Deep Learning Approach based on FCRBM for Optimization of Electric Energy Production

Chaimaa Fouhad, Mohamed El Khaili, Mohammed Qbadou ENSET Mohammedia, Hassan II University of Casablanca, BP 159, Mohammedia, Morocco

- Keywords: Deep Learning, Energy production, Artificial Neural Network, Conditional Restricted Boltzmann Machine CRBM, Factored CRBM.
- Abstract: This correspondence features Deep Learning's commitment to the electrical energy sector. An overview of the concept will highlight the commitment of this innovation in enhancing the creation of electrical energy, prior to setting out on the decision of the model from which we will begin. Latter's choice is made after comparative studies between the different models used by other authors in their previous publications on the subject.

This investigation in the end drove us to embrace the Factored Conditional Restricted Boltzmann Machine "FCRBM" model as a model that was viewed as powerful in correlation with others in a similar class. The FCRBM is a five-layer model, including three layers of the CRBM strategy, to which two new added substance layers have been added to work on the exactness and give new usefulness and functionality.

1 INTRODUCTION

The creation of electrical energy has been a subject of worry for scientists and researchers since the innovation of the electricity. Certainly, production without losses doesn't exist. These energy losses have offered way to the topic of how to enhance the production of electricity.

Since the introduction of mechanical methods has not been agreeable, the commitment of new is exceptionally attractive. Deep learning is one of the technologies that can provide the solution for the problem. Profound Learning is broadly used to enhance the creation of electrical energy because of its different techniques and errand computerization. We will look at the different topics related to our context to help us choose the most appropriate and effective method.

This correspondence comprises of four segments. The main presents a concise best in class. Conditional Restricted Boltzmann Machine (CRBM) and Factored Conditional Restricted Boltzmann Machine (FCRBM) are depicted in the subsequent segment. The third part presents our model with its execution engineering. Reproduction results will be accessible once the datasets are gotten.

2 RELATED WORKS

A significant element of future power matrices is forecasting energy utilization throughout a wide scope of time horizons; subsequently, expect total interest as well as to extend the individual structure so that circulated creation assets can be sent by the nearby utilization, particularly because of the huge gadgets (Marhoum et al., 2021).

Furthermore, the interest decay makes it conceivable to investigate energy utilization designs and distinguish energy protection goals. Likewise, determining transient energy utilization permits directors to design energy utilization over the long run, move energy utilization to off-top periods, and get ready more good energy buy plans. Generally, demand forecasting can be considered to fall into three categories:

- Short-term forecasts are generally applied at intervals of one hour to one week,
- Medium-term forecasts are generally one week to one year,
- Moreover, long-term forecasts are for more than one year.

Energy forecasting an unpredictable issue since it relies upon the intricacy of the structure's energy conduct and the vulnerability of the affecting variables, prompting incessant changes popular.

Fouhad, C., El Khaili, M. and Qbadou, M

Deep Learning Approach based on FCRBM for Optimization of Electric Energy Production.

DOI: 10.5220/0010733900003101

In Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Big Data, Modelling and Machine Learning (BML 2021), pages 345-349 ISBN: 978-989-758-559-3

Copyright © 2022 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

These variances are because of the structure engineering and warm properties of the actual materials utilized, tenants and conduct, climatic conditions, and sub-level framework segments like lighting or HVAC (warming, ventilation and cooling). (Yang et al., 2014)

A decent forecast is additionally the aftereffect of viable prescient investigation. It is in this setting that few knights of science have given themselves the test to acquire a successful prescient model dependent on the commitment of new innovations.

Amir Mosavi did an examination dependent on Machine Learning and showed how the methods utilized by ML could work on the precision in the forecast of the creation of electrical energy. On a line close to Amir Mosavi, Marijana Zeki'c-Su'sac completed an examination on the commitment of ML in the creation of energy in the public area and exhibited the advantages that this new innovation could bring to the electrical energy area. (Cost et al., 2013)

Elena (Mocanu et al.,2016) put out an objective of working on the productivity of the whole electrical framework and exhibited that by utilizing neural organization-based forecast strategies, learning procedures are relied upon to work on the presentation and precision of expectation by permitting more elevated levels of reflection. To accomplish this, two stochastic models were contemplated: the Conditional Restricted Boltzmann Machine (CRBM) and Factored Conditional Restricted Boltzmann Machine (FCRBM). A correlation showed that for the issue of anticipating energy creation, the FCRBM outperformed the Artificial Neural Network (ANN), Support Vector Machine (SVM), Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) and the CRBM.



