A Vehicle Telematics Service for Driving Style Detection: Implementation and Privacy Challenges

Christian Kaiser¹¹¹⁰^a, Alexander Stocker¹¹⁰^b, Andreas Festl¹, Marija Djokic Petrovic¹, Efi Papatheocharous², Anders Wallberg², Gonzalo Ezquerro³, Jordi Ortigosa Orbe³, Tom Szilagyi⁴

and Michael Fellmann⁴

¹Virtual Vehicle Research GmbH, Graz, Austria ²RISE (Research Institutes of Sweden), Kista, Sweden ³JIG, Logroño, Spain ⁴University of Rostock, Rostock, Germany

Keywords: Automotive, Connected Vehicles, Data-driven Services, Vehicle Telematics Service, Privacy, Trust, Cloud Computing, Mobile Driver Application, Quantified Vehicles.

Abstract: Connected mobility is not only a future market, but also holds great innovation potential. The analysis of vehicle telematics data in the cloud enables novel data-driven services for several stakeholders, e.g. a mobile application for the driver to obtain his driving style. This inevitably leads to privacy concerns and the question why and when are users willing to share driving telematic data, which we addressed in an empirical study. The paper presents an implementation of a data-driven service based on vehicle telematics data and discusses how privacy issues can be tackled. For the data-driven service, the most interesting steps along the vehicle data value chain are described in detail, firstly (i) vehicle telematics data collection, secondly, (ii) the wireless data transfer to a cloud platform, and thirdly, (iii) pre-processing and data analysis to evaluate the drivers' driving style and analyse the driving risk. Finally, (iv) a smartphone application for drivers presents driving style and driving risk data on the smartphone in an interactive way, so that the driver can work on improving both, which has a positive effect on driving and road safety.

1 INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Increasing road safety is a major worldwide challenge. Though road safety in the EU has improved in the last decades, still more than 25.000 people have lost their lives on EU roads in 2017 (European Commission, 2018). Harsh driving remains one of the major causes of accidents. A report from the NSTSCE (Camden et al., 2015) lists violating speed limits, excessive speed and lateral acceleration on curves, unplanned lane departures, frequent hard braking, close following distances, lateral encroachment, failure to yield at intersections, and general disobedience of the road rules as risky driving behaviour. The NSTSCE report continues that a reduction in such risky driving should lead to a reduction in accidents and related deaths and injuries. Hence, making harsh and risky driving better visible to drivers and other stakeholders such as traffic planners or public authorities is a useful tool to develop better strategies for road safety improvement. In order to make it visible, vehicle telematics data of so-called Quantified Vehicles (Stocker et al, 2017) provides the baseline of data needed for the analysis. However, in the current age of glass people, the road to total monitoring, such as automated penalties, is not far away. Hence, privacy and trust are among research relevant topics in that field (Kaiser et al., 2018) and must be achieved to get drivers to join in.

In the following sections, the paper presents an empirical study on vehicle telematics data sharing which results into a preliminary model of the

Kaiser, C., Stocker, A., Festl, A., Petrovic, M., Papatheocharous, E., Wallberg, A., Ezquerro, G., Orbe, J., Szilagyi, T. and Fellmann, M. A Vehicle Telematics Service for Driving Style Detection: Implementation and Privacy Challenges. DOI: 10.5220/0009329400290036

In Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Vehicle Technology and Intelligent Transport Systems (VEHITS 2020), pages 29-36 ISBN: 978-989-758-419-0

^a https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5738-766X

^b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3758-1617

Copyright (© 2020 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

willingness to share data and five privacy levels that users would like to have to choose from. Although there is empirical evidence in the literature on actors of a service ecosystem (e.g. Kaiser at al., 2019b) and the value-adding steps, descriptions of concrete implementations are still missing. Hence, an actual implementation of a vehicle telematics service is described afterwards, by outlining the required data acquisition, the data analytics process from data collection, the data computing in the cloud, and data use within an information system running on a smartphone developed along the steps of the so called Vehicle Data Value Chain (Kaiser et al., 2019a). The paper concludes with a discussion of the results and their benefits to drivers and other stakeholders and a brief outlook.

