# TWIN-GRU: Twin Stream GRU Network for Action Recognition from RGB Video

Hajer Essefi<sup>1</sup>, Olfa Ben Ahmed<sup>1</sup><sup>®</sup><sup>a</sup>, Christel Bidet-Ildei<sup>2</sup><sup>®</sup><sup>b</sup>, Yannick Blandin<sup>2</sup><sup>®</sup><sup>c</sup> and Christine Fernandez-Maloigne<sup>1</sup><sup>®</sup><sup>d</sup>

<sup>1</sup>XLIM Research Institute, UMR CNRS 7252, University of Poitiers, France

<sup>2</sup>Centre de Recherches sur la Cognition et l'Apprentissage (UMR CNRS 7295), Université de Poitiers, Université de Tours, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, France

Keywords: Deep Learning, Computer Vision, Human Action Recognition, Action Perception, RGB Video.

Abstract: Human Action Recognition (HAR) is an important task for numerous computer vision applications. Recently, deep learning approaches have shown proficiency in recognizing actions in RGB video. However, existing models rely mainly on global appearance and could potentially under perform in real world applications, such as sport events and clinical applications. Refereeing to domain knowledge in how human perceive action, we hypothesis that observing the dynamic of a 2D human body joints representation extracted from RGB video frames is sufficient to recognize an action in video. Moreover, body joints contain structural information with a strong spatial (intra-frame) and temporal (inter-frame) correlation between adjacent joints. In this paper, we propose a psychology-inspired twin stream Gated Recurrent Unit network for action recognition based on the dynamic of 2D human body joints in RGB videos. The proposed model achieves a classification accuracy of 89,97% in a subject-specific experiment and outperforms the baseline method that fuses depth and inertial sensor data on the UTD-MHAD dataset. The proposed framework is more cost effective and highly competitive than depth 3D skeleton based solutions and therefore can be used outside capture motion labs for real world applications.

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

Human Action Recognition (HAR) is a hot research topic over the last decades (Hussain et al., 2019). HAR has a wide-range of potential applications such as video surveillance (Han et al., 2018), sports training (Martin et al., 2018) and reeducation and monitoring of elderly people (Ahmedt-Aristizabal et al., 2019). Traditionally, the task of HAR consists in recognizing the current human activity on basis of the perception of human body information received from environmental sensors. In the domain of biological action perception, Johansson et al. (Johansson, 1973) have shown that humans are able to recognize actions simply by the motion of some moving points of the human body. It has been proven that humans have a high sensitivity to biological motion and this sensitivity is observed at birth (Bidet-Ildei et al., 2013). These psychological findings would be helpful for designing

action recognition approaches.

With the development of motion capture systems (Ye et al., 2013), body joints can be obtained for human movement representation. However, such systems are very expensive, and require wearing a motion capture suit with markers which can hinder natural movements. In addition, motion capture systems need to be configured in order to save and correct the motion data. Moreover, in traditional motion capture environments, highly equipped labs are necessary for the procedure. Such procedure can be therefore difficult and inaccessible in certain case, such as for athletes or hospital patients who are unable to physically be present at these labs. Recently, more sophisticated depth sensors, such as Microsoft Kinect and RGB-Depth cameras are proposed for human motion capture allowing a relatively easier human skeleton extraction. However, these sensors are high sensitive to external lighting conditions, making outdoor applications potentially challenging. Yet, such devices are expensive and not always available. All these reasons restrict the applicability of depth sensors in real-world scenarios. In fact, real world applications need widely available and economics camera that can be placed in

In Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Agents and Artificial Intelligence (ICAART 2021) - Volume 2, pages 351-359 ISBN: 978-989-758-484-8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6942-2493

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4699-179X

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1773-4409

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4818-9327

Essefi, H., Ben Ahmed, O., Bidet-Ildei, C., Blandin, Y. and Fernandez-Maloigne, C. TWIN-GRU: Twin Stream GRU Network for Action Recognition from RGB Video.