Figure 1: The overall design of Conditional Restricted Boltzmann Machines, where u is the contingent history layer (input), "h" is the secret layer, and "v" is the apparent layer (yield), where means twofold neurons, addresses the genuine qualities, and the other sort of units are Gaussian neurons.

3 DESCRIPTION OF FACTORED CONDITIONAL RESTRICTED BOLTZMANN MACHINE

Taylor and Hinton presented the Factored Condition Restricted Boltzmann Machine (FCRBM), where they add styles and the idea of figured, multiplicative, three-way associations to anticipate various styles of human motion.



Figure 2: The overall design of Factored Conditional Restricted Boltzmann Machines, where "u" is the contingent history layer (input), h is the secret layer, y is the style layer, and "v" is the noticeable layer (yield), where indicates double neurons, addresses the genuine qualities and the others are Gaussian qualities.

Initially, FCRBMs comprise of the past three layers from CRBM and two new presented layers for styles and highlights. Nonetheless, to meet our requirements, we decreased the style and the highlights layers to one, and we utilized it to address various boundaries valuable for expectation.

All the more decisively, after the decrease as referenced above, FCRBM comprises of:

(1) A genuine esteemed apparent layer "v".

(2) A genuine esteemed history layer "u" (i.e., $u_{t-N:t-1}$, where $N \in \mathbb{N}$).

(3) A double secret layer "h".

(4) A style layer "y".

Every one of the above layers is fundamental for the accomplishment of the FCRBMs. The noticeable layer encodes the current upsides of a period series which should be anticipated. The historical backdrop of the time succession, being the premise of such forecasts, is encoded on the set of experiences layer. The secret layer ensures the disclosure of significant highlights fundamental for investigating the time succession, while the style layer encodes various boundaries helpful in the expectation. To gain proficiency with the intrinsic relations between these layers, undirected or coordinated loads and factors, as displayed in Figure 2, are utilized as associations.

All the more officially, FCRBM characterizes a joint likelihood conveyance over the apparent "v", and covered up "h", neurons. The joint conveyance is adapted on the past N perceptions "u", model boundaries (··) (i.e., W^h , $W^v, W^y, A^u, A^v, A^y, B^y, B^v, B^h$), and the style layer "y". Like CRBM, it is expected parallel stochastic secret units and genuine esteemed noticeable units with added substance, Gaussian commotion. For notational ease, as in the first paper [20], we assume $\sigma i = 1$.

The complete energy work for this model is:

$$E = v^T \hat{a} - h^T \hat{b} - \sum_{\substack{f \\ \circ (h^T w^h)]}} [(v^T w^v) \circ (y^T w^y) \tag{1}$$

Where W^{ν} , W^{h} , and W^{l} , address the noticeable figured, covered up considered, and name loads calculated, individually. X \circ Y is the Hadamard item, otherwise called the component insightful item, between networks X and Y, and f addresses the records, all things considered.

The terms \hat{a} and \hat{b} are called dynamic biases and are defined as:

$$\hat{a} = a + A^{v} [(u^{T} A^{u}) \circ (y^{T} A^{y})]^{T}$$

$$\hat{b} = b + B^{h} [(u^{T} B^{u}) \circ (y^{T} B^{y})]^{T}$$
(2)

Where A^{ν} , A^{u} , A^{y} , B^{h} , B^{u} , B^{y} , are the associations from the comparing layer to the variables. Just as the load's associations are free boundaries that should be prepared as itemized in the accompanying area.

3.1 Inference in FCRBMs

In FCRBMs, probabilistic induction implies deciding two contingent circulations. The first is the likelihood of the secret layer adapted on the wide range of various layers (i.e., p(h|v, u, y)), while the second is the likelihood of the current layer molded on the others (i.e., p(v|h, u, y)). Since there are no associations between the neurons in a similar layer, deduction can be made in equal for every unit type, prompting:

$$p(h = 1 | \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{y}) = sig(\hat{b} + w^h[(\boldsymbol{y}^T w^{\boldsymbol{y}}) \circ (\boldsymbol{v}^T w^{\boldsymbol{v}})]^T)$$
(3)

Where
$$sig(x) = 1/1 + \exp(-x)$$
, and
 $p(v|\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) = \aleph(\hat{a} + w^v[(y^T w^y) \circ (h^T w^h)]^T, \sigma^2$
(4)

Where for accommodation σ is picked to be 1.

