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Abstract: A process considered in statistical control must be stable and repeatable. The Statistical Process Control (SPC) importance for the software industry has grown in recent years, mainly due to the use of quality models. In this context, this work aims to propose a teaching methodology for SPC where the learning process is student centered. The methodology is composed of reading experience reports, PBL, practical cases discussion, use of games, practical projects, and reflections on the contents learned.

1 INTRODUCTION

A process considered in statistical control must be stable and repeatable. Thus, the Statistical Process Control (SPC) is a collection of techniques for achieving this goal. The use of SPC in the processes improvement is not new to the industry in general. In the context of software organizations, the statistical control can be considered something relatively recent (Alhassan and Jawawi, 2014), and there are still many doubts about its application (Garcia et al., 2007; Boffoli et al., 2008; Tarhan and Demirors, 2008). The importance of the SPC for the software industry has grown in recent years, mainly due to the use of quality models internationally recognized (Fernández-Corrales et al., 2013).

In the early levels of improvement programs, organizations adopt the measurement that simply consists of collecting data from the project execution and comparing them with the planned values. Despite it is a sufficient approach, it is not suitable for organizations seeking high maturity, to evaluate and to evolve their processes. In these organizations, it is necessary to perform statistical control of software processes to know its behavior, determine its performance in previous executions and predict its performance in current and future projects, making sure that it can achieve established goals and identifying corrective actions and improvement when appropriate (Barcellos et al., 2010).

However, the SPC use in software development organizations has been showed complex due to these techniques exist in a context that does not consider the present particularities in a software development process (SEI, 2010). This difficulty may also be caused by the type of training of these professionals, in the approach used for teaching SPC during the graduation of these students, and if SPC topics were at least taught.

The great difficulty of the actual use of this employee for statistical process control is the fact that most of these employees do not have the necessary knowledge for such an undertaking. It ends up being a conflicting point of performance to a computer professional, due to their basic education often contemplate the discipline of Probability and Statistics, which the many disciplines of Software Engineering (SE) / Software Quality should provide a solid basis enough that this professional can act with more confidence in the market when there is a need for statistical process control in the organization.

In general, the software industry suffers from a lack of qualified professionals to work in activities involving the software development process (Wangenheim and Silva, 2009; Taran and Rosso-Llopart, 2007; Garg and Varma, 2008; O’Leary et al., 2006). In case of most companies, up to 80% of the hires are made at the entry level (fresh graduates), and up to 80% of the training budget is spent on them (Taran and Rosso-Llopart, 2007).
Although we did not find specific statistical data regarding the SPC, it is easy to infer that the reality of SE professionals in this specific area should not be different from the scenario. So, in this context, this work aims to propose a teaching methodology for Statistical Process Control that stimulates and motivates students and that is aligned with humanistic teaching approaches, were the learning process is student centered.

In addition to this introductory section, this paper is structured as follows: The Section 2 will describe a brief background on Software Engineering Teaching. In Section 3, the teaching methodology is presented. In Section 4, an experiment design is proposed to evaluate the methodology learning effectiveness. Section 5 presents the conclusions of this work.

2 BACKGROUND ON SOFTWARE ENGINEERING TEACHING

According to the ACM / IEEE (ACM/IEEE, 2013), the SE is a discipline interested in the application of theory, knowledge and practice for the effective and efficient development of software systems that meet users’ requirements.

A survey performed in (Wangenheim and Silva, 2009) intends to discover the opinion of professional in Software Engineering area about the relevance of the topics covered in the Computer Science courses. As results, the survey indicates that there is a lack of attention to some SE topics. To certain topic, it was possible to identify even a complete lack of consideration from professors and students. For example, the “Software Configuration Management” topic, which in practice is considered as an essential basis not only for software engineers, but also for any professional software (Wangenheim and Silva, 2009).

On other hand, despite the importance of these knowledge regarding to the activities of SE, in Lethbridge (2000) it was found that professionals learn more about these activities during their work than from university courses / education. It may occur by the simple fact that, if we consider the suggestion of a total of at least 280 hours for a Computer Science course (SBC, 2005), the allocation of about 36 hours to SE topic does not to correspond with the perception of importance these topics and consequently not enough time to be spent in important topics.

In this context, there seems to be a consensus that the teaching of Software Engineering must go beyond the format of traditional lectures, encompassing other teaching and learning approaches. Some authors identify practical teaching approaches as the most suitable for Software Engineering (Prikладницкий et al., 2009, Malik and Zafar, 2012, Marques, Quispe and Ocho, 2014). Despite the emphasis by these authors, there is still no ideal teaching approach to conduct these practical experiences (Malik and Zafar 2012).