DOI: 10.5220/0010324703510359

Copyright © 2021 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

the environment with minimum effort such as video surveillance systems, smartphones, personal camera, etc. Hence, recognizing action from only RGB input is highly desirable in this context.

Based on domain knowledge in human action perception (Johansson, 1973), we assume that the dynamic of a 2D human body joint representation extracted from RGB video frames can be sufficient to recognize an action in video. Hence, in this paper we propose a psychology inspired approach for human action recognition for real word videos and outside the motion capture lab (hospitals, schools, etc). We investigate the intra-frame structural body joints information and the inter-frame joints motion to describe an action. The proposed method is based on twin stream Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) network that learns the temporal and the spatial information of an action. Proposed features in this paper are the pairwise relative locations and distances between body joints. A logistic regression based stacking method is used to fuse the twin stream decisions in order to give the final prediction of the action. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents a brief literature review on most common and recent action recognition approaches. Section 3 details the proposed action recognition framework. Section 4 presents results and discussion. Finally, Section 5 concludes the work and gives some future directions.

# 2 RELATED WORK

With the development of sensor technology and the great success of deep learning approaches in computer vision applications, action can be recognized by learning the pattern of the collected data. In this section, we present and discuss recent works in deep learning-based action recognition methods for skeleton and RGB data.

**Skeleton-based Approaches.** Actions are understood as episodic examples of human dynamics that have starting and ending temporal points. The dynamic of human skeleton can be naturally represented by a time series of human joint locations in the form of 2D or 3D coordinates. Recently, a group of works have sought to encode this skeletal coordinates into 2D images and then fed them to a pre-trained Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) for action classification. For instance, in (Aubry et al., 2019; Laraba et al., 2017), the authors convert the extracted skeleton motion into an RGB image before going into the neural network which classifies the action. In the same idea, Ke et al. (Ke et al., 2017) suggest a new

ing spatio-temporal information. Liu et al. (Liu et al., 2019) propose to present 3D skeleton into a clip incorporating multiple frames with different spatial relationships. Wang et al. (Wang et al., 2016) encode joint trajectories into texture images and utilized HSV space to represent the temporal information. Hou et al. (Hou et al., 2016) adopt Skeleton Optical Spectra (SOS) to encode dynamic spatial-temporal information. Later, Ding et al. (Zewei Ding et al., 2017) propose an approach for encoding five spatial skeleton features into images with different encoding methods. However, this group of skeleton encoding approaches do not take into account the different ways in which skeleton joints can be arranged to form an image. In addition, it is inevitable to lose temporal information during the encoding and hence it would be hard for a CNN to effectively capture the dynamic information of a skeleton sequence using image-based representation. In order to represent such motion-based dynamics of the skeleton data and the temporal evolution of the joints, an other group of skeleton-based method used Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN)s to model the long-term context information across the temporal dimension. RNNs model the contextual dependency in the temporal domain, and have been successfully applied to processing sequential data with variable length such as language modeling and video analysis (Mandic and Chambers, 2001). For example, Zhao et al. (Zhao et al., 2017) combine RNN with CNN in a voting approach in order to learn the dynamics of visual features for action detection. Du et al. (Du et al., 2015) propose an hierarchical RNN, which is fed with manually divided five groups of the human skeleton, such as two hands, two legs, and one torso. Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), modified RNNs that attempts to solve the vanishing gradient problem, have beed mainly used for action recognition using 2D/3D skeleton data. Liu et al. (Liu et al., 2017) propose a global context-aware attention LSTM, for skeleton-based action recognition, which is capable of selectively focusing on the informative joints in each frame. Zhu et al. (Zhu et al., 2016) propose an end-to-end fully connected LSTM network that learns feature co-occurrences from the skeleton joints through a designed regularization. Shahroudy et al. (Shahroudy et al., 2016) develop a part-aware LSTM model, which is fed with separated five parts of skeleton for action recognition. However, LSTMs are mostly suffering from complexity of the networks and high number of parameters exploding gradient problem. Recently, Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) has been introduced (Zhou et al., 2016) and it has proved to

representation of skeleton data by encoding the 3D

coordinates (x, y, z) into a clip of grey images contain-

be be less prone to overfitting on some small datasets since it only has two gates while LSTM has three. In our work we use GRU to model the dynamics of action. Most the aforementioned approaches use data coming from depth camera which present several drawbacks as mentioned in section 1 and therefore they are not practical for real-world applications.