2 EMPIRICAL STUDY ON PRIVACY IN VEHICLE DATA SHARING

For a long time, the industry was told that one would have large data treasures lying around if one only had to lift them. That this is not the case is shown by many practical examples where it is found that large amounts of data are available but not the right data to derive profitable findings. The situation is similar with vehicle telematics data: Exciting applications require big amounts of detailed data from a range of vehicles and drivers. Unfortunately, after several scandals in recent years where data was stolen or misused, many users lost their basic trust and are now more sensitive about who they give the data to.

To investigate background in this field, we conducted a literature review and came up with the search string *"Data Sharing" OR "Data Sharing Theories" AND (Automotive OR Automobile OR Vehicle OR Car OR "Vehicle Data")*, which we applied to popular scientific search engines (SCOPUS, Google scholar, AISel) to identify 16 relevant results with data sharing theories. As a summary, the majority of the 16 papers focus on technologies and application possibilities and give just little insights why someone would or would not share his driving data.

In a next step, models and theories widely used for technology acceptance were investigated by the authors. However, neither the *Technology Acceptance Model* (e.g. TAM3) (Venkatesh and Bala, 2008), nor the three theories *Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology* (e.g. UTAUT2) (Venkatesh et al., 2012), the *Theory of Reasoned Action* (TRA) (Fishbein and Ajzen, 1975), or the *Theory of Planned Behavior* (TPB) (Ajzen, 1991) seem to fit ideally. In contrast, Ju and Mou (2018) show in their research model hypotheses that the willingness to disclose personal information depends not only on *Controls*, e.g. age or gender, which influence willingness, but also on the *Costs* and *Rewards* for disclosing privacy, an interesting approach.

Based on the literature analysis, two of the authors compared their practical knowledge with the abovementioned models and theories, and finally derived their own model, which is described in the following.

2.1 A Data Sharing Willingness Model

The authors found out, that the *willingness to share* vehicle data depends on the intended usage, which in turn depends on a mix of Benefits and Efforts, as visualized in Figure 1. Per intended usage, different benefits have a positive effect and can range from self-awareness, optimization, rewards, image, comfort to predictive maintenance and thus tempt a potential user to consider sharing vehicle telematics data for the intended usage. In contrast, per intended usage, different efforts have a negative effect, e.g. (acquisition), the technical effort for costs installation, ongoing expenses (operation, mobile phone costs), irritation through advertising/spam and lower privacy speak against a use.



Figure 1: A preliminary model of the willingness to share data, e.g. vehicle telematics data.

On this basis, we conducted an empirical online survey, which was distributed to members of the Faculty of Computer Science at the University of Rostock and to researchers at Virtual Vehicle Research GmbH. With the 42 survey participants, we tried to find out whether someone would pass on their vehicle telematics data, for which application cases they would do so, and whether they would change this situationally, for example to block data transfer in certain periods of time. For the situational adaptation of the data transfer, it was particularly interesting for us how many levels there should be here. Levels can range from, e.g. a binary level system that is either on or off, up to a fine-granular system with several levels which offer anonymization options and forwarding for selected service providers/services only.



Figure 2: Empirical result: privacy levels for vehicle data sharing.

2.2 Empirical Results

Although the average of the 42 study participants proposed to provide four privacy levels (average 3.97, standard deviation 1.15) and described them, the two researchers who analyzed the results and synthesized the individual statements into the model shown in Figure 2 detected five privacy levels, as only five levels include all viewpoints mentioned, namely contractual services vs. open services, anonymized data vs. not-anonymized data, private usage vs. public usage. However, if it has to be four levels, then the privacy levels *Private Usage* and *Anonymized Usage* can be merged, as this aspect has the lowest priority. The individual levels are described in the following.