Also, ACM/IEEE (2013) recommends that higher education in Computing must involve its students in software development practical projects. Thus, the skills required for a software engineer should be practiced from the graduation beginning (Gnatz et al., 2003).

2.1 Related Works

There is the FRAMES initiative (Portela, Vasconcelos and Oliveira, 2016), a framework for the teaching-learning of Software Engineering topics. FRAMES supports the teaching and learning of SE topics recommended by the ACM / IEEE (2013) and SBC (2005) reference curricula.

The framework was defined based on the results of a survey and a case study with teachers and students, addressing the relevance of the topics taught and the teaching approaches effectiveness. Although the framework is based on the recommendations of the reference curricula, which do not effectively cover the content of Statistical Process Control, it was the main reference for the definition of the teaching approach developed in this work.

3 THE TEACHING METHODOLOGY

3.1 Preliminary Studies

As a way of understanding the real need of the software industry on SPC, two preliminary studies were carried out: a literature review on quality model that provide recommendations for the SPC, CMMI-DEV (SEI, 2010) and MR-MPS-SW (SOFTEX, 2016), the review aimed to identify the basic skills needed to work with SPC; and an application of a survey to software engineers with the objective of validate these SPC competencies
and to discover the most relevant competencies in their organizations (Furtado and Oliveira, 2017). These results provided inputs for the development of the methodology. The methodology is based on Problem Based Learning (PBL) and Kolb's Theory of Learning (Kolb, 1984), through the application of an adaptation of the Kolb Learning Cycle. The methodology is composed of reading of experience reports, PBL, discussion of practical cases, use of games and dynamics, realization of practical projects and reflection on the learned.

After these studies, it was possible to define and validate 13 basic skills needed for a software engineer to work in SPC, as listed:

1. Identify processes that are aligned with quantitative objectives of business;
2. Identify the processes necessary to achieve the organization's business objectives;
3. Define the process measurement objectives;
4. Identify the measurable relationships among the process elements that contribute to the process performance;
5. Define quantifiable objectives for the process quality and performance that are aligned with need of information and the business objectives;
6. Select the processes that will be the performance analysis object;
7. Define appropriate measures for the process performance analysis;
8. Collect, validate, and communicate measurement results to monitor how much quantitative targets for process performance have been achieved;
9. Select the techniques to analyze the data collected;
10. Analyze the measurement data in relation to special causes of process variation;
11. Characterize process performance;
12. Perform corrective actions to address special causes of variation;

### 3.2 Discipline Syllabus, Techniques, Methods, and Teaching Resources

With these skills identified, it was possible to define the discipline syllabus necessary to provide all this background. Thus, the discipline was divided into 4 units: (1) Business processes and objectives, (2) Measurement, (3) Statistical control, and (4) Capacity and process improvement evaluation.

The first unit, **Business Processes and Objectives**, aims to teach the basic concepts of process and organization, teach the analysis, modeling and implementation of processes and the identification of critical processes. The second unit, **Measurement**, aims to teach how to define and execute a measurement plan. The third unit, **Statistical Control**, is where the importance of SPC will be taught, how to use control charts and how to perform cause and effect assessment. The last unit, **Capacity and Process Improvement Evaluation**, it will teach baseline concepts and process capability as well as how to improve process.

The Table 1 summarizes the contents that will be taught in each unit and what results are expected in relation to the skills acquired by the students. For each item, it was also detailed the expected level of cognitive ability, using a terminology based on Bloom's taxonomy (Bloom, 1956) that consists in remembering, understanding and application, where: remember, remember the material previously taught; understand, understand the information and meaning of the material taught; and apply, use the material learned in new and concrete situations. It is important to emphasize that Apply includes Understand that includes Remember (Nunes et al., 2016).

The selection of techniques, methods and teaching resources adopted in this methodology was based in (Portela et al., 2016) that aims to enhance the joint adoption of these items, through an iterative cycle to meet the different learning profiles. The education model of Portela et al. (2016) is based on the learning cycle of Kolb (1984) and on the iterative teaching methodology proposed in (Gary et al., 2013).