RGB-based Approaches. Convolution Neural Networks are used to extract and learn visual features from RGB data for recognizing human actions in videos. Ji et al. (Ji et al., 2012) have been primarily applied CNN on two-dimensional data (2D-CNN) in which these models compute features from the spatial dimensions only. Later, several approaches have been proposed in order to incorporate the temporal information into CNNs. For instance, in (Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014), the authors develop a two-stream ConvNet architecture that captures the complementary information on appearance from still frames and motion between frames. In order, to add the temporal information for action description, the 2D convolution has been extended to the spatiotemporal domain for better analysis of human activities in videos. For example, (Ji et al., 2012; Arunnehru et al., 2018) use 3D CNN for action recognition. However, the 3D CNN involves many more parameters than the 2D CNN. Thus, it is much more expensive on computation, costly on storage, and difficult to learn. An other set of approaches use RNN and LSTM to capture the temporal information by learning the temporal dependencies of the CNN extracted features from pre-trained networks (Li et al., 2017b; Ullah et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2017; Ouyang et al., 2019). The issue with the RGB-based visual approach is that it is difficult to extract useful information from dense and high dimensional data such as videos/images. Existing models are generally very deep, requiring large amounts of data to train effectively. Moreover, they rely mainly on global appearance and could potentially under perform in singleenvironment applications, such as a sports events. Compared to the RGB data, skeleton data are robust to illumination changes and background noise. Yet, existing RGB and skeleton-based methods in the literature do not exploit the spatial relationships among the joints, which are crucial for understanding human actions (Vemulapalli et al., 2014). In this paper, in addition to the temporal information we investigate the structural relationship between joints. Recent studies showed how accurate and reliable 2D skeletons can be generated even by using a single RGB camera (Cao et al., 2018), thus overcoming many of the limitations of previously reported methods. Hence, recognizing action using 2D skeleton data extracted from only RGB image data will combine the advantages of both skeleton and RGB based approaches in one approach. In this paper, we propose to classify human actions from RGB-only streams to make our approach most amenable to ordinary cameras and thus to real word applications.

# 3 TWIN STREAM GRU MODEL FOR ACTION RECOGNITION

In this section we present the proposed action recognition framework. As illustrated by Figure 1, the general framework consists first in estimating the main joints of the human body in video sequence and then learning the dynamic pattern of those joints for action prediction. In order to explore the temporal dynamics of joints sequences we use twin stream GRU model: **Temporal 2D joints stream** and the **Spatial dynamics stream**. The first one models the temporal dynamics of the 2D human body joints coordinates. The second one captures the motion patterns embedded in the joint-joint distance evolution over time.



Figure 1: Flowchart of the proposed twin stream GRU model for action recognition in videos using extracted 2D coordinates from RGB videos.



Figure 2: Illustration of the proposed features : (a) joints detection (b) 2D joints locations (c) joint-joint distances (joints connections).

### 3.1 Features Extraction

The feature extraction step consists in extracting 2D positions of the human body key points (joints) from video frames. As illustrated by Figure 2, two types of features are computed: the "2D joints locations" (b) and the "joint-joint distances" (c). The first one presents the inter-frame joints temporal information (between consecutive frames) and the second one presents the intra-frame structural joints information (between adjacent joints in the same frame)

#### 3.1.1 2D Joints Locations

The action of a person can be described by a series of articulated human poses represented by the 2D coordinates of joints over time. In order to extract the body joints, we used a deep-learning based method for human pose estimation (Cao et al., 2018). The latter is based on a bottom-up approach, where the body parts are first detected, then assembled to form a skeleton. This method is easy to apply in indoor and outdoor environment and thus suitable for real world applications. Using the COCO pre-trained model, we extract 18 joints from the RGB video frames. We note the obtained 2D joints locations features JL of an action a as follows :