Level *No Usage* does not allow any collection or sharing of vehicle telematics data, and thus prevents any services.

Level *Private Usage* uses collected vehicle telematics data locally in the vehicle to create e.g. statistics on driving behavior, which only the driver/owner can see in order to interpret and optimize oneself. However, no data is shared with any third parties, thus no services, other than installed services in the vehicle, can be used.

Level *Anonymized Usage* includes the services installed in the vehicle, and additionally sends small amounts, e.g. statistics or histograms, of anonymized data to chosen third-party services. The driver can not be identified, due to anonymization, e.g. location data is not shared.

Level *Limited Usage* is intended to optimize traffic for everybody, thus road specific data like traffic jams, potholes, accidents, slipping wheels, etc., is shared with other drivers on this road through a service. Hence, also a bigger amount of vehicle telematics data is shared, but still not all of them. and again, anonymized for third-party services.

Level *Public Usage* does not restrict data transfer – all data will be shared using a proper sampling rate per signal (perhaps on demand). Third parties will be able to use this data without anonymization, e.g. to enable the comparison between friends or services which analyze regional differences in driving behavior.

The survey participants also were asked to state, how interested they are in sharing their data for a particular domain, ranging from 1 (not likely) to 5 (very likely). In general, the survey participants' willingness to share their vehicle telematics data for each domain (c.f. Table 1) were lower than in their interest. To summarize, the majority would provide data for traffic improvement and emergency services, while all the other mentioned domains would have to offer an individual added value (benefit) so that users give their data for it.

Domain	Average (1 to 5)	Standard deviation
Community games	1.64	1.06
Automobile club	1.86	1.18
Pay as you drive insurance	2.02	1.33
Weather detection	2.98	1.56
Services for drivers	2.74	1.43
Vehicle improvement	2.86	1.41
Public governance	2.86	1.39
Research (novel services)	3.29	1.49
Traffic improvement	3.67	1.44
Emergency services	4.00	1.40

Table 1: How willing are survey participants to share their data for a given set of domains.

Since different privacy levels lead to an increased effort for the service development if one offers a reduced solution for the privacy levels Private Usage, Anonymized Usage and Limited Usage, functionalities for setting privacy levels are difficult to find or not implemented at all in reality, although the customers would approve of this.

Hence, in the following sections, we show how selected steps of an actual implementation approach of a vehicle telematics service for the driver can be done, and thereby reflect where and how privacy levels have to be taken into account.

3 VEHICLE TELEMATICS SERVICE IMPLEMENTATION

In order to develop a smartphone application prototype which informs the driver about his recent driving style, several steps along a vehicle data value chain are involved and thus explained in the following subsections, to provide an overview of complexity and dependencies. According to (Kaiser et al., 2019a), the value chain consists of the steps *Data Generation*, *Data Acquisition*, *Data Pre-Processing*, *Data Analysis*, *Data Storage* and *Data Usage*.

In the following implementation example, the Vehicle Data Logger (*Data Acquisition*) collects data generated by vehicle sensors from the vehicle's bus system via the OBD interface and additional data generated from sensors at the logging device (*Data Generation*). A Cloud Platform receives the data and acts as temporary raw data storage and platform for data pre-processing and *Data Analysis*), e.g. use of an algorithm to detect harsh brake events. The processing results are then stored permanently (*Data Storage*) and provided to end users in a proper form (*Data Usage*), e.g. using a smartphone application.

Privacy should play a role in data acquisition, so that only authorized data is collected. Per privacy level, different services are made possible with the data, meaning that individual data pre-processing and data analysis processes are needed per privacy level.

In our service, the driver wants to learn about his driving style, e.g. get a score per trip which indicates if it was good (100), bad (0) or somewhere inbetween, and wants to be able to check where events like harsh braking or harsh accelerating have been detected. While event detection and route recording can be done locally in the vehicle with a low privacy level, at least privacy level *Anonymized Usage* is needed to calculate the driving score, as in this case the amount of events are compared with the data from other drivers.