In this context, the model focuses on reading articles and experience reports, with the joint use of PBL, discussion of practical cases, use of games, as well as practical projects and student reflection on the content learned and activities performed. Therefore, each of the 4 units of the discipline are composed of 6 stages: (1) Initiation; (2) Preparation; (3) Discussion; (4) Practice; (5) Contextualization; and (6) Reflection. Each stage is best described below:

1. **Initiation**: the study of each unit begins from the identification of a problem. For example: "Is it possible for all products to conform to a standard? And the variations?" This step is strongly based on the PBL approach;
2. **Preparation**: this stage is executed by the students parallel to all stages, as an out-of-class activity. In it, the student will study the
material provided by the professor (videos, articles, and books) to understand the topics;

3. **Discussion**: this stage consists of a traditional class held by the professor followed by a discussion about the subject so that the students can solve most of their doubts to execute the practical activities;

Table 1: Discipline Syllabus and Goals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topics</th>
<th>Expected Results</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Introduction to processes</td>
<td>The student must know the basic concepts and representation of processes.</td>
<td>Remember</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Processes and organizational structure</td>
<td>The student must be able to see the relationship between the process and the organizational structure.</td>
<td>Remember</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Definition and implementation of processes</td>
<td>The student must know the management of the processes implementation.</td>
<td>Remember</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 Decision-making process</td>
<td>The student must understand the decision-making process.</td>
<td>Understand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 Critical processes for the business</td>
<td>The student must be able to identify and select (under supervision) the critical processes of an organization.</td>
<td>Apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Measurement concepts</td>
<td>The student must be able to understand how measurement objectives should support the organization’s objectives.</td>
<td>Remember</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Measurement process</td>
<td>The student must be able to define and execute (under supervision) a measurement plan.</td>
<td>Understand and Apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Introduction to Statistical Control</td>
<td>The student must be aware of the importance of statistical control.</td>
<td>Remember</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Control charts</td>
<td>The student must understand the various types of control charts.</td>
<td>Understand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Cause and effect assessment</td>
<td>The student must be able to characterize the performance of a process.</td>
<td>Apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Assessment of process capability</td>
<td>The student must be able to establish performance models for the process.</td>
<td>Apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Improve of process</td>
<td>The student must be able to propose adjustments and</td>
<td>Apply</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **Practice**: students practice the knowledge gained using games. The objective of this stage is to allow the student to internalize and develop the skills pertinent to the unit besides favoring the aspects of iteration and communication with the other students;

5. **Contextualization**: after completing the previous steps, students will now finally undertake a practical project to integrate all skills acquired during the unit. In addition to the technical skills, this experience allows developing client negotiation skills, group work, communication and evaluating solutions;

6. **Reflection**: the final step consists of the students presenting the results obtained in the practical project and reflecting on the experience, answering 4 questions based on the Scrum Sprint Retrospective ceremony: What methods and techniques have been applied in the development of the project? What were the main difficulties of the team? What methods and techniques not applied by the team could have helped? What would the team change when they re-run the project?

The way these steps will be reflected in the teaching strategy of each unit is defined according to the level of learning intended for the topic, where: topics with the expected level of Remember will be attended by the Discussion stage; topics listed as Remember require the Practice step to be accomplished; and topics where the student is expected to reach the Apply level will be covered in the Contextualization stage. Emphasizing that each unit goes through all the stages of the cycle.

3.3 **Play Activities and Practical Projects Used**

For each topic with the Remember or Apply level of learning, a game and / or a practical project was defined so that students can internalize the concepts learned and apply them to solve real problems in the context of statistical process control.

To contemplate the topic 1.3 a ludic activity focused on the modeling and redesign of the process is carried out. To that end, the students are divided into teams with 4 members and are asked to make a production line of aircraft with building blocks. They receive the requirements and develop a prototype, then begin the first building cycle without
the use of any process. Then they are asked to define a process for building the aircraft and the second building cycle begins. At the end, the results obtained between the two cycles are compared and they are asked to think of improvements for the process used. A last building cycle is then started, and the results are compared with the previous cycles.

For the topic 1.4 a business game called “The Beergame” (Riemer, 2008) is applied. In the beergame students enact a four-stage supply chain. The task is to produce and deliver units of beer: the factory produces, and the other three stages deliver the beer units until it reaches the customer at the downstream end of the chain. The aim of the players is to fulfil incoming orders of beer by placing orders with the next upstream party. Communication and collaboration are not allowed between supply chain stages. Thus, students are organized into teams of 4 members and the game is played for 32 rounds. At the end, students are questioned about how the decision process took place and how the communication between them could have improved the results.