 $JL_a = [P_a(t)]_{t \in T_a}, P_a(t) = \{(x_j(t), y_j(t))_a, j \in J\}.$ 

 $P_a(t)$  represents the  $a^{th}$  sample pose at time (frame) t and  $T_a$  represents the time length of sample a. In particular,  $P_a(t)$  consists of a list of 2D coordinates, namely:  $P_a(t) = \{(x_j(t), y_j(t))\}_a$ . Where j denotes the landmark index and J is the landmarks set defined by the pose detector mapping. In our case, J = 1, ..., 18.  $P_a(t)$  are arranged in a chronological order to present the joint coordinate evolution over time forming an action.

Since the joints estimation is done frame per frame and the extracted 2D information can be noisy and present some jitter in the data which can bias the action recognition process. Additional post-processing is applied to fill missing joints using linear interpolation of neighboring frames. Then, for data cleaning, the missing values are substituted with previous non-missing values, and a 13-point quadratic (order 2) polynomial low pass Savitzky-Golay (S-G) filter (Savitzky and Golay, 1964) is applied for denoising. Applying the S-G filter on raw skeletal data helps reduce the level of noise while maintaining the 2D geometric characteristics of the input sequences.

#### 3.1.2 Joint-joint Distance

Human action is accomplished in coordination with each part of the body. Indeed, human body can be considered as an articulated system of rigid segments connected by joints (See Figure 2 (c)). When an action takes place, this segment length illustrated by the distance between joints vary. Structural relation between joints of the same body over time modulates the articulations evolution and thus build a signatures for the dynamic.

In order to improve the dynamic of moving points over time, we propose to add the evolution of distances between body joints. Hence, we consider that an action is defined as an evolution of the joint-joint distances and thus actions are represented by a set of distances. Intuitively, the temporal dependency that we are looking at is the variation of the distance between different parts of the body. For instance, the evolution of the distance between the elbow and hip, wrist and head, wrist and shoulder etc. When we perform an action, these distances change throughout time in a distinguishable manner from one action to another. Moreover, the magnitude of displacement (the computed distance) of joint over frame can inform us about the speed of the motion. This distance is computed between two joints in the same frame. Hence, each pose is described by a Joint-Joint distance features computed as follows:

$$D_a = [D_a(t)]_{t \in T_a}$$
 with

 $D_a(t) = \{Dist(Jo_1, Jo_2), Jo_1, Jo_2 \in J, Jo_1 \neq Jo_2\}.$  *Dist* is the Euclidean distance between 2D joints  $Jo_1$  and  $Jo_2$ .  $D_a(t)$  can be seen as the amount of displacement of a set of joints between time t - 1and t. We note that t > 0. To reduce the redundancy, we remove duplicated features from  $D_a(t)$  due to symmetry  $(Dist(Jo_2, Jo_1))$  and  $Dist(Jo_1, Jo_2)$  are symmetric)

# 3.2 Learning Action in a Twin Stream GRU Network

In this work, human action is described by a series of time sequences of joint coordinate positions and joint-joint distances illustrated respectively by the  $D_a$  and

 $JL_a$  features. In order to learn the temporal context of those sequences and model their temporal dynamics we use a twin stream GRU architecture. The first stream tracks and learns the variation of each joint throughout both the X and Y axis over time. The second one learns the joint-joint distances variation between consecutive frames over time.

GRU (Cho et al., 2014) is a recent generation of RNN designed to overcome the vanishing gradient problem from which RNNs suffer. In fact, the gradient being the value used to update a neural network's weight shrinks as it back propagates through time, making it insignificant to the training. In addition, GRU uses fewer parameters and so it is faster to train. It ensures long-term dependencies using its two gates.

