reserved. Regional carbon emission reduction and environmental collaborative governance from the perspective of spatial spillover —— is based on the panel data analysis of some regions of Beijing, Tianjin and Hebei [J].Research World, 2020 (12): 10-16.
- Tian Xiu, Tang Rui, Zhou Chunyu. Government Environmental Governance Policy Based on Carbon Emission [J]. Research World, 2020 (03): 30-36.
- Yang Bowen. System thinking, economic theory and scientific path of carbon peak and carbon neutrality target strategy under Xi Jinping's new development concept [J]. The Economist, 2021 (09):512.
- Zheng Ruixin. Discuss the method of constructing a lowcarbon economic evaluation index system [J]. Mass Standardization, 2021 (18): 7-9.
- Zheng Ruonan. Modernization problem and path choice of ecological and environmental governance capacity of local governments [J]. The Economist, 2021 (03): 1415.