The topic 1.5 was reached through a practical project where students are responsible for identifying critical processes in a factory. Thus, after the context briefing, students are organized into pairs and receive the organization's process list along with an interview with clients informing them about the most important quality criteria. Students then relate this information and use the Quality Function Deployment (QFD) method applied to identify the critical processes of this organization. At the end, students should present the results and answer the final questions of the reflection stage.

For the topic 2.2 two activities are performed, a more playful activity to internalize Goal-Question-Metric (GQM) concepts and a practical project where students define and execute a measurement plan. The play activity consists of simply developing the GQM for everyday purposes. For example, students are asked to think through some questions and measures to achieve the goal of being a better computer student. The activity is done in pairs and lasts 30 minutes. In the practical project, students receive the context of a software company that aims to increase the number of clients served. Students then, in pairs, should use GQM to relate the organization's objectives to the measures, define the collection and analysis procedures, and analyze the data and provide suggestions to the software company. For the students to be able to carry out all these activities, the flow chart of the organization's software development process and the measures that were collected in the company's projects are provided with the briefing. At the end, students should present the results and answer the final questions of the reflection stage.

For the topic 3.2 a play activity is performed with a pair of dices, based on (Jones et al., 2008). The goal is to teach the use of control charts through the data collected on several rolls of a pair of dices. Students are organized in pairs and are asked to make 10 collections of 5 pitches with the given pair of data. Each students pair has dices with a different number of sides, ranging from 4 sides to 20. Then, the values are recorded in a worksheet and a chart is plotted. It is then asking if it is possible to improve the variation obtained and what should be done for it. New dices are distributed, preferably with fewer sides, and again the 10 collections of 5 rolls are carried out. At the end, the students compare the two charts generated and are asked about what and why the results happened.

For the topic 3.3 a practical project is carried out that introduces students to the context of a factory that is seeking to statistically control its building process. Students then receive two sets of data and are informed that they were collected daily. Based on these data, students must choose and justify what are the best control charts for the situation. In general, they are expected to be able to at least select a variable chart and an attribute chart, which would include all the data provided. The dataset purposely has some points outside the established limits so that students can use the Ishikawa diagram to evaluate the special causes. As a way of providing more information, so that students can perform the analysis, each collection will have some comment relevant to what happened on the day. At the end, students must present the results and answer the final questions of the reflection stage.

The topic 4.1 is addressed through a practical project where students are exposed to the context of a football team and their game history in two seasons of a championship. Students should then assemble, for each season, three (3) baselines: one for the number of points gained per round; one for the goal balance per round; and one for the number of hours trained per round. Students should then calculate the limits of the control chart and evaluate if there is any improvement in performance between the two seasons. At this point, they will be informed about what the expected club board of the team's behavior in the field, thus characterizing the desired behavior for the process, the customer’s voice. Based on this information, students check whether the
process is capable or not. Students are then asked to establish a performance model for the next season, for example, relating the number of hours trained with the goal balance on a scatter chart. At the end, students should present the results and answer the final questions of the reflection stage.

Finally, in the topic 4.2 students also undertake a practical project where they receive the flowchart of a process and the baseline of performance that is not stable. Based on context and observations, they should be able to assess the special causes and remove them. They then must mount a new baseline and verify that the process has become stable but is not able. Through suggestions for improvement, students should work to let the process finally able. A last baseline should be mounted to verify that the process is stable and capable. At this point, the professor questions about the possibility of continuing to improve the process continuously. At the end, students should present the results and answer the final questions of the reflection stage.

4 PROPOSAL EVALUATION

A formal experiment is being planned, dividing the population into a control group and an experimental group, to evaluate the effectiveness of the planned learning activities, at the application cognition level. It is expected that this experiment design allows a statistical comparison of the behavior observed in the experimental group in relation to that observed in the control group (Campbell and Stanley, 1963).

The experiment should be organized as follows:
1. Control and experimental groups will be randomly distributed through a lottery. To help the achievement of balanced groups, the students will answer a personal background and motivation questionnaires;
2. The interventions will be applied. The experimental group will receive the learning activities planned for the teaching approach and the control group will attend to traditional classes;
3. At the end, the two groups will carry out a practical project, covering all the Statistical Process Control topics taught during the interventions, to evaluate the level of application reached by the students. At this time, the students will also respond to the learning experience perception questionnaires.

A practical project will be applied as a test at the end of the course aimed to collect data that could answer the experiment objective. The practical project aims to evaluate the students’ application level in relation to the topics of Statistical Process Control. For this, the activity was contextualized to the need of an academic to control statistically his learning process during the semester, consisting of opportunities to apply the necessary steps to statistically control a process. The same test will be applied to both groups of the experiment and will be blinded corrected by two experts in the field.