Features from a human action video of length  $T_a$  can be seen as an input sequence  $f = (f_1, ..., f_T)$  where for each  $f_t$  we aim to provide action activation  $h_t$ , forming the output  $h = (h_1, ..., h_T)$ . We consider  $f_t$  is the action feature at time frame t.  $f_t$  can be the set of 2D joints coordinates  $P_a(t)_{t \in T_a}$  or the joint-joint distances  $D_a(t)$ . The GRU cell takes as input features  $f_t$  at time step t together with the output  $h_{t-1}$  at the previous time step t - 1. To generate such output, we investigate GRU as defined below:

$$\begin{cases} z_{t} = \sigma(W_{z}f_{t} + U_{z}h_{t-1} + b_{z}) \\ r_{t} = \sigma(W_{z}f_{t} + U_{r}h_{t-1} + b_{r}) \\ h_{t} = z_{t} \odot h_{t-1} + (1 - z_{t}) \odot \\ tanh(W_{h}f_{t} + U_{h}(r_{t} \odot h_{t-1}) + b_{h}) \end{cases}$$
(1)

Here,  $z_t$  and  $r_t$  are respectively the update and the reset gates respectively. The update gate decides what information to forget from previous state, and what information to keep from the current input. The Reset gate decides which information to 'forget'. The twin stream GRU model is trained using the cross entropy loss.

The decisions of the two streams are fused using a stacking approach (Sewell, 2008). The predictions of the twin stream are given as inputs to a second stage learning model. Indeed, this different model is used to train these predictions. Here we use logistic regression to train the predictions from the twin stream. Final action prediction is given by the trained logistic regression model.

# 4 EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

In this section we present the dataset used for evaluation as well as the results presentation and discussion.

#### 4.1 UTD-MHAD Dataset

The dataset used to test our proposed framework, is the UTD MHAD dataset (Chen et al., 2015). The dataset was created for use in algorithms for action classification using different modality sensors. Four temporally synchronized modalities are available to download: Depth videos, skeleton positions and inertial data collected using a kinect device along with a wearable sensor. The kinect camera was used for the capturing of color images (video) with a resolution of 640x480 pixels and a 16-bit depth image with a resolution of 320x240 pixels. The frame rate is 30 frames per second. The wearable inertial sensor was used to record the inertial sensor signals of the movements. The dataset is made of 27 different actions, 8 different subjects performing the actions, 4 females and 4 males with 4 repetitions of each action. The 27 actions are: right arm swipe to the left, right arm swipe to the right, right hand wave, two hand front clap, right arm throw, cross arms in the chest, basketball shoot, right hand draw X, right hand draw circle (clockwise), right hand draw circle (counterclockwise), draw triangle, bowling (right hand), front boxing, baseball swing from right, tennis right hand forehand swing, arm curl (two arms), tennis serve, two hand push, right hand knock on door, right hand catch an object, right hand pick up and throw, jogging in place, walking in place, sit to stand, stand to sit, forward lunge (left foot forward), squat (two arms stretch out). Figure 3 presents examples of actions from this dataset.



Figure 3: Example of videos from UTD MHAD dataset.

## 4.2 Model Setting and Training

In the proposed Twin stream network, each stream is composed of two GRU layers. We found out experimentally that adding more layers does not improve our network results. Each GRU layer is followed by a ReLU activation function. In order to ovoid overfitting, a dropout layer is added between the two GRU layers, with a probability of 0.3. Network weights were initialized with an Xavier initialization. Classification is done using a Fully-Connected (FC) layer followed by a softmax activation function and trained with cross-entropy loss. In the GRU, we fix the time step to 32. Training is done using the Adam Optimizer with an initial learning rate of 0.001. We use mini batches of size 32, and we train our model up to 1000 epochs. For the training/testing data split we followed the original paper's cross-subject protocol. The data we have is split on training and test data according in the following manner: Subjects 1,3,5 and 7 are used, for training while subjects 2,4,6 and 8 are used for testing.

### 4.3 **Results and Discussion**

Table 1: Accuracy results on the test set of the UTD MHAD dataset with the cross-subject splitting protocol.

Model	Accuracy	
Temporal 2D joints stream	85,3 %	
Spatial dynamics stream	81,56 %	
Twin stream	89,97%	

Table 1 presents the obtained classification accuracies for the two streams (Temporal 2D joints and Spatial dynamics) as well as for the Twin stream model. We've obtained respectively an accuracy of 85, 3%, 81,56% and 89,97% on the test data. The model stacking improves the classification results by 4,6%.