3.2 Learning & Update Rules for FCRBMs

The free parameters model (i.e., dynamical biases and weights) are picked up utilizing Contrastive Divergence, discussed previously in the previous section, as displayed in Algorithm 1. The update rules for each of these connections can be computed by deriving the energy function in relation to each of the variables. For more details on these derivations, please refer to [18]. After calculating the derivatives, the following update rules are found:

$$w_{\tau+1}^{h} = w_{\tau}^{h} + \alpha \langle h[(v^{T}w^{v}) \circ (y^{T}w^{y})] \rangle_{data}$$
(5)
$$- \langle h[(v^{T}w^{v}) \circ (y^{T}w^{y})] \rangle_{recon} \rangle$$

$$w_{\tau+1} - w_{\tau} + \alpha(\langle y[(v \ w \) \circ (h \ w \)])_{data} - \langle y[(v^{T}w^{v}) \circ (h^{T}w^{h})] \rangle_{recon})$$

$$w_{\tau+1}^{v} = w_{\tau}^{v} + \alpha(\langle v[(y^{T}w^{y}) \circ (h^{T}w^{h})] \rangle_{data} - \langle v[(y^{T}w^{y}) \circ (h^{T}w^{h})] \rangle_{recon})$$
and the dynamic biases updates are:
$$A_{\tau+1}^{u} = A_{\tau}^{u} + \alpha(\langle u[(y^{T}A^{y}) \circ (v^{T}A^{v})] \rangle_{data} - \langle u[(y^{T}A^{y}) \circ (v^{T}A^{v})] \rangle_{recon})$$
(6)

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\tau+1}^{v} &= A_{\tau}^{v} + \alpha (\langle v[(y^{T}A^{y}) \circ (u^{T}A^{u})] \rangle_{data} \\ &- \langle v[(y^{T}A^{y}) \\ \circ (u^{T}A^{u})] \rangle_{recon}) \\ A_{\tau+1}^{y} &= A_{\tau}^{y} + \alpha (\langle y[(u^{T}A^{u}) \circ (v^{T}A^{v})] \rangle_{data} \\ &- \langle y[(u^{T}A^{u}) \\ \circ (v^{T}A^{v})] \rangle_{recon}) \end{aligned}$$

$$B_{\tau+1}^{u} = B_{\tau}^{u} + \alpha(\langle u[(y^{T}B^{y}) \circ (h^{T}B^{h})] \rangle_{data}$$

$$- \langle u[(y^{T}B^{y}) \circ (h^{T}B^{h})] \rangle_{recon})$$
(7)

$$B_{\tau+1}^{h} = B_{\tau}^{h} + \alpha(\langle h[(y^{T}B^{y}) \circ (u^{T}B^{u})] \rangle_{data} - \langle h[(y^{T}B^{y}) \circ (u^{T}B^{u})] \rangle_{recon})$$
$$B_{\tau+1}^{y} = B_{\tau}^{y} + \alpha(\langle y[(u^{T}B^{u}) \circ (h^{T}B^{h})] \rangle_{data} - \langle y[(u^{T}B^{u}) \circ (h^{T}B^{h})] \rangle_{recon})$$

$$a_{\tau} + \alpha (\langle v \rangle_{data} - \langle v \rangle_{recon}) \tag{8}$$

$$b_{\tau+1} = b_{\tau} + \alpha (\langle h \rangle_{data} - \langle h \rangle_{recon})$$

 $a_{\tau+1} =$

Algorithm 1: FCRBM training procedure

```
1 Define FCRBM architecture
```

```
2 Initialize FCRBM's weights and 
biases
```

```
3 Initialize learning rate α
```

```
4 Initialize training set (U, V)
```

```
5 repeat training epoch
```

```
6 for each training instance (u(j),
v(j)) ∈ (U, V) do
```

```
7 Set visible layer of FCRBM to v(j)
```

```
8 Set history layer of FCRBM to u(j)
```

```
9 Run Markov chain in FCRBM (using
```

```
Egg. (3)- (4))
10 Update weights and biases for
```

```
FCRBM (using Egg. (5)- (8))
11 end for
```

```
11 end for
```

```
12 until converge
```

4 OUR APPROACH

Based on the results of the various articles, particularly those mentioned above, for all that is predictive analysis to improve the production of energy in electrical systems, we will start with the FCRBM model approach with some exceptions related to our vision. Simulation results will be available once the datasets are received.