3.1 Vehicle Data Logger

The first building block of this service is a data acquisition system, called vehicle data logger, which acts as gateway device to collect vehicle telematics data. Our vehicle data logger is based on a BeagleBoard single platine computer featuring an additional, sensor "cape" stacked onto it with GPS, rotation and acceleration sensors. The time-series data captured by the logger is stored on a MariaDB database on the logger. As soon as a connection is established via the mobile network, the logger can send captured data to the cloud platform. A rotary switch on the hardware device can be used to set the privacy level. To reduce the workload of mobile network connections and to increase the throughput, SenML data format is used for transmitting the data. SenML is a compromised data format especially developed for IoT device data. A TPM module is added via another stackable "cape" to provide encryption possibilities. A configuration file on the SD card can be used to configure database name, username, password, which sensors are recorded and the online API the data is sent to. A more detailed specification of the logger is provided in Papatheocharous et al. (2018) or Lechner et al. (2019).

3.2 Cloud Platform

The data logger described in the previous sub-section sends data to a defined channel of a message broker, in this case a MQTT (Message Queuing Telemetry Transport) Broker. One of the MQTT listeners is triggered, parses and formats the data if needed and forwards it to a cloud platform hosted by the company RISE. The cloud platform aims to support the exchange of data between devices and accommodate the deployment of cloud computing services. Connection between the cloud platform and devices occurs either directly or through a gateway. Any authorized smart device with connectivity can go through a gateway (a device or software designed for the purpose) to exchange data with the cloud platform. Devices may also choose to bypass the gateway and exchange data with the cloud platform directly. The data exchange can be carried out through MQTT or HTTP connections.

The cloud platform offers telemetry ingestion (accepts data), stream processing (data flows are processed and converted to unified formats), storage (data is stored in one or several databases), analytics (data is statistically and semantically analyzed to extract information), machine learning (data is processed with machine learning algorithms to extract knowledge and intelligence), visualization (data is depicted in meaningful charts and graphs to extract summarized information, generalizations, locate anomalies, etc.), lifecycle management (consists of supporting functions for the management of devices, such as software updates or (re)configuration), state (consists of storing the state of devices at all given times), and, finally, apps (consist of extended applications and services that can extend the platform, and offer some additional functionality or end-user value).

3.3 Cloud Computing Services

Different types of cloud computing services can be deployed on the cloud platform. Foremost the solution provisions for edge and cloud computing services for safe and secure connected mobility applications. The services accommodate data ingestion, storage, processing and management.

Data ingestion is made primarily through an MQTT broker, formatted as SenML JSON (Jennings et al., 2018). Use of the broker and the publish-subscribe pattern (Birman and Joseph, 1987) makes it possible for remote and external trusted partners to receive raw data, if necessary. Additionally, to increase trust in privacy, users should be able to listen to the defined channel (decrypted for them) to be able to check which data is sent.

Data is stored through deployed databases, after any required preprocessing is carried out. Timescale (a module of PostgreSQL) for time-series data is used. Access to the databases is encrypted with Transport Layer Security (TLS) and certificates from Let's Encrypt. Let's Encrypt (Internet Security Research Group (ISRG), 2019) is a certificate authority that provides free certificates for TLS encryption via an automated process.

Management is accomplished through the use of several Docker (Merkel, 2014) tools, i.e., Engine, Compose, Swarm, Machine, and Machinery (Frécon, 2018). They offer efficient system architecture deployments for any type of cloud provider and provision for the daily operations of a number of containers and solutions necessary for the applications, such as data backup, restore and application supervision.