We plot the confusion matrix in Figure 4. The UTD-MHAD dataset is much challenging compared with other state of the art datasets. Nevertheless, we can see that 7 out of 27 actions in the dataset are classified with 100% accuracy and 9 other actions are classified with an accuracy more than 90%. In particular, the model success in distinguishing between jog and walk actions which are the most challenging classes. Indeed, the magnitude of displacement of joint over frame illustrated by the joint-joint distance features can inform us about the speed of the motion and thus help distinguishing similar action such as jog and walk. We can see also that the model struggles to distinguish between certain actions more than others. For example, the actions draw circle and draw triangle are missclassified this can be explained by the fact that one body part is moving and hence no joint-joint distance information was used by the model to learn those actions.

Moreover, we conduct a preliminary psychological experiment on 15 participants (mean age 19 years old). Participants are asked to recognize visually 19 actions (walk, jog, crouch, turn, stand up, sweep, hand draw, etc.) presented as an animated sequences of 3D joints obtained using a motion capture (mocap) system and second as 2D joints extracted from the corresponding RGB videos using our method. Obtained statistic results show that participants success to recognize 19 actions from the 2D data and the 3D data with accuracies respectively of  $62.9 \pm 9\%$  and  $62.5 \pm 9.9\%$ . Therefore, perceiving 2D human joints movement from only RGB frames is sufficient to recognize an action.

### 4.4 Comparison with State-of-the-Art

Table 2 presents the results obtained on the UTD-MHAD for action recognition, and its comparison with some other methods in the literature. We compare our method to depth and 3D-skeleton-based state of the art methods. As we can see, our method surpasses the baseline results on the UTD-MHAD dataset (Chen et al., 2015). The latter used multimodal data, including depth and inertia where our model only uses 2D skeleton data extracted from RGB videos. We improve the UTD-MHAD kinekt baseline by 23.87% and the UTD-MHAD inertial baseline by 22.77% and even the fusion by 10,8%. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one work that use the RGB data to recognize action on the MHAD dataset (McNally et al., 2018). The authors of this work focus on transforming the positions or trajectories of skeleton joints into images and then adapting CNN for classification. They reported an accuracy of 76,1% which is lower by 13,87% that ours. We can conclude from Table 2 that our method surpass most of the state-of-art 3D-based skeleton approaches. In addition, dealing with the extracted 3D points requires significant time and memory consumption where in our work training takes 2,6 minutes on a simple CPU computer and prediction takes 4,8 seconds which makes it suitable even for realtime applications. Hence, the proposed RGB-only scheme is more cost effective and highly competitive than depth and 3D-skeleton based solutions and therefore can be used outside capture motion labs for real world applications. The used 2D skeletons extracted from RGB video allows the use of the proposed approach in both indoor and outdoor environment.

## 5 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose an action recognition framework that uses only 2D body joints extracted from RGB videos. The proposed framework learns the intra-frame structural body joints information and the inter-frame joints motion in a twin stream GRU net-



Figure 4: Confusion matrix of the twin models.

Table 2: Comparison with state-of-the-art approaches on the UTD-MHAD dataset using cross-subjects protocol.

Work	Data	Accuracy	
Baseline Kinect (Chen et al., 2015)	Depth	66.1%	
Baseline Intertial (Chen et al., 2015)	Depth	67.2%	
Kinect+Inertial (Chen et al., 2015)	Depth	79.1%	
(Weiyao et al., 2019)	Depth	88,7%	
(Hussein et al., 2013)	3D Skeleton	85.6 %	
(Hou et al., 2016)	3D Skeleton	86.97%	
(Wang et al., 2016)	3D Skeleton	85.81 %	
(Li et al., 2017a)	3D Skeleton	88.10 %	EATIONS
(McNally et al., 2018)	RGB	76.1%	
Twin stream (Ours)	RGB	89,97 %	

work. The effectiveness of our model is demonstrated through experiments on the UTD-MHAD benchmark datasets. We achieved a classification accuracy of 89,97% in a subject-specific experiment. The proposed method outperforms the baseline method that fuses depth and inertial sensor data. In our future works we will add more psychology-inspired features to the framework in order to boost the classification accuracy and test the approaches on clinical dataset for patient activities recognition.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Support for this research was provided by a grant from La Région Nouvelle Aquitaine (CPER-FEDER P-2017- BAFE-68), in partnership with the European Union (FEDER/ ERDF, European Regional Development Fund).