4.1 **Project Context**

Deep Learning is a set of methods for machine learning, the aim of which is to model data at a high level using non-linear transformation architectures. The aim is to take advantage in the field of energy production of methods related to Deep Learning to obtain an expected optimisation of energy production. We will be particularly interested in the transport layer for said energy improvement.

4.2 Modelling Aspect

The modelling process aims to obtain an understandable result by the computer system. The final solution is a series of iterations. Several steps are necessary for this purpose. These successive steps allow to refinement of the level of details of the system to be carried out. Early steps provide vision to very large grains and advance understanding of the problem. In the current environment, the choice is based on the FCRBM model.

5 CONCLUSION

Energy forecasting is a troublesome issue since it relies on the complexity of the building's energy behavior and the uncertainty of the influencing factors, prompting incessant vacillations sought after. We embraced the Factored Conditional Restricted Boltzmann Machine "FCRBM" model since it was viable contrasted with the others in a similar class. It can withstand variances because of building engineering and warm properties of the actual materials utilized inhabitants and their climatic conditions conduct, and sub-level framework parts like lighting or HVAC (warming, ventilation, and cooling). The useful tests will approve the viability of our methodology in correlation with comparable methods.

REFERENCES

- Elena Mocanu, Phuong H. Nguyen, Madeleine Gibescu, Wil L. Kling, Title: Deep learning for estimating building energy consumption, Sustainable Energy, Grids and Networks Volume 6, June 2016, Pages 91-9.
- R. Marhoum, C. Fouhad, M. El Khaili, H. Ouajji, Contribution to Multi-Energy Flow Management for Building Energy Hub, Journal of Ubiquitous Systems and Pervasive Networks, Volume 15, No. 1 pp. 27-34, March 2021, DOI: 10.5383/JUSPN.15.01.004
- A. Costa, M.M. Keane, J.I. Torrens, E. Corry, Building operation and energy performance: Monitoring, analysis and optimization toolkit, Appl. Energy 101 (2013) 310–316. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2011.10.037.
- L. Yang, H. Yan, J.C. Lam, Thermal comfort and building energy consumption implications—A review, Appl. Energy 115 (2014) 164–173. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.10.062.
- M. Rafik, A. Bahnasse, A. Khiat, O. Bouattane, H. Ouajji, M. El khaili, Towards a Smart Energy Sharing in Micro Smart Grid Adopting SDN Approach, Procedia Computer Science,2019, Pages 717-724, ISSN 1877-0509, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2019.04.095
- M. Simoes, R. Roche, E. Kyriakides, S. Suryanarayanan, B. Blunier, K. McBee, P. Nguyen, P. Ribeiro, A. Miraoui, A comparison of smart grid technologies and progress in Europe and the US, IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl. 48 (4) (2012) 1154–1162. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TIA.2012.2199730.
- E.A. Bakirtzis, C.K. Simoglou, P.N. Biskas, D.P. Labridis, A.G. Bakirtzis, Comparison of advanced power system operations models for large-scale renewable integration, Electr. Power Syst. Res. 128 (2015) 90– 99. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2015.06.025.

- M. Krarti, Energy Audit of Building Systems: An Engineering Approach, seconded., Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Series, 2011.
- A. Foucquier, S. Robert, F. Suard, L. Stéphan, A. Jay, State of the art in building modelling and energy performances prediction: A review, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev. 23 (2013) 272–288. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.03.004.
- C.-C. Chang, C.-J. Lin, Libsvm: A library for support vector machines, ACM Trans. Intell. Syst. Technol. 2 (3) (2011) 27:1–27:27.
- (3) (2011) 27:1–27:27.
 M. Lukoševičius, H. Jaeger, Reservoir computing approaches to recurrent neural network training, Comput. Sci. Rev. 3 (3) (2009) 127–149.
- M. Lukoševičius, A practical guide to applying echo state networks, in: Neural Networks: Tricks of the Trade, in: Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 7700, 2012.

SCITEPRESS SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PUBLICATIONS