3.4 Processing of Data

Docker containers were set up in this prototype to process the data. Pre-processing and data analysis are dependent on the privacy level chosen, as each service has specific requirements for sampling rate or the need of position data. However, in this case, to inform the driver about his recent driving style, the two pre-processing steps (i) resampling and (ii) coordination system alignment of vehicle and logging device start the processing, before algorithms detect four event types (harsh brakes, harsh accelerations, standstills and potholes) in the data. Later, they are used to calculate an indicator how safe a driver's trip was, compared to other trips in the database.

Hence, the initial phase in the pre-processing of data is the resampling of the raw data, namely the measurement signals (e.g. acceleration, speed, GPS, etc.) which were recorded with individual sample rates on the data logging device. In data analytics this step is a challenge, as some measurement signals are recorded at irregular time intervals. For example, to receive data collected from the vehicles OBD interface, the Vehicle Data Logger is posting a request to the OBD interface. As the OBD device has low priority, while all other ECUs in the vehicle have a higher priority, it might happen that time intervals between two values for one signal type increase up to seconds. For each signal the recorded values must be interpolated/extrapolated using polynomial functions (e.g. natural splines), so there are no discontinuities in curves, and they are smooth. Hence, in this case a resampling of the signal values at the regular time interval of 10 Hz (1/10 sec) provides the data for the further analysis.

The next pre-processing step is to align the coordinate system of sensor with coordinate system of the vehicle. It is usually unknown, how the Vehicle Data Logger was exactly mounted in the vehicle. Hence this is an important step to e.g. detect forward driving as forward driving if the logging device was mounted in the wrong direction, but also a few degrees shift would already make an impact in detecting i.e. hard accelerations and hard brakes. For solving this data analytics task, the following assumptions are adopted: the position of sensor is fixed during the trip and on average the vehicle Zdirection coincides with gravity vector, due to the fact that the vehicle drives horizontally. Then the following steps can be taken: identify Z-direction of the vehicle as direction of gravity, identify periods of deceleration and acceleration in the measurement using OBD data, identify driving direction as vector between the mean values for acceleration and deceleration, orthogonalize the driving direction and gravity vectors, compute vector in lateral direction as cross product of driving direction and gravity, compute rotation matrix from the driving direction, gravity and lateral direction vectors and finally rotate accelerometer and gyroscope measurements.

From the pre-processed measurement data, four different event types are extracted: brake, acceleration, standstill and pothole. Categorizing brake and accelerate events is based on the vehicle speed in combination with acceleration and deceleration values. Figure 4 shows a detected harsh acceleration event, where the driver accelerated from 22.28 km/h to 37.28 km/h within five seconds. Identifying a pothole event is based on detecting acceleration in Z direction and rotation around Y-axis (pitch). For example, both signals indicate short peaks at the beginning and the end of a pothole.

The safe driving score is based on statistical ranks. For each trip and each event type, event-rate per time unit is calculated (e.g. a trip has 0.1 hard brakes per hour). The trip-event-score is also calculated as the percentage of trips with the lower event-rate, for the current event-type. The score for one trip, trip-score, is calculated as the mean of all trip-event-scores for that trip. Finally, the driverscore is the latest value of the exponentially smoothed time series of trip-scores for that driver. The values for driver-score and trip-score are scaled from 0 to 100. Hence, a safe driving driver-score of 97 would mean, that this driver is currently better than 97% of all drivers in the database. A low safe driving score indicates a risky driver.

The results of data processing can be obtained on trip level (trip meta-data like start time and end time, trip specific events with GPS location and meta-data, and a safe driving trip-score), or on driver level (overall safe driving driver-score, summed up statistics like kilometers driven or events for a requested time-period like last month). A PostgREST API takes data requests of authenticated users, and provides the data, e.g. for the smartphone application described in the following sub-section.

3.5 Smartphone Application

The Android *Offline Trip Analyser* (OTA) mobile application, will present to the users the information produced in the trips they conducted. The application collects the trip and event information from the PostgREST API. The purpose of the application is to present the user detailed information per trip with a focus on safe driving relevant events.

Once a user is logged into the application, the user can switch between four menu items *Home*, *History*, *Cars* and *Profile* (c.f. Figure 3, on the bottom).