## REFERENCES

- Ahmedt-Aristizabal, D., Denman, S., Nguyen, K., Sridharan, S., Dionisio, S., and Fookes, C. (2019). Understanding patients' behavior: Vision-based analysis of seizure disorders. *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics*, 23(6):2583–2591.
- Arunnehru, J., Chamundeeswari, G., and Bharathi, S. P. (2018). Human action recognition using 3d convolutional neural networks with 3d motion cuboids in surveillance videos. *Procedia computer science*, 133:471–477.
- Aubry, S., Laraba, S., Tilmanne, J., and Dutoit, T. (2019). Action recognition based on 2d skeletons extracted from rgb videos. In *MATEC Web of Conferences*, volume 277, page 02034. EDP Sciences.
- Bidet-Ildei, C., Kitromilides, E., Orliaguet, J.-P., Pavlova, M., and Gentaz, E. (2013). Preference for pointlight human biological motion in newborns: Contribution of translational displacement. *Developmental psychology*, 50.

- Cao, Z., Hidalgo, G., Simon, T., Wei, S.-E., and Sheikh, Y. (2018). Openpose: realtime multi-person 2d pose estimation using part affinity fields. arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.08008.
- Chen, C., Jafari, R., and Kehtarnavaz, N. (2015). Utd-mhad: A multimodal dataset for human action recognition utilizing a depth camera and a wearable inertial sensor. In 2015 IEEE International conference on image processing (ICIP), pages 168–172. IEEE.
- Cho, K., Van Merriënboer, B., Gulcehre, C., Bahdanau, D., Bougares, F., Schwenk, H., and Bengio, Y. (2014). Learning phrase representations using rnn encoderdecoder for statistical machine translation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.1078.
- Du, Y., Wang, W., and Wang, L. (2015). Hierarchical recurrent neural network for skeleton based action recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1110– 1118.
- Han, Y., Zhang, P., Zhuo, T., Huang, W., and Zhang, Y. (2018). Going deeper with two-stream convnets for action recognition in video surveillance. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 107:83–90.
- Hou, Y., Li, Z., Wang, P., and Li, W. (2016). Skeleton optical spectra-based action recognition using convolutional neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits* and Systems for Video Technology, 28(3):807–811.
- Hussain, Z., Sheng, M., and Zhang, W. E. (2019). Different approaches for human activity recognition: A survey. arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.05074.
- Hussein, M. E., Torki, M., Gowayyed, M. A., and El-Saban, M. (2013). Human action recognition using a temporal hierarchy of covariance descriptors on 3d joint locations. In *Twenty-Third International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence.*
- Ji, S., Xu, W., Yang, M., and Yu, K. (2012). 3d convolutional neural networks for human action recognition. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 35(1):221–231.
- Johansson, G. (1973). Visual perception of biological motion and a model for its analysis. *Perception & psychophysics*, 14(2):201–211.
- Ke, Q., Bennamoun, M., An, S., Sohel, F., and Boussaid, F. (2017). A new representation of skeleton sequences for 3d action recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3288–3297.
- Laraba, S., Brahimi, M., Tilmanne, J., and Dutoit, T. (2017). 3d skeleton-based action recognition by representing motion capture sequences as 2d-rgb images. *Computer Animation and Virtual Worlds*, 28(3-4):e1782.
- Li, C., Hou, Y., Wang, P., and Li, W. (2017a). Joint distance maps based action recognition with convolutional neural networks. *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 24(5):624–628.
- Li, C., Wang, P., Wang, S., Hou, Y., and Li, W. (2017b). Skeleton-based action recognition using lstm and cnn. In 2017 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia & Expo Workshops (ICMEW), pages 585–590. IEEE.