The scores of this test will be calculated according to Completeness and Correctness levels, where Completeness is to use the expect tool or technique, and Correctness is to correctly use the expected tool or technique. The scores will be available to students only at the end of the course.

The experiment is planned to be executed in the first semester of 2018, in a class of Special Topics in Software Engineering, which is part of the curriculum of the Computer Science course of the Federal University of Amapá. The class is an elective course and has an open syllabus, where the teacher is responsible for directing which Software Engineering contents will be taught.

All participants in the experiment will be volunteers and the discipline. Each group will hold a weekly meeting lasting 100 minutes and the experiment should last for 12 weeks. This is the time available to this course during the academic semester.

On the first week, the student will answer the personal background and motivation questionnaires and the groups will be distributed. The students in the Experimental Group will receive the material (videos, articles, and books) to study the contents of first unit.

On second week, the interventions will start to be applied to both groups. Both groups will attend to lectures on topics “1.1 Introduction to processes” and “1.2 Processes and organizational structure” (100 minutes).

On third week, the Control Group will receive lectures about “1.3 Definition and implementation of processes” topic. The Experimental Group will carry out the lucid activity with building blocks that contemplates topic 1.3 (40 minutes) and the beer game to topic “1.4 Decision-making process” (40 minutes).

On fourth week, the Control Group will receive lectures about “1.4 Decision-making process” and “1.5 Critical processes for the business” topics. The Experimental Group will do the first practical project on the content of 1.5 topic. The project is planned to be executed under 60 minutes and the students will have another 40 minutes to present the
results and answer the final questions of the reflection stage. The Experimental Group also will receive the material to study the contents of the next unit.

On fifth week, the Control Group will receive lectures on “2.1 Measurement concepts” and “2.2 Measurement process” topics. The Experimental Group will attend to a lecture on 2.1 topic (40 minutes) and perform the playful activity to internalize GQM (60 minutes).

On sixth week, the Control Group will receive lectures on “3.1 Introduction to Statistical Control” topic. The Experimental Group will carry out the second practical project on 2.2 topic. The project is planned to be executed under 60 minutes and the students will have another 40 minutes to present the results and answer the final questions of the reflection stage. The Experimental Group also will receive the material to study the contents of the third unit.

On seventh week, the Control Group will attend to lectures on “3.2 Control charts” and “3.3 Cause and effect assessment” topics. The Experimental Group will receive lecture on 3.1 topic (40 minutes) and play the dice game to internalize 3.3 topic (60 minutes).

On eighth week, the Control Group will receive lectures on “4.1 Assessment of process capability” topic. The Experimental Group will do the third practical project that covers 3.2 and 3.3 topics. The project is planned to be executed in 60 minutes and the students will have another 40 minutes to present the results and answer the final questions of the reflection stage. The Experimental Group also will receive the material to study the contents of the last unit.

On ninth week, the Control Group will receive lectures on “4.2 Improve of process performance” topic. The Experimental Group will attend to a lecture on 4.1 topic (40 minutes) and perform the Soccer Team playful activity to help internalize 4.1 and 4.2 topics (60 minutes).

The Control Group will not have a meeting on the tenth week. The Experimental Group will do the practical project that covers the last unit. The project is planned to be executed in 60 minutes and the students will have another 40 minutes to present the results and answer the final questions of the reflection stage. The Experimental Group also will receive the material to study the contents of the last unit.

On eleventh week, both groups will receive instruction about the final practical project that will covers all topics taught during the interventions and will evaluate the level of application reached by the students. The students will have a 3 days deadline to submit the final project documents.

On last meeting, the scores report will be presented to the students. The students will also respond to the to the learning experience perception questionnaires.

5 CONCLUSIONS

This work proposed a teaching methodology for SPC where the learning process is student centered. The methodology is composed of reading experience reports, PBL, practical cases discussion, use of games, practical projects, and reflections on the contents learned. With this work, we hope to help strengthen ties between academia and industry and to provide professionals more adapted to these organizations.

An experiment will be conducted to evaluate the learning gain on the Statistical Process Control, at the application level, provided by the teaching approach compared to traditional classes in undergraduate courses in Computing, and the results obtained will be described and presented later in other papers.

This experiment will be considered as a first explanatory study to gain insight into the learning effectiveness of the proposed approach and its weaknesses; as well as suggestions for improvement by the participants. Therefore, it is acceptable that the significance of the results could be weak due to the threats to validity to be found.
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