The *Home* page, visualized in Figure 3, visualizes a general summary and a summary of the events that have occurred during a selected time period, configurable with the filter on the right top, e.g. last day, last week, a specific selected timeframe or always.



Figure 3: Smartphone App for drivers: Home.

On the *History* page, users will find the history of their trips along with brief details, e.g. starting position, ending position, trip-score and privacy level per trip, sorted from the most recent to the oldest.

Clicking a trip, if applicable, a sub-page on details of the individual trip is shown, including graph visualizations of the course of vehicle speed, RPM, etc., and an event overview of the trip per event type. The application user can also switch to a sub-page visualizing a map of the individual trip (c.f. Figure 4), to see the trip route on a map. Markers represent the detected events at the event location and allow interactive analysis of the events, as a tooltip pops up on click providing detailed information, e.g. duration, start- and end-speed of the acceleration event in Figure 4. Hence, the user can zoom and navigate through the map and click markers. Furthermore, below the map, four tables (one per event type: brake, acceleration, standstill, pothole) list all event occurrences of the specific event type in this trip, to provide another viewpoint on the data.

The SCOTT OTA aims to make it easier for the drivers to keep detailed control of trips, learn from it in order to improve their driving behavior. The safe driving score per trip gives a quick indicator and an objective evaluation of the driving style, while it is possible to analyze every event in detail as well if needed.



Figure 4: Smartphone App for drivers: Trip Map.

4 CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

In this paper, we investigate the potentials and issues of vehicle telematics data sharing. Hence, we show a preliminary model of the willingness to share vehicle data, before we conduct an empirical study on the topic of privacy. Furthermore, we show how an actual implementation of a vehicle telematics service can look like, and where privacy has to be taken into account.

The results clearly show the single development steps along the vehicle data value chain, namely data collection, data computing in the cloud, and data use within an information system running on a smartphone, to provide a safe and secure connected mobility smartphone application for drivers based on vehicle data. Furthermore, for every step a privacypreserving way of a vehicle telematics service is discussed.

While the potential of data-driven connected mobility services as well as the potential of driver statistic services is already proven by literature (Kaiser et al., 2018) and a bunch of start-ups operating in this field (Kaiser et al., 2017), this paper misses a structured literature analysis for that topic, which is a clear limitation. Furthermore, the presented results, the data collection, the computing in the cloud and the secure connected mobility smartphone application need to be evaluated for scalability, to prove if hundreds of users can use it simultaneously.

As an outlook, the mentioned privacy issues to be tackled, which are now discussed in each implementation step, will be implemented to evaluate this as well.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is partially funded by the SCOTT (http://www.scott-project.eu) project. SCOTT has received funding from the Electronic Component Systems for European Leadership (ECSEL) Joint Undertaking under grant agreement No 737422. This Joint Undertaking receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme and Germany, Austria, France, Czech Republic, Netherlands, Belgium, Spain, Greece, Sweden, Italy, Ireland, Poland, Hungary, Portugal, Denmark, Finland, Luxembourg, Norway, Turkey. In Austria the project was also funded by the program "ICT of the Future" and the Austrian Federal Ministry for Climate Action, Environment, Energy, Mobility, Innovation and

Technology (BMK). The authors from VIRTUAL VEHICLE Research GmbH in Graz also acknowledge the financial support of the COMET K2 – Competence Centers for Excellent Technologies Programme of the Federal Ministry for Climate Action, Environment, Energy, Mobility, Innovation and Technology (BMK), the Federal Ministry for Digital and Economic Affairs (bmdw), the Austrian Research Promotion Agency (FFG), the Province of Styria and the Styrian Business Promotion Agency (SFG).