- Liu, J., Akhtar, N., and Mian, A. (2019). Skepxels: Spatio-temporal image representation of human skeleton joints for action recognition. In CVPR Workshops.
- Liu, J., Wang, G., Duan, L.-Y., Abdiyeva, K., and Kot, A. C. (2017). Skeleton-based human action recognition with global context-aware attention lstm networks. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 27(4):1586–1599.
- Mandic, D. and Chambers, J. (2001). *Recurrent neural networks for prediction: learning algorithms, architectures and stability.* Wiley.
- Martin, P.-E., Benois-Pineau, J., Péteri, R., and Morlier, J. (2018). Sport action recognition with siamese spatiotemporal cnns: Application to table tennis. In 2018 International Conference on Content-Based Multimedia Indexing (CBMI), pages 1–6. IEEE.
- McNally, W., Wong, A., and McPhee, J. (2018). Action recognition using deep convolutional neural networks and compressed spatio-temporal pose encodings. *Journal of Computational Vision and Imaging Systems*, 4(1):3–3.
- Ouyang, X., Xu, S., Zhang, C., Zhou, P., Yang, Y., Liu, G., and Li, X. (2019). A 3d-cnn and lstm based multitask learning architecture for action recognition. *IEEE Access*, 7:40757–40770.
- Savitzky, A. and Golay, M. J. (1964). Smoothing and differentiation of data by simplified least squares procedures. *Analytical chemistry*, 36(8):1627–1639.
- Sewell, M. (2008). Ensemble learning. RN, 11(02).
- Shahroudy, A., Liu, J., Ng, T.-T., and Wang, G. (2016). Ntu rgb+ d: A large scale dataset for 3d human activity analysis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1010– 1019.
- Simonyan, K. and Zisserman, A. (2014). Two-stream convolutional networks for action recognition in videos. In Advances in neural information processing systems, pages 568–576.
- Ullah, A., Ahmad, J., Muhammad, K., Sajjad, M., and Baik, S. W. (2017). Action recognition in video sequences using deep bi-directional lstm with cnn features. *IEEE Access*, 6:1155–1166.
- Vemulapalli, R., Arrate, F., and Chellappa, R. (2014). Human action recognition by representing 3d skeletons as points in a lie group. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 588–595.
- Wang, P., Li, Z., Hou, Y., and Li, W. (2016). Action recognition based on joint trajectory maps using convolutional neural networks. In *Proceedings of the 24th* ACM international conference on Multimedia, pages 102–106.
- Weiyao, X., Muqing, W., Min, Z., Yifeng, L., Bo, L., and Ting, X. (2019). Human action recognition using multilevel depth motion maps. *IEEE Access*, 7:41811– 41822.
- Ye, M., Zhang, Q., Wang, L., Zhu, J., Yang, R., and Gall, J. (2013). A survey on human motion analysis from depth data. In *Time-of-flight and depth imaging. sensors, algorithms, and applications*, pages 149–187. Springer.

- Zewei Ding, Pichao Wang, Ogunbona, P. O., and Wanqing Li (2017). Investigation of different skeleton features for cnn-based 3d action recognition. In 2017 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia Expo Workshops (ICMEW), pages 617–622.
- Zhao, R., Ali, H., and Van der Smagt, P. (2017). Twostream rnn/cnn for action recognition in 3d videos. In 2017 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), pages 4260–4267. IEEE.
- Zhou, G.-B., Wu, J., Zhang, C.-L., and Zhou, Z.-H. (2016). Minimal gated unit for recurrent neural networks. *International Journal of Automation and Computing*, 13(3):226–234.
- Zhu, W., Lan, C., Xing, J., Zeng, W., Li, Y., Shen, L., and Xie, X. (2016). Co-occurrence feature learning for skeleton based action recognition using regularized deep lstm networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.07772.

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PUBLICATIONS