REFERENCES

- Ajzen, I., 1991. The theory of planned behavior. Organizational behavior and human decision processes, 50(2), 179-211.
- Birman, K., Joseph, T., 1987. Exploiting virtual synchrony in distributed systems. In *Proceedings of the eleventh* ACM Symposium on Operating systems principles (SOSP '87), pp. 123–138.
- Camden, M. C., Hickman, J. S., Ha, R. J., 2015. United States Department of Transportation: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration. [Online]. Available: https://cms.fmcsa.dot.gov/sites/fmcsa.dot.gov/files/doc s/Guidance%20Document%20-%20Effective% 20Use%200f%20Onboard%20Safety%20Monitoring %20Technologies.pdf
- European Commission, 2018. Annual Accident Report 2018. [Online]. Available: https://ec.europa.eu/ transport/road_safety/sites/roadsafety/files/pdf/statistic s/dacota/asr2018.pdf.
- Fishbein, M., Ajzen, I., 1975. Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior: an introduction to theory and research, *Addison-Wesley series in social psychology*. Addison-Wesley Pub. Co, Reading, Mass.
- Frécon, E., 2018. Machinery, [Online]. Available: http://www.docker-machinery.com/.
- Internet Security Research Group (ISRG), 2019. Let's Encrypt. [Online]. Available: https://letsencrypt.org/.
- Jennings, C., Cisco, Shelby, Z., ARM, Arkko, J., Keranen, A., Ericsson, Bormann, C. and University Bremen TZI, 2018. Sensor Measurement Lists (SenML) draft-ietfcore-senml-16. [Online]. Available: https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-core-senml-16
- Ju, M., Mou, J., 2018. Privacy as a Commodity Is Not the Case: Privacy Calculus Model for Connected Cars. In WHICEB 2018 Proceedings (p. 44).
- Kaiser, C., Festl, A., Stocker, A., Pucher, G., Fellmann, M., 2019a. The Vehicle Data Value Chain as a Lightweight Model to Describe Digital Vehicle Services. In Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Web Information Systems and Technologies (WEBIST 2019), p. 68-79.
- Kaiser, C., Stocker, A., Fellmann, M., 2019b. Understanding Data-driven Service Ecosystems in the

Automotive Domain. In Proceedings of 25th Americas Conference on Information Systems (AMCIS 2019).

- Kaiser, C., Stocker, A., Festl, A., Lechner, G., Fellmann, M., 2018. A research agenda for vehicle information systems. In *Proceedings of European Conference on Information Systems* (ECIS 2018).
- Kaiser, C., Stocker, A., Viscusi, G., Festl, A., Moertl, P., 2017. Quantified cars: An exploration of the position of ICT start-ups vs. car manufacturers towards digital car services and sustainable business models. In *Proceedings of 2nd international conference on new business models*, p. pp. 336–350.
- Lechner, G., Fellmann, M., Festl, A., Kaiser, C., Kalayci, T. E., Spitzer, M., Stocker, A., 2019. A Lightweight Framework for Multi-device Integration and Multisensor Fusion to Explore Driver Distraction. In *International Conference on Advanced Information Systems Engineering* (pp. 80-95). Springer, Cham.
- Merkel, D., 2014. Docker: Lightweight Linux Containers for Consistent Development and Deployment. *Linux Journal*, Bd. 239, pp. 1075-3583, 2014.
- Papatheocharous, E., Frécon, E., Kaiser, C., Festl, A., Stocker, A., 2018. Towards a Generic IoT Platform for Data-driven Vehicle Services. In *IEEE International Conference on Vehicular Electronics and Safety*, Bd. doi: 10.1109/ICVES.2018.8519505.
- Stocker, A., Kaiser, C., Fellmann, M., 2017. Quantified vehicles. Business & information systems engineering, 59(2), 125-130.
- Venkatesh, V., Bala, H., 2008. Technology acceptance model 3 and a research agenda on interventions. *Decision sciences*, 39(2), 273-315.
- Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y., Xu, X., 2012. Consumer acceptance and use of information technology: extending the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology. *MIS quarterly*, 36(1), 157-178.